ARTICLE

Received 15 Aug 2013 | Accepted 24 Jan 2014 | Published 28 Feb 2014

DOI: 10.1038/ncomms4314

Persistent spin excitations in doped antiferromagnets revealed by resonant inelastic light scattering

C.J. Jia^{1,2}, E.A. Nowadnick^{1,3}, K. Wohlfeld¹, Y.F. Kung^{1,3}, C.-C. Chen⁴, S. Johnston^{5,6}, T. Tohyama⁷, B. Moritz^{1,8} & T.P. Devereaux¹

How coherent quasiparticles emerge by doping quantum antiferromagnets is a key question in correlated electron systems, whose resolution is needed to elucidate the phase diagram of copper oxides. Recent resonant inelastic X-ray scattering (RIXS) experiments in hole-doped cuprates have purported to measure high-energy collective spin excitations that persist well into the overdoped regime and bear a striking resemblance to those found in the parent compound, challenging the perception that spin excitations should weaken with doping and have a diminishing effect on superconductivity. Here we show that RIXS at the Cu L_3 -edge indeed provides access to the spin dynamical structure factor once one considers the full influence of light polarization. Further we demonstrate that high-energy spin excitations do not correlate with the doping dependence of T_c , while low-energy excitations depend sensitively on doping and show ferromagnetic correlations. This suggests that high-energy spin excitations are marginal to pairing in cuprate superconductors.

¹Stanford Institute for Materials and Energy Sciences, SLAC National Accelerator Laboratory and Stanford University, Menlo Park, California 94025, USA.

²Department of Applied Physics, Stanford University, Stanford, California 94305, USA. ³Department of Physics, Stanford University, Stanford, California 94305, USA. ⁴Advanced Photon Source, Argonne National Laboratory, Lemont, Illinois 60439, USA. ⁵Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of British Columbia, Vancouver, British Columbia, Canada V6T 1Z1. ⁶Quantum Matter Institute, University of British Columbia, Vancouver, British Columbia, Canada V6T 1Z4. ⁷Yukawa Institute for Theoretical Physics, Kyoto University, Kyoto 606-8502, Japan. ⁸Department of Physics and Astrophysics, University of North Dakota, Grand Forks, North Dakota 58202, USA. Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to T.P.D. (email: tpd@stanford.edu).

Initial Cu L_3 -edge resonant inelastic X-ray scattering (RIXS) measurements on undoped and weakly underdoped cuprates^{1,2} complemented earlier neutron and Raman scattering experiments, seeming to favour a spin-fluctuation scenario as a viable explanation of superconductivity³. However, more recent RIXS measurements on overdoped cuprates^{3–6} have shown persistent high-energy spin excitations to very high doping levels where superconductivity disappears. In contrast neutron and Raman measurements display an absence of robust spin excitations in the overdoped regime^{7,8}; this conflict undermines an understanding of unconventional superconductivity in the cuprates, making an investigation of how spin excitations manifest in the RIXS cross-section a crucial component to its resolution.

In this letter, we reconcile these seemingly incompatible experimental results by computing Cu L_3 -edge RIXS spectra using exact diagonalization (ED), capable of reproducing major experimental features. We demonstrate with light polarization analysis that the RIXS cross-section in a crossed-polarization geometry can be interpreted simply in terms of the spin dynamical structure factor $S(\mathbf{q}, \omega)$, which enables a comparison between different scattering experiments. Utilizing determinant quantum Monte Carlo (DQMC), we study in detail the momentum and doping dependence of $S(\mathbf{q}, \omega)$, finding strong changes near both (π, π) and $(0, 0)$ with relatively insensitive antiferromagnetic zone boundary (AFZB) paramagnons upon hole doping. Moreover, with electron doping these same AFZB paramagnons harden significantly, which has recently been confirmed experimentally. Underlying this observed behaviour is a framework of local spin exchange, which remains robust even with significant doping away from the parent antiferromagnet. In contrast, our calculations show a sensitive evolution of low-energy paramagnons near $(0, 0)$ and (π, π) , which give evidence for the predominance of ferromagnetic correlations. These results highlight the importance of spectral weight and dispersion at low energies in establishing a relevant energy scale and strength of spin fluctuations for pairing rather than higher-energy AFZB paramagnons.

Results

The relationship between RIXS and $S(\mathbf{q}, \omega)$. RIXS is a resonant technique and its sensitivity to magnetic excitations arises as a result of core-level spin-orbit interactions in the intermediate state (see Fig. 1a). Although in Mott insulators it has been shown that the RIXS cross-section can be approximated by $S(\mathbf{q}, \omega)$ when the charge excitations are gapped, it is not clear whether the same approximation carries over to doped systems where the ground state is no longer that of a Mott insulator with commensurate filling^{9,10}.

To answer this question, we numerically evaluate the RIXS cross-section as a function of momentum (Fig. 1c) directly from the Kramers-Heisenberg formula^{11,12} using small cluster ED of an effective single-band Hubbard Hamiltonian (including both nearest t and next-nearest neighbour hopping t' and on-site Coulomb repulsion U) at various electron concentrations n ; details are given in the Methods section. Figure 1c displays the RIXS spectra calculated for the experimental geometry discussed in ref. 3. The RIXS spectra, even without outgoing polarization discrimination, agree well with $S(\mathbf{q}, \omega)$ for different electron concentrations at the chosen momentum space points accessible on the same finite size clusters for each calculation. This is particularly true at half-filling where the charge gap ensures that only spin excitations can be visible in the given energy range. The main differences occur in the doped systems at higher energy (close to $2t$), which are of less interest for our spin analysis. The important result shown in Fig. 1c concerns the suppression

of these higher energy peaks in the cross-polarized geometry (see Fig. 1c $\pi - \sigma$ RIXS) which leads to a significant improvement in the comparison between the RIXS cross-section and $S(\mathbf{q}, \omega)$. This indicates that Cu L_3 -edge RIXS with crossed polarizations (a four-particle correlator) provides access to the spin excitation spectrum encoded in $S(\mathbf{q}, \omega)$ (a two-particle correlator) for doped as well as undoped cuprates. (To further confirm this agreement between RIXS and $S(\mathbf{q}, \omega)$ for more momentum points, we manually adjusted the Cu L -edge energy to be $1.8 \times 930 \text{ eV} = 1674 \text{ eV}$ so that momentum points up to (π, π) can be reached. For more details see Supplementary Note 1.)

The momentum and doping dependence of $S(\mathbf{q}, \omega)$. Having established a relationship between RIXS and $S(\mathbf{q}, \omega)$, we focus now on the momentum and doping dependence of $S(\mathbf{q}, \omega)$ for the single-band Hubbard model. Here we employ the numerically exact DQMC method (see Methods) with maximum entropy analytic continuation on larger lattices with fine control of the electron concentration through the chemical potential. As shown in Fig. 2 the DQMC calculations qualitatively reproduce both the momentum and doping evolution of the RIXS measurements found in refs 1–6. A comparison between the intensity and dispersion of low-energy magnetic excitations near $(0, 0)$ and (π, π) shows a transition from antiferromagnetic to ferromagnetic spin correlations with increasing doping. [In an antiferromagnetic system, the dynamical spin structure factors show gapless excitations at both $(0, 0)$ and (π, π) , with strong intensity around (π, π) . In a ferromagnetic system, the dynamical spin structure factors show strong intensity with gapless excitations at $(0, 0)$ and much weaker intensity with gapped excitations when approaching (π, π)]. However, the spectra of higher-energy AFZB paramagnons show relatively little change with hole doping other than a general decrease of intensity, suggesting that spin excitations do not soften even in the heavily overdoped regime. For electron doping, AFZB paramagnons surprisingly harden by 50% at 15% doping that has been observed recently in the prototypical electron-doped cuprate $\text{Nd}_{2-x}\text{Ce}_x\text{CuO}_4$ (ref. 13). For additional analysis and discussion, see Supplementary Discussion.

Theory to understand AFZB paramagnons. The behaviour of these AFZB paramagnons stands in stark contrast to naive expectations of spin softening with either hole or electron doping: (i) long-range AF order collapses quickly upon doping with a small (intermediate) concentration of holes (electrons), and one would expect spin excitations to soften accordingly^{14,15}; (ii) short-range AF correlations are further weakened due to a dilution of AF bonds (see Fig. 3a) in the locally static spin picture. Indeed, the nearest neighbour spin-spin correlations from the DQMC calculations with electron doping decrease in a manner surprisingly well described by a locally static spin picture where the doped electrons are immobile, as shown in Fig. 3b.

We can address these points by considering the role of three-site exchange¹⁶, which lowers the system energy when both doped carriers and AF correlations are present (see Fig. 3a). A local spin flip in an otherwise AF background produces a ferromagnetic alignment of nearest neighbour spins, which costs additional energy (of the same order as the spin exchange $J = 4t^2/U$) by suppressing hole- (or double-occupancy-) delocalization represented by the three-site terms. In fact, if we consider only the spin exchange contributions, the combined energy of a single-spin flip in the doped system (breaking both spin exchange and three-site bonds) is larger than that of the undoped system by $\sim J/4$ (see Fig. 3a). This hardening has been observed in ED calculations of $S(\mathbf{q}, \omega)$ for the H_{Hubbard} and $H_{t-J} + H_{3s}$ Hamiltonians (see Methods) as shown in Fig. 3c upon electron doping.

Figure 1 | Similarities between RIXS and the spin dynamical structure factor $S(\mathbf{q}, \omega)$. (a) Schematic diagram of a spin-flip excitation produced during the RIXS process at the Cu L_3 -edge. The highlighted (darkened) orbitals represent holes. A spin-flip excitation is created when an electron with up spin is photoexcited from a Cu $2p$ core-level into the partially filled $3d_{z^2-y^2}$ orbital. Subsequent de-excitation through the decay of an electron with down spin into the core produces a RIXS cross-section with a single spin-flip excitation. Such a single spin-flip channel can only be enabled when the outgoing photon polarization is (or has non-zero component) perpendicular to the incoming photon polarization. (b) A schematic picture of the area of the Brillouin zone accessible to RIXS at the Cu L -edge, as well as a line denoting the antiferromagnetic zone boundary (AFZB). The RIXS cross-section and the spin dynamical structure factor $S(\mathbf{q}, \omega)$ have been evaluated in momentum space at the points marked by dots in the Brillouin zone (see also panel (c) below). (c) The RIXS cross-section for select points in momentum space at the Cu L_3 -edge (top and middle panels) compared against $S(\mathbf{q}, \omega)$ (bottom panels). Each has been calculated using exact diagonalization for the Hubbard model on a finite-size cluster for three different electron concentrations n . The top panels show RIXS spectra calculated for an in-coming polarization π and a sum over the outgoing polarizations. The middle panels show the results with out-going polarization discrimination, here chosen in the cross-polarized geometry to emphasize the spin excitations. The results were obtained for the Hubbard model parameters $U = 8t$, $t' = -0.3t$ with $t = 0.4$ eV, a Lorentzian broadening with half width at half maximum (HWHM) = $0.025t$ and a Gaussian broadening with HWHM = $0.118t$ on the energy transfer (see Methods).

The situation is more subtle with hole doping, because this ‘locally static model’ no longer completely applies as seen in Fig. 3b. The negative next-nearest neighbour hopping t' (positive for electron doping) promotes magnetic sublattice mixing and a much larger destruction of the AF correlations¹⁷. With hole doping the trend observed in RIXS is fully recovered only in the Hubbard model, as shown in Fig. 2, implying that higher order processes absent in t - J -type models become crucial in quantitatively reproducing the spin wave dispersion¹⁸.

Discussion

How do these results reconcile the seemingly contradictory observations between RIXS, neutron and Raman scattering? First, inelastic neutron scattering probes spin excitations particularly well around (π, π) momentum transfer, showing a vanishing spectral weight in the regime of large hole doping $p \approx 0.3$ (refs 7,19). This behaviour is also visible in the numerical results presented in Fig. 2a, which suggest that the impact of doping on the intensity and dispersion of excitations near $(0, 0)$ and (π, π) is not symmetric. The decreasing correlation length with doping, evidenced by the spin gap at (π, π) and the weak dispersion

towards $(\pi/2, \pi/2)$, thus impacts these momentum points more strongly than the AFZB paramagnons, in accordance with a locally static picture. Second, Raman scattering^{8,20,21} shows a softening of the so-called bimagnon (double spin-flip or two-magnon) response upon both hole and electron doping. This trend has been reproduced by our ED calculations of the B_{1g} Raman response shown in Fig. 4 (see Methods for the calculation details and the verification of bimagnon peaks). Strong magnon-magnon interactions reduce the bimagnon Raman peak energy from twice that of the single-magnon bandwidth as determined by AFZB magnons and quickly reduce the overall intensity. Taken as a whole, our results provide a qualitative, and in some cases quantitative, agreement with the salient experimental features of neutron scattering, Raman and RIXS measurements, suggesting that coherent propagating spin waves quickly disappear with the destruction of long-range AF order upon doping, while short-range, single spin-flip processes can survive to high doping levels as reflected in the evolution of $S(\mathbf{q}, \omega)$.

Full polarization control will allow RIXS to become an effective tool for directly observing spin dynamics along the AFZB, particularly noting the electron/hole doping differences. Together with the dome-shaped superconducting phase diagram, these

Figure 2 | The spin dynamical structure factor $S(\mathbf{q}, \omega)$ calculated using DQMC for the Hubbard model. (a) False colour plots of the spectra along high symmetry directions in the Brillouin zone for different electron concentrations n . The calculations are done with the same Hubbard model parameters as in Fig. 1. **(b)** Dispersion relations of the spin response peak in $S(\mathbf{q}, \omega)$ along these high symmetry directions for different n . **(c)** Comparison of the calculated and observed energies of the spectral peaks at selected momenta as a function of n ($t = 400$ meV has been used for the comparison). YBa₂Cu₃O_{6+x}, YBa₂Cu₄O₈ and Nd_{1.2}Ba_{1.8}Cu₃O_{6+x} RIXS experimental data are taken from ref. 3; La_{2-x}Sr_xCuO₄ RIXS experimental data are taken from ref. 6. Error bars on the experimental data have been taken from the corresponding references.

results imply that AFZB spin fluctuations might play a relatively minor role in the pairing mechanism, consistent with established experimental and numerical observations^{22–24}. This calls into question a simple view of pairing that emphasizes only the spin exchange energy scale J . However, we suggest that a definitive resolution to this issue would come from future RIXS experiments along the BZ diagonal (out to $(\pi/2, \pi/2)$) to illuminate the evolution from antiferro- to ferro-magnetic correlations, compare with neutron scattering results and ultimately shed additional light on the intriguing mystery of cuprate high-temperature superconductivity.

Methods

Numerical techniques. We use exact diagonalization (ED) to evaluate the RIXS cross-section from the Kramers–Heisenberg formula¹¹, spin dynamical structure factor $S(\mathbf{q}, \omega)$ and Raman scattering cross-section²⁵ on small clusters with periodic boundary conditions. We employ a 12-site Betts cluster²⁶ in evaluating the RIXS cross-section and $S(\mathbf{q}, \omega)$ shown in Fig. 1. The Raman scattering response shown in Fig. 4 has been evaluated on 16- and 18-site square (or diamond-shaped) clusters, and the 18-site cluster was employed to evaluate $S(\mathbf{q}, \omega)$ for H_{Hubbard} , H_{t-j} and $H_{t-j} + H_{3s}$ shown in Fig. 3. The ED calculations for H_{Hubbard} are performed with the Parallel ARnoldi PACKage (PARPACK) and the cross-sections obtained by use of the biconjugate gradient stabilized method and continued fraction expansion¹². The ED calculations on H_{t-j} and $H_{t-j} + H_{3s}$ models are performed using the Lanczos algorithm. Finite temperature DQMC simulations^{27,28} were performed on H_{Hubbard} to obtain the imaginary time spin-spin correlation function from which the real frequency response function $S(\mathbf{q}, \omega)$ was obtained by analytic continuation using the maximum entropy method (MEM)^{29,30}. These simulations were performed on 8×8 square lattice clusters with periodic boundary conditions at an inverse temperature $\beta = 3/t$ for the same Hubbard Hamiltonian parameter values utilized in the ED studies. For this set of parameters, the DQMC method exhibits a significant fermion sign problem³¹ over the entire doping range, which we address in the MEM³⁰ (see Supplementary Methods and Supplementary Fig. 6). MEM requires the use of a model function for determining an entropic prior in the analytic continuation routine. We utilize a Lorentzian model whose peak as a function of $\mathbf{q}$ is determined from a simple spin wave dispersion at small $\mathbf{q}$ out to the AFZB; however, beyond the AFZB the model assumes no softening as expected for long-range antiferromagnetism with the top of the magnon band set by approximations for the spin exchange J and an assumed reduction of the spin moment by quantum fluctuations. While some quantitative changes occur with

significant changes to these default models, we have checked that the qualitative behaviour remains robust. The MEM routine returns the real frequency spin susceptibility from which $S(\mathbf{q}, \omega)$ is obtained from the fluctuation-dissipation theorem. More details about the models and numerical algorithms can be found in the following Methods and Supplementary Methods.

RIXS. The Cu L_3 -edge RIXS cross-section is calculated using the Kramers–Heisenberg formula¹¹ for the single-band Hubbard model

$$I(\mathbf{q}, \omega, \omega_{\text{in}}) = \frac{1}{\pi} \text{Im} \langle \Psi | \frac{1}{H_{\text{Hubbard}} - E_0 - \omega - i0^+} | \Psi \rangle \quad (1)$$

$$| \Psi \rangle = \sum_{i\alpha\sigma} e^{i\mathbf{q} \cdot \mathbf{R}_i} D_{i\alpha\sigma}^\dagger \frac{1}{H_{\text{Hubbard}} + H_{\text{CH}} - E_0 - \omega_{\text{in}} - i\Gamma} D_{i\alpha\sigma} | 0 \rangle$$

in which

$$H_{\text{Hubbard}} = -t \sum_{\langle ij \rangle, \sigma} d_{i\sigma}^\dagger d_{j\sigma} - t' \sum_{\langle\langle ij \rangle\rangle, \sigma} d_{i\sigma}^\dagger d_{j\sigma} + \sum_i U n_{i\uparrow}^\dagger n_{i\uparrow} \quad (2)$$

$$H_{\text{CH}} = \sum_{i\alpha\sigma} (\epsilon^d - \epsilon^p)(1 - n_{i\alpha\sigma}^p) - U_c \sum_{i\alpha\sigma'} n_{i\alpha}^d (1 - n_{i\alpha\sigma'}^p) + \lambda \sum_{i\alpha\sigma'} p_{i\alpha\sigma}^\dagger \chi_{\alpha\sigma'}^{\sigma\sigma'} p_{i\alpha\sigma'}$$

where $\mathbf{q}$ is the momentum transfer; ω_{in} and $\omega = \omega_{\text{in}} - \omega_{\text{out}}$ are the incident photon energy (in our study the Cu L_3 -edge) and photon energy transfer, respectively; E_0 is the ground state energy of the system in the absence of a core-hole; $|0\rangle$ is the ground state wave function; $D_{i\alpha\sigma} = \langle d_{x^2-y^2, \sigma} | \hat{\epsilon} \cdot \hat{r} | p_{2\sigma} \rangle d_{i\alpha}^\dagger p_{i\alpha\sigma}$ (and h.c.) dictates the dipole transition process from Cu $2p$ to the $3d$ level (or from Cu $3d$ to $2p$), with the X-ray polarization $\hat{\epsilon}$ either π or σ (the polarization vector parallel or perpendicular to the scattering plane); and Γ is the inverse core-hole lifetime (see Supplementary Note 2). In H_{Hubbard} , $\langle \dots \rangle$ and $\langle\langle \dots \rangle\rangle$ represent a sum over the nearest and next-nearest neighbour sites, respectively. The Hamiltonian for the intermediate state also involves the on-site energy $\epsilon^d - \epsilon^p$ for creating a $2p$ core hole, Coulomb interaction U_c induced by the core-hole and spin-orbit coupling λ , all denoted as in H_{CH} . $\chi_{\alpha\sigma'}^{\sigma\sigma'} \equiv \langle p_{2\sigma} | \mathbf{s} | p_{2\sigma'} \rangle$ represents the spin-orbital coupling coefficients. The angle between the incident and the scattered photon propagation vectors is set to be 50° . The parameters used in the RIXS calculation are $t = 0.4$ eV, $U = 8t = 3.2$ eV, $t' = -0.3t = -0.12$ eV, $\epsilon^d - \epsilon^p = 930$ eV, $U_c = -4t = -1.6$ eV, $\lambda = 13$ eV and $\Gamma = 1t = 0.4$ eV (refs 32,33). RIXS spectra at half-filling are taken only at the Cu L_3 resonance, and upon doping at the resonance closest to the half-filling Cu L_3 -edge resonant energy. The RIXS results were obtained for a Lorentzian broadening with half width at half maximum (HWHM) = 0.01 eV ($0.025t$) and a Gaussian broadening with HWHM = 0.047 eV ($0.118t$) on the energy transfer. The spin dynamical structure factor $S(\mathbf{q}, \omega)$, discussed in the next section, for H_{Hubbard} was calculated using the same parameters to make comparison to our RIXS results.

Figure 3 | Understanding doping dependence of the spin dynamical structure factor $S(\mathbf{q}, \omega)$. (a) A cartoon illustrating the energy cost of spin excitations with doping within a 'locally static hole' model: (top row) ground state with AF correlations; (bottom row) a single spin-flip excitation. Green dashed lines represent the paths of hole delocalization by three-site terms, blue saw-tooth lines represent broken AF bonds and green saw-tooth lines represent broken three-site bonds. Undoped, a single spin-flip costs an energy of $2J$ from the four broken AF bonds. With doping, this is reduced by the dilution of the AF background. With the three-site terms the overall energy cost increases compared with the undoped system due to the reduction in hole-delocalization energy. The side panel shows the process of hole-motion represented by the three-site terms, similar to the superexchange process. For electron doping, a particle-hole transformation can be applied so that a site with an open circle represents double occupancy. (b) Nearest neighbour spin-spin correlations $\langle \mathbf{S}_0 \mathbf{S}_1 \rangle$ as a function of electron concentration n from DQMC. The solid lines represent a fit of the doping dependence in the 'locally static hole' model (see Methods). (c) A comparison between ED results for $S(\mathbf{q}, \omega)$ in H_{Hubbard} , H_{t-J} , and $H_{t-J} + H_{3s}$ (see Methods) for different values of n at $(2\pi/3, 0)$. The three-site terms lead to hardening of spin excitations in qualitative agreement with the results from the Hubbard model. We calculate $S(\mathbf{q}, \omega)$ on the three model Hamiltonians with the parameters $J = 0.4t$, $t = 0.25t$, $U = 10t$ (corresponding to $J = 0.4t$ by the relation $J = 4t^2/U$) and a Lorentzian broadening HWHM = $0.05t$.

Figure 4 | Raman spectra as a function of n . (a) The B_{1g} Raman spectra for various values of n calculated using exact diagonalization of the Hubbard model on 18-site clusters (see Methods). The calculations are done with the same Hubbard model parameters as in Figs 1 and 2, and with a Lorentzian broadening HWHM = $0.1t$. Evolution of the spectral ('bimagnon') peak in the Raman spectra denoted by the black arrows (see Methods for identification of the 'bimagnon' peaks) in panel (a) together with the results from 16-site clusters (solid symbols). For the 18-site calculations, for example, the bimagnon peaks are obtained by fitting the spectra around the black arrow in panel (a) with Lorentzian functions. These results are compared with experiments (open symbols) obtained from Raman scattering on $\text{La}_{2-x}\text{Sr}_x\text{CuO}_4$ (ref. 20) and $\text{Nd}_{2-x}\text{Ce}_x\text{CuO}_4$ (ref. 21), and oxygen K-edge RIXS on $\text{La}_{2-x}\text{Sr}_x\text{CuO}_4$ (ref. 36).

Spin dynamical structure factor. The spin dynamical structure factor is defined as

$$S(\mathbf{q}, \omega) = \frac{1}{\pi} \text{Im} \langle 0 | s_{-\mathbf{q}} \frac{1}{H - E_0 - \omega - i0^+} s_{\mathbf{q}} | 0 \rangle, \quad (3)$$

where we have studied the H_{Hubbard} , H_{t-J} and $H_{t-J} + H_{3s}$ Hamiltonians:

$$H_{t-J} = -t \sum_{\langle ij \rangle, \sigma} \tilde{c}_{i\sigma}^\dagger \tilde{c}_{j\sigma} - t' \sum_{\langle\langle ij \rangle\rangle, \sigma} \tilde{c}_{i\sigma}^\dagger \tilde{c}_{j\sigma} + J \sum_{\langle ij \rangle} \mathbf{S}_i \cdot \mathbf{S}_j, \quad (4)$$

$$H_{3s} = -\frac{J}{4} \sum_{\langle ij \rangle} (\tilde{c}_{j\sigma}^\dagger \tilde{n}_{i-\sigma} \tilde{c}_{j\sigma} - \tilde{c}_{j\sigma}^\dagger \tilde{c}_{i-\sigma} \tilde{c}_{j\sigma} - \sigma); \quad (5)$$

E_0 is the corresponding ground state energy of the model Hamiltonian;

$$s_{\mathbf{q}} = \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \tilde{c}_{\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{q},\uparrow}^\dagger \tilde{c}_{\mathbf{k},\uparrow} - \tilde{c}_{\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{q},\downarrow}^\dagger \tilde{c}_{\mathbf{k},\downarrow}, \quad \tilde{c}_{\mathbf{k},\sigma}^\dagger = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \sum_i \tilde{c}_{i\sigma}^\dagger e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{R}_i} \text{ for } H_{\text{Hubbard}};$$

$$s_{\mathbf{q}} = \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \tilde{c}_{\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{q},\uparrow}^\dagger \tilde{c}_{\mathbf{k},\uparrow} - \tilde{c}_{\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{q},\downarrow}^\dagger \tilde{c}_{\mathbf{k},\downarrow}, \quad \tilde{c}_{\mathbf{k},\sigma}^\dagger = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \sum_i \tilde{c}_{i\sigma}^\dagger e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{R}_i}, \text{ for } H_{t-J} \text{ and } H_{3s};$$

$$S_i = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\sigma\sigma'} \tilde{c}_{i\sigma} \tilde{\sigma}_{\sigma\sigma'} \tilde{c}_{i\sigma'}; \text{ and } \tilde{c}_{i\sigma} \text{ is restricted in the subspace without double occupancy}$$

$\tilde{c}_{i\sigma} = \tilde{c}_{i\sigma}(1 - \tilde{n}_{i-\sigma})$ and $\tilde{c}_{i\sigma} = U^\dagger \tilde{c}_{i\sigma} U$, in which the operator $\tilde{c}_{i\sigma}$ annihilates a dressed electron whose hopping conserves the number of effective doubly occupied sites³⁴. To explore the similarities and differences between H_{Hubbard} and H_{t-J} (with and without H_{3s}), we calculate $S(\mathbf{q}, \omega)$ on the three model Hamiltonians with the parameters $J=0.4t$, $t'=-0.25t$ and $U=10t$ (corresponding to $J=0.4t$ by the relation $J=4t^2/U$).

Locally static model. In the 'locally static model' (see Fig. 3b) it is assumed that the holes destroy the short-range spin-spin correlations solely by the effect of 'static' doping, that is, by removing the spins and thus cutting spin bonds.

In this case, the nearest neighbour spin-spin correlation can be calculated in the following way:

$$\langle \mathbf{S}_0 \mathbf{S}_1 \rangle_{\text{doped}} \simeq (1-p)^2 \langle \mathbf{S}_0 \mathbf{S}_1 \rangle_{\text{undoped}}, \quad (6)$$

where $\langle \mathbf{S}_0 \mathbf{S}_1 \rangle$ is the abbreviation of $\langle \mathbf{S}_i \mathbf{S}_j \rangle$ for two neighbouring sites i and j , and p is the concentration of either doped holes ($P=1-n$) or doped electrons ($P=n-1$).

Raman scattering. We calculate the Raman scattering cross-section in the B_{1g} channel using the non-resonant response function for H_{Hubbard} ²⁵:

$$R_{B_{1g}}(\omega) = \frac{1}{\pi} \text{Im} \langle 0 | \gamma_{B_{1g}} \frac{1}{H - E_0 - \omega - i0^+} \gamma_{B_{1g}} | 0 \rangle, \\ \gamma_{B_{1g}} = \frac{1}{i} \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \left(\frac{\partial^2 \epsilon(\mathbf{k})}{\partial k_x^2} - \frac{\partial^2 \epsilon(\mathbf{k})}{\partial k_y^2} \right) c_{\mathbf{k}}^\dagger c_{\mathbf{k}} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\mathbf{k}} (\cos(k_x) - \cos(k_y)) c_{\mathbf{k}}^\dagger c_{\mathbf{k}} \quad (7)$$

in which $\epsilon(\mathbf{k}) = -2t(\cos k_x + \cos k_y) - 4t' \cos k_x \cos k_y$ is the bare band dispersion, with parameters $U=8t$ and $t'=-0.3t$. t is taken as 0.4 eV to make comparison with experimental data.

This two-particle response also has been studied recently in cluster dynamical mean-field theory³⁵ showing that, if calculated fully gauge invariantly, the nonresonant Raman B_{1g} response shows the presence of a strong bimagnon peak at half filling. Nevertheless, the Raman spectrum calculated using this method for doped systems is sensitive to both charge and spin excitations in the low-energy regime. Our identification of the bimagnon excitations in the Raman spectra relies primarily on the qualitative evolution of the peaks in agreement with experimental observations²⁰. We note that all of the excitations visible in our Raman spectra correspond to $\Delta S=0$ transitions that have B_{1g} symmetry. At half-filling, the energy of the excitation to which we assign bimagnon character lies within the charge gap, which makes the bimagnon assignment clear. Upon either hole or electron doping, we expect to develop charge excitations in the Raman response at low energy and for the two-magnon response to soften and decrease in intensity. Our assignment corresponds to an upper bound for the bimagnon energy scale with doping where the additional structure at low energies signals either charge excitations or a substantial broadening of the bimagnon excitations now represented by multiple features in the ED result (as discussed in connection with comparisons between DQMC and ED results). However, the energy scale clearly softens and, more importantly, the intensity drops (significantly) in agreement with the experimental observations where the bimagnon 'peak' becomes nearly impossible to distinguish from the charge background almost immediately upon crossing the AFM phase boundary.

References

- Braicovich, L. *et al.* Magnetic excitations and phase separation in the underdoped $\text{La}_{2-x}\text{Sr}_x\text{CuO}_4$ superconductor measured by resonant inelastic X-ray scattering. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **104**, 077002 (2010).
- Guarise, M. *et al.* Measurement of magnetic excitations in the two-dimensional antiferromagnetic $\text{Sr}_2\text{CuO}_2\text{Cl}_2$ insulator using resonant X-ray scattering: evidence for extended interactions. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **105**, 157006 (2010).
- Le Tacon, M. *et al.* Intense paramagnon excitations in a large family of high-temperature superconductors. *Nat. Phys.* **7**, 725–730 (2011).
- Le Tacon, M. *et al.* Dispersive spin excitations in highly overdoped cuprates revealed by resonant inelastic X-ray scattering. *Phys. Rev. B* **88**, 020501 (2013).
- Dean, M. P. M. *et al.* High-energy magnetic excitations in the cuprate superconductor $\text{Bi}_2\text{Sr}_2\text{CaCu}_2\text{O}_{8+\delta}$: towards a unified description of its electronic and magnetic degrees of freedom. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **110**, 147001 (2013).
- Dean, M. P. M. *et al.* Persistence of magnetic excitations in $\text{La}_{2-x}\text{Sr}_x\text{CuO}_4$ from the undoped insulator to the heavily overdoped non-superconducting metal. *Nat. Mater.* **12**, 1019–1023 (2013).
- Wakimoto, S. *et al.* Disappearance of antiferromagnetic spin excitations in overdoped $\text{La}_{2-x}\text{Sr}_x\text{CuO}_4$. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **98**, 247003 (2007).
- Li, Y. *et al.* Feedback effect on high-energy magnetic fluctuations in the model high-temperature superconductor $\text{HgBa}_2\text{CuO}_{4+\delta}$ observed by electronic Raman scattering. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **108**, 227003 (2012).
- Ament, L. J. P., Ghiringhelli, G., Moretti Sala, M., Braicovich, L. & van den Brink, J. Theoretical demonstration of how the dispersion of magnetic excitations in cuprate compounds can be determined using resonant inelastic X-ray scattering. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **103**, 117003 (2009).
- Haverkort, M. W. Theory of resonant inelastic X-ray scattering by collective magnetic excitations. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **105**, 167404 (2010).
- Ament, L. J. P., van Veenendaal, M., Devereaux, T. P., Hill, J. P. & van den Brink, J. Resonant inelastic X-ray scattering studies of elementary excitations. *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **83**, 705–767 (2011).
- Jia, C. J., Chen, C.-C., Sorini, A. P., Moritz, B. & Devereaux, T. P. Uncovering selective excitations using the resonant profile of indirect inelastic X-ray scattering in correlated materials: observing two-magnon scattering and relation to the dynamical structure factor. *New J. Phys.* **14**, 113038 (2012).
- Lee, W. S. *et al.* Asymmetry of collective excitations in electron and hole doped cuprate superconductors. Preprint at <http://arxiv.org/abs/1308.4740> (2013).
- Vladimirov, A. A., Ihle, D. & Plakida, N. M. Dynamic spin susceptibility in the t - J model. *Phys. Rev. B* **80**, 104425 (2009).
- Kar, S. & Manousakis, E. Hole spectral functions in lightly doped quantum antiferromagnets. *Phys. Rev. B* **84**, 205107 (2011).
- Daghofer, M., Wohlfeld, K., Oleś, A. M., Arrighi, E. & Horsch, P. Absence of hole confinement in transition-metal oxides with orbital degeneracy. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **100**, 066403 (2008).
- Bala, J., Oleś, A. M. & Zaanen, J. Spin polarons in the t - t' - J model. *Phys. Rev. B* **52**, 4597–4606 (1995).
- Delannoy, J.-Y. P., Gingras, M. J. P., Holdsworth, P. C. W. & Tremblay, A.-M. S. Low-energy theory of the t - t' - U Hubbard model at half-filling: interaction strengths in cuprate superconductors and an effective spin-only description of La_2CuO_4 . *Phys. Rev. B* **79**, 235130 (2009).
- Fujita, M. *et al.* Progress in neutron scattering studies of spin excitations in high- T_c cuprates. *J. Phys. Soc. Jpn* **81**, 011007 (2012).
- Muschler, B. *et al.* Electron interactions and charge ordering in CuO_2 compounds. *Eur. Phys. J.* **188**, 131–152 (2010).
- Onose, Y., Taguchi, Y., Ishizaka, K. & Tokura, Y. Charge dynamics in underdoped $\text{Nd}_{2-x}\text{Ce}_x\text{CuO}_4$: pseudogap and related phenomena. *Phys. Rev. B* **69**, 024504 (2004).
- Scalapino, D. J. A common thread: the pairing interaction for unconventional superconductors. *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **84**, 1383–1417 (2012).
- Mallett, B. *et al.* T_c is insensitive to magnetic interactions in high- T_c superconductors. Preprint at <http://arxiv.org/abs/1202.5078> (2012).
- White, S. R. & Scalapino, D. J. Competition between stripes and pairing in a t - t' - J model. *Phys. Rev. B* **60**, R753–R756 (1999).
- Devereaux, T. P. & Hackl, R. Inelastic light scattering from correlated electrons. *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **79**, 175–233 (2007).
- Betts, D. D., Lin, H. Q. & Flynn, J. S. Improved finite-lattice estimates of the properties of two quantum spin models on the infinite square lattice. *Can. J. Phys.* **77**, 353–369 (1999).
- Blankenbecler, R., Scalapino, D. J. & Sugar, R. L. Monte Carlo calculations of coupled boson-fermion systems. I. *Phys. Rev. D* **24**, 2278–2286 (1981).
- White, S. R. *et al.* Numerical study of the two-dimensional Hubbard model. *Phys. Rev. B* **40**, 506–516 (1989).
- Jarrell, M. & Gubernatis, J. Bayesian inference and the analytic continuation of imaginarytime quantum Monte Carlo data. *Phys. Rep.* **269**, 133–195 (1996).
- Macridin, A., Doluweera, S. P., Jarrell, M. & Maier, T. Analytic continuation of QMC data with a sign problem. Preprint at <http://arxiv.org/abs/cond-mat/0410098> (2004).
- Loh, E. Y. *et al.* Sign problem in the numerical simulation of many-electron systems. *Phys. Rev. B* **41**, 9301–9307 (1990).
- Tsutsui, K., Kondo, H., Tohyama, T. & Maekawa, S. Resonant inelastic X-ray scattering spectrum in high- T_c cuprates. *Phys. B: Condens. Matter* **284–288**(Part 1), 457–458 (2000).
- Kourtis, S., van den Brink, J. & Daghofer, M. Exact diagonalization results for resonant inelastic X-ray scattering spectra of one-dimensional Mott insulators. *Phys. Rev. B* **85**, 064423 (2012).
- Harris, A. B. & Lange, R. V. Single-Particle Excitations in Narrow Energy Bands. *Phys. Rev.* **157**, 295–314 (1967).
- Lin, N., Gull, E. & Millis, A. J. Two-particle response in cluster dynamical mean-field theory: formalism and application to the Raman response of high-temperature superconductors. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **109**, 106401 (2012).
- Bisogni, V. *et al.* Bimagnon studies in cuprates with resonant inelastic X-ray scattering at the O K -edge. II. Doping effect in $\text{La}_{2-x}\text{Sr}_x\text{CuO}_4$. *Phys. Rev. B* **85**, 214528 (2012).

Acknowledgements

We thank G. Ghiringhelli, R. Hackl, J. P. Hill, B. J. Kim, M. Le Tacon, W.-S. Lee and J. Tranquada for discussions. This work was supported at SLAC and Stanford University by the U.S. Department of Energy, Office of Basic Energy Sciences, Division of Materials Science and Engineering, under Contract No. DE-AC02-76SF00515 and by the Computational Materials and Chemical Sciences Network (CMCSN) under Contract No. DE-SC0007091. C.J.J. is also supported by the Stanford Graduate Fellows in Science and Engineering. C.-C.C. is supported by the Aneesur Rahman Postdoctoral Fellowship at Argonne National Laboratory, operated under the U.S. Department of Energy Contract No. DE-AC02-06CH11357. T.T. is supported by the Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (Grant No. 22340097) and Strategic Programs for Innovative Research (SPIRE), the Computational Materials Science Initiative (CMSI) from MEXT. Y.F.K. was supported by the National Science Foundation Graduate Research Fellowship under Grant No. 1147470. T.T. and T.P.D. acknowledge the YIPQS program of YITP, Kyoto University. A portion of the computational work was performed using the resources of the National Energy Research Scientific Computing Center (NERSC) supported by the U.S. Department of Energy, Office of Science, under Contract No. DE-AC02-05CH11231.

Author contributions

C.J.J. developed the computer codes and performed the RIXS, $S(\mathbf{q}, \omega)$, and Raman scattering exact diagonalization calculations using the Hubbard Hamiltonian and C.-C.

C. assisted in the development of exact diagonalization computer codes. T.T. performed the $S(\mathbf{q}, \omega)$ calculations using H_{J-J} with and without $H_{3\sigma}$. E.A.N. and B.M. performed the determinant quantum Monte Carlo and analytic continuation calculations on the Hubbard Hamiltonian to evaluate $S(\mathbf{q}, \omega)$ and developed computer codes together with S.J. Y.F.K. performed analysis on determinant quantum Monte Carlo data. K.W. developed the 'locally static model' approach. K.W., C.J.J., B.M., C.-C.C., E.A.N. and T.P.D. wrote the manuscript. T.P.D. and B.M. are responsible for project planning.

Additional information

Supplementary Information accompanies this paper at <http://www.nature.com/naturecommunications>

Competing financial interests: The authors declare no competing financial interests.

Reprints and permission information is available online at <http://npg.nature.com/reprintsandpermissions/>

How to cite this article: Jia, C. J. *et al.* Persistent spin excitations in doped antiferromagnets revealed by resonant inelastic light scattering. *Nat. Commun.* 5:3314 doi: 10.1038/ncomms4314 (2014).