ARTICLE

Received 19 Sep 2013 | Accepted 27 Nov 2013 | Published 6 Jan 2014

DOI: 10.1038/ncomms4027

Light-regulated gene repositioning in *Arabidopsis*

Chun-Miao Feng¹, Yongjian Qiu¹, Elise K. Van Buskirk¹, Emily J. Yang¹ & Meng Chen¹

Plant genomes are extremely sensitive to, and can be developmentally reprogrammed by environmental light cues. Here using rolling-circle amplification of gene-specific circularizable oligonucleotides coupled with fluorescence *in situ* hybridization, we demonstrate that light triggers a rapid repositioning of the *Arabidopsis* light-inducible chlorophyll *a/b*-binding proteins (*CAB*) locus from the nuclear interior to the nuclear periphery during its transcriptional activation. *CAB* repositioning is mediated by the red/far-red photoreceptors phytochromes (*PHYs*) and is inhibited by repressors of *PHY* signalling, including *COP1*, *DET1* and *PIFs*. *CAB* repositioning appears to be a separate regulatory step occurring before its full transcriptional activation. Moreover, the light-inducible loci *RBCS*, *PC* and *GUN5* undergo similar repositioning behaviour during their transcriptional activation. Our study supports a light-dependent gene regulatory mechanism in which *PHYs* activate light-inducible loci by relocating them to the nuclear periphery; it also provides evidence for the biological importance of gene positioning in the plant kingdom.

¹Department of Biology, Duke University, Durham, North Carolina 27708, USA. Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to M.C. (email: meng.chen@duke.edu).

Changes in light quality and quantity trigger rapid alterations in gene expression and consequently lead to dramatic modifications in plant morphology and physiology¹. For example, during seedling development, initial exposure to light turns on the photoautotrophic developmental programme called photomorphogenesis via massive transcriptional reprogramming^{2–4}. A major class of light-induced nuclear genes encodes essential components of the chloroplast photosynthetic machinery, such as the chlorophyll *a/b*-binding proteins (CABs)⁴. Although a suite of photoreceptors and their signalling components have been identified for mediating light responses, the mechanism by which light orchestrates complex developmental programmes such as photomorphogenesis is still poorly understood³.

Accumulating evidence from studies in yeast and metazoan models suggests that the organization of the genome, and in particular the spatial positioning of individual genes to distinct subnuclear compartments, plays an important role in cellular programming^{5–7}. As the divergence of the plant kingdom predates the divergence of the fungal and metazoan lineages⁸, the general principles of gene positioning in yeast and metazoan models might not be conserved in plants. Therefore, we asked whether light regulates developmental programming by reorganizing the spatial positioning of individual light-responsive genes.

Compared with yeast and metazoan models, little is known about the positioning of individual genes in plants. A key obstacle for gene positioning studies in plants has been difficulty in labelling a single endogenous gene locus⁹. Although fluorescence *in situ* hybridization (FISH) has been successfully used in plants to study the organization of repetitive DNA and chromatin regions spanning more than 100 kb (refs 10–13), conventional FISH does not efficiently label DNA regions of 10 kb or less; therefore, it does not have the resolution to detect positional changes of single genes. In addition to FISH, the Lac operator/Lac repressor reporter system¹⁴ has also been used to visualize chromatin dynamics in transgenic plant lines^{15–17}. However, because inserting a reporter construct into a specific locus in *Arabidopsis* remains challenging¹⁸, and because tandem repeat sequences tend to cluster together and thus could potentially alter the endogenous chromosomal organization at the transgene locus in *Arabidopsis*¹⁹, this reporter system has not been particularly useful to study the chromatin dynamics of specific endogenous loci.

Here we present a new protocol to label individual endogenous gene loci in *Arabidopsis* nuclei by using rolling-circle amplification of gene-specific padlock probes coupled with FISH. Using this new approach, we demonstrate that light triggers a rapid repositioning of the light-inducible CAB locus from the nuclear interior to the nuclear periphery during its transcriptional activation. CAB repositioning is regulated by the red (R)/far-red (FR) photoreceptors phytochromes (PHYs) and PHY-signalling components. In addition, CAB repositioning appears to be a separate regulatory step occurring before its full transcriptional activation. Moreover, the light-inducible loci *RBCS* (*Rubisco small subunit*), *PC* (*Plastocyanin*) and *GUN5* (*Genomes uncoupled 5*) undergo similar repositioning behaviour on their activation. Our data support a light-dependent gene regulatory mechanism in which PHYs activate light-inducible loci by relocating them to the nuclear periphery.

Results

Labelling of individual genes using padlock FISH. We developed a protocol to label individual gene loci in plant nuclei based on an *in situ* genotyping method for human cells established by

Nilsson and coworkers²⁰. This method uses rolling-circle amplification of gene-specific circularizable oligonucleotides—‘padlock’ probes—coupled with FISH (Fig. 1a and see Methods). To demonstrate that the padlock FISH protocol works for *Arabidopsis* nuclei, we first tested whether we could use the protocol to detect the centromeric 180-bp repeats²¹. We designed a padlock probe recognizing the 30-bp centromere sequence immediately flanking the HindIII site (Fig. 1a and Supplementary Table S1). Then, we tested whether the 180-bp repeat signals from padlock FISH were co-localized with immunolocalization signals for the centromeres, which were labelled using anti-HTR12 antibodies against CENH3 (ref. 22). As shown in Fig. 1b, 92.9% of the 180-bp FISH signals ($n = 435$) were at least partially overlapping with a CENH3 signal, suggesting that the padlock FISH protocol can effectively label the 180-bp repeats. To show that the protocol also works for a single-gene locus, we chose the *UBQ11* (At4g05050) locus. Because *UBQ11* lies within the pericentromeric region on chromosome 4 (ref. 23), we expected that the *UBQ11* locus should also be associated with a centromere. Indeed, the *UBQ11* padlock FISH signals were always closely associated with a CENH3 signal (Fig. 1c); the average distance from the *UBQ11* signal to the closest CENH3 signal was $0.37 \pm 0.13 \mu\text{m}$ ($n = 30$, mean $\pm$ s.d.). Together, these results demonstrate that in principle, the padlock FISH protocol can be used to determine the position of both repetitive sequences and single-gene loci in plant nuclei.

Light-dependent repositioning of the CAB locus. To test whether light regulates the spatial organization of individual genes, we turned to some of the best-characterized light-induced genes, the CAB genes. Three members of the CAB gene family, *CAB1-3* (At1g29930, At1g29920 and At1g29910), are clustered in a 7-kb region on chromosome 1, hereafter referred to as the CAB locus (Supplementary Fig. S1). In mesophyll cells, all three CAB genes are repressed in the dark and are rapidly induced by light via the R and FR photoreceptors PHYs^{24,25}. To study CAB positioning specifically in the mesophyll nuclei, we first characterized the nuclear morphology of various cell types and defined the criteria to identify mesophyll cell nuclei among isolated nuclei (Supplementary Fig. S2 and Supplementary Discussion). We then asked whether the CAB locus is found at distinct subnuclear positions between the inactive state in the dark (D) and the active state in the light. Using a padlock probe specific to the *CAB1-3* genes (Supplementary Fig. S1 and Supplementary Table S1), we found a dramatic difference in the radial distribution of the CAB locus in mesophyll nuclei between D and monochromatic R or FR light conditions (Fig. 2a). In the dark, when the CAB genes are repressed, the CAB locus was localized in the nuclear interior (Fig. 2a). In striking contrast, in R or FR light, when the genes were activated, the distribution of CAB loci peaked near the nuclear periphery; this phenomenon was more pronounced in R light than in FR light (Fig. 2a). We arbitrarily defined the area within $0.2 \mu\text{m}$ of the nuclear edge as the ‘nuclear peripheral zone’ and calculated the percentage of CAB loci localized to the nuclear peripheral zone with s.e. from two or three biological replicates in each condition. The percentage of CAB loci localized to the nuclear peripheral zone increased drastically from $21 \pm 3\%$ ($n = 140$) in D to $35 \pm 3\%$ ($n = 124$) in FR light and $49 \pm 4\%$ ($n = 153$) in R light (Fig. 2a). This increase in the percentage of CAB loci at the nuclear periphery correlates with an increase in the expression of CAB in FR and R compared with D (Fig. 2b). In contrast, the distribution of a light-independent control gene locus, *PP2A* (protein phosphatase 2A, At1g69960), was not altered by light (Supplementary Fig. S3). Together, these results indicate that the CAB locus is repositioned from the nuclear

Figure 1 | Labelling of centromeric 180-bp satellite repeats and the *UBQ11* locus using padlock FISH. (a) Schematic illustration of the padlock FISH protocol using the 180-bp repeat probe as a model. The illustration is modified from figure 1 of Larsson *et al.*²⁰ First, the target DNA is digested by HindIII (see the list of enzymes used for other padlock probes used in this study in Supplementary Table S1) and subsequently by lambda 5'-3' exonuclease to generate single-stranded DNA flanking the HindIII site. The padlock probes, which contain target sequences near the HindIII site and a 'detection probe' sequence (shown in red, see Supplementary Table S1), are annealed to the target DNA and the ends of the probes are joined by ligation. Then, phi29 DNA polymerase is added. The 3'-5' exonuclease activity of the polymerase removes the 3'-protruding sequence beyond the padlock probe, and then the polymerase uses the circularized probe as the template and amplifies its DNA by rolling-circle amplification. The amplified DNA product is detected by hybridization of fluorescently-labelled oligonucleotides (shown in red, see 'detection probes' in Supplementary Table S1). Arrowheads indicate the 3' ends, and bars indicate the 5' ends. (b) Representative images showing the localization of centromeric 180-bp repeats (red) labelled by padlock FISH and centromeres (green) by immunolocalization using anti-HTR12 antibodies against CENH3 in nuclei from cotyledons of 4-day-old Col-0 seedlings grown in R light. The nuclei were counterstained with DAPI (blue). (c) Representative images showing the localization of the *UBQ11* locus (red) labelled by padlock FISH and centromeres (green) by immunolocalization using anti-HTR12 antibodies in nuclei from cotyledons of 4-day-old Col-0 seedlings grown in R light. The nuclei were counterstained with DAPI (blue). Scale bars, 2 μ m.

interior to the nuclear periphery during transcriptional activation by R and FR light.

We then measured the kinetics of *CAB* repositioning when dark-grown seedlings were first exposed to light (Fig. 2c,d). The percentage of *CAB* loci at the nuclear periphery was rapidly increased from $21 \pm 3\%$ in D to $49 \pm 1\%$ ($n = 170$ mean $\pm$ s.e. of three independent replicates, two-sample *t*-test, $P < 0.001$) within 3 h of R light exposure (Fig. 2d). These data further show that on light induction, the *CAB* locus is rapidly relocated from the nuclear interior to the nuclear periphery. Again, the expression level of the *CAB* genes correlated closely with the percentage of *CAB* loci at the nuclear periphery (Fig. 2c,d), further supporting the notion that the repositioning of the *CAB* locus to the nuclear periphery is associated with its transcriptional activation. Interestingly, although it took only 3 h for the percentage of peripherally localized *CAB* loci to reach the same level as that in continuous R light (Fig. 2d), the expression level of the *CAB* genes was still far below the fully activated level in continuous R light (Fig. 2c). These data suggest that *CAB* repositioning is a separate regulatory step that occurs before its full transcriptional activation.

***CAB* repositioning is triggered by PHYs.** It has been shown that the activation of *CAB* expression is dependent on the R and FR photoreceptors, the PHYs. In *Arabidopsis*, PHYA and PHYB are the prominent photoreceptors in sensing continuous monochromatic FR and R light, respectively; *CAB* activation is almost completely abolished in the *phyA-211* mutant in continuous FR light and in the *phyB-9* mutant in continuous R light (Fig. 3a,b)^{25,26}. To test whether *CAB* repositioning is also PHY dependent, we examined *CAB* positioning in the *phyA-211* and *phyB-9* mutants. In agreement with the expression data, the *CAB*-repositioning response was also substantially diminished in *phyA-211* in FR and in *phyB-9* in R light (Fig. 3c,d), indicating that the light-dependent *CAB* repositioning response is mainly dependent on PHYA in continuous FR light and on PHYB in continuous R light. Consistent with this notion, *YHBox*, a line expressing a constitutively active PHYB mutant²⁷, was able to activate *CAB* expression and trigger the repositioning of the *CAB* locus to the nuclear periphery in the dark (Fig. 3e,f). On the basis of these results, we conclude that light-dependent *CAB* repositioning to the nuclear periphery is mediated by the photoactivation of PHYs.

Figure 2 | Repositioning of the *CAB* locus from the nuclear interior to the nuclear periphery during light activation. (a) Representative images of *CAB* positioning (top) and distribution of the *CAB* locus (bottom) relative to the nuclear edge in mesophyll cell nuclei from 4-day-old Col-0 seedlings grown in the dark (D), far-red (FR), and red (R) light. The *CAB* locus was labelled using padlock FISH (red) and the nuclei were counterstained with DAPI (blue). Scale bars, 2 μm (in the images). The pink areas in the *CAB* distribution panels represent the nuclear peripheral zone—the region 0.2 μm or closer to the nuclear edge—and the average percentage of *CAB* loci within the nuclear peripheral zone with s.e. from three independent replicates is shown in each panel. 'n' represents the total number of padlock FISH signals analysed from all replicates. The *CAB* distribution data from the R and FR samples were compared with that of the D sample using Welch's two-sample t-test, *** $P < 0.001$. (b) qRT-PCR analysis of *CAB1-3* messenger RNA levels from seedlings grown in D, FR or R light. Transcript levels were calculated relative to those of *PP2A*. Fold-changes of *CAB* expression in R or FR relative to D conditions are shown. Error bars represent s.d. from three replicates. (c) Relative expression levels of *CABs* during the D-to-R transition. Fold-changes of *CAB* expression in R-treated samples relative to that in the dark are shown. Error bars represent s.d. from three replicates. (d) Average percentage of *CAB* loci at the nuclear periphery at the indicated time points during the D-to-R transition. Error bars in panel d represent s.e. calculated from three independent replicates (except for the 0.5-h time point, which had two replicates). The *CAB* distribution data from the D-to-R transition samples were compared with that of the D sample using Welch's two-sample t-test, *** $P < 0.001$.

***CAB* positioning is regulated by COP1, DET1 and PIFs.** To further confirm the role of PHY signalling in *CAB* positioning, we asked whether *CAB* positioning is regulated by known PHY-signalling components. PHY signalling has been extensively investigated, and many signalling components mediating the induction of *CAB* expression have been identified^{3,4}. Among the positive factors involved in *CAB* expression is the master bZIP transcriptional regulator HY5 (elongated HYPocotyl 5), which is not essential for *CAB* induction but binds directly to a G-box in the promoter of the *CAB* genes and plays a role in the full activation of *CAB* expression in the light (Fig. 4a)^{28–31}. Interestingly, in R light, *CAB* positioning in the *hy5* mutant was statistically similar to that of Col-0 (Fig. 4b), indicating that HY5 does not play a major role in *CAB* repositioning. These data also imply that *CAB* repositioning and full activation could be regulated by distinct *trans*-factors.

A number of master repressors of PHY signalling have also been described, including DET1 (De-ETiolated 1)³², COP1 (Constitutively Photomorphogenic)³³ and phytochrome interacting factors (PIFs)³⁴. PIFs are master bHLH transcription

factors that antagonize PHY-mediated light responses³⁴; COP1 and DET1 are substrate recognition subunits of CULLIN4 E3 ubiquitin ligase complexes^{35,36}, which determine the abundance of key transcription factors including HY5 and the PIFs^{37,38}. DET1 has also been implicated in chromatin modification³⁹. Knocking out *DET1*, *COP1* or 4 *PIF* family members leads to constitutive photomorphogenic responses in the dark, including the derepression of the *CAB* genes (Fig. 4c)^{2,32,33}. We therefore examined *CAB* positioning in dark-grown *det1-1*, *cop1-4* and *pifq* mutants and asked whether the light-independent *CAB* activation in these mutants is accompanied by *CAB* localization to the nuclear periphery. As shown in Fig. 4d, the distribution of the *CAB* locus in *det1-1*, *cop1-4* and *pifq* in the dark was significantly different from that of dark-grown Col-0 and instead resembled that of Col-0 in R light. These results indicate that COP1, DET1 and the PIFs are essential for retaining the *CAB* locus in the nuclear interior in the dark. Interestingly, although *cop1-4* and *det1-1* showed similar *CAB*-positioning patterns as *pifq*, *CAB* expression levels in *cop1-4* and *det1-1* were considerably lower than that in *pifq* (Fig. 4c,d). These data are consistent with

Figure 3 | CAB repositioning during light activation is PHY dependent. (a) qRT-PCR data showing the *CAB*¹⁻³ mRNA levels in 4-day-old *phyA-211* seedlings grown in the D or FR light conditions. Transcript levels were calculated relative to those of *PP2A*. Fold-change of *CAB* expression in FR relative to D is shown. Error bars represent s.d. from three replicates. (b) qRT-PCR data showing the *CAB*¹⁻³ mRNA levels in 4-day-old *phyB-9* seedlings grown in D or R light conditions. Transcript levels were calculated relative to those of *PP2A*. Fold-change of *CAB* expression in R relative to D is shown. Error bars represent s.d. from three replicates. (c) The distribution of *CAB* loci and the average percentages of *CAB* loci localized to the nuclear peripheral zone in *phyA-211* in either the D or FR light conditions. (d) The distribution of *CAB* loci and the average percentages of *CAB* loci localized to the nuclear peripheral zone in *phyB-9* in either the D or R light conditions. (e) qRT-PCR data showing the *CAB*¹⁻³ mRNA levels in 4-day-old Col-0 and *YHBox* seedlings grown in the D and Col-0 seedlings in R light. Transcript levels were calculated relative to those of *PP2A*. Fold-change of *CAB* expression in D-grown *YHBox* or R-grown Col-0 relative to that of D-grown Col-0 is shown. Error bars represent s.d. from three replicates. (f) The distribution of *CAB* loci and the average percentages of *CAB* loci localized to the nuclear peripheral zone in *YHBox* seedlings grown in the D. The *CAB* distribution data in c, d and f were from two independent replicates, and 'n' represents the total number of padlock FISH signals analysed from all replicates. Statistical analyses between FR and D samples of *phyA-211*, between R and D samples of *phyB-9* and between D-grown *YHBox* and Col-0 were performed using Welch's two-sample t-test, and ***P<0.001.

previous reports that COP1 and DET1, but not the PIFs, also play a positive role in the full activation of *CAB* expression in the light^{28,33}. Our results provide a possible explanation for this phenomenon: COP1 and DET1 play opposing roles in repressing *CAB* repositioning and promoting full *CAB* activation, whereas PIFs are involved only in the repression of *CAB* positioning to the nuclear periphery. Together, these results further support the conclusion that *CAB* positioning is regulated by PHY signalling and begin to elucidate distinct roles of PHY signalling components in *CAB* positioning.

Light-dependent repositioning of other light-inducible loci. To test whether repositioning to the nuclear periphery is a common phenomenon among light-induced genes, we examined three additional light-induced photosynthesis-related genes, *RBCS1A* (At1g67090), *GUN5* (At5g13630) and *PC* (At1g76100) (Fig. 5a–c), which are distributed throughout the *Arabidopsis* genome. As shown in Fig. 5d–f, all three loci were localized to the nuclear interior in the dark and to the nuclear periphery in the light, when they are transcriptionally activated. These results support

the notion that relocating genes from the nuclear interior to the nuclear periphery is a common mechanism of gene activation by light.

Discussion

We have demonstrated that light triggers the repositioning of a group of light-inducible genes from the nuclear interior to the nuclear periphery during their transcriptional activation. Our experimental evidence supports a model in which PHY signalling regulates two separate regulatory steps during *CAB* induction: its repositioning and its full activation (Fig. 6). This conclusion is supported by three lines of evidence: *CAB* repositioning to the nuclear periphery occurs before its full activation; the percentage of *CAB* loci at the nuclear periphery correlates closely with *CAB*'s expression level; and *CAB* repositioning and its full transcriptional activation are regulated by distinct *trans*-factors. Although it is still not clear whether *CAB* repositioning is required for its full activation, our data suggest that the repositioning of individual genes to the nuclear periphery is an important regulatory step in light-regulated gene induction (Fig. 6).

Figure 4 | The CAB repositioning response in photomorphogenetic mutants. DET1, COP1 and PIFs, but not HY5, are involved in the regulation of CAB positioning in Arabidopsis. (a) Relative expression level of CABs in Col-0 and *hy5* in R light and Col-0 in the D. Fold-changes of CAB expression between R-grown and D-grown Col-0 and between R-grown *hy5* and Col-0 are shown. (b) Distribution of CAB loci and the average percentages of nuclear peripheral localized CAB loci in the *hy5* mutant grown in R light. Data were from two independent replicates, and 'n' represents the total number of padlock FISH signals analysed from both replicates. Statistical analyses using Welch's two-sample *t*-test showed no significant difference between the CAB distributions in *hy5* and Col-0 in R light ($P=0.31$). (c) The relative expression level of CABs in *cop1-4*, *det1-1* and *pifq* in D. Fold-changes of CAB expression between the respective mutant and Col-0 are shown. (d) Distribution of CAB loci and the average percentage of CAB loci at the nuclear periphery in D-grown *cop1-4*, *det1-1* and *pifq*. The CAB distribution data were from at least two independent replicates, and 'n' represents the total number of padlock FISH signals analysed from independent replicates. Statistical analyses between CAB distribution data from each mutant and corresponding Col-0 control samples were performed using Welch's two-sample *t*-test; * $P<0.05$, ** $P<0.01$ and *** $P<0.001$. Error bars in panels a and c represent s.d. from three replicates.

Our study also provides key initial evidence supporting the biological significance of gene positioning in transcriptional regulation in the plant kingdom. The gene repositioning phenomenon of the light-induced genes examined here resembles the behaviour of a number of inducible genes in yeast, including *INO1*, *GAL1*, *GAL2*, *HSP104* and *SUC2*, which relocate from the nucleoplasm to the nuclear periphery on activation^{40–43}. Therefore, our results suggest that the basic principles of spatial organization of genes during transcriptional regulation might be part of an ancient regulatory mechanism that evolved before the divergence of the fungal/animal and plant lineages ~1.6 billion years ago⁸.

Our findings that PHY-signalling components play distinct roles in CAB repositioning provide insight into the signalling pathways as well as the molecular mechanisms that regulate gene positioning in plants. Although many studies from yeast and metazoan models have shown the implications of gene repositioning in transcription, the molecular mechanisms of gene repositioning are still largely unknown. Studies of the *INO1* gene in yeast have provided the most in-depth mechanism so far: gene positioning could be controlled by both *cis*-regulatory elements and *trans*-factors^{44–46}. Our results suggest that the PIFs are potential *trans*-factors that retain light-inducible loci in the nuclear interior in the dark (Fig. 6). A possible mechanism is that PIFs induce gene-silencing histone modifications at the target loci. Consistent with this hypothesis, a recent study showed that PIF3 directly binds to the G-box elements of light-inducible photosynthetic genes, including *GUN5* and the CAB paralogue *LHCB2.1*, and it promotes gene silencing by recruiting the histone deacetylase HDA15 (ref. 47). Further supporting this model, histone deacetylase 6, along with PHYB, is involved in light-dependent chromatin compaction in *Arabidopsis*⁴⁸. DET1 could

be another *trans*-factor that retains light-inducible genes in the nucleoplasm. Tomato DET1 binds to the nonacetylated amino-terminal tail of histone H2B³⁹; in addition, DET1 has been shown to act as a key transcriptional repressor⁴⁹. Therefore, DET1 could work in concert with PIFs to retain light-inducible genes in the nuclear interior. CAB repositioning by light is probably triggered by the PHY-dependent degradation of PIFs³⁴. Although the mechanism of PIF degradation is still largely unknown, COP1 has been shown to be required for PIF3 accumulation in the dark³⁸. Therefore, both PHYs and COP1 might regulate gene positioning by controlling PIF abundance (Fig. 6). Previous studies in yeast and metazoan systems also indicate that the nuclear pore complex plays an important role in promoting gene activation^{35–37}. It remains to be investigated whether light-induced gene loci are directly associated with the nuclear pore complex in plants.

All of the photosynthesis-regulated genes analysed here belong to a group of light-regulated genes that are induced during the dark-to-light transition and remain induced in the light. Although all four of these genes showed similar light-dependent repositioning behaviour, it is still far from sufficient to conclude that all light-induced genes relocate to the nuclear periphery in the light. It would not be surprising if different mechanisms are involved in the activation of different classes of light-inducible genes². It would be interesting to examine the group of early light-induced genes² that are transiently induced by light during the dark-to-light transition and see whether these genes are only temporarily localized to the nuclear periphery during their activation. It also remains to be investigated whether light-repressed genes² are activated at the nuclear periphery in the dark. In addition, it is still not clear whether repositioning to the nuclear periphery is also involved in gene activation in other

Figure 5 | Light-dependent repositioning of other light-inducible loci. (a) qRT-PCR results showing the relative expression levels of *RBCS1A* in 4-day-old Col-0 seedlings grown either in the D or R light conditions. Transcript levels were calculated relative to those of *PP2A*. (b) qRT-PCR results showing the relative expression levels of *GUN5* in 4-day-old Col-0 seedlings grown either in the D or R light conditions. Transcript levels were calculated relative to those of *PP2A*. (c) qRT-PCR results showing the relative expression levels of *PC* in 4-day-old Col-0 seedlings grown either in the D or R light conditions. Transcript levels were calculated relative to those of *PP2A*. Error bars in panels a, b and c represent s.d. from three replicates. (d) The distribution and the average percentage of peripherally localized *RBCS1A* loci in D and R conditions. (e) The distribution and the average percentage of peripherally localized *GUN5* loci in D and R conditions. (f) The distribution and the average percentage of peripherally localized *PC* loci in D and R conditions. The gene distribution data in d, e and f were from two independent replicates, and 'n' represents the total number of padlock FISH signals analysed from all replicates. Statistical analyses between R and D samples for each gene were performed using Welch's two-sample *t*-test, ****P* < 0.001.

Figure 6 | Model for CAB repositioning and gene activation during its induction by light. The transcriptionally silenced CAB locus is localized to the nuclear interior. Light activates PHY signalling to trigger the CAB locus' repositioning to the nuclear periphery and its full transcriptional activation. Although it is still not clear whether CAB localization to the nuclear periphery directly causes its activation, CAB's repositioning and full activation appear to be separate steps. CAB localization to the nuclear periphery is inhibited by COP1, DET1 and PIFs, whose functions are repressed by PHYs in the light. COP1 could inhibit CAB repositioning by stabilizing at least one of the PIFs, PIF3. Both DET1 and COP1 play separate and positive roles in the full activation step, which also requires HY5.

signalling pathways in plants. On the basis of the principles learned from yeast and metazoan models, there are different gene activating and silencing domains in the nucleus⁵⁻⁷; therefore, we anticipate that more functional domains will also be defined in plant nuclei. A recent elegant study on the localization of *FLC* alleles suggests that gene repositioning is also involved in gene silencing in *Arabidopsis*¹⁷. We expect that our study on light-regulated gene repositioning and the padlock FISH method described here will stimulate further studies on genome organization in plants.

Methods

Plant materials and growth conditions. Wild-type Col-0, *phyA-211* (ref. 50), *phyB-9* (ref. 51), *hy5* (ref. 52), *cop1-4* (ref. 33), *det1-1* (ref. 32) and *piq* (ref. 53) were used to characterize CAB positioning. The YHBox line is a transgenic line carrying a 35S:YHB-YFP-FLAG construct in the *phyB-9* mutant background; this line resembles the previously described constitutively active *phyB* allele, YHB⁵⁴, but in the Col-0 background (Supplementary Fig. S4). *Arabidopsis* seed sterilization, stratification and growth conditions were described previously⁵⁵.

Padlock FISH. Plant fixation and isolation of nuclei were performed based on Schubert *et al.*⁵⁶, and the padlock FISH procedure was developed based on Larsson *et al.*²⁰ with modifications. Seedlings grown for 100 h under the indicated

conditions were fixed in cold fixation buffer (4% formaldehyde, 10 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.5, 10 mM EDTA, 100 mM NaCl) for 30 min under vacuum. Approximately 100 cotyledons were chopped in 50 μ l lysis buffer (15 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.5, 2 mM EDTA, 0.5 mM spermine-4HCl, 80 mM KCl, 20 mM NaCl and 0.1% Triton X-100) with a razor blade on a slide. The resulting suspension containing the released nuclei was transferred into four volumes of nuclei suspension buffer (100 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.5, 50 mM KCl, 2 mM MgCl₂, 5% sucrose and 0.05% Tween-20). The nuclear suspension was spotted on a slide and air-dried overnight at room temperature. The slides were either used immediately or stored at -20°C .

All subsequent steps were performed in a 55- μ l SecureSeal chamber (Grace Bio-Labs, Bend, OR) and are illustrated in Fig. 1a. The nuclei were washed in PBS and permeabilized with PBS containing 0.2% Triton X-100. After two washes in PBS, the slides were incubated with a DNA digestion solution containing 0.5 U μ l⁻¹ of restriction enzyme (New England Biolabs, Ipswich, MA) at 37 $^{\circ}\text{C}$ for 30 min; the specific restriction enzymes used for each target gene are listed in Supplementary Table S1. After two washes in buffer A (100 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.5, 150 mM NaCl and 0.05% Tween-20), the slides were treated with 0.2 U μ l⁻¹ of 5'-3' Lambda exonuclease (New England Biolabs) in Lambda exonuclease buffer containing 0.2 μ g μ l⁻¹ BSA and 10% glycerol at 37 $^{\circ}\text{C}$ for 30 min to generate a single-stranded target sequence (Fig. 1a). Then, the slides were briefly washed twice in buffer A and incubated with T4 ligase buffer supplemented with 0.1 μ M gene-specific padlock probes (Supplementary Table S1), 0.1 U μ l⁻¹ T4 ligase (New England Biolabs), 1 mM ATP, 250 mM NaCl and 0.2 μ g μ l⁻¹ BSA. The ligation reaction was carried out at 37 $^{\circ}\text{C}$ for 30 min, which allowed the padlock probes to anneal to the target sequence and to circularize (Fig. 1a). After one wash in buffer B (2 $\times$ saline-sodium citrate buffer and 0.05% Tween-20), the slides were incubated in 1 U μ l⁻¹ of phi29 DNA polymerase (Fermentas, Burlington, Canada) in 1 $\times$ phi29 buffer supplemented with 0.25 mM dNTPs, 0.2 μ g μ l⁻¹ BSA and 10% glycerol at 37 $^{\circ}\text{C}$ for 2 h. In this step, the padlock probe sequence was amplified by rolling-circle amplification (Fig. 1a). The slides were then incubated with 0.25 μ M fluorescently tagged detection oligonucleotide probes (Supplementary Table S1) in 2 $\times$ saline-sodium citrate buffer containing 20% formamide at 37 $^{\circ}\text{C}$ for 20 min. Unbound fluorescent probes were washed away with five washes in PBS with 0.05% Tween at 37 $^{\circ}\text{C}$ and three washes with PBS at room temperature. The nuclei were counterstained with 4',6-diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI; 500 ng ml⁻¹) at room temperature for 20 min, washed three times with water, mounted in Prolong Gold antifade reagent (Life Technologies, Carlsbad, CA) and sealed with fast-dry nail polish.

On the basis of our experiments on the *CAB* and *PP2A* loci, and assuming that all nuclei were diploid, the detection efficiency for each experiment ranged from 4 to 7% of all potential target sequences. This detection efficiency is slightly lower than the 10% detection efficiency reported for animal cells²⁰. Given that some of the nuclei could be polyploid, the actual detection efficiency of this method is expected to be a slightly lower than this range. As a result, there was only one padlock FISH signal in the majority of nuclei examined.

Immunolocalization. Antibodies used were rabbit polyclonal antisera against HTR12 (1:100), recognizing *Arabidopsis* CENH3 (ref. 22), and mouse monoclonal antibodies against the nuclear pore complex (1:250, QE5, recognizing Nup214, Nup153 and p62 of the mammalian nuclear pore complex, Covance, Princeton, NJ)⁵⁷. Immunolocalization was performed as described previously^{58,59}. For combined padlock FISH and immunolabeling, after the padlock FISH procedure, the slides were washed three times with PBS and blocked with PBS containing 3% BSA for 30 min at 37 $^{\circ}\text{C}$. The slides were then incubated with primary antisera overnight at room temperature or at 37 $^{\circ}\text{C}$. After five washes in PBS, the slides were incubated with secondary antibodies—either donkey-anti-mouse-AlexaFluor 488 or donkey-anti-rabbit-AlexaFluor 488 (Life Technologies, 1:300 dilution)—at 37 $^{\circ}\text{C}$. The slides were washed five times in PBS and counterstained with 500 ng ml⁻¹ DAPI.

Fluorescence microscopy. Mesophyll nuclei were selected based on their morphology as described in Supplementary Fig. S2. Three-dimensional image stacks with a z-step size of 0.2 μ m were acquired with a DeltaVision microscope system (Applied Precision, Issaquah, WA) consisting of an IX-71 Olympus inverted microscope (Olympus, Tokyo, Japan) with a UPLSAPO oil immersion objective lens ($\times$ 100, numerical aperture 1.40; Olympus) and a Coolsnap HQ2 high-resolution charge-coupled device camera. DAPI, AlexaFluor 488, CY3 and CY5 were detected with appropriate filters. The image stacks were deconvolved with a constrained iterative of 15 by using softWoRx software (Applied Precision) on an Octane Workstation (SGI, Mountain View, CA).

Gene positioning measurements and data analysis. To measure the distance from the padlock FISH signal of a gene locus to the nuclear edge, an optical section with the strongest and most focused padlock FISH signal was used. The nuclear edge was determined by DAPI intensity as described in Supplementary Fig. S5a,b and outlined using the two-dimensional polygon finder function in softWoRx (Supplementary Fig. S5c,d). Then, using the centre of the padlock FISH signal as the centre, a circle was drawn whose circumference intersected the nuclear edge (Supplementary Fig. S5c). The distance between the intersection point and the

center of the padlock FISH signal was defined as the distance between the gene locus and the nuclear edge. For each light condition or genotype, at least two biological replicates were analysed. The data from all replicates were combined and the radial distribution of each gene locus was plotted as a histogram with a bandwidth of 0.1 μ m in R (ref. 60). The nuclear peripheral zone was defined as the area within 0.2 μ m of the nuclear edge. The percentage of the padlock FISH signals localized within the nuclear peripheral zone was calculated for each independent experiment, and the means of the percentages and the s.e. are shown in the figures. The normality of the data was tested in R with a Quantile-Quantile plot, and the statistical significance between gene distribution data was tested using Welch's two-sample *t*-test.

RNA extraction and qRT-PCR. RNA extraction and quantitative reverse transcriptase (RT)-PCR were performed as previously described⁶¹. Total RNA from seedlings of the indicated genotypes and growth conditions was isolated using the Spectrum Plant Total RNA Kit (Sigma, Saint Louis, MO). Complementary DNA was synthesized from messenger RNA using the Superscript II First-Strand cDNA Synthesis Kit (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA) according to the manufacturer's protocol. qRT-PCR was then performed with FastStart Universal SYBR Green Master Mix (Roche, Indianapolis, IN) in a Mastercycler ep Realplex thermal cycler (Eppendorf, Hauppauge, NY). Genes and primer sets used for qRT-PCR are listed in Supplementary Table S2.

References

- Franklin, K. A. & Quail, P. H. Phytochrome functions in *Arabidopsis* development. *J. Exp. Bot.* **61**, 11–24 (2010).
- Leivar, P. *et al.* Definition of early transcriptional circuitry involved in light-induced reversal of PIF-imposed repression of photomorphogenesis in young *Arabidopsis* seedlings. *Plant Cell* **21**, 3535–3553 (2009).
- Chen, M. & Chory, J. Phytochrome signaling mechanisms and the control of plant development. *Trends Cell. Biol.* **21**, 664–671 (2011).
- Jiao, Y., Lau, O. S. & Deng, X. W. Light-regulated transcriptional networks in higher plants. *Nat. Rev. Genet.* **8**, 217–230 (2007).
- Egcioglu, D. & Brickner, J. H. Gene positioning and expression. *Curr. Opin. Cell. Biol.* **23**, 338–345 (2011).
- Chuang, C. H. & Belmont, A. S. Moving chromatin within the interphase nucleus—controlled transitions? *Semin. Cell Dev. Biol.* **18**, 698–706 (2007).
- Takizawa, T., Meaburn, K. J. & Misteli, T. The meaning of gene positioning. *Cell* **135**, 9–13 (2008).
- Wang, D. Y., Kumar, S. & Hedges, S. B. Divergence time estimates for the early history of animal phyla and the origin of plants, animals and fungi. *Proc. R. Soc. Lond.* **266**, 163–171 (1999).
- Schubert, I. & Shaw, P. Organization and dynamics of plant interphase chromosomes. *Trends Plant Sci.* **16**, 273–281 (2011).
- Fransz, P., De Jong, J. H., Lysak, M., Castiglione, M. R. & Schubert, I. Interphase chromosomes in *Arabidopsis* are organized as well defined chromocenters from which euchromatin loops emanate. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* **99**, 14584–14589 (2002).
- Pecinka, A. *et al.* Chromosome territory arrangement and homologous pairing in nuclei of *Arabidopsis thaliana* are predominantly random except for NOR-bearing chromosomes. *Chromosoma* **113**, 258–269 (2004).
- Lysak, M. A., Pecinka, A. & Schubert, I. Recent progress in chromosome painting of *Arabidopsis* and related species. *Chromosome Res.* **11**, 195–204 (2003).
- Costa, S. & Shaw, P. Chromatin organization and cell fate switch respond to positional information in *Arabidopsis*. *Nature* **439**, 493–496 (2006).
- Belmont, A. S., Li, G., Sudlow, G. & Robinett, C. Visualization of large-scale chromatin structure and dynamics using the lac operator/lac repressor reporter system. *Methods Cell Biol.* **58**, 203–222 (1999).
- Rosin, F. M. *et al.* Genome-wide transposon tagging reveals location-dependent effects on transcription and chromatin organization in *Arabidopsis*. *Plant J.* **55**, 514–525 (2008).
- Matzke, A. J., Watanabe, K., van der Winden, J., Naumann, U. & Matzke, M. High frequency, cell type-specific visualization of fluorescently-tagged genomic sites in interphase and mitotic cells of living *Arabidopsis* plants. *Plant Methods* **6**, 2 (2010).
- Rosa, S. *et al.* Physical clustering of *FLC* alleles during Polycomb-mediated epigenetic silencing in vernalization. *Genes Dev.* **27**, 1845–1850 (2013).
- Qi, Y. *et al.* Increasing frequencies of site-specific mutagenesis and gene targeting in *Arabidopsis* by manipulating DNA repair pathways. *Genome Res.* **23**, 547–554 (2013).
- Pecinka, A., Kato, N., Meister, A., Probst, A. V., Schubert, I. & Lam, E. Tandem repetitive transgenes and fluorescent chromatin tags alter local interphase chromosome arrangement in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *J. Cell Sci.* **118**, 3751–3758 (2005).
- Larsson, C. *et al.* *In situ* genotyping individual DNA molecules by target-primed rolling-circle amplification of padlock probes. *Nat. Methods* **1**, 227–232 (2004).

21. Martinez-Zapater, J. M., Estelle, M. A. & Somerville, C. R. A highly repeated DNA sequence in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *Mol. Gen. Genet.* **204**, 417–423 (1986).
22. Talbert, P. B., Masuelli, R., Tyagi, A. P., Comai, L. & Henikoff, S. Centromeric localization and adaptive evolution of an *Arabidopsis* histone H3 variant. *Plant Cell* **14**, 1053–1066 (2002).
23. Copenhaver, G. P. *et al.* Genetic definition and sequence analysis of *Arabidopsis* centromeres. *Science* **286**, 2468–2474 (1999).
24. Karlin-Neumann, G. A., Sun, L. & Tobin, E. M. Expression of light-harvesting chlorophyll a/b-protein genes is phytochrome-regulated in etiolated *Arabidopsis thaliana* seedlings. *Plant Physiol.* **88**, 1323–1331 (1988).
25. Hamazato, F., Shinomura, T., Hanzawa, H., Chory, J. & Furuya, M. Fluence and wavelength requirements for *Arabidopsis* CAB gene induction by different phytochromes. *Plant Physiol.* **115**, 1533–1540 (1997).
26. Barnes, S. A., Nishizawa, N. K., Quaggio, R. B., Whitelam, G. C. & Chua, N. H. Far-red light blocks greening of *Arabidopsis* seedlings via a phytochrome A-mediated change in plastid development. *Plant Cell* **8**, 601–615 (1996).
27. Hu, W., Su, Y. S. & Lagarias, J. C. A light-independent allele of phytochrome B faithfully recapitulates photomorphogenic transcriptional networks. *Mol. Plant* **2**, 166–182 (2009).
28. Maxwell, B. B., Andersson, C. R., Poole, D. S., Kay, S. A. & Chory, J. HY5, Circadian Clock-Associated 1, and a cis-element, DET1 dark response element, mediate DET1 regulation of chlorophyll a/b-binding protein 2 expression. *Plant Physiol.* **133**, 1565–1577 (2003).
29. Andronis, C., Barak, S., Knowles, S. M., Sugano, S. & Tobin, E. M. The clock protein CCA1 and the bZIP transcription factor HY5 physically interact to regulate gene expression in *Arabidopsis*. *Mol. Plant* **1**, 58–67 (2008).
30. Lee, J. *et al.* Analysis of transcription factor HY5 genomic binding sites revealed its hierarchical role in light regulation of development. *Plant Cell* **19**, 731–749 (2007).
31. Zhang, H. *et al.* Genome-wide mapping of the HY5-mediated gene networks in *Arabidopsis* that involve both transcriptional and post-transcriptional regulation. *Plant J.* **65**, 346–358 (2011).
32. Chory, J., Peto, C., Feinbaum, R., Pratt, L. & Ausubel, F. *Arabidopsis thaliana* mutant that develops as a light-grown plant in the absence of light. *Cell* **58**, 991–999 (1989).
33. Deng, X. W., Caspar, T. & Quail, P. H. *cop1*: a regulatory locus involved in light-controlled development and gene expression in *Arabidopsis*. *Genes Dev.* **5**, 1172–1182 (1991).
34. Leivar, P. & Quail, P. H. PIFs: pivotal components in a cellular signaling hub. *Trends Plant Sci.* **16**, 19–28 (2011).
35. Chen, H. *et al.* *Arabidopsis* CULLIN4-damaged DNA binding protein 1 interacts with CONSTITUTIVELY PHOTOMORPHOGENIC1-SUPPRESSOR OF PHYA complexes to regulate photomorphogenesis and flowering time. *Plant Cell* **22**, 108–123 (2010).
36. Bernhardt, A. *et al.* CUL4 associates with DDB1 and DET1 and its downregulation affects diverse aspects of development in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *Plant J.* **47**, 591–603 (2006).
37. Osterlund, M. T., Hardtke, C. S., Wei, N. & Deng, X. W. Targeted destabilization of HY5 during light-regulated development of *Arabidopsis*. *Nature* **405**, 462–466 (2000).
38. Bauer, D. *et al.* Constitutive photomorphogenesis 1 and multiple photoreceptors control degradation of phytochrome interacting factor 3, a transcription factor required for light signaling in *Arabidopsis*. *Plant Cell* **16**, 1433–1445 (2004).
39. Benvenuto, G., Formiggin, F., Laflamme, P., Malakhov, M. & Bowler, C. The photomorphogenesis regulator DET1 binds the amino-terminal tail of histone H2B in a nucleosome context. *Curr. Biol.* **12**, 1529–1534 (2002).
40. Brickner, J. H. & Walter, P. Gene recruitment of the activated *INO1* locus to the nuclear membrane. *PLoS Biol.* **2**, e342 (2004).
41. Sarma, N. J. *et al.* Glucose-responsive regulators of gene expression in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* function at the nuclear periphery via a reverse recruitment mechanism. *Genetics* **175**, 1127–1135 (2007).
42. Casolari, J. M. *et al.* Genome-wide localization of the nuclear transport machinery couples transcriptional status and nuclear organization. *Cell* **117**, 427–439 (2004).
43. Dieppl, G., Iglesias, N. & Stutz, F. Cotranscriptional recruitment to the mRNA export receptor Mex67p contributes to nuclear pore anchoring of activated genes. *Mol. Cell Biol.* **26**, 7858–7870 (2006).
44. Brickner, D. G. *et al.* Transcription factor binding to a DNA zip code controls interchromosomal clustering at the nuclear periphery. *Dev. Cell* **22**, 1234–1246 (2012).
45. Ahmed, S. *et al.* DNA zip codes control an ancient mechanism for gene targeting to the nuclear periphery. *Nat. Cell Biol.* **12**, 111–118 (2010).
46. Light, W. H., Brickner, D. G., Brand, V. R. & Brickner, J. H. Interaction of a DNA zip code with the nuclear pore complex promotes H2A.Z incorporation and *INO1* transcriptional memory. *Mol. Cell* **40**, 112–125 (2010).
47. Liu, X. *et al.* PHYTOCHROME INTERACTING FACTOR3 associates with the histone deacetylase HDA15 in repression of chlorophyll biosynthesis and photosynthesis in etiolated *Arabidopsis* seedlings. *Plant Cell* **25**, 1258–1273 (2013).
48. Tessoro, F. *et al.* Phytochrome B and histone deacetylase 6 control light-induced chromatin compaction in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *PLoS Genet.* **5**, e1000638 (2009).
49. Lau, O. S. *et al.* Interaction of *Arabidopsis* DET1 with CCA1 and LHY in mediating transcriptional repression in the plant circadian clock. *Mol. Cell* **43**, 703–712 (2011).
50. Nagatani, A., Reed, J. W. & Chory, J. Isolation and initial characterization of *Arabidopsis* mutants that are deficient in phytochrome A. *Plant Physiol.* **102**, 269–277 (1993).
51. Reed, J. W., Nagpal, P., Poole, D. S., Furuya, M. & Chory, J. Mutations in the gene for the red/far-red light receptor phytochrome B alter cell elongation and physiological responses throughout *Arabidopsis* development. *Plant Cell* **5**, 147–157 (1993).
52. Lian, H. L. *et al.* Blue-light-dependent interaction of cryptochrome 1 with SPA1 defines a dynamic signaling mechanism. *Genes Dev.* **25**, 1023–1028 (2011).
53. Leivar, P. *et al.* Multiple phytochrome-interacting bHLH transcription factors repress premature seedling photomorphogenesis in darkness. *Curr. Biol.* **18**, 1815–1823 (2008).
54. Su, Y. S. & Lagarias, J. C. Light-independent phytochrome signaling mediated by dominant GAF domain tyrosine mutants of *Arabidopsis* phytochromes in transgenic plants. *Plant Cell* **19**, 2124–2139 (2007).
55. Chen, M. *et al.* *Arabidopsis* HEMERA/pTAC12 initiates photomorphogenesis by phytochromes. *Cell* **141**, 1230–1240 (2010).
56. Schubert, I., Dolezel, J., Houben, A., Scherthan, H. & Wanner, G. Refined examination of plant metaphase chromosome structure at different levels made feasible by new isolation methods. *Chromosoma* **102**, 96–101 (1993).
57. Xu, X. M. *et al.* NUCLEAR PORE ANCHOR, the *Arabidopsis* homolog of Tpr/Mlp1/Mlp2/megator, is involved in mRNA export and SUMO homeostasis and affects diverse aspects of plant development. *Plant Cell* **19**, 1537–1548 (2007).
58. Jasencakova, Z., Meister, A., Walter, J., Turner, B. M. & Schubert, I. Histone H4 acetylation of euchromatin and heterochromatin is cell cycle dependent and correlated with replication rather than with transcription. *Plant Cell* **12**, 2087–2100 (2000).
59. Jasencakova, Z., Meister, A. & Schubert, I. Chromatin organization and its relation to replication and histone acetylation during the cell cycle in barley. *Chromosoma* **110**, 83–92 (2001).
60. R Development Core Team. *R: A language and environment for statistical computing*. Retrieved from <http://www.R-project.org> (R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria, 2013).
61. Galvão, R. M. *et al.* Photoactivated phytochrome interact with HEMERA and promote its accumulation to establish photomorphogenesis in *Arabidopsis*. *Genes Dev.* **26**, 1851–1863 (2012).

Acknowledgements

We thank Drs Sam Johnson and Yasheng Gao from the Duke Light Microscopy Core Facility for their help with fluorescence imaging and data analysis. We are grateful to P. Andrew Nevarez for critical reading of the manuscript. We thank Dr Mats Nilsson for his help with the padlock FISH protocol and Dr Sara Henriksson for introducing padlock FISH to M.C. We thank Dr Peter Quail for the *pifq* mutant and the anti-PHYB antibody, Dr Joanne Chory for the *det1-1* mutant, Dr Xing-Wang Deng for the *cop1-4* mutant, Dr Hongqian Yang for the *hy5* mutant and Dr Steven Henikoff for the anti-HTR12 antibody. M.C. is grateful for all of the instructors of the 2007 FISH course at the Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory, including Drs Viki Allan, Ke Hu, Sui Huang, John Murray and Jennifer Waters. This research was supported by grants from the National Institutes of Health R01 GM087388 and the National Science Foundation IOS-1051602 to M.C.

Author contributions

C.-M.F. and M.C. designed the experiments. C.-M.F. performed the majority of the experiments and data analysis. Y.Q., E.K.V. and E.J.Y. contributed to the experiments. C.-M.F. and M.C. wrote the manuscript.

Additional information

Supplementary Information accompanies this paper at <http://www.nature.com/naturecommunications>

Competing financial interests: The authors declare no competing financial interests.

Reprints and permission information is available online at <http://npg.nature.com/reprintsandpermissions/>

How to cite this article: Feng, C.-M. *et al.* Light-regulated gene repositioning in *Arabidopsis*. *Nat. Commun.* 5:3027 doi: 10.1038/ncomms4027 (2014).