ARTICLE

Received 27 Jun 2013 | Accepted 16 Sep 2013 | Published 23 Oct 2013

DOI: 10.1038/ncomms3627

Unexpected reactivity and mechanism of carboxamide activation in bacterial N-linked protein glycosylation

Christian Lizak^{1,*}, Sabina Gerber^{1,*†}, Gaëlle Michaud², Mario Schubert¹, Yao-Yun Fan³, Monika Bucher¹, Tamis Darbre², Markus Aebi³, Jean-Louis Reymond² & Kaspar P. Locher¹

The initial glycan transfer in asparagine-linked protein glycosylation is catalysed by the integral membrane enzyme oligosaccharyltransferase (OST). Here we study the mechanism of the bacterial PglB protein, a single-subunit OST, using chemically synthesized acceptor peptide analogues. We find that PglB can glycosylate not only asparagine but also glutamine, homoserine and the hydroxamate Asp(NHOH), although at much lower rates. In contrast, *N*-methylated asparagine or 2,4-diaminobutanoic acid (Dab) are not glycosylated. We find that of the various peptide analogues, only asparagine- or Dab-containing peptides bind tightly to PglB. Glycopeptide products are unable to bind, providing the driving force of product release. We find no suitably positioned residues near the active site of PglB that can activate the acceptor asparagine by deprotonation, making a general base mechanism unlikely and leaving carboxamide twisting as the most likely mechanistic proposal for asparagine activation.

¹Department of Biology, Institute of Molecular Biology and Biophysics, ETH Zürich, CH-8093 Zürich, Switzerland. ²Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, University of Berne, CH-3012 Berne, Switzerland. ³Department of Biology, Institute of Microbiology, ETH Zürich, CH-8093 Zürich, Switzerland. * These authors contributed equally to this work. † Present address: GlycoVaxyn AG, Grabenstrasse 3, 8952 Schlieren, Switzerland. Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to K.P.L. (email: locher@mol.biol.ethz.ch).

The biosynthesis of N-linked glycoproteins is a fundamental post-translational process in eukaryotes. It occurs at the membrane of the endoplasmic reticulum, where the multimeric integral membrane enzyme oligosaccharyltransferase (OST) catalyses the covalent attachment of an oligosaccharide to asparagine residues of nascent polypeptide chains. As N-linked glycosylation is essential for a multitude of cellular processes, deletion of OST in eukaryotes is lethal^{1–5}. Protein N-glycosylation is common in Archaea^{6–8} and has also been found in defined taxa of Bacteria^{9–11}. In prokaryotes, OST is a single subunit enzyme that is homologous to the catalytic STT3 subunit of eukaryotic OST. The glycan donor of the transfer reaction is a lipid-linked oligosaccharide (LLO), whereas the acceptor is an asparagine residue located in the consensus sequon Asn-Xaa-Ser/Thr (with Xaa ≠ Pro)¹². Given the high degree of sequence similarity, the key steps of the reaction mechanism of N-linked glycosylation are probably conserved. This includes the catalytic activation of the generally unreactive amide group of the acceptor Asn to serve as a nucleophile attacking the C1 carbon of the LLO molecule, resulting in a nucleophilic substitution (Fig. 1).

To explain this unusual reactivity, a direct involvement of the +2 Ser/Thr of the sequon was previously proposed^{12–14}. This was notably inconsistent with the X-ray structure of a complete bacterial OST, the PglB protein from *Campylobacter lari*, which showed that the acceptor Asn and the +2 Ser/Thr are physically separated and that the +2 Thr is tightly bound to the WWD motif, a conserved and diagnostic motif in STT3 proteins^{15,16}. This excluded an involvement of the hydroxyl amino acid in acceptor asparagine activation. Given the location of the catalytically essential divalent metal ion and the conserved carboxyl groups of Asp56 and Glu319 in the PglB structure, we proposed that a rotation of the C-N bond twisted the carboxamide group of the acceptor Asn, which would abolish the conjugation of the nitrogen lone pair with the carbonyl group, resulting in a highly reactive nucleophile¹⁶.

To probe the mechanistic hypotheses, we perform *in vitro* analyses of *C. lari* PglB. We synthesize a series of fluorescently labelled peptides with various non-natural amino acids replacing the acceptor Asn and purify large quantities of wild-type and mutant PglB. We take advantage of a previously established assay that not only provides high precision in determining

glycosylation turnover but also allows us to observe very low reaction rates of disfavoured PglB mutants¹⁵. We also quantify the first step of the *in vitro* glycosylation reaction, peptide binding, using fluorescence anisotropy. We find that PglB is able to perform unexpected reactions, as it also catalyses the formation of O-glycosidic linkages. Our studies provide biochemical evidence that is inconsistent with every postulated reaction mechanism except the 'twisted amide' activation of the acceptor asparagine. We therefore conclude that carboxamide twisting is most likely a key step during protein N-glycosylation.

Results

The amide group of Asn contributes to substrate binding. The PglB structure revealed specific contacts between bound substrate peptide and the surface of the enzyme, including interactions involving the –2 and +2 sequon positions, but also between the acceptor Asn and the catalytically important PglB residues Asp56 and Glu319¹⁶. We recently showed that mutations D56A and E319A not only reduced the catalytic activity but also impaired substrate peptide binding significantly¹⁵. We now replaced Asn in the glycosylation sequon DQNAT of substrate peptides by structurally modified analogues and quantified the effect on peptide binding and glycosylation turnover.

We observed that replacing Asn by Ala strongly reduced peptide binding, with K_d too low to be determined in our assay (Table 1 compound 2, Fig. 2a). We conclude that, whereas the side chain of Ala can be accommodated in the tunnel connecting the peptide-binding and the catalytic sites of PglB, there are strong interactions to the enzyme that were removed along with the carboxamide group. When the acceptor Asn was replaced by Asp, peptide binding was still impaired (Table 1, compound 3, Fig. 2a), suggesting that the negative charge of the carboxyl group causes electrostatic repulsion with residues Asp56 and Glu319. Our *in vitro* glycosylation assay also showed no glycopeptide formation of compound 3, confirming previous reports that Asp is no glycosylation acceptor for eukaryotic OST (ref. 17).

PglB processes glutamine residues. A Gln in the 'zero position' of a glycosylation sequon should in principle provide a carboxamide group. However, Gln residues are generally not

Figure 1 | The reaction catalysed by oligosaccharyltransferase. An acceptor polypeptide containing the consensus sequence Asn-Xaa-Ser/Thr is recognized by OST and the side chain amide of the asparagine is activated for a nucleophilic attack on a LLO (α -linkage). The inversion reaction results in the formation of a β 1-N-glycosidic linkage (red bond) between the asparagine side chain and the C1 carbon of the reducing end sugar (orange circle), as well as the release of lipid pyrophosphate and one proton. Asn and Thr are indicated as (0) and (+2) sequon position, respectively. The bacterial OST from *C. lari* is shown as ribbon diagram (PDB code 3RCE) with the transmembrane domain coloured in blue, periplasmic domain in green, acceptor peptide in yellow and divalent metal cation as a purple sphere. R₁: OH (eukaryotes), Oligosaccharyl (bacteria); R₂: Oligosaccharyl (eukaryotes), NHAc (bacteria); R₃: CH₂OH (eukaryotes), CH₃ (bacteria); R₄: Xaa ($\neq$ Pro).

Table 1 | Turnover rates and peptide binding for acceptor asparagine analogues.

Substituent (compound no.)	Turnover rate (peptide/s)	Relative activity (fold reduction)	K_d (μM)
Asn (1)	1.50 ± 0.04^a	1	1.02 ± 0.06^a
Ala (2)	No substrate	—	b
Asp (3)	No substrate	—	b
Gln (4)	$\sim 7.5 \times 10^{-6}$	$\sim 200,000$	b
Homoserine (5)	$(162.8 \pm 3.5) \times 10^{-5}$	900	b
Asp(NHOH) (6)	$(72.6 \pm 3.0) \times 10^{-3}$	20	33.43 ± 2.41
Asn-Me (7)	No substrate	—	b
Asp(NHOMe) (8)	No substrate	—	b
Dab (9)	No substrate	—	10.15 ± 0.25
Nitrile (10)	No substrate	—	26.14 ± 1.58

Dissociation constants (K_d) were derived from curves shown in Fig. 2a. The errors represent the s.e. of the fit for each turnover rate and dissociation constant. Values for relative turnover refer to the ratio of an individual acceptor analogue and asparagine.

^aData as presented in Gerber *et al.*¹⁵

^bPeptide binding was observed; however, no K_d could be determined because the fluorescence anisotropy signal reached only 30–50% saturation at the limiting PglB concentration of 130–150 μM . Blue coloured R indicates the conserved backbone of acceptor peptides (5-CF-GSDQ-X-ATF-NH₂). Red coloured atoms indicate the attachment site of glycans catalysed by PglB.

glycosylated. The PglB structure suggested that compared with Asn, the side chain of Gln would reach beyond the catalytic pocket. Alternatively, with the carboxamide group of Gln properly aligned in the active site, contacts between the acceptor peptide backbone and PglB would have to be abolished. We

indeed found that binding of the Gln-containing peptide (compound 4) was as weak as that of the alanine-containing peptide (Table 1, compound 4, Fig. 2a). Nevertheless, compound 4 could be glycosylated, albeit at a rate that was $\sim 200,000$ -fold reduced compared with the Asn-containing sequon (Table 1, compound

Figure 2 | Peptide binding of different acceptor asparagine analogues and glycopeptides. (a) Peptide binding was quantified using fluorescence anisotropy. Purified PglB was titrated into a solution containing 1 μM fluorescently labelled peptide variant (containing the sequon DQXAT with X = Asn or Asn analogue as indicated in the figure) and 10 mM MnCl₂. Data points reflect the mean of 20 measurements of the same sample, error bars indicate s.d.'s. Data for Asn peptide (black curve) are as presented in Gerber *et al.*¹⁵ (b) SDS-PAGE analysis of *in vitro* glycosylation using fluorescently labelled substrate peptide (DQNAT sequon) and LLOs with different glycan structures. Depending on the presence and size of the N-glycan, peptides show a different mobility after Tricine SDS-PAGE. Bands were visualized using a fluorescence gel scan (488 nm excitation and 526 nm emission). Red hexagon: di-*N*-Acetyl-bacillosamine (diNacBac); yellow square: *N*-Acetyl-galactosamine (GalNAc); blue circle: glucose. (c) Quantification of peptide and glycopeptide binding using fluorescence anisotropy. Samples were extracted from *in vitro* glycosylation reactions. Treatment and data processing as in (a). Curve fitting for non-glycosylated peptide (control) was performed assuming a single binding site ($R^2 = 0.995$).

4). To ensure that the generated glycopeptide was indeed the postulated 'Q-linked' product, we elevated the enzyme concentration such that the conversion of the Gln-peptide was about 40% complete (Fig. 3a), thus generating sufficient product for the MS analysis. MS spectra of the extracted glycopeptide were consistent with the *C. jejuni* N-glycan attached to the Gln-containing sequon (Fig. 3b–d). A subsequent MS3 sequencing analysis demonstrated that the glycan was attached to the second (underlined) Gln of the acceptor sequon DQQAT, fulfilling substrate specificities at the -2 and $+2$ positions of the sequon (Supplementary Fig. S1). These findings demonstrate that the carboxamide group of an OST substrate has to be properly positioned in the active site for binding and activation.

PglB catalyses the formation of O-glycosidic linkages. We hypothesized that oxygen atoms could serve as nucleophiles as long as they are not negatively charged. We thus replaced Asn with homoserine (Hse) in the acceptor sequon (compound 5). Even though the hydroxyl group of Hse could in principle form one hydrogen bond to the active site residue Asp56 or Glu319, peptide binding was as weak as for the Ala-containing peptide (Table 1, compound 5, Fig. 2a). However, we found that compound 5 was glycosylated by PglB, with a turnover rate ~ 900 -fold slower than wild-type (wt) sequon. PglB can thus generate an O-glycosidic linkage if the corresponding acceptor site is positioned correctly for a nucleophilic attack on the LLO donor.

We also analysed peptides with substituents attached to the carboxamide group of the acceptor Asn. Replacing one amide

proton by a hydroxyl group (yielding the hydroxamate Asp(NHOH), compound 6) led to a reduced binding affinity (K_d of 33 ± 2.4 μM), whereas an analogous replacement with a methyl group (Asn-Me, compound 7) or an O-methyl group (Asp(NHOMe), compound 8) prevented peptide binding altogether (Table 1, Fig. 2a). Compounds 7 and 8 did not serve as substrates for PglB. In contrast, the hydroxamate compound 6 was glycosylated ~ 20 times slower than wt sequon (Table 1). An analysis of the glycosylated compound 6 using matrix-assisted laser desorption/ionization-mass spectrometry (MALDI-MS) yielded a mass consistent with the *C. jejuni* N-glycan attached to the Asp(NHOH) peptide (Supplementary Fig. S2). To identify the glycan attachment point, we analysed the resulting glycopeptide using NMR spectroscopy. The assignment of ^{13}C - ^1H heteronuclear single-quantum correlation (HSQC) spectra confirmed the presence of all expected signals for compound 6 in the educt and product (Supplementary Fig. S3). The attached bacterial glycan shows signals similar to those observed for *in vitro* glycosylated AcrA protein¹⁸, except for the C1–H1 correlation of di-*N*-Acetyl-bacillosamine (diNacBac) (Supplementary Fig. S4). The characteristic chemical shift of C1 involved in the N-glycan linkage of ~ 81 p.p.m. was missing^{18–20}. Instead, the C1 chemical shift of diNacBac was assigned to 106.4 p.p.m. by first identifying the associated H1 chemical shift using two-dimensional (2D) nuclear Overhauser enhancement spectroscopy (NOESY) and 2D total correlation spectroscopy (TOCSY) spectra and subsequently a C1–H1 correlation in a ^1H - ^{13}C -HSQC spectrum (Supplementary Figs S4, S5). This unusual chemical shift for the anomeric C1 of diNacBac is incompatible

Figure 3 | Glutamine glycosylation catalysed by PglB *in vitro*. (a) Analysis of *in vitro* glycosylation using fluorescently labelled substrate peptide containing either a DQNAT or a DQQAT acceptor site. Glycosylated peptide (g1) is separated from non-glycosylated peptide (g0) after Tricine SDS-PAGE. Bands were visualized using a fluorescence gel scan (488 nm excitation and 526 nm emission). (b) LC-ESI-MS profile of extracted glycopeptide (reaction with PglB) containing the DQNAT site. The doubly charged ($m/z = 1,302.0$) and triply charged ($m/z = 868.33$) glycopeptides are indicated. (c) LC-ESI-MS profile of doubly charged peptide ($m/z = 605.72$) (reaction w/o PglB) containing the DQQAT site. (d) LC-ESI-MS profile of extracted glycopeptide (reaction with PglB) containing the DQQAT site. The doubly charged ($m/z = 1,309.01$) and triply charged ($m/z = 873.01$) glycopeptides are indicated. (b–d) Red hexagon: diNacBac; yellow squares: GalNAc; blue circles: glucose; 5-CF: 5-carboxyfluorescein.

with an N-glycan and rather suggests an O-glycosidic linkage. Almost identical C1 values were indeed reported for O-linked glycosyl hydroxamates^{21,22}. Thus, the oxygen atom of the hydroxamate compound Asp(NHOH) was glycosylated by PglB, resulting in an O-glycosidic bond. Owing to the alpha effect, compound 6 (hydroxamate) is a better nucleophile than the Hse-containing compound 5 (ref. 23), even though the positioning of the hydroxyl group of Asp(NHOH) in the active site is not optimal (similar to glutamine).

Inhibitor peptides and product glycopeptides. Replacing the acceptor Asn in the sequon by the corresponding primary amine results in the residue 2,4-diaminobutanoic acid (Dab), which was reported to have inhibitory effects on eukaryotic OST^{14,24}. We determined a K_d of $10 \pm 0.25 \mu\text{M}$ for a synthetic peptide containing Dab at the acceptor position (Table 1, compound 9, Fig. 2a), which is ~ 10 -fold weaker binding than wt sequon. However, we observed no glycosylation of compound 9, even at elevated enzyme concentrations. Replacing the carboxamide group of Asn by a nitrile group also abolished glycosylation, although the peptide analogue bound with a K_d of $26 \pm 1.6 \mu\text{M}$ (Table 1, compound 10, Fig. 2a). Consequently, peptides containing the Dab or the nitrile group at the acceptor position of sequons represent competitive inhibitors for PglB.

We further investigated whether N-linked glycopeptides reflecting the products of PglB-catalysed glycosylation could still

bind the enzyme. To study distinct glycan structures, we took advantage of engineered versions of the *C. jejuni* *pgl* gene cluster, which yielded LLO donors containing a trisaccharide (GalNAc- α -1,4-GalNAc- α -1,3-diNacBac- α -1-PP-Und) or a disaccharide (GalNAc- α -1,3-diNacBac- α -1-PP-Und)²⁵. We used extracts of these LLO variants along with wt *C. jejuni* LLO to glycosylate compound 1 *in vitro*. The trisaccharide was subsequently trimmed by an established glycosidase treatment²⁶ to yield a monosaccharide-containing LLO molecule (Supplementary Fig. S6). Non-glycosylated peptide and peptides containing mono-, di- and heptasaccharide covalently attached to the acceptor asparagine were extracted from the reaction mixture (Fig. 2b). Fluorescence anisotropy analysis revealed that only the unmodified peptide bound to PglB (Fig. 2c), supporting the notion that the presence of a β 1-linked N-glycan may cause steric tension in the catalytic site, triggering product release¹⁶. This is distinct to the findings with O-GlcNAc transferase, which can bind product glycopeptides^{27,28}.

Active site mutations. The previously suggested mechanisms of Asn activation postulated an essential catalytic base^{12–14}. In PglB, the side chain of His485 provides the only potential base located in the expected direction of the nucleophilic attack (Fig. 4a). His485 is only conserved in bacterial PglB proteins. The equivalent residue in archaeal OST is a glutamine, and eukaryotic STT3 proteins feature a tryptophan (Supplementary Fig. S7).

Figure 4 | PglB catalytic site studies. (a) Structure of PglB-active site (PDB code 3RCE). Transmembrane and periplasmic domains are coloured in light blue and green, respectively. Selected side chains are labelled and are shown in ball and stick with transmembrane domain residues coloured in cyan, periplasmic domain residues coloured in green or orange (H485) and acceptor peptide coloured in yellow. Grey dashed lines indicate putative hydrogen bonds or interactions with the divalent metal cation M^{2+} (purple sphere). (b) *In vivo* glycosylation assay in *E. coli* to investigate the functional importance of residue His485. Immunoblots detecting acceptor protein 3D5 (top), bacterial N-glycans (middle) and PglB (bottom). PglB mutants are indicated above the lanes. Glycosylation results in a mobility shift from the non-glycosylated (g0) to the glycosylated form of the acceptor protein (g1). Functional PglB is partially auto-glycosylated at N535 and N556, resulting in two additional bands (g1 and g2). (c) Influence of different divalent metal cations on *in vitro* activity of PglB. The turnover rate of PglB devoid of the His₁₀ affinity tag on fluorescently labelled substrate peptide (DQNAT sequon) was determined at various concentrations of different divalent metal cations. The activity profile at 0.1 mM metal concentration is magnified in the inset. (d) pH activity profile of PglB. The turnover rate of PglB on fluorescently labelled substrate peptide (DQNAT sequon) was determined at various pH values and the obtained data were fitted with a function describing a bell-shaped pH curve ($R^2 = 0.976$). The calculated pK_a values are indicated. (c,d) Each data point results from a single turnover rate determination and the error bars account for the s.e.m. of each fit.

Compared with the wt PglB, we found only slightly reduced activities of the mutants H485A, H485L and H485F in an *in vivo* glycosylation assay, and activities reduced to ~30% in the mutants H485D and H485Q (Fig. 4b). These findings exclude a role of His485 as an essential catalytic base. The absence of activity of mutant H485W (Fig. 4b) is attributed to steric hindrance, with the large tryptophan side chain disturbing the structure or acceptor Asn binding (Fig. 4a). Our efforts to determine sequon affinities to His485 mutants failed because the studied mutants proved unstable in detergent solution, suggesting that His485 has a structural rather than a functional role, possibly by stacking against Trp464, which is part of the WWD motif (Fig. 4a).

Various acidic residues at the active site have been shown to be important for PglB function *in vivo* and *in vitro*^{15,16,29} including Asp56 and Glu319 but also Asp154 and Asp156. We found that individual mutations of Asp56 and Glu319 to alanines abolished sequon binding (Supplementary Fig. S8)¹⁵. Replacement by iso-electronic amides (D56N and E319Q) had a slightly less severe effect, but peptide binding was still too weak to determine K_d values (Table 2, Supplementary Fig. S8). Residues Asp154 and

Asp156 constitute a DXD motif that is also present in other enzymes of the GT-C superfamily^{30,31}. The carboxyl group of Asp154 is not directly involved in acceptor substrate binding but is coordinating the divalent metal ion, whereas Asp156 is probably involved in stabilizing the hydrogen-bonding network in the catalytic site (Fig. 4a). The mutations D154A, D154N, D156A and D156N also showed impaired peptide binding, with K_d values ~40–90-fold higher than those for wt sequon (Table 2, Supplementary Fig. S8).

When we quantified *in vitro* glycosylation rates for the above mutants, we realized that the most disfavoured mutants showed fluctuations in turnover of up to 15-fold, resulting in poor reproducibility between distinct protein preparations (Supplementary Table S1). We found that the mutation D56A had the most severe reduction in activity (11,000–150,000-fold), followed by D154A (3,500–30,000-fold), E319A (900–1,000-fold) and D156A (450–500-fold). With the exception of D56N, individual replacements of the carboxyl groups by iso-electronic carboxamides resulted in a more pronounced reduction in activity than mutations to alanines. These findings illustrate that the negative charges provided by individual carboxyl groups are

Table 2 | Dissociation constants for PglB active site mutants.

Mutant	K_d (μM)
wt	1.02 ± 0.06^a
D56A	^{a,b}
D56N	^b
D154A	63.6 ± 4.4
D154N	38.7 ± 2.7
D156A	90.9 ± 8.2
D156N	48.1 ± 1.6
E319A	^{a,b}
E319Q	^b

Dissociation constants (K_d) were derived from curves shown in Supplementary Fig. S8. The errors represent the s.e. of the fit.
^aData as presented in Gerber *et al.*¹⁵
^bPeptide binding was observed; however, no K_d could be determined because the fluorescence anisotropy signal reached only 30–50% saturation at the limiting PglB concentration of 100–180 μM .

essential for catalysis and that steric effects might explain the additional decrease in activity for mutants D154N, D156N and E319Q. Unexpectedly, elevated concentrations of Mn^{2+} did not increase the turnover rates of active site mutants. For example, the optimum Mn^{2+} concentrations for Glu319 and Asp156 mutants were lower than those of wt enzyme (Supplementary Fig. S9).

Different divalent metal cations can serve as cofactors. We previously reported that at the optimum metal concentration (20–50 mM), Mn^{2+} yielded a threefold higher glycosylation rate than Mg^{2+} , and that at lower, physiologically more relevant concentrations, Mg^{2+} and Mn^{2+} showed similar activities¹⁵. We now investigated PglB activity in the presence of Co^{2+} , Ni^{2+} and Zn^{2+} . To prevent artefacts, we removed the His₁₀ affinity tag from PglB before functional analysis. The tag-less PglB protein yielded slight differences in the activity profiles for the various metal cofactors (Fig. 4c). The highest activity was observed at 10 mM Mn^{2+} . Co^{2+} yielded higher, whereas Ni^{2+} and Zn^{2+} generally yielded lower activities than Mg^{2+} . However, at 0.1 mM concentration, Co^{2+} , Ni^{2+} and Zn^{2+} yielded higher activities than Mg^{2+} (Fig. 4c), suggesting that *in vivo*, these transition metals are potential candidates for OST cofactors.

pH activity profile of PglB. We determined the pH profile of PglB-mediated glycosylation *in vitro* using 10 mM Mn^{2+} as cofactor. We observed a bell-shaped activity profile with an optimum at pH 6.8. Fitting the determined turnover rates resulted in pK_a values of 4.7 and 8.0 (Fig. 4d).

Discussion

As the discovery of protein N-glycosylation is an essential post-translational modification in the secretory pathway of eukaryotic cells, the reaction mechanism of OST, specifically the activation of the carboxamide group of the acceptor asparagine, has been in dispute. Two fundamentally distinct activation mechanisms are outlined in Fig. 5. The first hypothesis involves a general acid/base-driven activation, which represents a modified proposal based on Bause's¹⁷ suggestion that a catalytic base abstracts a proton from the hydroxyl group of the +2 Ser/Thr, triggering the deprotonation of the acceptor Asn side chain when in contact with the deprotonated +2 hydroxyl group. In line with hypothesis 1, Imperiali *et al.*¹⁴ as well as Marshall³² independently proposed that a catalytic base abstracts an amide proton, whereas the hydroxyl group of the +2 Ser/Thr serves as a hydrogen donor to the amide carbonyl oxygen. All three proposals require a catalytic base near the active site of the

enzyme and rely on conformations that position the hydroxyl moiety of the +2 Ser/Thr in hydrogen-bonding distance to the Asn side chain amide. Whereas the second requirement was clearly incompatible with the X-ray structure of PglB, enolization of the carboxamide group, facilitated by a catalytic base, remained a possibility. Hypothesis 2 (twisted amide, Fig. 5) emerged from the PglB structure, in particular the close interaction of the metal ion, the catalytically essential carboxyl groups of Asp56 and Glu319 and the carboxamide group of the acceptor Asn.

We first ruled out an essential role of His485, the only residue close enough to the acceptor Asn that could serve as a proton acceptor in addition to Asp56 and Glu319, in PglB activity. Rather than a catalytic involvement, His485 appears to have a structural role in PglB.

In principle, abstraction of a proton by residues Asp56 or Glu319 remained a possibility; however, this would require a rotation of the deprotonated carboxamide nitrogen to allow a nucleophilic attack on the LLO. We therefore characterized the roles of Asp56 and Glu319. Their carboxyl groups could in principle act as a catalytic base; however, because of their interactions with the bound divalent metal cation they probably only serve as hydrogen-bond acceptors (Fig. 4a). Individual mutations of Asp56 and Glu319 not only impaired catalysis but, more importantly, severely affected substrate peptide binding, suggesting that the carboxyl groups of both residues are indeed involved in amide binding even in the absence of LLO. The proposed hydrogen bonding illustrated in Fig. 5 is optimal when the C–N bond of the acceptor carboxamide group is rotated to generate a twisted amide. As depicted in Fig. 5, such a distortion generates a reactive free electron pair at the nitrogen. Twisted amides are known to have a substantially higher reactivity than planar amides and were indeed proposed to have a role in biological reactions involving amide groups^{33–35}. Backbone amide distortion has been described in crystal structures of proteins that undergo autoproteolysis^{36,37}, and experimental data provide additional support that this unusual conformation of the scissile amide bond is the driving force for a nucleophilic attack during the catalysis of protein splicing³⁸. These findings demonstrate that protein-catalysed reactions can indeed provide the energy required for amide C–N bond rotation, estimated to be $\sim 16\text{--}20 \text{ kcal mol}^{-1}$ (ref. 39).

A twisted amide activation of Asn in PglB-catalysed N-glycosylation, facilitated by two strong hydrogen bonds provided by Asp56 and Glu319, can explain why the Dab-containing peptide analogue was an inhibitor in our *in vitro* assay and could not be glycosylated, even at elevated PglB concentrations, whereas the Hse-containing peptide was O-glycosylated. Unlike Hse, Dab is protonated at physiological pH and could only present a free electron pair following deprotonation. Given the free rotation around the C–N bond of the primary amine, Dab probably forms two hydrogen bonds, one each with Asp56 and Glu319. As a result, the electron density on the amine nitrogen of Dab will increase, making an abstraction of the remaining proton (and the generation of a reactive electron pair) impossible under conditions where PglB is active. It was somewhat unexpected that Dab had a lower binding affinity for PglB than the Asn-containing, wt sequon. There are two possible explanations. On one hand, the carbonyl group of the Asn side chain is likely interacting with PglB, although the detailed contacts are impossible to delineate at the present resolution of the structural information. On the other hand, the positive charge of the primary amine group could be weakening the binding to PglB. In comparison, the binding of the Hse substrate to PglB is probably weak because the hydroxyl group can only form one hydrogen bond (either with Asp56 or Glu319). However, Hse can provide relatively reactive electron pairs that can attack LLO, resulting in

Figure 5 | Mechanistic hypotheses for catalytic activation of amide nitrogen. Yellow dashed lines indicate polypeptide backbone. Orange circles indicate the C1 carbon of the reducing end sugar. For hypothesis 1, protonation of the carbonyl oxygen and deprotonation of the amide nitrogen are indicated. For hypothesis 2, the acceptor Asn side chain is immobilized when bound to PglB (grey plane) and the amide group may form hydrogen bonds with residues D56 and E319, requiring rotation of the C–N bond (red arrow). R₁: Dolichyl (eukaryotes), Undecaprenyl (bacteria); R₂: OH (eukaryotes), Oligosaccharyl (bacteria); R₃: Oligosaccharyl (eukaryotes), NHAc (bacteria); R₄: CH₂OH (eukaryotes), CH₃ (bacteria).

observable glycosylation. These findings support the notion that two hydrogen bond acceptors (Asp56 and Glu319) are essential for PglB activity and that no other base is required. We should emphasize that there are other factors that can increase the reactivity of the amide nitrogen—for example, the involvement of a nucleophile attacking the carbon atom of the C=O double bond. Similar to an addition reaction, this would effectively break the conjugation of the nitrogen electrons with the carbonyl group. At present, the resolution of the PglB structure is too low to identify potential water molecules that could serve this purpose. However, as indicated in Fig. 5 (hypothesis 2), it is essential that the carbonyl group is immobilized in the tunnel connecting the peptide-binding site and the catalytic pocket, although the details of the interactions require higher resolution to define.

Replacing one of the amide protons by a methyl or an O-methyl substituent resulted in compounds 7 and 8, which could in principle be accommodated in the active site of PglB, provided the side-chain analogues are in the Z configuration. The fact that binding was abolished suggests that no hydrogen bonds were formed with Asp56 or Glu319. This may be because of steric constraints, which could also explain that not even traces of glycosylation were detected. By contrast, upon replacing an amide proton with a hydroxyl group (resulting in the hydroxamate Asp(NHOH, compound 6), we observed a sizeable binding affinity, suggesting that both the remaining proton and the hydroxyl group must form stabilizing interactions with PglB, in line with carboxamide twisting. For glycosylation, however, the hydroxamate does not need to be rotated, as the terminal hydroxyl group represents a highly reactive nucleophile because of the alpha effect²³. This results in an unusual O-linked glycosylation reaction, as demonstrated by our NMR studies.

Our experiments illustrated that of the 20 natural amino acids, only asparagine is able to bind efficiently to PglB. At the same time, we could rationalize glutamine N-glycosylation. The

carboxamide group of Gln is expected to project ~1.5 Å beyond the optimal position in the active site of PglB because of the additional methylene group compared with Asn. As a result, a glutamine-containing acceptor sequon either positions the Gln-carboxamide group correctly for activation (and binding contributions), which disturbs the interactions between the acceptor peptide backbone and the PglB surface. Alternatively, if the acceptor peptide benefits from all binding interactions with PglB, the carboxamide group cannot be properly placed. This might explain why glycosylation of Gln was ~200,000-fold slower than that of Asn *in vitro* and why glycosylation of glutamines is very rare *in vivo*. Nevertheless, using advanced mass spectrometry techniques, ‘Q-linked’ glycosylation was demonstrated for the V_L domain of an IgG2a antibody, which was glycosylated at Gln106 with an occupancy of 0.02% (ref. 40).

The function of the divalent metal ion in PglB appears to be twofold. As is the case for soluble, metal-dependent glycosyltransferases, M²⁺ is a Lewis acid stabilizing the lipid-pyrophosphate leaving group. In addition, it is a cofactor that stabilizes the conformation of functional residues and acceptor Asn in the catalytic site. Our finding that Mn²⁺ and Mg²⁺ can be replaced by other ions such as Co²⁺, Ni²⁺ and Zn²⁺ *in vitro* was unexpected. Even though the divalent cation radii for Co²⁺, Ni²⁺ and Zn²⁺ are between those of Mg²⁺ (0.65 Å) and Mn²⁺ (0.8 Å), and all five cations exhibit comparable coordination behaviour, a replacement of Mg²⁺ or Mn²⁺ by Zn²⁺ is rather unusual for metal-dependent enzymes^{41–43}. The importance of the metal ion might be reflected in the observed pH activity profile of PglB. The second pK_a value of 8.0 probably reflects a reduced solubility of Mn²⁺ at high pH—that is, the insolubility of Mn(OH)₂. The determinant for the first pK_a value of 4.7 is less clear. Besides the carboxyl groups of Asp56 and Glu319, Asp465 (which is part of the WWD motif) might be protonated. As Asp465 has a central role in binding +2 Ser/Thr of the acceptor

sequons, it would lose its function as a hydrogen bond acceptor upon protonation^{16,44}.

The question arises why nature chose Asn over Gln for N-glycosylation. Whereas the frequencies of Asn and Gln in the proteomes of modern organisms are nearly identical, Asn was almost twice as abundant as Gln in ancient organisms, in which N-linked glycosylation probably originated^{45–47}. The side chain of Asn also exhibits a smaller degree of flexibility than that of glutamine, providing entropic advantages for substrate binding and catalysis. Whatever the driving force for establishing the N-X-S/T sequon was, the same sequon was selected twice for the attachment of glycans in evolution^{19,48,49}. It is possible that the biophysical properties of the N-X-S/T sequon are the basis of this convergent evolution. Alternatively, molecular mimicry might be the selective force leading to the generation of this N-glycosylation system in pathogenic bacteria. In the case of OST, a fascinating mechanism has evolved that accurately distinguishes Gln from Asn residues but uses the carboxamide group both for substrate binding and efficient catalytic activation. Along with the Asn side chain, the hydroxyl-containing amino acid (Ser/Thr) in the +2 position provides enough selectivity and binding energy for efficient selection and glycosylation of the entire substrate repertoire of a cell. In combination with the high stability of the N-glycosidic linkage, these features are the basis of the high abundance of N-glycoproteins in all domains of life.

Methods

Reagents. All reagents were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich, Fluka, Acros Organics or Alfa Aesar. 5-Carboxyfluorescein (5-CF) was purchased either from Novabiochem (Switzerland) or from OChem Incorporation (USA). The amino-acid building blocks and PyBOP were purchased from Advanced ChemTech (USA) or Novabiochem (Switzerland). Fmoc-protected TentaGel S RAM resin was purchased from Rapp Polymere (Germany).

Construction of plasmids. Mutations in the *pglB* gene of *C. lari* were generated by the QuickChange method either on a pBAD plasmid¹⁶ for *in vitro* glycosylation studies or on a pMLBAD plasmid⁵⁰ for *in vivo* glycosylation assays. For *in vitro* glycosylation, the two endogenous glycosylation sites were removed (N535Q, N556Q) to prevent auto-glycosylation of PglB. The resulting construct was referred to as wt construct and all subsequent mutations were based on this construct. Introduction of a 3C proteolytic cleavage site between the *pglB* gene and the polyhistidine tag was performed by ligation of phosphorylated, double-stranded DNA of oligonucleotides 5'-AATTCGGCAGCGGCTGGAAGTCTGTTT CAGGGTCCGG-3' and 5'-AATTCGGACCGTGAACAGGACTTCCAGG CCGTCCGG-3' into the wt pBAD plasmid digested with the enzyme *EcoRI*. All plasmids were validated using DNA sequencing.

In vivo glycosylation assay. To analyse the activity of *C. lari* PglB mutants *in vivo*, *E. coli* SCM6 cells were transformed with three separate plasmids carrying the *C. jejuni* *pglB*_{mut} cluster containing an inactivated *pglB* gene (W458A and D459A)⁵¹, the glycosylation acceptor protein 3D5 containing a DQNA1 acceptor site⁵² and *C. lari* PglB, wild-type or mutants. A 5-ml pre-culture was inoculated from a single clone and grown overnight at 37 °C in LB medium. The main culture was inoculated to an optical density (A_{600}) of 0.05 in 15 ml LB medium and grown at 37 °C to A_{600} of 0.5. The culture was induced by the addition of arabinose to 0.1% (w/v) and grown for 4 h at 24 °C. For extraction of periplasmic proteins, an equivalent of 1 ml culture volume with an A_{600} of 3 was collected using centrifugation, resuspended in 150 μ l extraction buffer, consisting of 30 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.5; 20% (w/v) sucrose; 1 mM EDTA and 1 mg ml⁻¹ lysozyme (Sigma) and incubated for 1 h at 4 °C. A final centrifugation step yielded periplasmic proteins in the supernatant. Expression and glycosylation of the acceptor protein 3D5 was monitored using SDS-PAGE of periplasmic cell extracts and visualized by mobility shift to increased size in an immunoblot using an anti-C-Myc antibody, or by the reactivity of the glycoprotein in an anti-glycan Immunoblot using hR6 antiserum. Immunodetection of PglB expression was performed from whole-cell extracts using an anti-HA antiserum (Santa Cruz Biotechnology). All experiments were performed at least in triplicate, and representative samples are shown.

Expression and purification of PglB mutants. PglB mutants were overexpressed in *E. coli* BL-21 (DE3) Gold cells in a 30-l fermenter or in 5-l baffled flasks using Terrific Broth medium supplemented with 1% (w/v) glycerol. Cells were grown to A_{600} of 10.0 (fermenter) or 3.0 (flasks) at 37 °C and induced with 0.1% (w/v)

arabinose for 2 or 4 h, respectively. Cells were collected using centrifugation and pellets were stored at -80 °C before membrane preparation. Cell pellets were resuspended in 25 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.0; 250 mM NaCl and disrupted in a M-110L microfluidizer (Microfluidics) at 15,000 psi external pressure. Membranes were pelleted using ultracentrifugation at 100,000 g for 0.5 h. All subsequent steps were carried out at 4 °C. PglB was solubilized in 25 mM Tris-HCl pH 8.0, 250 mM NaCl, 10% (v/v) glycerol and 1% (w/v) n-dodecyl- β -D-maltopyranoside (Anatrace) for 1 h. All purification buffers contained n-dodecyl- β -D-maltopyranoside. PglB was purified on a NiNTA superflow affinity column (Qiagen) and desalted into 10 mM MES pH 6.5, 100 mM NaCl, 0.5 mM EDTA and 3% (v/v) glycerol. Desalted protein was analysed using size exclusion chromatography (Superdex 200, GE healthcare) and, if needed, concentrated up to 20 mg ml⁻¹ in a 100 kDa molecular weight cutoff Ultra-15 concentrator (Amicon, Millipore). The concentrated sample was re-analysed using gel filtration, and protein concentrations were determined with A_{280} using diluted samples.

For purification of PglB with a cleavable polyhistidine tag, the protein was desalted into 25 mM Tris-HCl pH 8.0, 250 mM NaCl and 10% (v/v) glycerol and incubated with 3C protease (prepared in-house) in a 2:1 ratio (PglB:3C) for 2 h at 4 °C. The sample was supplemented with 10 mM imidazole and reloaded on a Ni-NTA affinity column. The flow through was collected, supplemented with 0.5 mM EDTA and desalted into 10 mM Mes pH 6.5, 100 mM NaCl and 3% (v/v) glycerol.

Synthesis of fluorescein-labelled acceptor peptides. Peptide synthesis was initiated by loading of TentaGel S RAM resin (500 mg, loading: 0.24 mmol g⁻¹) in a 10-ml polypropylene syringe fitted with a polypropylene frit, a teflon stopcock and a stopper. The resin was swollen in DCM (6 ml, 20 min). After removal of the DCM, the Fmoc-protecting group of the resin was removed by using a solution of 20% piperidine in NMP. Stirring of the reaction mixture at any given step was performed by attaching the closed syringes to a rotating axis. The completion of the reaction was checked using the TNBS test. Removal of the Fmoc-protecting group was performed by using a solution of 20% piperidine in NMP (6 ml, 2 $\times$ 10 min). After filtration, the resin was washed with NMP (2 $\times$ 6 ml), MeOH (2 $\times$ 6 ml) and DCM (2 $\times$ 6 ml). Coupling of amino acids was performed by using Fmoc-protected amino acids (3 eq), PyBOP (3 eq) and DIEA (6 eq) in NMP (6 ml). The resin was stirred for 2 h before it was washed with NMP, MeOH and DCM (2 $\times$ 6 ml each). Acetylation of the resin was performed after each amino-acid coupling using a solution of acetic anhydride:DCM (1:1). Coupling of 5-CF was performed by using 5-CF (2 eq), HOBt (5 eq) and DIC (5 eq) in NMP (6 ml). The resin was stirred overnight and protected from light using aluminium foil. The resin was washed with NMP, MeOH and DCM (2 $\times$ 6 ml each). A solution of 20% piperidine in NMP (6 ml, 6 $\times$ 5 min) was added to the resin to remove the excess of free 5-CF before the resin was finally washed with DCM (6 $\times$ 6 ml). After coupling of the fluorophore, the compounds were protected from light with aluminium foil at all time. Trifluoroacetic acid (TFA) cleavage was performed by adding a solution of TFA/TIS/H₂O (94:5:1, v/v/v) to the resin for 1 h. The peptide was precipitated with *tert*-butylmethylether and dissolved in H₂O/MeCN with 0.1% TFA mixture. Labelled acceptor peptides were purified by preparative RP-HPLC and obtained as yellow foamy solid after lyophilization.

For Allyl/Alloc deprotection, the polypropylene syringe was equipped with a septum and dried under high vacuum for 1 h, before it was swollen in dry DCM for 10 min under argon. After removal of the solvent, Pd(PPh₃)₄ (0.15 eq) and phenylsilane (15 eq) were diluted in 4 ml of dry DCM and added to the resin under argon. The reaction was stirred under argon bubbling for 20 min before the reagents were removed by filtration, and the resin was washed with dry DCM. The procedure was repeated once. Finally, the resin was washed with a sodium diethylcarbamate solution (0.02 M in DMF) and then with dry DCM (8 ml, 2 $\times$ 10 min).

Peptides were dissolved to 2–5 mM in dimethylsulphoxide and the concentration of peptides was determined from a dilution in 100 mM Tris-HCl pH 9.0 by A_{495} with an extinction coefficient of 79,000 M⁻¹ cm⁻¹. A detailed description for the synthesis of individual peptides and amino-acid building blocks can be found in Supplementary Methods.

Fluorescence anisotropy measurements. Desalt buffer (70 μ l) supplemented with 1 μ M peptide substrate and 10 mM MnCl₂ was titrated with concentrated PglB containing equal concentrations of peptide substrate and MnCl₂. Anisotropy was measured with a Quantamaster QM-7/2003 spectrofluorometer (Photon Technology International) in a T-format at 4 °C. Each concentration was measured 20 times for 1 s at 494 nm excitation and 521 nm emission wavelengths. Anisotropy was calculated using equation [1].

$$r = \frac{\frac{I_{\parallel}}{I_{\perp}} - 1}{\frac{I_{\parallel}}{I_{\perp}} + 2} \quad (1)$$

Anisotropy was plotted against total protein concentration and data were fitted in Prism (GraphPad Software) using equation [2] for the 1:1 stoichiometry.

$$Y = Sf - (Sf - Sb) * \frac{(Kd + x + 1) - \sqrt{((Kd + x + 1)^2 - 4 * x * 1)}}{2 * 1} \quad (2)$$

Protein solution from the cuvette was re-analysed using size exclusion chromatography after each anisotropy measurement to prove stability of the protein over the course of the experiment.

Extraction of LLOs. LLOs were extracted from *E. coli* SCM6 cells carrying the *C. jejuni* *pglB*_{mut} cluster⁵¹ (containing an inactivated *pglB* gene) by a mixture of Chloroform:MeOH:H₂O = 10:20:3 (ref. 53). Generation and isolation of LLOs with truncated glycan structures will be published elsewhere. Extracts were dried in a rotavap and reconstituted in a buffer containing 10 mM Mes pH 6.5, 100 mM NaCl and 1% Triton X-100 (w/v). The concentration of reconstituted LLOs was determined by titrating various amounts of LLO against a constant amount of acceptor peptide in an *in vitro* glycosylation assay.

In vitro glycosylation assay. In a reaction containing 10 mM Mes pH 6.5, 100 mM NaCl, 10 mM MnCl₂, 3% glycerol (v/v) and 1% Triton X100 (w/v), 10% LLO extract (v/v, corresponding to 35 μM) and various amounts of PglB (1 nM–25 μM) were mixed. The mixture was pre-incubated at 30 °C in a water bath for 5 min before the reaction was started by addition of 10 μM fluorescently labelled acceptor peptide. Reactions were incubated in a water bath at 30 °C. Samples were taken at different time points and reactions were stopped by the addition of 4 × SDS sample buffer. Samples were diluted 200-fold prior to analysis by Tricine SDS-PAGE⁵⁴. Fluorescent bands for peptide and glycopeptide were visualized by using a Typhoon Trio Plus imager (GE Healthcare) with excitation at 488 nm and a 526-nm SP emission filter. The amount of formed glycopeptide was determined from band intensities of fluorescence gel scans (ImageJ), in which the sum of signals for glycosylated and non-glycosylated peptides for each lane was defined as 100%.

For turnover rate determination, a total of five samples were taken in 6-min intervals and data were fitted using linear regression.

For M²⁺ titration experiments, PglB (4 nM wt enzyme and 0.5–25 μM mutant enzyme) was incubated with various amounts of CoCl₂, MgCl₂, MnCl₂, NiCl₂ and ZnCl₂, respectively. Four samples were taken at appropriate time intervals (2–16 min) so that the reaction was in a linear range. Data were fitted using linear regression to determine turnover rates.

For pH activity profile determination, 4 nM PglB wt enzyme was incubated in a reaction mix containing 20 mM of different buffers. The pH of each condition was determined (NaAc pH 4.2 and pH 4.9; Mes pH 5.5 and pH 6.1; Pipes pH 6.5; Hepes pH 7.3; Tris pH 8.2; Glycine pH 8.6; Caps pH 8.8), and four samples were taken at appropriate time intervals (2–20 min) so that the reaction was in a linear range. Data were fitted using linear regression to determine turnover rates. Obtained rates were plotted against pH values and data were fitted in Prism (GraphPad Software) using equation [3].

$$V = \frac{V_{\max} \cdot 10^{pH - pK_a}}{10^{2pH - pK_a} - 10^{pH - pK_a} + 1} \quad (3)$$

Endoglycosidase treatment and extraction of glycopeptides. For endoglycosidase treatment, a reaction containing 1 nmol glycopeptide was supplemented with 15 μg bovine serum albumin and incubated with 100 U α-N-Acetyl-galactosaminidase for 4 h at 37 °C.

Extraction of glycopeptides from the *in vitro* glycosylation reaction was performed by the addition of 1 ml of 3:2 chloroform:methanol and 200 ml of 4 mM MgCl₂ as described before⁵⁵, and extracted peptides were dried in a speed vac. For fluorescence anisotropy measurements, samples were dissolved in ddH₂O and the concentration of glycopeptides was determined with the absorbance at 495 nm (see above). For NMR analysis, the extracted glycopeptide was extensively dialysed against ddH₂O using a CelluSep membrane (1.0 kDa MWCO, Membrane Filtration Products, Inc.) before it was lyophilized and dissolved in D₂O.

Mass spectrometry. Fluorescently labelled substrate peptides containing either a DQNAT or a DQAT sequon were extracted from *in vitro* glycosylation reactions and subjected to nanoLC-ESI-MS/MS analysis. Samples were desalted using C18 Zip-tip and loaded on an Eksigent nano-HPLC system using an auto sampler with a self-made reverse-phase tip column (75 μm × 80 mm) packed with C18 material (AQ, 3 μm, 200 Å; Bischoff GmbH). The gradient consisted of 10–30% acetonitrile (ACN) in 0.2% formic acid (FA) at a flow rate of 250 nL min⁻¹ for 60 min and 30–50% ACN in 0.2% FA for 5 min. High accuracy mass spectra were acquired with an LTQ Orbitrap Velos mass spectrometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific) in the mass range of m/z 300–2,000 at a resolution of 60,000 at m/z 400 and a target value of 1 × 10⁶ ions. Inclusion parent list was used to set normalized collision energy 35% for glycopeptides at MS1 mass of m/z 872.9149 and normalized collision energy 55% at MS2 mass of m/z 1,439.2780. Minimum signal required for triggering MS3 was set at a threshold of 1 × 10³ ions.

Peptides containing Asp(NHOH) (compound 6) were extracted from the *in vitro* glycosylation reaction, desalted with a C18 ZipTip and eluted with 70% ACN and 0.1% FA. Peptides were mixed at a ratio of 1:1 with α-cyano-4-hydroxycinnamic acid (5 mg ml⁻¹ in 70% ACN and 0.1% TFA) as a matrix for spotting on the target plate. Peptides were analysed on MALDI-TOF/TOF 4800 proteomics analyser (Applied Biosystems) operated in the positive reflectron mode.

NMR spectroscopy. All spectra were recorded on a Bruker Avance III 500 or 900 MHz spectrometer at 293 K unless stated otherwise. The peptide containing unmodified Asp(NHOH) (compound 6) was dissolved in D₂O at a concentration of 0.5 mM. The reaction product of the *in vitro* glycosylation of compound 6 was extracted, dialysed, lyophilized and dissolved in 250 μl D₂O at a concentration of 0.35 mM. A 2D NOESY spectrum was recorded at 900 MHz with a mixing time of 150 ms, 96 transients and 2,048 × 674 points. 2D TOCSY spectra were recorded at 900 MHz with mixing times of either 13 or 80 ms, 4 transients and 2,048 × 512 points. ¹H–¹³C HSQC spectra were recorded at 283 K with typically 260 transients and 2,048 × 220 points. Spectra were processed using Topspin 2.1 (Bruker) and analysed with Sparky (Goddard, T.D. & Kneller, D.G. SPARKY 3. University of California, San Francisco). All spectra were referenced to DSS according to Markley *et al.*⁵⁶

Assigning the C1 chemical shift of diNAcBac. In an ¹H–¹³C HSQC spectrum of the *in vitro* glycosylated Asp(NHOH) peptide (natural abundance ¹³C), almost all correlations of the *C. jejuni* N-glycan are observed except the characteristic C1–H1 correlation of diNAcBac linked to Asn at 80.8 p.p.m. (ref. 18). To unambiguously assign the H1 of diNAcBac, we first recorded a 2D NOESY spectrum (Supplementary Fig. S4). The isolated chemical shift of the diNAcBac methyl group of C6 at ~1.1 p.p.m. shows normally two strong NOE cross-peaks within the anomeric region: an inter-residual NOE to GalNAc2 H1 and an intra-residual NOE to diNAcBac H1 (ref. 18). Most NOE cross-peaks of *in vitro* glycosylated Asp(NHOH) peptide and the *in vitro* glycosylated protein AcrA61–210ΔΔ are identical. However, H1 of diNAcBac resonates at 4.75 p.p.m. instead of 5.03 p.p.m. (ref. 18). The H1 resonance assignment of diNAcBac in the glycosylated Asp(NHOH) peptide was confirmed with 2D TOCSY spectra with different mixing times that display cross-peaks to other resonances of the spin system. In the initial ¹H–¹³C HSQC spectrum recorded at 293 K, artefacts around the residual HDO signal at 4.83 p.p.m. hampered the observation of a C1–H1 correlation and therefore another ¹H–¹³C HSQC spectrum was measured at 283 K (HDO at 4.95 p.p.m.). 2D TOCSY spectra recorded at 283 K revealed that the resonance of H1 did not change compared with spectra at 293 K. Only one C1–H1 correlation is found at the H1 resonance of diNAcBac so that C1 can be assigned to 106.4 p.p.m. This value fits well to previously reported chemical shifts of glycosyl hydroxamates. For example, a lactose hydroxamate shows a C1 chemical shift of 106.0 p.p.m. (referenced to TSP that is comparable to DSS)²¹ and glucose hydroxamate of Trichostatin C displaying a C1 resonance at 107.9 p.p.m. (referenced to TMS, corresponding to 106.3 p.p.m. against DSS)²².

References

- Karaoglu, D., Silberstein, S., Kelleher, D. J. & Gilmore, R. The *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* oligosaccharyltransferase: a large hetero-oligomeric complex in the endoplasmic reticulum. *Cold Spring Harb. Symp. Quant. Biol.* **60**, 83–92 (1995).
- Silberstein, S., Collins, P. G., Kelleher, D. J. & Gilmore, R. The essential OST2 gene encodes the 16-kD subunit of the yeast oligosaccharyltransferase, a highly conserved protein expressed in diverse eukaryotic organisms. *J. Cell Biol.* **131**, 371–383 (1995).
- te Heesen, S., Janetzky, B., Lehle, L. & Aebi, M. The yeast WBP1 is essential for oligosaccharyl transferase activity *in vivo* and *in vitro*. *EMBO J.* **11**, 2071–2075 (1992).
- te Heesen, S., Knauer, R., Lehle, L. & Aebi, M. Yeast Wbp1p and Swp1p form a protein complex essential for oligosaccharyl transferase activity. *EMBO J.* **12**, 279–284 (1993).
- Zufferey, R. *et al.* STT3, a highly conserved protein required for yeast oligosaccharyl transferase activity *in vivo*. *EMBO J.* **14**, 4949–4960 (1995).
- Calo, D., Kaminski, L. & Eichler, J. Protein glycosylation in Archaea: sweet and extreme. *Glycobiology* **20**, 1065–1076 (2010).
- Mescher, M. F. & Strominger, J. L. Purification and characterization of a prokaryotic glucoprotein from the cell envelope of *Halobacterium salinarum*. *J. Biol. Chem.* **251**, 2005–2014 (1976).
- Lechner, J. & Wieland, F. Structure and biosynthesis of prokaryotic glycoproteins. *Annu. Rev. Biochem.* **58**, 173–194 (1989).
- Nothaft, H. & Szymanski, C. M. Protein glycosylation in bacteria: sweeter than ever. *Nat. Rev. Microbiol.* **8**, 765–778 (2010).
- Nothaft, H. & Szymanski, C. M. Bacterial protein N-glycosylation: new perspectives and applications. *J. Biol. Chem.* **288**, 6912–6920 (2013).
- Szymanski, C. M., Yao, R., Ewing, C. P., Trust, T. J. & Guerry, P. Evidence for a system of general protein glycosylation in *Campylobacter jejuni*. *Mol. Microbiol.* **32**, 1022–1030 (1999).
- Marshall, R. D. Glycoproteins. *Annu. Rev. Biochem.* **41**, 673–702 (1972).
- Bause, E. & Legler, G. The role of the hydroxy amino-acid in the tripeptide sequence Asn-Xaa-Thr(Ser) for the N-glycosylation step during glycoprotein-biosynthesis. *Biochem. J.* **195**, 639–644 (1981).
- Imperiali, B., Shannon, K. L. & Rickert, K. W. Role of peptide conformation in asparagine-linked glycosylation. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **114**, 7942–7944 (1992).
- Gerber, S. *et al.* Mechanism of bacterial oligosaccharyltransferase: *in vitro* quantification of sequon binding and catalysis. *J. Biol. Chem.* **288**, 8849–8861 (2013).

16. Lizak, C., Gerber, S., Numao, S., Aebi, M. & Locher, K. P. X-ray structure of a bacterial oligosaccharyltransferase. *Nature* **474**, 350–355 (2011).
17. Bause, E. Model studies on N-glycosylation of proteins. *Biochem. Soc. Trans.* **12**, 514–517 (1984).
18. Slynko, V. *et al.* NMR structure determination of a segmentally labelled glycoprotein using *in vitro* glycosylation. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **131**, 1274–1281 (2009).
19. Schwarz, F., Fan, Y. Y., Schubert, M. & Aebi, M. Cytoplasmic N-glycosyltransferase of *Actinobacillus pleuropneumoniae* is an inverting enzyme and recognizes the NX(S/T) consensus sequence. *J. Biol. Chem.* **286**, 35267–35274 (2011).
20. van Zuylen, C. W. *et al.* Mobilities of the inner three core residues and the Man(α 1–6) branch of the glycan at Asn78 of the α -subunit of human chorionic gonadotropin are restricted by the protein. *Biochemistry* **37**, 1933–1940 (1998).
21. Andersson, M. & Oscarson, S. Synthesis of glycoconjugates by covalent coupling of O-glycopyranosyl-N-hydroxysuccinimide derivatives of lactose to proteins and lipids and polymerization of their parent acryloyl derivatives into acrylamide polymers. *Bioconjug. Chem.* **4**, 246–249 (1993).
22. Tsuji, N. & Kobayashi, M. Trichostatin C, a glucopyranosyl hydroxamate. *J. Antibiot. (Tokyo)* **31**, 939–944 (1978).
23. Fina, N. J. & Edwards, J. O. The α effect. a review. *Int. J. Chem. Kinet.* **5**, 1–26 (1973).
24. Bause, E., Breuer, W. & Peters, S. Investigation of the active site of oligosaccharyltransferase from pig liver using synthetic tripeptides as tools. *Biochem. J.* **312**(Pt 3): 979–985 (1995).
25. Linton, D. *et al.* Functional analysis of the *Campylobacter jejuni* N-linked protein glycosylation pathway. *Mol. Microbiol.* **55**, 1695–1703 (2005).
26. Schwarz, F. *et al.* A combined method for producing homogeneous glycoproteins with eukaryotic N-glycosylation. *Nat. Chem. Biol.* **6**, 264–266 (2010).
27. Lazarus, M. B. *et al.* Structural snapshots of the reaction coordinate for O-GlcNAc transferase. *Nat. Chem. Biol.* **8**, 966–968 (2012).
28. Schimpl, M. *et al.* O-GlcNAc transferase invokes nucleotide sugar pyrophosphate participation in catalysis. *Nat. Chem. Biol.* **8**, 969–974 (2012).
29. Jaffee, M. B. & Imperiali, B. Exploiting topological constraints to reveal buried sequence motifs in the membrane-bound N-linked oligosaccharyl transferases. *Biochemistry* **50**, 7557–7567 (2011).
30. Liu, J. & Mushegian, A. Three monophyletic superfamilies account for the majority of the known glycosyltransferases. *Protein. Sci.* **12**, 1418–1431 (2003).
31. Maeda, Y. *et al.* PIG-M transfers the first mannose to glycosylphosphatidylinositol on the luminal side of the ER. *EMBO J.* **20**, 250–261 (2001).
32. Marshall, R. D. The nature and metabolism of the carbohydrate-peptide linkages of glycoproteins. *Biochem. Soc. Symp.* **17**–26 (1974).
33. Clayden, J. & Moran, W. J. The twisted amide 2-quinuclidone: 60 Years in the making. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **45**, 7118–7120 (2006).
34. Kirby, A. J., Komarov, I. V., Wothers, P. D. & Feeder, N. The most twisted amide: structure and reactions. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **37**, 785–786 (1998).
35. Tani, K. & Stoltz, B. M. Synthesis and structural analysis of 2-quinuclidonium tetrafluoroborate. *Nature* **441**, 731–734 (2006).
36. Poland, B. W., Xu, M. Q. & Quijcho, F. A. Structural insights into the protein splicing mechanism of PI-SceI. *J. Biol. Chem.* **275**, 16408–16413 (2000).
37. Xu, Q., Buckley, D., Guan, C. & Guo, H. C. Structural insights into the mechanism of intramolecular proteolysis. *Cell* **98**, 651–661 (1999).
38. Romanelli, A., Shekhtman, A., Cowburn, D. & Muir, T. W. Semisynthesis of a segmental isotopically labelled protein splicing precursor: NMR evidence for an unusual peptide bond at the N-extein-intein junction. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* **101**, 6397–6402 (2004).
39. Wiberg, K. B. & Breneman, C. M. Resonance interactions in acyclic systems.3. formamide internal-rotation revisited—charge and energy redistribution along the C-N bond rotational pathway. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **114**, 831–840 (1992).
40. Valliere-Douglass, J. F. *et al.* Glutamine-linked and non-consensus asparagine-linked oligosaccharides present in human recombinant antibodies define novel protein glycosylation motifs. *J. Biol. Chem.* **285**, 16012–16022 (2010).
41. Bock, C. W., Katz, A. K., Markham, G. D. & Glusker, J. P. Manganese as a replacement for magnesium and zinc: functional comparison of the divalent ions. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **121**, 7360–7372 (1999).
42. Brown, I. D. What factors determine cation coordination numbers. *Acta Crystallograph. Section B-Structural Science* **44**, 545–553 (1988).
43. Glusker, J. P. Structural aspects of metal liganding to functional-groups in proteins. *Adv. Protein. Chem.* **42**, 1–76 (1991).
44. Yan, Q. & Lennarz, W. J. Studies on the function of oligosaccharyl transferase subunits. Stt3p is directly involved in the glycosylation process. *J. Biol. Chem.* **277**, 47692–47700 (2002).
45. Brooks, D. J., Fresco, J. R., Lesk, A. M. & Singh, M. Evolution of amino acid frequencies in proteins over deep time: inferred order of introduction of amino acids into the genetic code. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* **19**, 1645–1655 (2002).
46. Gilis, D., Massar, S., Cerf, N. J. & Rومان, M. Optimality of the genetic code with respect to protein stability and amino-acid frequencies. *Genome Biol.* **2**, RESEARCH0049 (2001).
47. Jordan, I. K. *et al.* A universal trend of amino acid gain and loss in protein evolution. *Nature* **433**, 633–638 (2005).
48. Choi, K. J., Grass, S., Paek, S. T., Geme, 3rd J. W. & Yeo, H. J. The *Actinobacillus pleuropneumoniae* HMW1C-like glycosyltransferase mediates N-linked glycosylation of the Haemophilus influenzae HMW1 adhesin. *PLoS One* **5**, e15888 (2010).
49. Kawai, F. *et al.* Structural insights into the glycosyltransferase activity of the *Actinobacillus pleuropneumoniae* HMW1C-like protein. *J. Biol. Chem.* **286**, 38546–38557 (2011).
50. Lefebvre, M. D. & Valvano, M. A. Construction and evaluation of plasmid vectors optimized for constitutive and regulated gene expression in *Burkholderia cepacia* complex isolates. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* **68**, 5956–5964 (2002).
51. Wacker, M. *et al.* N-linked glycosylation in *Campylobacter jejuni* and its functional transfer into *E. coli*. *Science* **298**, 1790–1793 (2002).
52. Lizak, C., Fan, Y. Y., Weber, T. C. & Aebi, M. N-Linked glycosylation of antibody fragments in *Escherichia coli*. *Bioconjug. Chem.* **22**, 488–496 (2011).
53. Kowarik, M. *et al.* N-linked glycosylation of folded proteins by the bacterial oligosaccharyltransferase. *Science* **314**, 1148–1150 (2006).
54. Schagger, H. Tricine-SDS-PAGE. *Nat. Protoc.* **1**, 16–22 (2006).
55. Glover, K. J., Weerapana, E., Numao, S. & Imperiali, B. Chemoenzymatic synthesis of glycopeptides with PglB, a bacterial oligosaccharyl transferase from *Campylobacter jejuni*. *Chem. Biol.* **12**, 1311–1315 (2005).
56. Markley, J. L. *et al.* Recommendations for the presentation of NMR structures of proteins and nucleic acids—(IUPAC Recommendations 1998). *Pure Appl. Chem.* **70**, 117–142 (1998).

Acknowledgements

We thank E. Weber-Ban and R. Glockshuber for granting us access to their fluorometer. We are grateful to the D-BIOL NMR Platform for granting us access to NMR spectrometers and to the Functional Genomics Centre Zurich for access to mass spectrometry. We thank the Mass Spectrometry Service (Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, University of Berne) for recording MS spectra of labelled acceptor peptides. We thank D. Zinne for providing LLO extracts with di- and trisaccharide. This work was supported by the NCCR Structural Biology Zurich (grant to K.P.L.) and the Swiss National Science Foundation (grants SNF 200020_125020 to J.L.R., SNF 31003B_144083/1 to M.A., and SNF 31003A-131075/1 and SNF 31003A_146191/1 to K.P.L.).

Author contributions

C.L., S.G. and K.P.L. designed the experiments; C.L. performed *in vitro* and *in vivo* glycosylation studies; S.G. performed peptide-binding studies; G.M. performed the synthesis of peptide substrates; M.S. performed NMR analysis; Y.-Y.F. performed MS analysis; M.B. performed site-directed mutagenesis and expression of PglB mutants; T.D. and J.-L.R. supervised the peptide synthesis; M.A. supervised MS analysis; C.L., S.G. and K.P.L. analysed the data; C.L. and K.P.L. wrote the manuscript; all authors contributed to editing the manuscript.

Additional information

Supplementary Information accompanies this paper at <http://www.nature.com/naturecommunications>

Competing financial interests: The authors declare no competing financial interests.

Reprints and permission information is available online at <http://npg.nature.com/reprintsandpermissions/>

How to cite this article: Lizak, C. *et al.* Unexpected reactivity and mechanism of carboxamide activation in bacterial N-linked protein glycosylation. *Nat. Commun.* **4**:2627 doi: 10.1038/ncomms3627 (2013).