ARTICLE

Received 18 Sep 2012 | Accepted 30 Apr 2013 | Published 17 Jun 2013

DOI: 10.1038/ncomms2947

OPEN

Arabidopsis FLC clade members form flowering-repressor complexes coordinating responses to endogenous and environmental cues

Xiaofeng Gu^{1,2}, Chau Le^{1,2}, Yizhong Wang^{1,2}, Zicong Li^{1,2}, Danhua Jiang^{1,2}, Yuqi Wang^{1,2,3} & Yuehui He^{1,2}

The developmental transition to flowering is timed by endogenous and environmental signals through multiple genetic pathways. In *Arabidopsis*, the MADS-domain protein FLOWERING LOCUS C is a potent flowering repressor. Here, we report that the FLOWERING LOCUS C clade member MADS AFFECTING FLOWERING3 acts redundantly with another clade member to directly repress expression of the florigen FLOWERING LOCUS T and inhibit flowering. FLOWERING LOCUS C clade members act in partial redundancy in floral repression and mediate flowering responses to temperature, in addition to their participation in the flowering-time regulation by vernalization and photoperiod. We show that FLOWERING LOCUS C, MADS AFFECTING FLOWERING3 and three other clade members can directly interact with each other and form nuclear complexes, and that FLOWERING LOCUS C-dependent floral repression requires other clade members. Our results collectively suggest that the FLOWERING LOCUS C clade members act as part of several MADS-domain complexes with partial redundancy, which integrate responses to endogenous and environmental cues to control flowering.

¹Department of Biological Sciences, National University of Singapore, Singapore 117543, Singapore. ²Temasek Life Sciences Laboratory, Singapore 117604, Singapore. ³College of Life Science, South China Agricultural University, Guangzhou 510642, China. Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to Y.H. (email: dbshy@nus.edu.sg).

When to flower is a critical developmental switch for reproductive success in plant life cycle. In *Arabidopsis thaliana*, a facultative long-day plant, the transition to flowering is timed by endogenous and environmental signals. Multiple genetic pathways such as the photoperiod, vernalization, thermosensory and aging pathways responding, respectively, to day-length change, prolonged cold exposure, ambient temperature fluctuation and plant age, form an integrated regulatory network to control the timing of flower initiation under a given environment^{1,2}.

In the *Arabidopsis* flowering-regulatory network, the MADS-domain transcription factor FLOWERING LOCUS C (FLC) functions as a potent floral repressor^{3,4}. *FRIGIDA* (*FRI*) activates *FLC* expression to confer a winter-annual growth habit (late flowering if without vernalization)^{5,6}. Vernalization, exposure to a typical cold winter, represses *FLC* expression enabling plants to flower next spring^{7–10}. In the rapid-cycling accessions lack of a functional *FRI*, *FLC* expression is repressed by ‘autonomous-pathway’ genes such as *FCA*, *FPA*, *FLD* and *LUMINIDEPENDENS*^{1,7,11}. The FLC protein associates with another MADS-box domain protein SHORT VEGETATIVE PHASE (SVP) to directly repress the expression of the conserved florigen FLOWERING LOCUS T (*FT*) and another flowering promoter SUPPRESSOR OF OVEREXPRESSION OF CO 1 (*SOC1*), resulting in floral repression^{12–15}.

Besides *FLC*, there are five *FLC* homologues in *Arabidopsis* genome, including FLOWERING LOCUS M (*FLM*)/MADS AFFECTING FLOWERING 1, and *MAF2*–*MAF5* that are arranged in tandem as a 22-kb cluster^{16–18}. Like *FLC*, *FLM* represses flowering^{17,18}; in addition, *FLM* appears to act partly in the photoperiod pathway and partly in the thermosensory pathway to regulate flowering time^{19,20}. Furthermore, *MAF2* also acts as a floral repressor and loss of *MAF2* function leads to accelerated flowering upon vernalization¹⁶. Another *FLC* clade member, *MAF4* represses flowering as well²¹. *MAF5* is normally repressed and its ectopic overexpression under non-inductive short days causes late-flowering²². To date, the biological function of MADS AFFECTING FLOWERING3 (*MAF3*) remains elusive.

FT and *FT* homologues in other plants constitute a major component of florigen (flowering ‘hormone’ or inducer)^{2,23}. *FT* has a central role in flowering promotion and functions as a floral pathway integrator, and multiple pathways converge at *FT* to regulate flowering time^{2,23}. The photoperiod pathway acts through *CONSTANS* (*CO*) whose expression is controlled by the circadian clock, to activate *FT* expression in leaf veins specifically at the end of inductive long days^{2,24}. The *FT* protein is transported from leaf (phloem companion cells) to the shoot apical meristem to induce flowering^{25–28}. *FT* expression is regulated by ambient temperature as well, and the thermosensory pathway acts mainly through *FT*, independently of the photoperiod pathway, to regulate flowering time^{20,29}. Under cool conditions (for example, 16 °C), decreased *FT* expression causes a flowering delay¹⁵, whereas growth temperature rises (for example, 17 to 27 °C) upregulate the expression of bHLH transcription factor PHYTOCHROME INTERACTING FACTOR 4 (*PIF4*) that directly activates *FT* expression to promote flowering³⁰.

Some MADS-domain transcription factors function as part of a complex to regulate gene expression, and recent studies have revealed that MIKCC-type MADS-domain proteins can assemble into quaternary complexes^{31,32}. The MADS domain typically recognizes and binds to CArG motifs, and MADS-domain complexes consisting of two dimers may bind to a target gene typically with two CArG motifs^{31,32}. Several MADS-domain ‘floral quartet’ complexes involved in floral organ development have been described^{31,32}. The FLC clade members are MIKCC-type proteins; FLC has been shown to directly associate with

another MIKCC-type protein SVP and both proteins recognize CArG motifs in *SOC1*, and presumably *FT*, to repress their expression¹². However, whether FLC and FLC clade members form complexes to control the floral transition is essentially unknown.

In this study, we show that *MAF3* acts redundantly with *FLM* to directly repress the expression of conserved florigen *FT* and inhibits flowering, and that *FLM* also overlaps with *FLC*, *MAF2* and *MAF4* in floral repression. Furthermore, we found that FLC, FLM and *MAF2*–*MAF4* proteins directly interact with each other and form nuclear complexes, and that *FLC*-dependent flowering repression requires other *FLC* clade members. In addition, we uncovered that FLM binding to *FT* chromatin is partly dependent on other *FLC* clade members. Our results collectively suggest that the FLC clade members together with SVP form MADS-domain complexes to regulate flowering time in response to endogenous factors and environmental cues.

Results

***MAF3* is a floral repressor.** To elucidate *MAF3* function, we identified two *T*-DNA insertion mutants *maf3-1* and *maf3-2* (Supplementary Fig. S1a). In both mutants, the full-length transcription of *MAF3* was completely disrupted (Supplementary Fig. S1c). Grown in long days (LDs, 16-h light/8-h dark), *maf3-1* and *maf3-2* flowered slightly earlier than wild-type Col (WT), whereas *flc maf3-2* and *flm maf3-2* flowered apparently earlier than *flc* and *flm*, respectively, (Fig. 1a); in addition, both *flc flm maf3-1* and *flc flm maf3-2* flowered substantially early and earlier than *flc flm* (Fig. 1a, Supplementary Fig. S1b). In short days, the *maf3* mutation strongly enhanced the early-flowering phenotype of *flm* (Fig. 1b), indicating a functional redundancy of *MAF3* with *FLM* in *Arabidopsis* floral repression.

FLC directly represses the expression of *FT* and *SOC1* to repress flowering. This prompted us to measure *FT* and *SOC1* expression changes upon functional loss of *MAF3*, *FLM* and/or *FLC*. *SOC1* transcript levels were increased slightly in *flm* and *flc* mutants, but strongly in the *flc flm* double mutant relative to WT; loss of *MAF3* function had little effect on *SOC1* expression, whereas upon combined functional loss of *MAF3* with *FLM*, the *SOC1* transcript levels were apparently increased (Fig. 1c). With regard to *FT* expression, it was moderately increased in *flc* or *flm*, but slightly in *maf3* relative to WT; the combined functional loss of *MAF3* with *FLM* led to a synergistic increase of *FT* transcript levels (about threefold relative to WT), and a substantial increase (about fourfold) was observed upon combined loss of *FLM* with *FLC* function, which was strongly enhanced by further loss of *MAF3* function (about sixfold in *flc flm maf3* relative to WT) (Fig. 1d; Supplementary Fig. S2). These results show that *FLM* overlaps with *MAF3* and *FLC* to repress *FT* expression. It is well known that *FT*, the mobile florigen, moves from leaf to the shoot apex where it forms a complex with FD to induce flowering^{33,34}. We further examined FD expression in Col, *maf3 flc*, *maf3 flm* and *maf3 flc flm* seedlings and found that it was slightly increased in the mutants relative to WT (Supplementary Fig. S3). In short, we found that *FLM* overlaps with *MAF3* and *FLC* to repress *FT* and *SOC1* expression, and that these three genes have a great role in *FT* repression, leading to floral repression.

***MAF3* binds to *FT* and *SOC1* chromatin.** Next, we examined whether the *MAF3* protein could directly interact with the *FT* and *SOC1* loci, using a transgenic line (homozygous T₃ and in the *maf3-2* background) expressing a fully-functional *MAF3:HA* driven by its native promoter (Supplementary Fig. S4a). We conducted chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP) assays using *MAF3:HA* seedlings grown in LDs, and found that *MAF3:HA*,

Figure 1 | MAF3 is a floral repressor and represses *FT* and *SOC1* expression. (a) Flowering times of the indicated genotypes grown in LDs (at 22 °C). Total number of primary leaves formed before flowering was scored for each line (17–22 plants per line). Asterisks indicate statistically significant differences in the means between the indicated genotypes, as revealed by two-tailed Student's *t*-test (**P* < 0.05; ***P* < 0.01). Bars indicate s.d. (b) Flowering times of the indicated genotypes grown in short days. Ten to twelve plants were scored for each line. (c,d) Relative *SOC1* (c) and *FT* (d) expression levels in the seedlings of indicated genotypes grown in LDs. The *SOC1* or *FT* transcript levels in each line harvested at ZT12 (12 h after dawn) were quantified by qRT-PCR, and normalized to the endogenous controls including *UBQUITIN10* (*UBQ10*) and *TUBULIN2* (*TUB2*); relative expression to Col (WT) is presented. Bars indicate s.d. of six measurements (three for each control). Biological repeats of these analyses are presented as Supplementary Fig. S2a,b. (e–f) ChIP analysis of MAF3:HA enrichment at the *FT* and *SOC1* loci at the end of LD (ZT16). The amounts of immunoprecipitated genomic DNA were measured by qPCR, and normalized to the endogenous control *TUBULIN8* (*TUB8*). The fold enrichments of MAF3:HA protein in each examined region of *FT* and *SOC1* in the MAF3:HA line over Col (control) are shown. Error bars indicate s.d. of three measurements. A biological repeat of this analysis is shown in Supplementary Fig. S4b,c.

like *FLC*^{13,14}, was enriched in the first and second introns, but not in 5' promoter or 3' end of *FT*, and bound to the proximal promoter of *SOC1* at the examined time point ZT16 (ZT for Zeitgeber time, hours after dawn) (Fig. 1e,f, Supplementary Fig. 4b,c). Thus, MAF3 directly interacts with the *FT* and *SOC1* loci to repress their expression.

MAF3 and its repression of *FT* expression exhibit a diurnal change. Day length or day/night cycle regulates flowering time via the photoperiod pathway²⁴, we sought to address whether

day/night cycles could affect MAF3 protein accumulation. The levels of MAF3:HA protein in the functional MAF3:HA-expressing line were measured every 4 h under the 24-h LD cycle. MAF3 protein accumulation displayed a diurnal change, and peaked at ZT4 and ZT16 (Fig. 2a,b). *FT* expression is controlled by the circadian clock through *CO* and peaks at the end of LDs²⁴. Next, we examined at what time MAF3 represses *FT* expression by measuring *FT* transcript levels in WT, *maf3*, *flm* and *maf3 flm* seedlings in LDs. Upon the combined loss of MAF3 and *FLM* function, *FT* transcript levels were greatly increased at the day's end (ZT16) (Fig. 2c, Supplementary Fig. S5a,b),

Figure 2 | MAF3 protein exhibits a diurnal change and represses *FT* expression at the late day in LDs. (a) MAF3:HA protein levels in Col seedlings over a 24-h LD cycle, examined by western blotting. Total proteins from the blotting membrane stained with Amido Black serve as loading controls; white and dark bars for light and dark periods, respectively. (b) Relative MAF3:HA protein levels over a 24-h LD cycle. Band intensities of triplicate technical repeats were quantified by the ImageJ 1.44j program, and relative levels to ZT0 are presented. Note that the time of the day has a significant effect on the MAF3 protein levels in the seedlings, as revealed by a single-factor ANOVA test ($P < 0.01$). (c) *FT* mRNA levels in the seedlings of indicated lines grown on half-MS media over a 24-h LD cycle. The *FT* levels were quantified by qRT-PCR, normalized to *UBQ10*, and calculated as $2^{-\Delta C_t}$ ($\Delta C_t = C_t (FT) - C_t (UBQ10)$). Bars indicate s.d. of triplicate measurements. Relative *FT* levels normalized to another reference gene *TUB2* are shown in Supplementary Fig. S5a. A biological repeat of this analysis is presented as Supplementary Fig. S5b.

consistent with MAF3 protein accumulation and binding to *FT* chromatin at this time (Fig. 1e, Fig. 2a). Interestingly, at ZT4 *FT* expression was only slightly de-repressed in *flm maf3* (Fig. 2c), indicating that other factors may repress *FT* expression at this time in the absence of *FLM* and *MAF3*. Taken together, our results show that MAF3 protein accumulates and has a great role in the repression of *FT* expression at the end of LDs.

MAF2 and MAF4 overlap with *FLM* to repress *FT* expression and flowering. MAF2 and MAF4, like MAF3, are closer to *FLM* than to *FLC* (Supplementary Fig. S6); hence, we further examined genetic interactions of *flm* with *maf2* and *maf4*. Under LDs, the *flm maf2* double mutant flowered much earlier than either single mutants (Fig. 3a). In addition, we found that the *maf4* mutation enhanced moderately the early-flowering phenotype of *flm* in LDs (Fig. 3a), whereas under short days, *flm maf4* flowered substantially earlier than *flm* (Supplementary Fig. S7a). Furthermore, we checked whether there is any genetic interaction of *FLM* with *MAF5*. Loss of *MAF5* function did not affect flowering in either WT or the *flm flc* background (Supplementary Fig. S7b), indicating that *MAF5* has a limited role in flowering-time regulation.

Next, we examined *FT* expression in seedlings upon functional loss of *FLM*, *MAF2* and/or *MAF4*. *FT* transcript levels were greatly increased in *maf2 flm* and *maf4 flm* relative to respective single mutants under LDs: the *maf2 flm* double with about tenfold increase relative to WT (only a moderate increase in *maf2* or *flm*) and fivefold increase for *maf4 flm* (only a slight increase in *maf4*) (Fig. 3b), suggesting synergistic interactions of *flm* with *maf2* and *maf4*. Together, these observations show that *FLM* overlaps with *MAF2* and *MAF4* to repress *FT* expression.

***FLC* clade members are required for flowering delay by temperature drop.** The thermosensory pathway acts largely through *FT* to regulate flowering time in response to growth temperature fluctuations; recent studies reveal that *FLM* and *SVP* may act in this pathway^{15,20}. We asked whether other members of the *FLC* clade could be involved in thermo-regulation of flowering. Owing to functional redundancy of *FLM* with *FLC*, *MAF2* and *MAF4*, we created *flm flc maf2* and *flm flc maf4* triple mutants and measured flowering-delay responses of single and triple mutants of the *FLC* clade members upon a growth temperature drop from 23 to 16 °C. In WT, the temperature drop caused a more than onefold delay of flowering time, whereas the *FLC* clade mutants, including *flc*, *flm*, *maf2*, *maf3* and *maf4* were less sensitive to the drop compared with WT, among which *flm* displayed the least sensitivity (Fig. 4a–b, Supplementary Fig. S8). These data show that the thermo responses of flowering in these *FLC* clade mutants are partially disrupted; hence, these genes are all partly required for the temperature drop-induced flowering delay.

We further examined the flowering responses in *flc flm*, *flc flm maf2*, *flc flm maf3* and *flc flm maf4* mutants. Although the temperature drop-induced flowering delays in these mutants were greatly reduced, they still exhibited a weak response (Fig. 4a, b), indicating that the remaining *FLC* clade members in these triple mutants may act to confer the weak response.

Spatial expression patterns of *MAF* genes overlap with those of *FLC* and *FLM*. Both *FLC* and *FLM* are expressed preferentially in vasculature, shoot apices and root tips in *Arabidopsis*^{13,18}.

The functional redundancy among the *FLC* clade members prompted us to examine whether *MAF2*, *MAF3* and *MAF4* are expressed in the same tissues as *FLC* and *FLM*. First, genomic

MAF2-MAF4 fragments including a promoter region plus the entire genomic coding sequence of each gene were fused in frame with the reporter gene β -*GLUCURONIDASE* (*GUS*) (Fig. 5a). The

Figure 3 | *FLM* overlaps with other *FLC* clade members to repress *FT* expression. (a) Flowering times of the indicated genotypes grown in LDs (at 22 °C). Ten to nineteen plants for each line were scored. Double asterisks indicate statistically significant differences ($P < 0.01$), revealed by two-tailed Student's *t*-test, in the means between the indicated genotypes. Bars indicate s.d. (b) Relative *FT* expression levels in the seedlings of indicated genotypes at ZT12 in LDs, as quantified by qRT-PCR. The *FT* levels were normalized to *UBQ10* and *TUB2*; bars indicate s.d. of six measurements (three for each control). A biological repeat of this analysis is presented as Supplementary Fig. S5c.

Figure 4 | Effect of growth temperature on flowering times of the *FLC* clade mutants. (a) Flowering times of the indicated genotypes grown at 16 and 23 °C (in LDs). Thirteen to twenty-three plants for each line were scored; bars for s.d. One of the two additional biological repeats is presented in Supplementary Fig. S8. (b) Flowering sensitivity of the *FLC* clade mutants to the temperature drop, indicated by the ratio of total leaf number at 16 °C to that at 23 °C. The values are the averages of three biological repeats; bars for s.d. An asterisk indicates a statistically significant difference in the means between Col and an indicated genotype, as revealed by two-tailed Student's *t*-test (* $P < 0.05$; ** $P < 0.01$).

Figure 5 | Spatial expression patterns of *MAF2-MAF4*. (a) Schematic drawings of *MAF2-GUS*, *MAF3-GUS* and *MAF4-GUS*. Exons are represented by black, and *GUS* is indicated by a blue box. (b) Histochemical staining of *GUS* activity. Rosette leaves or 5-day-old seedlings were stained for 4 h. Scale Bar, 1.0 mm.

transgenic lines expressing *MAF2-GUS*, *MAF3-GUS* or *MAF4-GUS* were examined by histochemical staining (for each fusion, 10–12 individual lines were checked). We found that in the seedlings *MAF4*, like *FLC* and *FLM*, was preferentially expressed in vasculature such as leaf veins, shoot apices and root tips, whereas *MAF2* and *MAF3* were preferentially expressed in vasculature (leaf veins), in addition to a weak expression in root

tips (Fig. 5b). Of note, *FT* is expressed specifically in leaf veins to promote flowering³⁵; *FLC* directly represses *FT* expression in the veins and *SOC1* expression in the veins and shoot apices to inhibit flowering¹³. Taken together, these observations reveal that *FLC*, *FLM* and *MAF2-MAF4* are all expressed in leaf veins, consistent with their overlapping functions in *FT* repression in leaf veins.

Figure 6 | Direct interactions among the FLC clade members. (a) Direct interactions among the FLC clade members in yeast cells. FLC, FLM and MAF2-MAF4 were fused with the GAL4-BD and/or AD domain. Yeast cells harbouring the fusion proteins, BD and/or AD, as indicated, were grown on the selective SD media lacking of Trp, Leu, His and adenine. Scale bar, 5 mm. **(b)** BiFC analyses of the interactions of MAF4 with FLC and FLM, and FLM with MAF2 and MAF3 in onion epidermal cells. The cells were co-transformed transiently with each pair of plasmids via biolistic gene bombardment. Yellowish-green signals resulted from the physical association of the indicated two proteins in the nuclei. Blue fluorescence from a DAPI (4',6-diamidino-2-phenylindole) staining indicates a nucleus; scale bar, 20 μ m. **(c,d)** Co-immunoprecipitation of FLC with MAF3 and FLM in *Arabidopsis* seedlings. Total protein extracts from the seedlings expressing FLC:FLAG (a negative control) and F₁ seedlings of the doubly hemizygous *FLC:FLAG* and *FLM:HA* or *FLC:FLAG* and *MAF3:HA* were immunoprecipitated with anti-HA agarose, followed by western blotting analysis of the precipitates with anti-FLAG. **(e)** Schematic representation of the direct interactions among the FLC clade members revealed in **(a,b)**.

FLC, FLM and MAF2-MAF4 proteins form nuclear complexes.

Our genetic analyses have revealed complex genetic interactions among the *FLC* clade members: partially redundant and partially additive. We hypothesized that the *FLC* clade members may form MADS-domain complexes and that there may be several such complexes with different composition and partial redundancy to regulate *FT* expression and flowering. To test this, we first conducted yeast two-hybrid assays to check direct interactions among the *FLC* clade members. Direct interactions of *FLC* with *FLM*, *MAF3* and *MAF4*, *FLM* with *MAF2-MAF4* and *MAF3* with *MAF4* were observed in yeast cells (Fig. 6a, Supplementary Fig. S9a–c). Next, we performed biomolecular fluorescence complementation (BiFC) experiments using non-fluorescent N- and C-terminal Enhanced Yellow Fluorescent Protein (EYFP) fragments (nEYFP and cEYFP), to confirm the interactions of *MAF4* with *FLC* and *FLM*, and *FLM* with *MAF2* and *MAF3* in plant cells. Indeed, when each pair of these proteins fused with nEYFP and cEYFP were transiently expressed in onion epidermal cells, YFP fluorescence was observed in the nuclei (Fig. 6b, Supplementary Fig. S9d), demonstrating a physical association of the two proteins in the nucleus.

We further performed *in vivo* co-immunoprecipitation (co-IP) experiments to determine whether *MAF3* and *FLM* could be part of a complex with *FLC* in *Arabidopsis*. A transgenic line of Col expressing constitutively a functional *FLC:FLAG*¹⁴ (note that in Col, *FLC* is expressed at a low level due to a non-functional *fri* allele), was crossed to the line expressing *MAF3:HA* (driven by its native promoter). We found that anti-HA (recognizing *MAF3:HA*) could pull down *FLC:FLAG* from the resulting F₁ seedlings (Fig. 6c), revealing that *MAF3* is part of a complex with *FLC*. Furthermore, we crossed the *FLC:FLAG* line to a line expressing constitutively a functional *FLM:HA* fusion (see Supplementary Fig. S10a), conducted co-IP assays with the resulting F₁ seedlings, and uncovered that *FLM*, like *MAF3*, was part of a complex with *FLC* (Fig. 6d). Taken together, our results show that the *FLC* clade members form nuclear MADS-domain complexes.

FLC-dependent floral repression requires other FLC clade members.

We explored the functional dependency of *FLC* on other clade members. *flm*, *maf2* and/or *maf3* were introduced into *FRI-Col* in which the introgressed *FRI* activates *FLC* expression to inhibit flowering³⁶. Given the functional redundancy of *FLM* with *MAF2* and *MAF3*, we examined the effects of *flm maf2* and *flm maf3* on *FLC*-dependent floral repression, and found that both partly suppressed the *FLC*-dependent late flowering of *FRI-Col* (Fig. 7a). Thus, *FLM*, *MAF2* and *MAF3* are partly required for *FLC*-mediated floral repression. Of note, the partial suppression in *flm maf2 FRI* and *flm maf3 FRI* may be partly attributed to that *MAF2* or *MAF3* is mainly expressed in leaf veins, whereas in *FRI-Col*, *FLC* is highly expressed in the shoot apex in addition to leaf veins to repress flowering^{13,37}; in addition, the partial functional overlapping among the *FLC* clade members may also contribute partly to the partial suppression.

FLM protein binds FT and SOC1 chromatin in presence of FLC clade members.

If *FLM* forms a complex with *FLC* and *MAF3*, these proteins would bind to the same region in their target gene *FT* and may be partly required for its binding to target chromatin. To test this, we conducted ChIPs with the WT (Col) and *flc maf3* seedlings expressing a functional *FLM:HA*. *FLM* was enriched on *FT* chromatin, and like *MAF3* and *FLC* *FLM* bound to the first and second introns, but not 5' promoter or 3' end of *FT* (Fig. 7b, Supplementary Fig. S10b); moreover, in the *flc maf3* background the enrichments were strongly reduced compared with those in the *FLM:HA*-expressing WT (Fig. 7b), consistent with that these proteins act as part of a complex to directly repress *FT* expression. In addition, we found that *FLM*, like *MAF3* and *FLC*, was enriched in the 5' proximal promoter of *SOC1* and that this enrichment was strongly reduced in the *flc maf3* background (Fig. 7c, Supplementary Fig. S10c). Together, these results show that *FLM* binding to *FT* and *SOC1* chromatin requires other *FLC* clade members, consistent with that these proteins form MADS-domain complexes to regulate flowering.

Figure 7 | Analysis of functional dependency of *FLC* and *FLM* on their homologues. (a) *FLC*-dependent late flowering in *FRI-Col* requires *FLM*, *MAF2* and *MAF3*. Total leaf number was scored for each line grown in LDs (12–15 plants per line). Double asterisks indicate statistically significant differences ($P < 0.01$), revealed by two-tailed Student's *t*-test, in the means between *FRI-Col* and *flm maf3 FRI* or *flm maf2 FRI*. Bars indicate s.d. (b,c) ChIP analysis of *FLM:HA* enrichment at the *FT* and *SOC1* loci. Total chromatin was extracted from seedlings of Col (control), *p35S-FLM:HA* (hemizygous and functional) in *FLC*– *MAF3*–, and *p35S-FLM:HA* (hem.) in *flc maf3* (note that these two lines are F₁ progeny carrying the same transgene with nearly identical expression levels in these lines). The amounts of immunoprecipitated genomic DNA were measured by qPCR, and normalized to *TUB8*. The examined regions of *FT* and *SOC1* are as described in Fig. 1e,f, respectively. The fold enrichments of *FLM:HA* in each examined region in the *FLM:HA*-expressing lines over Col are shown. Error bars indicate s.d. of three measurements. A biological repeat of this analysis is presented as Supplementary Fig. S10b,c.

Discussion

In this study, we found that the FLC clade members form MADS-domain complexes to repress flowering. Environmental cues such as prolonged cold exposure, ambient temperature fluctuation and day/night cycle, and endogenous factors such as FRI and autonomous-pathway genes regulate the mRNA expression and/or protein abundance of certain FLC clade members, conceivably leading to a change in the abundance of a particular FLC–MAFs complex under a given setting. These complexes can integrate various responses to endogenous and environmental signals to modulate flowering time in *Arabidopsis*.

We have found that the FLC clade members form nuclear complexes. Recent studies show that the MADS-domain protein SVP is in a complex with FLC for floral repression^{12,38}. Hence, it is very likely that SVP may be part of FLC–MAFs MADS-domain complexes. Indeed, we have found that SVP can directly interact with MAF2 and MAF4 (Supplementary Fig. S11a,b). These findings suggest that SVP, FLC and MAFs may form higher order MADS-domain complexes. Another possibility is that SVP and the FLC clade members may form several dimeric complexes, though this is less likely because a recent study using size exclusion chromatography indicates that FLC typically exists in large protein complexes with a size more than 100 kDa¹⁴, much larger than the dimers of FLC with SVP or MAFs (40–50 kDa). In short, these findings collectively suggest that FLC, SVP and MAFs may form tetrameric or, less likely, dimeric complexes to regulate flowering time in *Arabidopsis*.

MIKC^c-type MADS-domain transcription factors including AGAMOUS, PISTILLATA, SEPALLATA3, APETALA1 and APETALA3 can assemble into multiple tetrameric ‘floral quartet’ complexes to control floral organ development, and several such complexes with different composition can co-exist in a cell, but may compete for DNA-binding sites such as the CArG motifs³¹. SVP, FLC and MAFs are all MIKC^c-type proteins. It is likely that these proteins may form several tetrameric complexes with different composition such as FLC–SVP–MAF3–MAF4 and SVP–FLM–MAF2–MAF4. These complexes may co-exist within a cell or occur in different tissues (for example, leaf veins and the shoot apex). In this study, we have observed partial functional redundancy of *FLM* with *FLC* and *MAF2–MAF4*. Given the high sequence similarity among MAF2–MAF4 (about 80% identity)¹⁶, functional redundancy is predicted to exist among these proteins (noteworthy, *MAF2–MAF5* are arranged in a cluster that makes epistatic analyses of *maf2–maf4* mutants nearly impossible¹⁶). Therefore, different complexes made of FLC clade members and/or SVP (either tetrameric or dimeric) within one cell are predicted to have overlapping function in floral repression.

The expression level of *FT* has a primary role in determining when to flower in *Arabidopsis*^{2,23}. We have found that MAF3 and *FLM*, like *FLC* and SVP^{12,13}, bind to *FT* chromatin (note that these four proteins are all highly enriched in the intronic region of *FT*). Given the nearly identical DNA-binding domains in *FLM* and MAF2–MAF4, MAF2 and MAF4 are predicted to bind to *FT* chromatin as well. This, together with the substantial depression of *FT* expression in double and triple mutants of the FLC clade members, suggests that *FT* is a major target of the complexes composed of FLC clade members and/or SVP, particularly in the rapid-cycling accessions. Conceivably, all of these complexes could recognize and bind to the CArG motifs/variants in the *FT* locus (several such motifs located in the intronic and 5′ promoter regions^{14,15}), co-exist in companion cells of leaf veins (where *FT* is expressed) with different binding affinities and/or different activities for *FT* repression, and thus, act in partial redundancy to modulate *FT* expression.

The mRNA expression and/or protein levels of SVP and the FLC clade members are modulated by environmental cues and

endogenous factors. Vernalization represses the expression of *FLC*, *MAF2* and *FLM*^{16,39}. Growth temperature rise (for example, 23 to 27 °C) promotes alternative splicing of *FLM* and *MAF2* pre-mRNAs, which has been postulated to cause a reduction of the isoforms encoding functional *FLM* and *MAF2* proteins²⁰. Moreover, the day/night cycles modulate diurnal abundance of SVP protein³⁸. We found that the expression of MAF3, *FLM* and *MAF2* exhibits diurnal changes as well (Fig. 2a, Supplementary Fig. S12) and that MAF3 and *FLM* repress *FT* expression at the late day under inductive LDs. It has been shown that endogenous factors including FRI and gibberellins regulate *FLC* and *SVP* expression, respectively. Gibberellins repress *SVP* expression¹², and in the winter annuals, the FRI protein complex activates *FLC* expression^{5,6}. In short, these environmental and endogenous cues can modulate the protein levels of SVP and/or the FLC clade members, which may lead to a change in the abundance of a particular complex made of FLC, MAFs and/or SVP at a given time in the day and under a given environment, and thus confer a proper flowering time.

In the rapid-cycling *Arabidopsis* accessions (for example, Col), *FLC* is repressed by the autonomous-pathway genes, whereas *FLM*, MAF2, MAF3 and SVP are expressed at higher levels than *FLC* (Supplementary Fig. S13). Thus, the MADS-domain complexes made of MAFs and/or SVP (without FLC) may be the predominant ones available for floral repression in the rapid-cycling accessions. In winter annuals, *FLC* expression is activated by FRI to a high level; hence, FLC-containing complexes are expected to be the major players. *FLC* is a potent floral repressor compared with *FLM* and MAFs and elevated *FLC* expression has a great role in floral repression. This may be attributed to that the FLC-containing complexes may possess a greater activity for gene repression, compared with the FLC-minus MADS-domain complexes, and thus strongly repress the expression of *FT* and *SOC1* leading to late flowering and establishment of the winter-annual growth habit.

Recent studies have revealed that the MADS-domain ‘floral quartet’ complexes serve as cores recruiting a few chromatin modifiers and remodelers in *Arabidopsis*³¹. A few chromatin modifiers such as Polycomb-repressive-complex components and histone demethylases, have been shown to directly repress *FT* expression^{40–42}. A recent study suggests that FLC may exist in several complexes with a size of several hundreds of kDa¹⁴. Hence, it is likely that the FLC-containing MADS-domain complexes may associate with these chromatin repressors and form large protein complexes at the *FT* locus to repress its expression. Recent genome-wide analysis of FLC-binding sites reveals that FLC directly regulates several hundred of genes⁴³. Whether the FLC complexes are involved in the regulation of other FLC targets, besides *FT* and *SOC1*, remain to be addressed in the future research.

Previous studies reveal that SVP acts in the thermosensory pathway to mediate *FT* repression and thus flowering delay in response to a growth temperature drop (23 to 16 °C)^{15,29}. In addition, it has been shown that *FLM* mediates thermosensory flowering response²⁰. *FLM* and SVP act non-additively to repress flowering at normal growth temperature (for example, 22 °C)¹⁹. These observations collectively suggest that SVP and *FLM* may be core components in a MADS-domain complex mediating the thermosensory response. Our studies show that the flowering-delay responses in *flc* and *maf2–maf4* mutants are partly insensitive to the growth temperature drop, similar to *svp* and *flm* mutants, though with a lesser degree. Hence, FLC and MAF2–MAF4 may form several MADS-domain complexes with the core components SVP and/or *FLM*, to modulate thermosensory flowering responses.

In response to growth temperature rise, *Arabidopsis* flowers rapidly^{20,30}. Temperature rise leads to a splicing pattern change of

FLM pre-mRNAs, probably leading to a reduction in the levels of functional FLM protein²⁰. It is likely that the abundance of FLM-containing MADS-domain complexes may reduce in response to temperature rise. On the other hand, temperature rise upregulates the expression of the PIF4 transcription factor that binds to *FT* chromatin to activate *FT* expression³⁰. Conceivably PIF4 acts antagonistically to the complexes of SVP with FLC clade members at the *FT* locus to modulate its expression responding to growth temperature fluctuations. Future research is needed to understand how these two opposing activities modulate *FT* expression and so thermo responses of flowering.

Methods

Plant materials and growth conditions. The *flc*, *flm*, *maf4*, *maf5-1* and *svp* were described previously^{3,21,38,39}. *maf2* (Salk_045623), *maf3-1* (Salk_022948), *maf3-2* (Salk_070817) and *maf5-2* (Salk_085852) alleles were isolated from the SALK collection⁴⁴. Plants were grown in LDs (16-h light/8-h dark) or short days (8-h light/16-h dark) under cool white fluorescent light at about 22 °C except for the thermosensory-flowering response assay, in which plants were grown at 16 or 23 °C in the Percival *Arabidopsis* growth chamber AR-41L2 with 16-h light.

RNA analysis and RT-qPCR. Total RNAs were extracted from aerial parts of 9 to 10-day-old seedlings grown in soils or half-MS media under LDs using Qiagen RNeasy plus mini kit according to the manufacturer's instructions. Subsequently, about 3.0 µg total RNAs were used as templates to synthesize cDNAs by the M-MLV reverse transcriptase (Promega). Real-time quantitative PCR was performed on an ABI Prism 7900HT sequence detection system using a SYBR Green PCR master mix. PCR was carried out as follows: 50 °C (2 min), 95 °C (10 min), followed by 40 cycles of 95 °C (15 s) and 60 °C (60 s). Each sample was quantified in triplicate, and the constitutively expressed *UBQ10* and *TUB2* were used as internal control genes for normalization. The primer pairs used to amplify *UBQ10*, *TUB2*, *FT*, *SOC1*, *FD*, *FLM* and *MAF2* were described previously^{45–47}. Primer efficiencies were determined as described by Pfaffl⁴⁸, and calculated using the formula: $E = 10^{-1/\text{slope}}$, the efficiencies of *UBQ10*, *TUB2*, *FT*, *SOC1*, *FD*, *FLM* and *MAF2* primer pairs are 104%, 104%, 94%, 94%, 107%, 109% and 106%, respectively. Relative expression fold changes were determined by the comparative threshold cycle (C_t) method using the equation of $2^{-\Delta\Delta C_t}$ (both *UBQ10* and *TUB2* were used as the reference genes).

Plasmid construction. To construct *MAF2-GUS*, *MAF3-GUS* and *MAF4-GUS* plasmids, genomic fragments of 5.2-kb *MAF2* (1.4-kb 5' promoter plus 3.8-kb genomic coding sequence), 4.7-kb *MAF3* (1.2-kb 5' promoter plus 3.5-kb genomic coding sequence) and 4.5-kb *MAF4* (0.9-kb 5' promoter plus 3.6-kb genomic coding sequence) were inserted upstream of *GUS* in the *pMDC162* vector⁴⁹ via gateway technology (Invitrogen), and the genomic coding sequences of *MAF2*, *MAF3* and *MAF4* were in frame with *GUS*. To create *p35S-FLM:HA*, the full-length *FLM* coding sequence (*AT1G77080.4*) except the stop codon was first fused in frame with a 3xHA epitope tag, and subsequently, the fusion was inserted downstream of the 35S promoter in the *pB2GW7* vector⁵⁰ via gateway technology. To create *pMAF3-MAF3:HA*, the 4.7-kb *MAF3* genomic fragment including 1.1-kb 5' promoter plus the entire genomic coding sequence except stop code (3.6-kb) was fused with the 3xHA tag; subsequently, the fusion was cloned into the *pBGW* vector⁵⁰.

Yeast two-hybrid assay. The Matchmaker GAL4 Two-Hybrid System 3 (Clontech) was used for the yeast two-hybrid assays. The full-length coding sequences of *FLC*, *FLM*, *MAF2*, *MAF3*, *MAF4* and *SVP* were cloned into the *pGADT7* and/or *pGBKT7* vectors (Clontech). Plasmids were introduced into the yeast strain AH109, and yeast cells were spotted on the SD media without leucine, tryptophan, histidine and adenine according to the manufacturer's instructions (Clontech).

BiFC assay. The full-length coding sequences for *FLC*, *FLM* and *MAF2-MAF4* were fused in frame with either an N-terminal EYFP fragment in the *pSAT1A-nEYFP-N1/pSAT1-nEYFPC1* vectors and/or a C-terminal EYFP fragment in the *pSAT1AcEYFP-N1/pSAT1-cEYFP-C1-B* vectors⁵¹. Plasmid pairs were introduced into onion epidermal cells by the Helium Biolistic Gene Transformation System (Bio-Rad). Within 24 h after the bombardment of a plasmid pair, the EYFP fluorescence was imaged with a Zeiss LSM 5 EXCITER upright laser scanning confocal microscopy (Zeiss).

Co-immunoprecipitation. Immunoprecipitation experiments were performed as described previously⁴⁵. Briefly, total proteins were extracted from 10-day-old seedlings and immunoprecipitated with anti-HA M2 affinity gel (Sigma, Cat no.: A2220). FLC:FLAG proteins in the immunoprecipitates were detected by western blotting with anti-FLAG (Sigma, Cat no.: A8592).

ChIP-quantitative PCR. ChIP experiments were performed as described previously with minor modifications⁵². Briefly, total chromatin was extracted from 10-day-old seedlings and immunoprecipitated using anti-HA (Sigma, Cat no.: H6908). Quantitative PCR were performed to measure the amounts of *FT*, *SOC1* and *TUB8* (the endogenous control) fragments on an ABI Prism 7900HT sequence detection system using a SYBR Green PCR master mix. The primers used to amplify *FT* fragments are specified in Supplementary Table S1. The primer pair for *SOC1* were described previously¹². The amplification efficiencies for all primer pairs were verified and the differences between the internal control *TUB8* and primers for *FT* or *SOC1* fragments are within 0.09.

References

- Amasino, R. Seasonal and developmental timing of flowering. *Plant J.* **61**, 1001–1013 (2010).
- Turck, F., Fornara, F. & Coupland, G. Regulation and identity of florigen: flowering locus T moves center stage. *Annu. Rev. Plant. Biol.* **59**, 573–594 (2008).
- Michaels, S. & Amasino, R. FLOWERING LOCUS C encodes a novel MADS domain protein that acts as a repressor of flowering. *Plant Cell* **11**, 949–956 (1999).
- Sheldon, C. C. *et al.* The *FLF* MADS box gene: a repressor of flowering in *Arabidopsis* regulated by vernalization and methylation. *Plant Cell* **11**, 445–458 (1999).
- Johanson, U. *et al.* Molecular analysis of *FRIGIDA*, a major determinant of natural variation in *Arabidopsis* flowering time. *Science* **290**, 344–347 (2000).
- Choi, K. *et al.* The *FRIGIDA* complex activates transcription of *FLC*, a strong flowering repressor in *Arabidopsis*, by recruiting chromatin modification factors. *Plant Cell* **23**, 289–303 (2011).
- De Lucia, F. & Dean, C. Long non-coding RNAs and chromatin regulation. *Curr. Opin. Plant. Biol.* **14**, 168–173 (2012).
- Kim, D. H., Doyle, M. R., Sung, S. & Amasino, R. M. Vernalization: winter and the timing of flowering in plants. *Annu. Rev. Cell. Dev. Biol.* **25**, 277–299 (2009).
- Dennis, E. S. & Peacock, W. J. Epigenetic regulation of flowering. *Curr. Opin. Plant. Biol.* **10**, 520–527 (2007).
- Buzas, D. M., Tamada, Y. & Kurata, T. FLC: a hidden polycomb response element shows up in silence. *Plant. Cell. Physiol.* **53**, 785–793 (2012).
- Hornik, C., Terzi, L. C. & Simpson, G. G. The Spen family protein FPA controls alternative cleavage and polyadenylation of RNA. *Dev. Cell.* **18**, 203–213 (2010).
- Li, D. *et al.* A repressor complex governs the integration of flowering signals in *Arabidopsis*. *Dev. Cell.* **15**, 110–120 (2008).
- Searle, I. *et al.* The transcription factor FLC confers a flowering response to vernalization by repressing meristem competence and systemic signaling in *Arabidopsis*. *Genes. Dev.* **20**, 898–912 (2006).
- Helliwell, C. A., Wood, C. C., Robertson, M., James Peacock, W. & Dennis, E. S. The *Arabidopsis* FLC protein interacts directly *in vivo* with *SOC1* and *FT* chromatin and is part of a high-molecular-weight protein complex. *Plant J.* **46**, 183–192 (2006).
- Lee, J. H. *et al.* Role of SVP in the control of flowering time by ambient temperature in *Arabidopsis*. *Genes. Dev.* **21**, 397–402 (2007).
- Ratcliffe, O. J., Kumimoto, R. W., Wong, B. J. & Riechmann, J. L. Analysis of the *Arabidopsis* mads affecting flowering gene family: *MAF2* prevents vernalization by short periods of cold. *Plant Cell* **15**, 1159–1169 (2003).
- Ratcliffe, O. J., Nadzan, G. C., Reuber, T. L. & Riechmann, J. L. Regulation of flowering in *Arabidopsis* by an *FLC* homologue. *Plant. Physiol.* **126**, 122–132 (2001).
- Scortecci, K. C., Michaels, S. D. & Amasino, R. M. Identification of a MADS-box gene, *FLOWERING LOCUS M*, that represses flowering. *Plant J.* **26**, 229–236 (2001).
- Scortecci, K., Michaels, S. D. & Amasino, R. M. Genetic interactions between *FLM* and other flowering-time genes in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *Plant. Mol. Biol.* **52**, 915–922 (2003).
- Balasubramanian, S., Sureshkumar, S., Lempe, J. & Weigel, D. Potent induction of *Arabidopsis thaliana* flowering by elevated growth temperature. *PLoS. Genet.* **2**, e106 (2006).
- Gu, X., Jiang, D., Wang, Y., Bachmair, A. & He, Y. Repression of the floral transition via histone H2B monoubiquitination. *Plant J.* **57**, 522–533 (2009).
- Kim, D. H. & Sung, S. The plant homeo domain finger protein, VIN3-LIKE 2, is necessary for photoperiod-mediated epigenetic regulation of the floral repressor, *MAF5*. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* **107**, 17029–17034 (2010).
- Wigge, P. A. *FT*, a mobile developmental signal in plants. *Curr. Biol.* **21**, R374–R378 (2011).
- Imaizumi, T. & Kay, S. A. Photoperiodic control of flowering: not only by coincidence. *Trends. Plant. Sci.* **11**, 550–558 (2006).
- Mathieu, J., Warthmann, N., Kuttner, F. & Schmid, M. Export of *FT* protein from phloem companion cells is sufficient for floral induction in *Arabidopsis*. *Curr. Biol.* **17**, 1055–1060 (2007).

26. Tamaki, S., Matsuo, S., Wong, H. L., Yokoi, S. & Shimamoto, K. Hd3a protein is a mobile flowering signal in rice. *Science* **316**, 1033–1036 (2007).
27. Corbesier, L. *et al.* FT protein movement contributes to long-distance signaling in floral induction of *Arabidopsis*. *Science* **316**, 1030–1033 (2007).
28. Jaeger, K. E. & Wigge, P. A. FT protein acts as a long-range signal in *Arabidopsis*. *Curr. Biol.* **17**, 1050–1054 (2007).
29. Blazquez, M. A., Ahn, J. H. & Weigel, D. A thermosensory pathway controlling flowering time in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *Nat. Genet.* **33**, 168–171 (2003).
30. Kumar, S. V. *et al.* Transcription factor PIF4 controls the thermosensory activation of flowering. *Nature* **484**, 242–245 (2012).
31. Smaczniak, C. *et al.* Characterization of MADS-domain transcription factor complexes in *Arabidopsis* flower development. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* **109**, 1560–1565 (2012).
32. Honma, T. & Goto, K. Complexes of MADS-box proteins are sufficient to convert leaves into floral organs. *Nature* **409**, 525–529 (2001).
33. Wigge, P. A. *et al.* Integration of spatial and temporal information during floral induction in *Arabidopsis*. *Science* **309**, 1056–1059 (2005).
34. Abe, M. *et al.* FD, a bZIP protein mediating signals from the floral pathway integrator FT at the shoot apex. *Science* **309**, 1052–1056 (2005).
35. Takada, S. & Goto, K. TERMINAL FLOWER 2, an *Arabidopsis* homolog of HETEROCHROMATIN PROTEIN1, counteracts the activation of FLOWERING LOCUS T by CONSTANS in the vascular tissues of leaves to regulate flowering time. *Plant Cell* **15**, 2856–2865 (2003).
36. Lee, I., Michaels, S. D., Masshardt, A. S. & Amasino, R. M. The late-flowering phenotype of FRIGIDA and LUMINIDEPENDENS is suppressed in the Landsberg erecta strain of *Arabidopsis*. *Plant J.* **6**, 903–909 (1994).
37. Michaels, S. & Amasino, R. Memories of winter: vernalization and the competence to flower. *Plant Cell Environ.* **23**, 1145–1154 (2000).
38. Fujiwara, S. *et al.* Circadian clock proteins LHY and CCA1 regulate SVP protein accumulation to control flowering in *Arabidopsis*. *Plant Cell* **20**, 2960–2971 (2008).
39. Sung, S., Schmitz, R. J. & Amasino, R. M. A PHD finger protein involved in both the vernalization and photoperiod pathways in *Arabidopsis*. *Genes. Dev.* **20**, 3244–3248 (2006).
40. Adrian, J. *et al.* cis-Regulatory elements and chromatin state coordinately control temporal and spatial expression of FLOWERING LOCUS T in *Arabidopsis*. *Plant Cell* **22**, 1425–1440 (2010).
41. He, Y. Chromatin regulation of flowering. *Trends. Plant. Sci.* **17**, 556–562 (2012).
42. Jeong, J. H. *et al.* Repression of FLOWERING LOCUS T chromatin by functionally redundant histone H3 lysine 4 demethylases in *Arabidopsis*. *PLoS One* **4**, e8033 (2009).
43. Deng, W. *et al.* FLOWERING LOCUS C (FLC) regulates development pathways throughout the life cycle of *Arabidopsis*. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* **108**, 6680–6685 (2011).
44. Alonso, J. M. *et al.* Genome-wide insertional mutagenesis of *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *Science* **301**, 653–657 (2003).
45. Jiang, D., Kong, N. C., Gu, X., Li, Z. & He, Y. *Arabidopsis* COMPASS-like complexes mediate histone H3 lysine-4 trimethylation to control floral transition and plant development. *PLoS. Genet.* **7**, e1001330 (2011).
46. Yang, W., Jiang, D., Jiang, J. & He, Y. A plant-specific histone H3 lysine 4 demethylase represses the floral transition in *Arabidopsis*. *Plant J.* **62**, 663–673 (2010).
47. Czechowski, T., Stitt, M., Altmann, T., Udvardi, M. K. & Scheible, W. R. Genome-wide identification and testing of superior reference genes for transcript normalization in *Arabidopsis*. *Plant. Physiol.* **139**, 5–17 (2005).
48. Pfaffl, M. W. A new mathematical model for relative quantification in real-time RT-PCR. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **29**, e45 (2001).
49. Curtis, M. D. & Grossniklaus, U. A gateway cloning vector set for high-throughput functional analysis of genes in *planta*. *Plant. Physiol.* **133**, 462–469 (2003).
50. Karimi, M., De Meyer, B. & Hilson, P. Modular cloning in plant cells. *Trends. Plant. Sci.* **10**, 103–105 (2005).
51. Lee, L. Y., Fang, M. J., Kuang, L. Y. & Gelvin, S. B. Vectors for multi-color bimolecular fluorescence complementation to investigate protein-protein interactions in living plant cells. *Plant Methods* **4**, 24 (2008).
52. Johnson, L., Cao, X. & Jacobsen, S. Interplay between two epigenetic marks: DNA methylation and histone H3 lysine 9 methylation. *Curr. Biol.* **12**, 1360–1367 (2002).

Acknowledgements

We thank Stan Gevin at the Purdue University for generously providing the BiFC vectors. This work was supported in part by grants to Y.H. from the Singapore Ministry of Education (AcRF Tier 2: MOE2009-T2-1-081; Tier 1: R-154-000-564-112) and the National Research Foundation Singapore (NRF-CRP7-2010-02) and by the Temasek Life Sciences Laboratory.

Author contributions

Y.H. conceived the research. X.G., Y.H., C.L., Yi.W., Z.L., D.J. and Yu.W. designed the experiments. X.G., C.L., Yi.W., Z.L., D.J. and Yu.W. performed the experiments. Y.H. and X.G. wrote the paper.

Additional information

Supplementary Information accompanies this paper at <http://www.nature.com/naturecommunications>

Competing financial interests: The authors declare no competing financial interests.

Reprints and permission information is available online at <http://npg.nature.com/reprintsandpermissions/>

How to cite this article: Gu, X. *et al.* *Arabidopsis* FLC clade members form flowering-repressor complexes coordinating responses to endogenous and environmental cues. *Nat. Commun.* 4:1947 doi: 10.1038/ncomms2947 (2013).

This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs 3.0 Unported License. To view a copy of this license, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/3.0/>