ARTICLE

Received 18 May 2011 | Accepted 24 Nov 2011 | Published 3 Jan 2012

DOI: 10.1038/ncomms1613

Advantage of rare infanticide strategies in an invasion experiment of behavioural polymorphism

Tapio Mappes¹, Jouni Aspi², Esa Koskela¹, Suzanne C. Mills^{2,3}, Tanja Poikonen¹ & Juha Tuomi⁴

Killing conspecific infants (infanticide) is among the most puzzling phenomena in nature. Stable polymorphism in such behaviour could be maintained by negative frequency-dependent selection (benefit of rare types). However, it is currently unknown whether there is genetic polymorphism in infanticidal behaviour or whether infanticide may have any fitness advantages when rare. Here we show genetic polymorphism in non-parental infanticide. Our novel invasion experiment confirms negative frequency-dependent selection in wild bank vole populations, where resource benefits allow an infanticidal strategy to invade a population of non-infanticidal individuals. The results show that infanticidal behaviour is highly heritable with genetic correlation across the sexes. Thus, a positive correlative response in male behaviour is expected when selection operates on females only and *vice versa*. Our results, on one hand, demonstrate potential benefits of infanticide, and on the other, they open a new perspective of correlative evolution of infanticide in females and males.

¹ Centre of Excellence in Evolutionary Research, Department of Biological and Environmental Science, University of Jyväskylä, PO Box 35, FIN-40014 Jyväskylä, Finland. ² Laboratoire d'Excellence 'CORAIL', de l'Université de Perpignan, 66860 Perpignan, France. ³ USR 3278 CRILOBE CNRS-EPHE, CBETM de l'Université de Perpignan, 66860 Perpignan, France. ⁴ Department of Biology, University of Oulu, PO Box 3000, FIN-90014 Oulu, Finland. Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to T.M. (email: tapio.mappes@jyu.fi).

Infant death at the hand of parents or other adults is a common phenomenon in many vertebrates¹. The evolutionary basis of infanticidal behaviour has received a vast amount of interest both in evolutionary and behavioural ecology^{2–6}. Many reasons have been suggested to explain this behaviour, which may differ between species and even between the sexes within a species. Furthermore, the function of killing offspring depends on whether an individual kills its own offspring or offspring of unrelated conspecifics⁷. Here we focus on the origin and maintenance of the latter case, that is, non-parental infanticide.

At least three main hypotheses have been presented to explain the function of non-parental infanticide: resource competition, predation and sexual selection hypotheses^{1,8}. According to the resource competition hypothesis infanticidal individuals or their kin may receive greater access to resources, such as food or a nesting site, if they kill the offspring of competitive breeders, whereas the predation hypothesis suggests offspring are simply killed for food. These two hypotheses are not mutually exclusive as eating infants could still be beneficial even if they were originally killed for another reason. The third hypothesis of sexual selection explains only male infanticide. It suggests that males kill the infants of other males in order to gain a reproductive advantage over their male rivals and reduce the time until a female next ovulates.

In many rodent populations¹ including our study species, the bank vole (*Myodes glareolus*)⁹, a clear polymorphism in infanticidal behaviour exists; some individuals seem to be non-infanticidal, whereas others frequently kill the pups of conspecifics. One of the most promising evolutionary mechanisms that maintains this behavioural polymorphism is negative frequency-dependent selection, where rare types are favoured by selection^{10–14}. An infanticidal polymorphism could thus arise when rare infanticidal or non-infanticidal invaders have invaded each other's populations¹⁵ (Fig. 1). Further, this idea is based on the assumption that the behavioural polymorphism (here infanticidal behaviour) is genetically determined. This assumption was tested here by the analyses of quantitative genetics.

Here we applied a novel experimental design (Fig. 1) to test the hypothesis that negative frequency-dependent selection, coupled with the availability of food resources, determines the relative success of infanticidal tactics in field populations. This invasion experiment effectively tests whether a different infanticidal tactic can invade populations under different resource environments. Two factors in the experiment, the frequency of infanticidal tactics and food resources, were manipulated in 20 large outdoor enclosures.

Figure 1 | The design of the invasion experiment. Negative frequency-dependent selection promotes polymorphism when rare mutants successfully invade monomorphic resident populations. In the factorial design of our invasion experiments, both infanticidal (INF) and non-infanticidal (NON-INF) individuals represented either resident or invader strategies, and the set up was replicated in enclosures with or without supplemental food supplies.

In 11 enclosures extra food was provided *ad libitum* and in 9 enclosures no supplemental food was provided. Furthermore, in each enclosure population the infanticidal tactics (infanticidal or non-infanticidal) were either rare (25%) or common (75%). We analysed the difference in infanticidal frequencies after selection between the founder population and their descendants. The analyses of quantitative genetics confirm a genetic polymorphism in infanticidal behaviour and, furthermore, the invasion experiment demonstrates negative frequency-dependent selection on infanticidal behaviour in wild populations of bank voles. We have showed that a rare infanticide type can invade a non-infanticidal population especially when food resources are limited. This supports model predictions¹⁵ that resource benefits allow an infanticidal strategy to invade a population of non-infanticidal individuals.

Results

Genetic polymorphism. Quantitative genetic analyses based on the infanticidal behaviour of parents (845 mothers and 772 fathers) and their offspring (481 females and 486 males) indicated high repeatability ($r=0.77$) of infanticidal behaviour and high additive genetic variance in infanticidal behaviour in our population of bank voles (Table 1). The overall frequency of infanticide in the population was 61%, being slightly higher among females 66% than males 54% ($\chi^2=14.5$, $P<0.001$). An average of the two sexes revealed a high heritability estimate for liability to infanticidal behaviour ($h^2=0.71$). The estimate of genetic correlation across the sexes was also relatively large ($r_{FM}=0.62$), which predicts a positive correlative response in the other sex even when natural selection only operates on the opposite sex.

Negative frequency-dependent selection. Frequency changes along the reproductive season in experimental populations indicate a clear benefit of rare invaders (Table 2). In addition, there was also a significant interaction between initial frequency of infanticidal individuals and food availability. Consequently, it is easier for the infanticidal type to invade a population of non-infanticidal individuals when food resources are limited, and *vice versa* when food is abundant (Fig. 2a,b; Table 2). Overall, the initial frequency of infanticidal tactics in the founder populations did not affect population growth (Fig. 2c), only the proportion of individuals expressing the rare tactic increased significantly in both food treatments. This result may partly indicate an effective nest defence behaviour among infanticidal mothers, otherwise the number of recruits should decrease simultaneously with an increasing frequency of infanticidal individuals in the population⁹.

Discussion

Both sexes responded equally to our resource manipulations (Table 3; no significant interaction between sex, frequency and food) and frequencies of infanticidal females and males correlated positively in the populations ($r=0.686$, $N=19$, $P=0.001$). Because of the positive genetic correlation between the sexes, selection for

Table 1 Incidences of infanticidal behaviour among female and male offspring by male and female propositi in a laboratory population.				
Parent	Offspring	Incidence	2t	s.e.
Mother	Female	0.719	0.56	0.02
	Male	0.592	0.36	0.01
Father	Male	0.669	0.86	0.02
	Female	0.715	0.51	0.03

t represents the parent-offspring regression for infanticide (see details in Methods). 2t within sexes (mother-female offspring; father-male offspring) represents heritabilities of liability to infanticidal behaviour.

Table 2 | General linear model analysis of change in infanticidal frequency.

	Estimates ($\pm$ s.e.)	df	F	P
<i>All individuals</i>				
Initial frequency	0.429 ($\pm$ 0.09)	16	87.27	<0.001
Food	-0.073 ($\pm$ 0.09)	16	3.02	0.101
Initial frequency $\times$ food	0.376 ($\pm$ 0.13)	16	8.11	0.012
<i>Females</i>				
Initial frequency	0.410 ($\pm$ 0.11)	15	53.60	<0.001
Food	-0.040 ($\pm$ 0.11)	15	4.52	0.051
Initial frequency $\times$ food	0.448 ($\pm$ 0.17)	15	6.68	0.021
<i>Males</i>				
Initial frequency	0.492 ($\pm$ 0.08)	16	120.64	<0.001
Food	-0.086 ($\pm$ 0.08)	16	1.66	0.216
Initial frequency $\times$ food	0.326 ($\pm$ 0.12)	16	7.49	0.015

In the models, the change in frequency was explained by the initial frequency of infanticidal behaviour (rare or common) and food manipulation (supplemental food or no extra food) and their interaction.

female infanticidal behaviour should result in the same response in males and *vice versa*. To date there is only weak evidence for the benefits of male infanticide and only relation to increased access to mates in natural populations of small mammals¹. For example, killing pups does not shorten the time that females would re-enter oestrus in small mammals, as females are normally already pregnant when nursing their present pups. However, delayed implantation occurs in many rodents when rearing offspring¹⁶, therefore, by killing present unrelated pups soon after copulation, males may speed up the implantation of their own fertilized eggs. There are also some other benefits (for example, induced pregnancy block), which could be strong enough to maintain male infanticide in rodents¹⁷. Furthermore, the present results show that infanticide in male rodents can be partly a by-product of selection for female infanticide.

In conclusion, the present results clearly support the hypothesis that competition for food resources can have a central role in the evolution of infanticidal behaviour. As reproductive success is constrained by food resources in many infanticidal species, including the bank vole¹⁸, infanticidal females should be able to increase their breeding success by killing the pups of competitors. However, infanticidal behaviour should also carry some other fitness costs and we find that non-infanticidal individuals can more effectively invade a population if food resources are not limited. Although the exact behavioural and physiological mechanisms are still unclear, we can conclude that the invasion success of different infanticidal tactics can vary according the level of food resources as well as the frequency of the opposite tactic in the populations.

Methods

Study species. The study species, the bank vole (*Myodes [Clethrionomys] glareolus*), is a small rodent species common in the northern Europe¹⁹. They mainly inhabit forests and fields feeding on forbs, shoots, seeds, berries, fungi and insects²⁰. The density of breeding females is limited through their territoriality²¹, and at high densities, the maturation of young females is suppressed by social interactions among females^{22–24}. In our study area, bank vole females give birth to a maximum of four litters during the breeding season, which lasts from late April to September. Litter sizes range from two to ten offspring²⁵. Nursing lasts around 20 days after which the pups reach total independence from their mother. Females breed in postpartum oestrus, that is, they can become pregnant within a few hours after parturition. Thus, for individual females reproductive events such as parturition can occur at regular intervals throughout the breeding season. Bank vole males do not rear their offspring and male quality does not affect the amount of maternal care²⁶; hence, we can assume that genetic analyses are not biased by covariances between non-genetic maternal effects and genetic paternal effects. The patterns and amplitude of density variation show considerable geographical variability and both stable and cyclic populations are found in the bank vole^{27,28}. The frequency of infanticidal tactics may differ according to population density or spatial and temporal variation in food resources, but to the best of our knowledge, the effects of these factors have not been reliably tested in the bank vole or other rodents.

Individual infanticidal status. The infanticidal behaviour of all bank vole individuals (second generation laboratory stock from wild ancestors) was tested in the Experimental Animal Unit of the University of Jyväskylä using the neutral arena procedure²⁹. A newborn pup was placed in the middle of a standard mouse cage (43 $\times$ 26 $\times$ 15 cm³) with a thin layer of clean sawdust and an adult test individual was introduced into the cage and placed under continuous observation. If the adult aggressively attacked the pup during the 30 min observation time it was considered infanticidal. Usually infanticidal individuals indicated clear aggression against the pup within 10 min, whereas non-infanticidal individuals typically inspected the pup several times during the test but did not harm it. If aggression against the test pup was expressed, the pup was immediately removed from the test arena and humanely killed. High repeatability (*F* ratio, $r=0.77$) of infanticidal behaviour was confirmed by testing 44 individuals twice during a 1-month interval.

Analyses of quantitative genetics. In the analysis of quantitative genetics, we used the infanticidal status of 967 individuals (481 females and 486 males) and their mothers ($n=845$) and fathers ($n=772$). We analysed the effects of parental phenotypes on offspring phenotype by subjecting the infanticidal data to a logit analysis³⁰ (Table 4). In this analysis, the existence of offspring infanticide was regarded as a response variable and father and mother phenotype as explanatory variables. Because the incidence of infanticide was slightly different for females and males, and because infanticidal behaviour of mothers and fathers seemed to be independent (contingency coefficient between sexes: $C=0.072$; $P>0.05$), we conducted separate analyses for the different sexes. The GLIM statistical package³¹ was used to fit and determine the parameters of the logit models. We also estimated Akaike's information criterion (AIC) for each logit model to select the model with the highest information content. For logit models, this means choosing a model that minimizes AIC:

$$AIC = G^2(X) - [q - 2r] \quad (1)$$

where $G^2(X)$ is the likelihood ratio test statistic for testing the X model against the saturated model, r is the number of degrees of freedom for the X model and q is the degrees of freedom for the saturated model. Because q does not depend on the model, we used a slight modification of AIC:

$$AIC - q = G^2(X) - 2[q - r] \quad (2)$$

where $[q-r]$ is the test degrees of freedom³⁰. Minimizing $AIC-q$ is equivalent to minimizing AIC.

We assumed that infanticide is a polygenically and environmentally determined character and applied the 'threshold' model (for example, refs 32–34) to estimate the genetic parameters for it. The threshold model posits that the determination of the discontinuous variation in a character is a consequence of some underlying character, called the liability, which is itself continuously distributed. The model proposes that at some point along the liability distribution of a continuous trait, a threshold exists past which an individual shows the phenotypic character. Genetic parameters of liability could be estimated using data on the incidence of a character among relatives of infected individuals (known as *propositi*) and the incidence among relatives of controls. We estimated the parent-offspring regression for the liability of infanticide using the equation derived from ref. 35:

$$t = \frac{X - X_R \sqrt{1 - (X^2 - X_R^2)(1 - (X/i))}}{i + X_R^2(i - x)} \quad (3)$$

where i is the mean deviation of affected individuals from the population mean, and X and X_R are the normal deviates of population and offspring of the threshold

Figure 2 | Frequencies and numbers of infanticidal bank voles during the invasion experiment. (a) Frequency of infanticidal individuals in populations at the end of the breeding season. Closed circles show the mean number of voles in populations where infanticidal individuals were initially rare (and non-infanticidal individuals common); and open circles indicate the populations where infanticidal individuals were initially common (and non-infanticidal individuals rare) in founder populations. Dashed lines show the initial frequency of infanticidal tactics in each treatment. (b) Frequency change in infanticidal tactics with different food manipulations (for statistics see Table 2). Asterisks indicate a significant difference (** $P < 0.01$, * $P < 0.05$) from the zero line. Infanticidal individuals can invade both food treatments when they are initially rare (closed circles; $t = 11.14$, $df = 3$, $P = 0.002$; $t = 5.41$, $df = 4$, $P = 0.006$; respectively), but more easily invade populations when food is limited (comparison between the food treatments: $t = 3.86$, $df = 7$, $P = 0.006$). Instead, the rare non-infanticidal tactic (open circles) was significantly favoured only in the populations with supplemented food ($t = 5.41$, $df = 4$, $P = 0.012$). (c) Population size increased with supplemental food ($F = 28.35$, $P < 0.001$), but was not affected by the initial frequency of infanticidal individuals in the population ($F = 0.018$, $P = 0.895$). NS, not significant.

Table 3 | General linear mixed model analysis of the infanticidal status of individuals after the field experiment.

Factor	df	F	P
Initial frequency	79.2	3.47	0.066
Food	79.2	5.84	0.018
Sex	8.11	15.96	<0.001
Initial frequency $\times$ food	79.2	6.64	0.012
Initial frequency $\times$ sex	573	1.04	0.309
Food $\times$ sex	573	0.17	0.679
Initial frequency $\times$ food $\times$ sex	573	0.45	0.504

In the model, infanticidal status was explained by the initial frequency of infanticidal behaviour (rare or common) and food manipulation (supplemental food or no extra food) in the population, sex of individual and their interactions. Population (enclosure) is included in the model as a random factor. df =denominator df , numerator df =1 for all factors.

Table 4 | Logit main factor models of parental phenotypes influencing offspring infanticidal behaviour in the laboratory.

	G ²	df	P	AIC-q
<i>Female offspring</i>				
[Mother]	7.471	2	0.024	3.471
[Father]	4.808	2	0.090	0.808
[Mother][Father]	0.001	1	0.986	-2.000
<i>Male offspring</i>				
[Mother]	8.584	2	0.002	4.584
[Father]	2.375	2	0.305	-1.625
[Mother][Father]	2.208	1	0.137	0.208

The results of the logit analysis suggest that among female offspring, the parental phenotypes had a significant effect on infanticidal behaviour. The model including both mother and father phenotype as a main effect fitted infanticidal behaviour data adequately (no significant deviation, $P = 0.986$), and it also had the largest information content (that is, smallest AIC-q). On the contrary, among male offspring only the phenotype of the father seemed to be important. The model including only the phenotype of the father as a main effect was the simplest model that fitted the male response data adequately ($P = 0.305$), and it also had the smallest AIC-q (-1.625). Including the phenotype of the mother as a main effect into this model actually reduced the explanatory power of the model.

from the mean. Regression was estimated for each of four combinations: mother and female (t_{FF}) and male offspring (t_{FM}), and also for father and female (t_{MF}) and male offspring (t_{MM}). The heritabilities of liability may be computed as t/r , where r is the intraclass correlation (equivalent to the coefficient of relationship among relatives). Consequently, the heritability for females (h^2_F) was estimated as $2t_{FF}$ and for males (h^2_M) as $2t_{MM}$. The corresponding values across sexes ($2t_{FM}$ and $2t_{MF}$) include also genetic covariances between the opposite sexes³⁴. The genetic correlation across the sexes was estimated as (Lynch and Walsh³⁴, p. 740):

$$r_{FM} = \frac{t_{MF} \cdot t_{FM}}{\sqrt{t_{MM} \cdot t_{FF}}} \quad (4)$$

Field experiment. The experiment was carried out at Konnevesi, central Finland (62°37'N, 26°20'E) in 20 outdoor enclosures (0.2 ha each; for details on the vegetation and construction of enclosures, see ref. 36). Each enclosure population was founded by eight voles (four females and four males) at the beginning of the breeding season (early June). In the experiment, two factors (the frequency of infanticidal tactics and food resources) were manipulated. In 11 enclosures extra food (sunflower seeds) was provided *ad libitum* at each trapping station (20 per enclosure) and in 9 enclosures no supplemental food was provided. Further-

more, in each enclosure infanticidal tactics were either rare or common (Fig. 1). Frequency manipulations (rare: 25% and common: 75%) were constrained by breeding densities in the enclosures. The infanticidal status of founder individuals was tested using the behavioural trials described above. To increase the probability of discovering a genetic basis for the chosen tactics, only the individuals whose infanticidal tactic resembled that of both parents were selected for the experiment. However, we were unable to test how the laboratory measurement of infanticidal

behaviour predicts infanticidal behaviour in the enclosures. All founder individuals were divided between the treatment groups randomly, for example, individual size did not differ between treatments at the beginning of the experiment (body mass: frequency $P=0.265$, food $P=0.517$; head width: frequency $P=0.445$, food $P=0.860$).

After the breeding season (in September) all individuals were live-trapped and returned to the laboratory, where they were weighed to the nearest 0.1 g on a digital scale and head width was measured to the nearest 0.1 mm with a digital calliper. All recruits (708 offspring) were maintained in the laboratory for a month before infanticidal status was tested (see Methods above). During this habituation period food was provided *ad libitum* to diminish the direct effects of food manipulations on infanticidal status. We were able to test the infanticidal status of 278 female and 303 male offsprings, an average of 84% (range 69–100%) of the individuals from each enclosure. The proportion of tested individuals did not differ between the enclosures or the treatments (linear mixed model, $P>0.05$ for each). In the main statistical analyses (Table 2), the change in infanticide frequency in each population during the experiment was explained by the initial frequency of infanticidal behaviour (rare or common) and food manipulation (supplemental food or no extra food) and their interaction.

Ethical note. The experiments were conducted with the permission of the Ethical Committee of Scientific Animal Experiments and the Provincial Administrative Board of Western Finland. The evaluation of the Ethical Committee was based on similar guidelines presented by The Association for Study of Animal Behaviour 32. The main criteria for the permit were to keep the number of tested animals and the severity of treatment, for each individual, as low as possible (see above).

References

1. Ebensperger, L. Strategies and counterstrategies to infanticide in mammals. *Biol. Rev.* **73**, 321–346 (1998).
2. Hrdy, S. B. *Mother Nature: A History of Mothers, Infants and Natural Selection* (Pantheon Books, 1999).
3. Lion, S. & van Baalen, M. From infanticide to parental care: why spatial structure can help adults be good parents. *Am. Nat.* **170**, E26–E46 (2007).
4. Packer, C. & Pusey, A. E. Adaptations of female lions to infanticide by incoming males. *Am. Nat.* **121**, 716–728 (1983).
5. Wolff, J. O. Why are female small mammals territorial? *Oikos* **68**, 364–370 (1993).
6. Lonstein, J. S. & Gammie, S. C. Sensory, hormonal, and neural control of maternal aggression in laboratory rodents. *Neurosci. Biobehav. Rev.* **26**, 869–888 (2002).
7. Klug, H. & Bonsall, M. B. When to care for, abandon, or eat your offspring: the evolution of parental care and filial cannibalism. *Am. Nat.* **170**, 886–901 (2007).
8. Hrdy, S. B. Infanticide among animals: a review, classification, and examination of the implication for reproductive strategies of females. *Ethol. Sociobiol.* **1**, 13–40 (1979).
9. Poikonen, T., Koskela, E., Mappes, T. & Mills, S. C. Infanticide in the evolution of reproductive synchrony: Effects on reproductive success. *Evolution* **62**, 612–621 (2008).
10. Morkkonen, M. *et al.* Negative frequency-dependent selection of sexually antagonistic alleles in *Myodes glareolus*. *Science* **334**, 972–974 (2011).
11. Sinervo, B., Svensson, E. & Comendant, T. Density cycles and an offspring quantity and quality game driven by natural selection. *Nature* **406**, 985–988 (2000).
12. Olendorf, R. *et al.* Frequency-dependent survival in natural guppy populations. *Nature* **441**, 633–636 (2006).
13. Fitzpatrick, M. J., Feder, E., Rowe, L. & Sokolowski, M. B. Maintaining a behaviour polymorphism by frequency-dependent selection on a single gene. *Nature* **447**, 210–212 (2007).
14. Mappes, T. *et al.* Frequency and density-dependent selection on life-history strategies - a field experiment. *PLoS ONE* **3**, e1687 (2008).
15. Tuomi, J., Agrell, J. & Mappes, T. On the evolutionary stability of female infanticide. *Behav. Ecol. Sociobiol.* **40**, 227–233 (1997).
16. Elwood, R. W. Temporal-based kinship recognition - a switch in time saves mine. *Behav. Processes* **33**, 15–24 (1994).
17. Elwood, R. W. & Kennedy, H. F. The relationship between infanticide and pregnancy block in mice. *Behav. Neural Biol.* **53**, 277–283 (1990).
18. Koskela, E., Jonsson, P., Hartikainen, T. & Mappes, T. Limitation of reproductive success by food availability and litter size in the bank vole

- Clethrionomys glareolus*. *Proc. R. Soc. Lond. Biol. Sci.* **265**, 1129–1134 (1998).
19. Stenseth, N. C. Geographic distribution of *Clethrionomys* species. *Annales Zoologici Fennici* **22**, 215–219 (1985).
20. Hansson, L. Condition and diet in relation to habitat in bank voles *Clethrionomys glareolus*: population and community approach? *Oikos* **33**, 55–63 (1979).
21. Bujalska, G. The role of spacing behavior among females in the regulation of reproduction in the bank vole. *J. Reprod. Fertil. Suppl.* **19**, 465–474 (1973).
22. Kaitala, V., Mappes, T. & Ylonen, H. Delayed female reproduction in equilibrium and chaotic populations. *Evol. Ecol.* **11**, 105–126 (1997).
23. Mappes, T. & Ylonen, H. Reproductive effort of female bank voles in a risky environment. *Evol. Ecol.* **11**, 591–598 (1997).
24. Kruczek, M. & Marchlewska-Koj, A. Puberty delay of bank vole females in high density population. *Biol. Reprod.* **35**, 537–541 (1986).
25. Koivula, M., Koskela, E., Mappes, T. & Oksanen, T. A. Costs of reproduction in the wild: manipulation of reproductive effort in the bank vole. *Ecology* **84**, 398–405 (2003).
26. Oksanen, T. A. *et al.* Maternal effort and male quality in the bank vole, *Clethrionomys glareolus*. *Proc. R. Soc. Lond. Biol. Sci.* **266**, 1495–1499 (1999).
27. Hansson, L., Jedrzejewska, B. & Jedrzejewski, W. Regional differences in dynamics of bank vole populations in Europe. *Pol. J. Ecol.* **48**, 163–177 (2000).
28. Kallio, E. R. *et al.* Cyclic hantavirus epidemics in humans predicted by rodent host dynamics. *Epidemics* **1**, 101–107 (2009).
29. Labov, J. B., Huck, U. W., Elwood, R. W. & Brooks, R. J. Current problems in the study of infanticidal behavior of rodents. *Q. Rev. Biol.* **60**, 1–20 (1985).
30. Christensen, R. *Log-Linear Models* (Springer, 1990).
31. Aitkin, M., Anderson, D., Francis, B. & Hinde, J. *Statistical Modeling in GLIM* (Oxford Science Publications, 1990).
32. Falconer, D. S. & Mackay, T. F. C. *Introduction to Quantitative Genetics* (Longman Sci. and Tech., 1996).
33. Roff, D. A. *Evolutionary Quantitative Genetics* (Chapman and Hall, 1997).
34. Lynch, M. & Walsh, B. *Genetics and Analysis of Quantitative Traits* (Sinauer Associates, 1998).
35. Reich, T., James, J. W. & Morris, C. A. The use of multiple thresholds in determining the mode of transmission of semi-continuous traits. *Ann. Hum. Genet.* **36**, 163–184 (1972).
36. Oksanen, T. A., Jokinen, I., Koskela, E., Mappes, T. & Vilpas, H. Manipulation of offspring number and size, benefits of large body size at birth depend upon the rearing environment. *J. Anim. Ecol.* **72**, 321–330 (2003).

Acknowledgements

We gratefully acknowledge Ulrika Candolin, Jan Lindström, Harri Hakkarainen and Tuula Oksanen for constructive comments on the manuscript. The study was financially supported by the Academy of Finland (Grant nos. 132190 (to T.M.), 115961 and 119200 (to E.K.)) and the Centre of Excellence in Evolutionary Research. Authors after the first author are listed in alphabetical order.

Author contributions

T.M., J.A., E.K., S.C.M., T.P. and J.T. designed the research, T.M., E.K., S.C.M. and T.P. conducted the research, T.M. and J.A. analysed the data, T.M. led the preparation of the manuscript with input from all co-authors.

Additional information

Competing financial interests: The authors declare no competing financial interests.

Reprints and permission information is available online at <http://npg.nature.com/reprintsandpermissions/>

How to cite this article: Mappes, T. *et al.* Advantage of rare infanticide strategies in an invasion experiment of behavioural polymorphism. *Nat. Commun.* **3**:611 doi: 10.1038/ncomms1613 (2012).

License: This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-Share Alike 3.0 Unported License. To view a copy of this license, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-sa/3.0/>

Corrigendum: Advantage of rare infanticide strategies in an invasion experiment of behavioural polymorphism

Tapio Mappes, Jouni Aspi, Esa Koskela, Suzanne C. Mills, Tanja Poikonen & Juha Tuomi

Nature Communications 3:611 doi: 10.1038/ncomms1613 (2012); Published 3 Jan 2012; Updated 23 Oct 2012

The affiliation details for Jouni Aspi are incorrect in this Article. The correct address for this author is given below.

Department of Biology, University of Oulu, PO Box 3000, FIN-90014 Oulu, Finland.