Correspondence: Reply to: 'Correspondence: Variations in ocean heat uptake during the surface warming hiatus'

Wei Liu¹, Shang-Ping Xie¹ & Jian Lu²

Nature Communications 7:12542 doi: 10.1038/ncomms12542 (2016); Published 19 Aug 2016

Energetics arguments are often invoked to explain the early 2000s slowdown of the global mean surface temperature (GMST) increase, a phenomenon often dubbed as the 'hiatus'. Our recent paper¹ showed that in climate models, there is no significant difference in global ocean heat uptake during the hiatus and surge (accelerated warming) events², but we identified a vertical redistribution of heat in the ocean³. In comments on our paper, Chen and Tung⁴ insist that the horizontal redistribution of subsurface ocean heat content (OHC) is somehow tied to global mean surface temperature variations. But the mechanism for such a relationship has never been demonstrated with the exception of the wind-induced upper ocean adjustments in the tropical Indo-Pacific Oceans^{5–7}.

Chen and Tung⁴ suggest that models underestimate inter-decadal variability in ocean heat uptake. Indeed, ocean heat uptake estimates based on ocean temperature observations are more variable in time than in climate models, but the model results are consistent with satellite-based radiative imbalance at the top of the atmosphere (TOA)^{8,9}. Specifically, since 2000 during which reliable global radiative observations are available, TOA radiative imbalance remains largely unchanged, whereas the rate of estimated OHC change shows much larger temporal variations⁹ (Fig. 1). Since the TOA radiative imbalance approximately equals global ocean heat uptake on inter-annual and longer timescales, this illustrates the limitations of using historical ocean observations to quantify interannual–decadal

Figure 1 | Energy budget. The five-year running mean global ocean heat content (OHC) trend and net incoming radiation at the TOA from satellites^{10,11} (black). The OHC trend is expressed as a flux relative to the total surface area of the Earth and calculated from three observational datasets: the Ishii data¹² (red, 0–1,500 m), the EN4 data¹³ (orange, full depth) and the European Centre for Medium-Range Weather Forecasts (ECMWF) Ocean Reanalysis System 4 (ORAS4) data¹⁴ (blue, full depth) and the Community Earth System Model (CESM) simulations¹⁵: the Hiatus/Surge group¹ (dark green/purple curve for the ensemble mean and light green/plum shading for ± 1 s.d. range across ensemble members). Annual mean time series are used in the calculation. The methodology for computing the OHC trend and TOA flux follows Smith *et al.*⁹ The year represents the centre of the time period used to calculate the trend.

¹Scripps Institution of Oceanography, University of California San Diego, La Jolla, California 92093, USA. ²Pacific Northwest National Laboratory, Richland, Washington 99354, USA. Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to W.L. (email: well109@ucsd.edu).

Figure 2 | 300–1,500 m ocean heat content trends. The 15-year running mean 300–1,500 m ocean heat content (OHC) trends in the global oceans, Atlantic, Southern Ocean, Pacific and Indian Ocean from observations: the Ishii (red), EN4 (orange) and ECMWF ORAS4 data (blue), and the CESM simulations: the Hiatus/Surge group (dark green/purple curve for the ensemble mean and light green/plum shading for ± 1 s.d. range across ensemble members). Annual mean time series are used in the computation. The year represents the centre of the time period used to calculate the trend.

variations of ocean heat uptake. Given limitations of observational estimates as well as model simulations, the synthesis of observations and models for physical consistence is the best way forward. Blindly trusting models is dangerous, but the critical evaluation of observational estimates is equally important, especially with sparsely sampled measurements of subsurface ocean temperature.

In other technical comments, Chen and Tung⁴ claim that our interpretation of the observational results on the relationship between inter-basin redistribution of 300–1,500 m OHC and the surface warming rate is inappropriate. Specifically, they object to the comparison of 300–1,500 m OHC trends during 1998–2012 against the baseline of 1970–2012, and question our analysis that measures heat redistribution with the basin to global heat uptake ratio. We disagree. In light of large uncertainties in OHC observations (Fig. 2), the large increase of global OHC trend during 1998–2012 in the Ishii data is questionable. In the climate model we analysed, changes in 300–1,500 m OHCs of the global, Atlantic and Southern Oceans are dominated by anthropogenic warming and are not unique to the surface warming hiatus period of 1998–2012. This justifies our use of 1970–2012 as the baseline to isolate anthropogenic warming and supports our analysis of heat redistribution to reveal the

dominance of the Atlantic and Southern Oceans in subsurface ocean storage of anthropogenic heat.

Methods

Incoming radiation data. The net incoming radiation at the TOA is obtained from the Diagnosing Earth's Energy Pathways in the Climate system (DEEP-C) reconstructed TOA radiative energy fluxes^{10,11}. This reconstructed data is based on Clouds and the Earth's Radiant Energy System (CERES) Edition 2.8 product but extended from January 1985 to May 2015. A geodetic weighting is used for accurately estimating the global and hemispheric energy imbalance. The reconstructed data is globally covered with monthly outputs. Information of other data can be found in our original paper¹.

Data availability. The DEEP-C reconstructed TOA radiative energy fluxes can be found at <http://www.met.reading.ac.uk/~sgs02rpa/research/DEEP-C/GRL/>.

References

1. Liu, W., Xie, S. -P. & Lu, J. Tracking ocean heat uptake during the surface warming hiatus. *Nat. Commun.* **7**, 10926 (2016).
2. Xie, S. -P., Kosaka, Y. & Okumura, Y. M. Distinct energy budgets for anthropogenic and natural changes during global warming hiatus. *Nat. Geosci.* **9**, 29–33 (2016).
3. Meehl, G. A., Hu, A., Arblaster, J. M., Fasullo, J. & Trenberth, K. E. Externally forced and internally generated decadal climate variability

- associated with the Interdecadal Pacific Oscillation. *J. Clim.* **26**, 7298–7310 (2013).
4. Chen, X. Y. & Tung, K.-K. Correspondence: Variations in ocean heat uptake during the surface warming hiatus. *Nat. Commun.* **7**:12541 doi: 10.1038/ncomms12541 (2016).
 5. England, M. H. *et al.* Recent intensification of wind-driven circulation in the Pacific and the ongoing warming hiatus. *Nat. Clim. Change* **4**, 222–227 (2014).
 6. Nieves, V., Willis, J. K. & Patzert, W. C. Recent hiatus caused by decadal shift in Indo-Pacific heating. *Science* **349**, 532–535 (2015).
 7. Lee, S.-K. *et al.* Pacific origin of the abrupt increase in Indian Ocean heat content during the warming hiatus. *Nat. Geosci.* **8**, 445–449 (2015).
 8. Trenberth, K. E., Fasullo, J. T. & Balmaseda, M. A. Earth's energy imbalance. *J. Clim.* **27**, 3129–3144 (2014).
 9. Smith, D. M. *et al.* Earth's energy imbalance since 1960 in observations and CMIP5 models. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* **42**, 1205–1213 (2015).
 10. Allan, R. P. *et al.* Changes in global net radiative imbalance 1985–2012. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* **41**, 5588–5597 (2014).
 11. Liu, C. *et al.* Combining satellite observations and reanalysis energy transports to estimate global net surface energy fluxes 1985–2012. *J. Geophys. Res.* **120**, 9374–9389 (2015).
 12. Ishii, M., Shouji, A., Sugimoto, S. & Matsumoto, T. Objective analyses of SST and marine meteorological variables for the 20th century using COADS and the Kobe collection. *Int. J. Climatol.* **25**, 865–879 (2005).
 13. Good, S. A., Martin, M. J. & Rayner, N. A. EN4: quality controlled ocean temperature and salinity profiles and monthly objective analyses with uncertainty estimates. *J. Geophys. Res.* **118**, 6704–6716 (2013).
 14. Balmaseda, M. A., Trenberth, K. E. & Källén, E. Distinctive climate signals in reanalysis of global ocean heat content. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* **40**, 1754–1759 (2013).
 15. Kay, J. E. *et al.* The Community Earth System Model (CESM) large ensemble project: a community resource for studying climate change in the presence of internal climate variability. *Bull. Am. Meteorol. Soc.* **96**, 1333–1349 (2015).

Additional information

Competing financial interests: The authors declare no competing financial interests.

Reprints and permission information is available online at <http://npg.nature.com/reprintsandpermissions/>

How to cite this article: Liu, W. *et al.* Reply to: 'Correspondence: Variations in ocean heat uptake during the surface warming hiatus'. *Nat. Commun.* **7**:12542 doi: 10.1038/ncomms12542 (2016).

This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in the credit line; if the material is not included under the Creative Commons license, users will need to obtain permission from the license holder to reproduce the material. To view a copy of this license, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>

© The Author(s) 2016