ARTICLE

Received 5 Sep 2014 | Accepted 18 Mar 2016 | Published 21 Apr 2016

DOI: 10.1038/ncomms11381

OPEN

Nanoscale electron transport at the surface of a topological insulator

Sebastian Bauer¹ & Christian A. Bobisch¹

The use of three-dimensional topological insulators for disruptive technologies critically depends on the dissipationless transport of electrons at the surface, because of the suppression of backscattering at defects. However, in real devices, defects are unavoidable and scattering at angles other than 180° is allowed for such materials. Until now, this has been studied indirectly by bulk measurements and by the analysis of the local density of states in close vicinity to defect sites. Here, we directly measure the nanoscale voltage drop caused by the scattering at step edges, which occurs if a lateral current flows along a three-dimensional topological insulator. The experiments were performed using scanning tunnelling potentiometry for thin Bi_2Se_3 films. So far, the observed voltage drops are small because of large contributions of the bulk to the electronic transport. However, for the use of ideal topological insulating thin films in devices, these contributions would play a significant role.

¹Faculty of Physics and Center for Nanointegration Duisburg-Essen (CENIDE), University of Duisburg-Essen, Lotharstrasse 1, 47057 Duisburg, Germany. Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to C.A.B. (email: christian.bobisch@uni-due.de).

Both, the high conductivity and the spin polarization of the topological surface state imply the use of three-dimensional topological insulators (3D TIs)^{1,2} for spintronic devices (for example, for data processing). In addition, the low-energy dissipation due to the forbidden backscattering^{3–6} increases the efficiency and the lifetime of potential TI-based devices. Bi₂Se₃ is such a 3D TI with a bulk band gap of about 0.3 eV while the corresponding topological surface state is found to exhibit a nearly ideal Dirac cone⁷. The height of the band gap suppresses intrinsic conduction, so that Bi₂Se₃ is well suited for possible technical applications. In addition, the doping of Bi₂Se₃ can be tailored by low-level substitutions of Ca for Bi to adjust the Fermi level⁸. However, owing to unintentional doping by Se defects, the bulk of thin Bi₂Se₃ films is conductive. So far, the global sheet conductance of Bi₂Se₃ for different sample preparations has been studied on a macroscopic scale^{9–12}. The microscopic scattering in (topological) surface states is mostly analysed by evaluating the lateral variation of the local density of states (LDOSs) using dI/dV measurements with a scanning tunnelling microscope (STM)^{3–5,13}. Here, electron scattering at defects, for example, surface step edges is measured indirectly by analysing the lateral oscillations of the LDOS near the scattering centre. As this technique provides a high spatial resolution on the atomic scale¹⁴, the involved scattering channels are analysed with great spatial resolution^{6,15–17}. This has also been supported by theoretical studies^{15,16,18,19}, which proved that scattering at step edges on a topological surface is possible without violating the forbidden backscattering, decreasing the electron transport. Very recently, *ab-initio* calculations reveal the impact of Bi₂Se₃ step edges, that is, surface barriers on the electron transport¹⁹. For TI-based devices, local information on the electron transport through thin TI films on supporting substrates are relevant as processed device structures have reached dimensions of ~14 nm already. For a 3D TI holding a surface current, the spatial variation of the electrochemical potential μ_{ec} at the surface carries direct and detailed information on the electron transport properties and the corresponding energy dissipation²⁰. Datta shows that a local scatterer such as a step edge represents a one-dimensional Landauer-like resistive dipole, which results in a local drop of μ_{ec} , that is, a local voltage drop at the defect site²⁰. At the surface of a TI this has not been measured so far.

In the following, we use scanning tunnelling potentiometry (STP)^{21,22} to detect simultaneously μ_{ec} and the corresponding topography at the surface of the 3D TI Bi₂Se₃ with atomic-scale resolution. The analysis of μ_{ec} at the thin Bi₂Se₃ film surface yields a direct measure of current transport under realistic conditions, that is, a current of a few mA flows parallel to the surface analogue to real devices. We use thin films of Bi₂Se₃ on the technologically important substrate silicon with a film thickness of 14 QL, which ensures that the topological state is well established²³, whereas the surface-to-bulk ratio of the film is still very high. This guarantees a maximum surface sensitivity. In particular, we find that the nanoscale electron transport in Bi₂Se₃ thin films is sensitive to surface step edges, manifested in local voltage drops at the step edge positions.

Results

Sample characterisation by macroscale transport measurements.

A sketch of the experimental setup and a SEM image of the contact geometry are shown in Fig. 1a,b. For further experimental details see the Methods. Figure 1c shows a typical STM image of the thin Bi₂Se₃ film. It reveals a layered structure with a step height for 1 QL of 1.01 ± 0.07 nm (see also Fig. 2d) in agreement with other published data for Bi₂Se₃ (ref. 24). The inset in Fig. 1c shows the corresponding sharp and hexagonal low-energy electron diffraction (LEED) pattern indicating a high quality of

the epitaxial film with a lateral lattice constant of 0.41 ± 0.01 nm. Using a multi probe STM, we evaluate the macroscopic conductance of the sample *in situ*: Two tips contact the Bi₂Se₃ surface for different tip distances and the resistance between the tips is measured (inset Fig. 1d). Fitting of the data yields a (macroscopic) sheet conductance G_{macro} of the film of 1.8 ± 0.1 mS (Fig. 1d), which agrees to the findings by other groups (for example, 1.3 mS for 10 QL Bi₂Se₃ on sapphire (0001), extracted from the diagram in ref. 25). This is discussed in detail in Supplementary Note 1 (see also Supplementary Fig. 1).

Nanoscale transport measurements by STP. Figure 2 shows our experimental STP results, that is, an STM topography (Fig. 2a) and a simultaneously acquired map of the electrochemical potential (for short now called potential; Fig. 2b). Here, a lateral current of 1.9 mA is flowing through the sample from right to left (direction of the electrons). The potential mainly exhibits a constant gradient along this direction (Fig. 2c and line profile in Fig. 2e), which corresponds to an electric field E of 72 ± 4 V cm⁻¹. This constant gradient may result from phonon scattering as the dominant process within the Bi₂Se₃ film, which is not forbidden by the topological phase^{26,27}.

For the given geometry, the average local current density j in the middle between the contacting tips can be estimated from the total transverse current I_{trans} by²⁸

$$j = \frac{2}{\pi \cdot d} I_{\text{trans}}, \quad (1)$$

where d is the distance between the contact tips (for details see Supplementary Note 2 and Supplementary Fig. 2). Given the transverse current of $I_{\text{trans}} = 1.9$ mA and the distance between the contacting tips of $d = 80 \pm 20$ μm (see Fig. 1b for details), the average local current density is $j = 0.15 \pm 0.03$ A cm⁻¹. Using Ohm's law, we calculate the local (microscopic) sheet conductance G_{micro} of the film: $G_{\text{micro}} = j/E = 2.1 \pm 0.6$ mS, which is close to the global film conductance of $G_{\text{macro}} = 1.8 \pm 0.1$ mS as determined from the resistance measurement at macroscopic distances (see Fig. 1d for details). This implies a rather homogeneous sheet conductance of the Bi₂Se₃ film. The sheet conductance G of a film is defined as the sum of the film's bulk conductivity σ_b times the film thickness d and the surface contribution σ_s :

$$G = \sigma_b \cdot d + \sigma_s. \quad (2)$$

From literature^{9,10,12}, we know that the surface conductivity of high-quality Bi₂Se₃ films is in the range of 0.4–0.8 mS. Thus, the dominating part (60–80%) for the microscopic conductance of our Bi₂Se₃ film is the bulk conductivity. This is plausible since during the film growth the Se excess was not very high (around 50% more Se atoms than necessary) and Se vacancies are incorporated into the film leading to an n-doping^{8,9}. In consequence, around 30% of the current density flows through the surface state.

To analyse the local potential in detail, we subtract the macroscopic gradient from the measured potential and an additional 'fine structure' in the potential becomes visible (Fig. 2c and line profile in Fig. 2d). This 'fine structure' exhibits small voltage drops in the order of 20–30 μV , which are directly correlated to step edges in the topography (see Fig. 2a,b for details). To emphasise this, Fig. 2d shows line profiles across the same region for the topographic image, the potential image and the 'fine structure'. In addition, we show the line profile for the 'fine structure' for both, forward and backward scan direction. As the potential for both scan directions agree well, we can rule out a measurement artefact due to a non-ideal operating feedback loop for the tunnelling current.

Figure 1 | SEM, STM, LEED and sheet conductance of Bi_2Se_3 on $\text{Si}(111)$. (a) Scheme of the STP experiment: a voltage V_{trans} leading to a transverse current I_{trans} is applied to the sample by two tips (red circuit). A potentiometer connects the tunnelling tip to the sample contacts in a Wheatstone bridge circuit. V_{loc} represents the local potential at the surface under the tunnelling tip. (b) SEM image of the contact geometry. Scale bar, 75 μm . Two Au tips contact the Bi_2Se_3 sample surface. A W tip is operated in tunnelling distance (tunnelling tip) and simultaneously maps the surface structure and the electrochemical potential. (c) STM image of the 14 QL Bi_2Se_3 film on $\text{Si}(111)$ ($V_t = 1\text{ V}$, $I_t = 12\text{ pA}$, $650 \times 650\text{ nm}^2$). Scale bar, 100 nm. The surface of the Bi_2Se_3 film is crystalline and flat. The inset shows the hexagonal LEED pattern of the Bi_2Se_3 film at 35 eV (lattice constant of $0.41 \pm 0.01\text{ nm}$) exhibiting the high crystallinity of the film. (d) Distance-dependent resistance measurement of the Bi_2Se_3 film, leading to a sheet conductance of $1.8 \pm 0.1\text{ mS}$. The inset shows SEM image snapshots of the measuring process. Scale bar, 75 μm .

Excluding tip induced artefacts in the STP measurements.

However, a drop in the measured potential may be caused by the geometry of the tip (that is, a double tip as discussed, for example, by ref. 21): In this case, the tunnelling junction is laterally displaced, leading to a voltage drop ΔV of the potential given by $E \cdot d$ with the electric field E and the distance d for the double tip. For the given values of E and ΔV , the distance would be $\sim 7\text{ nm}$. Hence, the deviation of the constant gradient of the potential should be limited to a range of $\sim 7\text{ nm}$. However, we find that the change of the potential at a step edge remains for distances in the order of 100 nm or more. This has been further corroborated by a numerical simulation taking care of different tip geometries and the measured topography. Here, it is worth noting, that the measured STP signals at the Bi_2Se_3 step edges are very small, reaching the limits of the STP measurement. Similar signals observed for the graphene surface (see ref. 28 for details) and the $\text{Si}(111)-(\sqrt{3} \times \sqrt{3})\text{R}30^\circ\text{-Ag}$ surface²⁹ are a magnitude higher (mV scale) than in our case (μV scale). Therefore, great care was taken to exclude artefacts. For a detailed discussion, see the Supplementary Note 3 inclusive Supplementary Figs 3 and 4.

Such a sharp voltage drop at a surface step edge is only observed in STP, if the underlying scattering event is located extremely close to the surface (M. Wenderoth and R. Möller, personal communication). Thus, the observed small voltage drops at surface step edges of Bi_2Se_3 clearly reveal that significant surface contributions are measured by our STP experiment and we conclude that a significant charge transport is mediated through the TI surface at room temperature.

Detailed STP analysis at a 1 QL step. To analyse the voltage drop at a 1 QL step in more detail, Fig. 3a shows averaged line profiles of the potential across a 1 QL Bi_2Se_3 step for different surface current densities. The values of the observed voltage drops at the step edge are stated at each line profile. To improve the signal to noise ratio, we average the data for several scan lines (see

also the Supplementary Note 4 and Supplementary Figs 5 and 6). By evaluating the voltage drop at the single QL step edge as a function of the surface current density ($j_s = -70\text{ mA cm}^{-1} \dots +70\text{ mA cm}^{-1}$, Fig. 3b) we can deduce the conductivity at a QL step edge. As expected, for the low surface-current densities, the amplitude of the voltage drop scales linearly as a function of the surface current density. Now we compare an average local voltage drop of $30\text{ }\mu\text{V}$ with the observed gradient for a length of 100 nm, which is equivalent to a voltage difference of $720\text{ }\mu\text{V}$. Using the fact that 30% of the current density flows through the surface state^{9,10,12}, we estimate a voltage difference for a nominal length of 100 nm caused by phonon scattering to $210\text{ }\mu\text{V}$ for a pure surface conduction. Thus, the voltage drop at the step edge accounts to 15% for a length of 100 nm, which is a significant contribution to the surface state conductivity and will be even higher for an increased step edge density. Similar to the evaluation of the step conductivity induced by a substrate step of graphene on SiC in ref. 28, we determine the step conductivity of a 1 QL Bi_2Se_3 step from the slope of the fit to a numerical value of $\sigma_{\text{step}} = j_s / \Delta V = 1,100 \pm 700\text{ S cm}^{-1}$ (Fig. 3b). The main factors for the uncertainties for the step conductivity are the measured distances between the two contact tips (25%) and the estimation of the portion of transvers current, which flows through the surface state (33%). Other error sources like the uncertainties in the measurement of the transverse current and in the measurements of the voltage drops are less important (some %). This leads to a total relative error of the step conductivity of $\sim 60\%$. From other STP data on Bi_2Se_3 (see Supplementary Note 5 and Supplementary Fig. 7), we can also make a rough estimation of the step conductivity of a 3 QL step to a numerical value of about 400 S cm^{-1} . The impact of surface step edges to the lateral film conductance was also verified by macroscopic 4 point probe measurements. Here, we used a Bi_2Se_3 film with an anisotropic step distribution and find that the conductance of the film is also anisotropic. The resulting evaluation of the step edge

conductivity from those macroscopic measurements yields a value of $1,000\text{ S cm}^{-1}$ for the step conductivity of the Bi_2Se_3 steps in great agreement with the STP measurements. For more details, see the Supplementary Note 6 and Supplementary Figs 8–10.

Figure 2 | Results of the STP measurement. ($V_{\text{mod}} = 20\text{ mVpp}$ at 2.1 kHz , $I_t = 12\text{ pA}$, $V_{\text{trans}} = -1.3\text{ V}$, $I_{\text{trans}} = -1.9\text{ mA}$, $315 \times 315\text{ nm}^2$). White scale bar, 75 nm . **(a)** Topography of the Bi_2Se_3 film. **(b)** The image of the potential for the corresponding surface area as in **(a)** mainly exhibits a constant gradient corresponding to the electric field induced by the contacting tips. **(c)** Corresponding ‘fine structure’ of the potential (gradient subtracted). The colour coding helps to identify rather sharp drops of the potential at surface step edges. **(d)** Averaged line profiles (about 7 scan lines) across a Bi_2Se_3 island for the same position as marked in **(a)**, **(b)** and **(c)**. The plot of the fine structure includes the profile for the backward scan direction (light grey). The scan direction is indicated by arrows.

Discussion

The observed voltage drops demonstrate that the step edges are nano-resistances, which scatter the conduction electrons locally. This scattering process can be described as a reflection and transmission of incoming electron waves at the step edge, which does not contradict to the properties of a TI. Very recent *ab-initio* calculations by Narayan *et al.*¹⁹ show that Bi_2Se_3 step edges are barriers for the electron transport, which is consistent with our STP results. The direct backscattering (180°) is still forbidden, but other scattering channels (angles) are possible as discussed by Biswas and Balatzky¹⁵. This was already observed indirectly by dI/dV imaging, for example, on $\text{Bi}_{1-x}\text{Sb}_x$ by Roushan *et al.*⁴, on the Bi_2Te_3 surface by Alpichshev *et al.*⁵ and on the Bi_2Se_3 surface by Wang *et al.*⁶ Depending on the electron energy, the phase and the spin texture, the scattered electron waves interfere at the step edge with the incoming or transmitted electron waves resulting in oscillations of the LDOS near the step edge^{14,16}, which diminish faster (power of $-3/2$) than for trivial two dimensional electron gas systems (power of $-1/2$) (ref. 6). Although direct backscattering for the surface state is not allowed, other non- 180° scattering channels are available at the step edge, which limit the resulting conductivity of the Bi_2Se_3 surface. Here, it is worth noting that we cannot clearly distinguish if the scattering happens exclusively within the TI’s surface state or if bulk states³⁰ also participate in the scattering process. Epitaxial Bi_2Se_3 films are known to exhibit a n-doping because of Se vacancies, leading to a shift of the Fermi energy towards the valence band. At a high

Table 1 Step conductivity σ_{step} of different 2D systems measured by STP*.	
System	$\sigma_{\text{step}} (\text{S cm}^{-1})$
$\text{Si}(111)-(\sqrt{3} \times \sqrt{3})\text{R}30^\circ\text{-Ag}$ (Si step) ²⁹	32 ± 5
$\text{Si}(111)-(\sqrt{3} \times \sqrt{3})\text{R}30^\circ\text{-Ag}$ (Si multiple step) ²⁹	7 ± 3
Graphene on SiC (monolayer on a SiC step) ²⁸	$1,400 \pm 500$
Graphene on SiC (monolayer on a SiC double step) ²⁸	700 ± 200
Graphene on SiC (monolayer on a SiC triple step) ²⁸	400 ± 100
Bi_2Se_3 (1 QL step)	$1,100 \pm 700$
Bi_2Se_3 (3 QL step)	ca 400

2D, two dimension; STP, scanning tunnelling potentiometry.
*The step conductivities are measured perpendicular to the step edge.

Figure 3 | Step conductivity of a 1 QL step. **(a)** Averaged line profile of the potential in the vicinity of a 1-QL step of Bi_2Se_3 for different surface current densities j_s from -72 mA cm^{-1} to 72 mA cm^{-1} . For clarity, the line profiles are vertically offset. The value of the voltage drop ΔV at the step edge is indicated. **(b)** Voltage drop at a 1-QL step as a function of surface current density. The linear fit yields the conductivity of a 1-QL step to $1,100 \pm 700\text{ S cm}^{-1}$. The individual error bars of the voltage drops represent the maximal error of each measured voltage drop as estimated from the noise level.

doping level, this would lead to an increased involvement of the bulk states in the scattering process. In realistic thin-film devices, such effects are also of technological relevance. Overall, our experimental results reveal that electron scattering also affects the local potential near a surface step edge of the 3D TI Bi_2Se_3 if a current is flowing through the surface. This is the first direct evidence for the relation between LDOS oscillations and local voltage drops at defect sites on TI surfaces.

Table 1 gives an overview on the step conductivities including other low-dimensional surface systems. The step conductivity of a 1-QL Bi_2Se_3 step (height of ca 1 nm) is similar to a SiC substrate step for a graphene layer²⁸ (height of 0.5 nm). If we compare steps of the same step height (graphene on a SiC double step versus 1 QL Bi_2Se_3 step), the step conductivity of Bi_2Se_3 appears enhanced. This means that the impact of the step edge scattering in the case of Bi_2Se_3 thin films is reduced as compared with a substrate-supported graphene sheet. In comparison to, for example, a single step of the $\text{Si}(111)-(\sqrt{3} \times \sqrt{3})\text{R}30^\circ\text{-Ag}$ (ref. 29) surface (height of 0.3 nm), the step conductivity of a 1-QL Bi_2Se_3 step appears 35 times higher. This implies that the overlap of the surface states on the upper and the lower terrace at the Bi_2Se_3 step edge is quite high. This is reasonable as the penetration depth of the surface state extents about 3 QL (ref. 23).

In conclusion, voltage drops at step edges of the Bi_2Se_3 surface reveal elementary contribution to the resistivity of TI surfaces. This proves that despite the topological protection the surface morphology plays a critical role for the electron transport, for example, in prospective thin TI film-based devices. For an ideal TI without any bulk contributions to the conductivity, the sum of the nano-resistances at step edges will limit the macroscopic surface conductivity. Our approach can also be applied to analyse scattering of conduction electrons at other defects structures such as domain boundaries, non-magnetic and magnetic adsorbates. With this knowledge, it should be possible to tune the electron transport of a TI surface on a local scale for designing smaller and more complex nanoscale device structures.

Methods

Sample preparation of Bi_2Se_3 thin films. For the preparation of Bi_2Se_3 films, we follow the recipe of Zhang *et al.*²⁴ and Vyshnepolsky *et al.*³¹:

Bi (purity of 99.997% by Mateck) and Se (purity of 99.999% by Mateck) are co-evaporated with a ration Bi:Se of 1:2.25 (excess of Se) onto a $\text{Si}(111)-(\sqrt{3} \times \sqrt{3})\text{-Bi}$ substrate at room temperature²⁴. The amount of deposited material is monitored by a quartz micro balance. The geometrical structure for the different steps of preparation is checked by LEED. A Si wafer with a low n-doping (phosphorus, conductivity of 7.7 mS cm^{-1}) and a miscut of 0.5° (ca 30 Si-steps μm^{-1}) was used. Before the deposition of Bi_2Se_3 , the wafer was flashed to 1,500 K and slowly cooled to induce the 7×7 reconstruction. The equivalent of 10 ML of bismuth was deposited at a sample temperature of 300 K followed by heating to 720 K to prepare the $\text{Bi}-(\sqrt{3} \times \sqrt{3})\text{-reconstruction}$. We grew a Bi_2Se_3 film with a nominal film thickness of ca 14 nm (about 14 QL), which is known to exhibit the topological phase²³. Finally, the sample is annealed at 530 K for 2 h to ensure a flat and smooth film morphology³¹.

Scanning tunnelling potentiometry. We use STP (Fig. 1a), which was first introduced by Murali and Pohl²¹ in 1986. This is a STM-related technique, which allows us to measure the local electrochemical potential μ_{ec} and the local topography of the sample with atomic resolution simultaneously. In brief, two tips contact the sample and apply a voltage V_{trans} leading to a transverse current I_{trans} through the surface. A third tip is brought into tunnelling distance in the area between both contact tips. A potentiometer connects the contact tips and the tunnelling tip in a Wheatstone bridge circuit. If the bridge is balanced, the average DC tunnelling current I_t vanishes ($\langle I_t \rangle = 0$) and the voltage at the tip matches the local potential V_{loc} at the position of the tunnelling tip. During scanning, the bridge is automatically readjusted for each position of the tunnelling tip and the voltage at the tip is recorded (see Bannani *et al.*²² for details). In addition, a small alternating bias V_{mod} is applied to the tunnelling junction resulting in an AC tunnelling current, which is used to control the distance between tip and sample. Thus, topographic STM imaging and potential mapping are simultaneously provided by STP. The geometry of the three tips and the sample surface monitored by SEM is shown in Fig. 1b.

References

- Hasan, M. Z. & Kane, C. L. Topological insulators. *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **82**, 3045–3067 (2010).
- Zhang, H., Liu, C.-X., Dai, X., Fang, Z. & Zhang, S.-C. Topological insulators in Bi_2Se_3 , Bi_2Te_3 and Sb_2Te_3 with a single Dirac cone on the surface. *Nature Phys.* **5**, 438–442 (2009).
- Mann, C. *et al.* Mapping the 3D surface potential in Bi_2Se_3 . *Nat. Commun.* **4**, 2277 (2013).
- Roushan, P. *et al.* Topological surface states protected from backscattering by chiral spin texture. *Nature* **460**, 1106–1109 (2009).
- Alpichshev, Z. *et al.* STM imaging of electronic waves on the surface of Bi_2Te_3 : topologically protected surface states and hexagonal warping effects. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **104**, 016401 (2010).
- Wang, J. *et al.* Power-law decay of standing waves on the surface of topological insulators. *Phys. Rev. B* **84**, 235447 (2011).
- Lang, M. *et al.* Revelation of topological surface states in Bi_2Se_3 thin films by *in situ* Al passivation. *ACS Nano* **6**, 295–302 (2012).
- Hor, Y. S. *et al.* *p*-type Bi_2Se_3 for topological insulator and low-temperature thermoelectric applications. *Phys. Rev. B* **79**, 195208 (2009).
- He, L. *et al.* Epitaxial growth of Bi_2Se_3 topological insulator thin films on Si (111). *J. Appl. Phys.* **109**, 103702 (2011).
- He, L. *et al.* Surface-dominated conduction in a 6 nm thick Bi_2Se_3 thin film. *Nano Lett.* **12**, 1486–1490 (2012).
- Kim, D. *et al.* Surface conduction of topological Dirac electrons in bulk insulating Bi_2Se_3 . *Nat. Phys.* **8**, 459–463 (2012).
- Steinberg, H., Gardner, D. R., Lee, Y. S. & Jarillo-Herrero, P. Surface state transport and ambipolar electric field effect in Bi_2Se_3 nanodevices. *Nano Lett.* **10**, 5032–5036 (2010).
- Teague, M. L. *et al.* Observation of Fermi-energy dependent unitary impurity resonances in a strong topological insulator Bi_2Se_3 with scanning tunneling spectroscopy. *Solid State Commun.* **152**, 747–751 (2012).
- Cottin, M. C. *et al.* Interplay between forward and backward scattering of spin-orbit split surface states of $\text{Bi}(111)$. *Nano Lett.* **13**, 2717–2722 (2013).
- Biswas, R. R. & Balatzky, A. V. Scattering from surface step edges in strong topological insulators. *Phys. Rev. B* **83**, 075439 (2011).
- Jing, W. & Bang-Fen, Z. Elastic scattering of surface states on three-dimensional topological insulators. *Chin. Phys. B* **22**, 067301 (2013).
- Zhang, T., Levy, N., Ha, J., Kuk, Y. & Stroscio, J. A. Scanning tunneling microscopy of gate tunable topological insulator Bi_2Se_3 thin films. *Phys. Rev. B* **87**, 115410 (2013).
- Alos-Palop, M., Tiwari, R. P. & Blaasboer, M. Suppression of conductance in a topological insulator nanostep junction. *Phys. Rev. B* **87**, 035432 (2013).
- Narayan, A., Rungger, I., Droghetti, A. & Sanvito, S. *Ab-initio* transport across Bismuth Selenide surface barriers. *Phys. Rev. B* **90**, 205431 (2014).
- Datta, S. in *Electronic Transport in Mesoscopic Systems* 72 (Cambridge Univ., 1997).
- Murali, P. & Pohl, D. W. Scanning tunneling potentiometry. *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **48**, 514–516 (1986).
- Bannani, A., Bobisch, C. A. & Möller, R. Local potentiometry using a multiprobe scanning tunneling microscope. *Rev. Sci. Instrum.* **79**, 083704 (2008).
- Wu, L. *et al.* A sudden collapse in the transport lifetime across the topological phase transition in $(\text{Bi}_{1-x}\text{In}_x)_2\text{Se}_3$. *Nat. Phys.* **9**, 410–414 (2013).
- Zhang, G. *et al.* Quintuple-layer epitaxy of thin films of topological insulator Bi_2Se_3 . *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **95**, 053114 (2009).
- Taskin, A. A., Sasaki, S., Segawa, K. & Ando, Y. Manifestation of topological protection in transport properties of epitaxial Bi_2Se_3 thin films. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **109**, 066803 (2012).
- Butch, N. P. *et al.* Strong surface scattering in ultrahigh-mobility Bi_2Se_3 topological insulator crystals. *J. Phys. Rev. B* **81**, 241301 (2010).
- Ghaemi, P., Mong, R. S. K. & Moore, J. E. In-plane transport and enhanced thermoelectric performance in thin films of the topological insulators Bi_2Te_3 and Bi_2Se_3 . *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **105**, 166603 (2010).
- Ji, S. H. *et al.* Atomic-scale transport in epitaxial graphene. *Nat. Mater.* **11**, 114 (2011).
- Homoth, J. *et al.* Electronic transport on the nanoscale: ballistic transmission and Ohm's Law. *Nano Lett.* **9**, 1588 (2009).
- Kim, S. *et al.* Surface scattering via bulk continuum states in the 3D topological insulator Bi_2Se_3 . *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **107**, 056803 (2013).
- Vyshnepolsky, M., Klein, C., Klasing, F., Hanisch-Blicharski, A. & Horn-von Hoegen, M. Epitaxial growth of the topological insulator Bi_2Se_3 on $\text{Si}(111)$: growth mode, lattice parameter, and strain state. *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **103**, 111909 (2013).

Acknowledgements

We gratefully acknowledge Rolf Möller for fruitful discussions and also for the possibility to perform the experiments with the four probe STM. Especially the STP simulation as provided in the supporting information bases on his contribution. Special thanks go also to Michael Horn-von Hoegen, M. Wenderoth and Nicolás Lorente for stimulating and

supporting discussions. We also thank the German Research Council (DFG) for funding through project BO 3427/2-1. D. Utzat is gratefully acknowledged for designing and constructing the STM and STP electronics.

Author contributions

The manuscript was written by contributions from both the authors. S.B. and C.A.B. planned the experiments and discussed on the analysis of the data. S.B. performed the measurements and C.A.B. conceived the experiment and supervised the work. All authors have given approval to the final version of the manuscript.

Additional information

Supplementary Information accompanies this paper at <http://www.nature.com/naturecommunications>

Competing financial interests: The authors declare no competing financial interest.

Reprints and permission information is available online at <http://npg.nature.com/reprintsandpermissions/>

How to cite this article: Bauer, S. and Bobisch, C. A. Nanoscale electron transport at the surface of a topological insulator. *Nat. Commun.* 7:11381 doi: 10.1038/ncomms11381 (2016).

This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in the credit line; if the material is not included under the Creative Commons license, users will need to obtain permission from the license holder to reproduce the material. To view a copy of this license, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>