ARTICLE

Received 5 Nov 2015 | Accepted 30 Jan 2016 | Published 11 Mar 2016

DOI: 10.1038/ncomms10906

OPEN

Structural basis for selective recognition of acyl chains by the membrane-associated acyltransferase PatA

David Albesa-Jové^{1,2,3,*}, Zuzana Svetlíková^{4,*}, Montse Tera^{1,2,*}, Enea Sancho-Vaello^{1,2}, Ana Carreras-González^{1,2}, Pascal Bonnet⁵, Pedro Arrasate^{1,2}, Ander Eguskiza^{1,2}, Shiva K. Angala⁶, Javier O. Cifuentes^{1,2}, Jana Korduláková⁴, Mary Jackson⁶, Katarína Mikušová⁴ & Marcelo E. Guerin^{1,2,3,7}

The biosynthesis of phospholipids and glycolipids are critical pathways for virtually all cell membranes. PatA is an essential membrane associated acyltransferase involved in the biosynthesis of mycobacterial phosphatidyl-*myo*-inositol mannosides (PIMs). The enzyme transfers a palmitoyl moiety from palmitoyl-CoA to the 6-position of the mannose ring linked to 2-position of inositol in PIM₁/PIM₂. We report here the crystal structures of PatA from *Mycobacterium smegmatis* in the presence of its naturally occurring acyl donor palmitate and a nonhydrolyzable palmitoyl-CoA analog. The structures reveal an α/β architecture, with the acyl chain deeply buried into a hydrophobic pocket that runs perpendicular to a long groove where the active site is located. Enzyme catalysis is mediated by an unprecedented charge relay system, which markedly diverges from the canonical HX₄D motif. Our studies establish the mechanistic basis of substrate/membrane recognition and catalysis for an important family of acyltransferases, providing exciting possibilities for inhibitor design.

¹Unidad de Biofísica, Consejo Superior de Investigaciones Científicas—Universidad del País Vasco/Euskal Herriko Unibertsitatea (CSIC,UPV/EHU), Barrio Sarriena s/n, Leioa, 48940 Bizkaia, Spain. ²Departamento de Bioquímica, Universidad del País Vasco, Leioa, 48940 Bizkaia, Spain. ³IKERBASQUE, Basque Foundation for Science, 48013 Bilbao, Spain. ⁴Department of Biochemistry, Faculty of Natural Sciences, Comenius University in Bratislava, 84215 Bratislava, Slovakia. ⁵Université d'Orléans et CNRS, ICOA, UMR 7311, F-45067 Orléans, France. ⁶Mycobacteria Research Laboratories, Department of Microbiology, Immunology and Pathology, Colorado State University, Fort Collins, Colorado 80523-1682, USA. ⁷Structural Biology Unit, CIC bioGUNE, Bizkaia Technology Park, 48160 Derio, Spain. * These authors contributed equally to this work. Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to M.E.G. (email: mrcguerin@cicbiogune.es).

Long-chain fatty acids play a central role in a variety of important biological processes in all living organisms. They are prominent constituents of biological membranes, mainly in the form of phospholipids, allowing cells to be functionally constituted and differentiated from the environment¹. Long-chain fatty acids are used as energy storage and metabolic intermediates as well as being modulators of signal transduction pathways^{2,3}. Moreover, the attachment of fatty acids to proteins and glycans generates a significant amount of structural diversity in biological systems⁴. This structural information is particularly apparent in molecular recognition events including cell–cell interactions during critical steps of development and host–pathogen interactions. Fatty acids are usually activated for subsequent reactions by esterification of their carboxyl groups with the thiol group of coenzyme A (CoA) or that of the acyl carrier protein (ACP), yielding acyl-thioesters⁵. Acyltransferases are key enzymes that catalyse the transfer of activated acyl chains to acceptor molecules of different chemical structure and complexity⁶. Importantly, acyltransferases are involved in the biosynthesis of triacylglycerols and a diverse group of naturally occurring polyesters composed of 3-hydroxyalkanoic acids, the most important storage lipids found in eukaryotes and prokaryotes, respectively⁵. Moreover, acyltransferases participate in the biosynthesis of the lipid A moiety of lipopolysaccharide, modulating virulence of some Gram-negative human pathogens^{7,8}. Therefore, the understanding of the mechanism of action for these enzymes at the molecular level, particularly those firmly associated to the lipid bilayer, represents a major challenge.

The phosphatidyl-*myo*-inositol mannosides (PIMs) are glycolipids of exceptional chemical structure found in abundant quantities in the inner and outer membranes of the cell envelope of all *Mycobacterium* species⁹. PIMs are based on a phosphatidyl-*myo*-inositol (PI) lipid anchor carrying one to six Manp residues and up to four acyl chains, with tri- and tetra-acylated phosphatidyl-*myo*-inositol dimannoside (PIM₂) and phosphatidyl-*myo*-inositol hexamannoside (PIM₆) as the predominant species (Fig. 1a)^{10,11}. PIMs are thought to be the precursors of the two major mycobacterial lipoglycans, lipomannan and lipoarabinomannan (LAM). PIMs, lipomannan and LAM are considered not only essential structural components of the mycobacterial cell envelope^{10–13} but also important molecules implicated in host–pathogen interactions in the course of tuberculosis and leprosy^{14,15}. The biosynthesis of PIMs is initiated by the phosphatidyl-*myo*-inositol mannosyltransferase PimA (Rv2610c in *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* (M. tuberculosis) H37Rv), which catalyses the transfer of Manp residue from GDP-Man to the 2-position of the *myo*-inositol ring of PI, to form phosphatidyl-*myo*-inositol monomannoside (PIM₁) on the cytoplasmic face of the plasma membrane (Fig. 1b)^{12,16}. PimA was found to be essential for *Mycobacterium smegmatis* (M. smegmatis) mc²155 and M. tuberculosis growth *in vitro* and *in vivo*^{12,17}. The second mannosylation step involves the action of another essential enzyme PimB (Rv2188c in M. tuberculosis H37Rv), which transfers a Manp residue to the 6-position of the *myo*-inositol ring of PIM₁ to form PIM₂ (refs 17,18). Both PIM₁ and PIM₂ can be acylated with palmitate at position 6 of the Manp residue transferred by PimA by the acyltransferase PatA (Phosphatidyl-*myo*-inositol mannosides AcylTransferase A; Rv2611c in M. tuberculosis H37Rv), to form Ac₁PIM₁ and Ac₁PIM₂, respectively^{19–21}. This enzyme has been proved (i) to be important for the optimal growth of M. smegmatis mc²155 and (ii) an essential enzyme for the growth of M. tuberculosis *in vitro*^{10,21}. Two models were originally proposed for the biosynthesis of Ac₁PIM₂ in mycobacteria. In the first model, PI is mannosylated to form PIM₁. PIM₁ is then mannosylated to form PIM₂, which is acylated to form Ac₁PIM₂. In the second model, PIM₁ is first acylated to Ac₁PIM₁ and then

mannosylated to Ac₁PIM₂. Recent evidence indicates that although both pathways might co-exist in mycobacteria, the sequence of events PI→PIM₁→PIM₂→Ac₁PIM₂ is favoured (Fig. 1b)¹³. Finally, Ac₁PIM₂ can be further acylated on position 3 of the *myo*-inositol ring to form Ac₂PIM₂. However, this acyltransferase as well as most of the mannosyltransferases that catalyse the formation of higher PIMs still remains to be identified¹⁰.

During recent years major efforts have been made to understand the early steps of the PIM biosynthetic pathway at the molecular level. In that sense, the crystal structures of the mannosyltransferases PimA and PimB were reported, showing the typical organization and catalytic machinery of GT-B glycosyltransferases^{22–25}. However, to date, no structural information is available for PatA, an enzyme that is a member of a large family of acyltransferases for which the molecular mechanism of substrate recognition and catalysis remains not well understood^{5,7,26,27}. Here, X-ray crystallography, site-directed mutagenesis and enzymatic activity data are used to define the three-dimensional structure, acceptor/donor substrate binding, membrane binding and catalytic mechanism of PatA from M. smegmatis. Our results reveal that the enzyme has an α/β architecture and shares with other important eukaryotic/prokaryotic acyltransferases an acid/base catalytic mechanism involving conserved histidine and aspartic/glutamic acid residues.

Results

Overall structure of PatA. The crystal structure of PatA from M. smegmatis was solved using single-wavelength anomalous dispersion with a K₂PtCl₄ derivative at 2.06 Å resolution in C 2 space group (PatA–C16-1). Two other crystal forms were obtained in P 2₁ (PatA–C16-2) and P 4₂2₁2 (PatA–C16-3) space groups, and the corresponding crystal structures solved at 2.90 and 2.43 Å resolution, respectively, by using molecular replacement methods (see Methods and Supplementary Information for details; Supplementary Fig. 1; Supplementary Tables 1 and 2). The high quality of the electron density maps allowed the trace of residues 41 to 302 (PatA–C16-1), 48 to 295 (PatA–C16-2) and 48 to 303 (PatA–C16-3; Supplementary Fig. 2). We have decided to use the PatA–C16-1 crystal form for our description since it displays the highest resolution. A close inspection of the three crystal structures revealed that the protein crystallized as a monomer, displaying a high degree of structural flexibility in the N- and C-terminal regions (coloured in green in Supplementary Fig. 3; root-mean-square deviation (r.m.s.d.) value of 1.6 and 8.4 Å for C α atoms in 41–47 and 288–302 residue ranges for the N and C terminus, respectively). The central core of PatA consists of a six-stranded β -sheet with topology $\beta_1 - \beta_2 - \beta_3 - \beta_4 - \beta_7 - \beta_8$ (β_8 is antiparallel) surrounded by α -helices, with an overall size of 45 × 40 × 40 Å (Fig. 2a,b). A long and open groove that runs parallel to the protein surface contains the active site. This groove is flanked by α_4 , β_2 , α_8 , β_4 , α_9 , α_{10} and the connecting loops $\beta_1 - \alpha_7$ (residues 124–130), $\beta_2 - \alpha_8$ (residues 148–154), $\beta_3 - \alpha_9$ (residues 173–181), $\beta_4 - \beta_5$ (residues 198–208), $\beta_6 - \alpha_{10}$ (residues 219–221) and $\alpha_{11} - \alpha_{12}$ (residues 282–291; Fig. 2c,d). Strikingly, the groove also displays a narrow and deep, mostly hydrophobic tunnel that runs perpendicular from its floor to the central core of the protein (Fig. 2e,f). The walls of the tunnel comprise the entire central β -sheet, two α -helices α_7 and α_8 , and the connecting loops $\beta_1 - \alpha_7$ (residues 124–130) and $\alpha_8 - \beta_6$ (residues 167–170). The cavity extends entirely through the core and is closed at the bottom by β_8 , the connecting loop $\alpha_5 - \alpha_6$ and part of the α_6 (Fig. 2b,e). Interestingly, the entrance of the hydrophobic pocket displays several charged residues compatible to interact with a buried carboxylate group of a fatty acid moiety, strongly suggesting the pocket might play a role in the donor substrate binding.

Figure 1 | Proposed pathway for the early steps of PIM biosynthesis in mycobacteria. (a) Chemical structure of PIM_{2/6} and their acylated forms Ac_{1/2}PIM₂ and Ac_{1/2}PIM₆. (b) The two pathways originally proposed for the biosynthesis of Ac₁PIM₂ in mycobacteria are shown: (i) PI is mannosylated to form PIM₁, PIM₁ is then mannosylated to PIM₂, which is acylated to form Ac₁PIM₂; (ii) PIM₁ is first acylated to Ac₁PIM₁ and then mannosylated to Ac₁PIM₂. Our experimental evidence indicates that although both pathways might co-exist in mycobacteria, the sequence of events PI → PIM₁ → PIM₂ → Ac₁PIM₂ is favoured. As an important part of the literature concerning PIMs studies refers to the nomenclature based on the *Mtb* H37Rv sequences, we also include the Rv numbers to identify the proteins.

Membrane association. PatA catalyses an essential step in the biosynthesis of PIMs in *M. tuberculosis*¹⁰. The enzyme transfers a palmitoyl moiety from palmitoyl-CoA to the 6-position of the Manp ring linked to 2-position of *myo*-inositol in PIM₁ or PIM₂. A close interaction of the enzyme with the cytosolic face of the mycobacterial plasma membrane might be a strict requirement for PIM₁ or PIM₂ modification by PatA. Supporting this notion, PatA was found to co-localize with the mycobacterial membrane fraction^{19,28}. Analysis of the amino-acid sequence of the enzyme revealed the lack of a signal peptide or hydrophobic transmembrane segments, suggesting that PatA associates to only one side of the lipid bilayer, a typical feature of peripheral and monotopic membrane proteins^{24,29}. To perform their biochemical functions, these proteins very often display a high content of solvent exposed positively charged residues in the form of amphipathic helices, promoting membrane surface interaction with anionic phospholipids³⁰. Protein-membrane interaction appears to be mediated following different thermodynamic steps: (i) peptide binding is initiated by the electrostatic attraction of the positively charged residues to the anionic membrane, (ii) most likely followed by the transition of the peptide into the plane of binding and (iii) a change of the conformation of the bound peptide³¹. The penetration of the protein depends on the chemical nature of the lipids and peptides involved and also on the mechanistic nature of the processes involved, in which both location and timing of membrane association can be tightly controlled. The electrostatic surface potential of PatA revealed a clear solvent-exposed area adjacent to the major groove that contain several hydrophobic patches interspersed with clusters of positively charged residues³². Specifically, this region comprises the α_2 , the amphipathic helices α_3 , α_4 and α_8 , the connecting loop β_2 - α_8 (residues 148–154), and likely the α_1 and α_{12} helices located at the N and C terminus of the protein (Supplementary Fig. 4). Interestingly, the opposite side of PatA displays a negatively charged surface, which would generate a significant electrostatic repulsion with the lipid

bilayer. Thus, the polar character of PatA certainly contributes to determine the correct orientation of the enzyme into the membrane (Supplementary Fig. 4).

The acyl-CoA-binding site. Strikingly, one molecule of palmitic acid was unambiguously identified in the difference electron density maps of PatA-C16-1, PatA-C16-2 and PatA-C16-3 crystal structures (Fig. 3a–d). We believe that acyl molecule is associated to the enzyme due to the hydrolysis of palmitoyl-CoA during the isolation and purification of PatA from *M. smegmatis* mc²155. Supporting this notion, the chemical structure of Ac₁PIM₂ was clearly established by using a combination of analytical techniques including mass spectrometry and two-dimensional NMR²⁰. The major acyl form observed, corresponded to PIM₂ with the glycerol moiety being di-acylated by C₁₆/C₁₉ and the mannose residue transferred by PimA bearing a C₁₆. This structural profile was also identified in its hexamannosylated derivative Ac₁PIM₆ (ref. 21). The acyl chain is deeply buried into the hydrophobic pocket, and oriented with the carboxylate group facing the groove and the acyl tail extending into the globular core of the monomer (Fig. 3). The residues that contact the bound palmitic acid are highly conserved in the PatA mycobacterial homologues (Supplementary Fig. 5). Interestingly, the comparison of the three crystal structures revealed conformational flexibility in the carboxylate moiety of the palmitate (Supplementary Fig. 3), located in close proximity with the lateral chain of H126. The R164 guanidinium group engages the side chain of Y83 and D131. This tryptophan residue, together with M198, makes an important van der Waals interaction with the acyl chain. The acyl chain undergoes a kink at position C6 and terminates in a pocket mainly formed by hydrophobic residues, including L122 and L124 (β_1), A133, W136 and L137 (α_7), F144 (α_7 - β_2 loop), T146 (β_2), F169 (α_8 - β_3 loop), F235 and V237 (β_7), M248 and V250 (β_8), and two cysteine residues C196 (β_4) and C239 (β_7).

Figure 2 | Overall structure of PatA. (a,b) Cartoon representation showing the general fold and secondary structure organization of PatA. Secondary structure elements are labelled. The central core β -sheet is shown in orange. (c,d) Surface representation of PatA showing the location of the main groove and the active site. The groove entrance is flanked by two important α -helices, α_{11} – α_{12} . The groove ends up into a cavity mainly flanked by α_4 . (e,f) The main groove runs perpendicular to a hydrophobic tunnel, which is deeply buried into the core of PatA.

Interestingly, the connecting loop α_5 – α_6 (residues 101–106) and part of α_6 form a flexible and mostly hydrophobic cap that closes the bottom of the cavity, suggesting that PatA might be able to discriminate the length of the acyl chain groups (Fig. 3e,f). It is worth noting that Ac₁PIM₂ was the main product formed in the reaction when endogenous or crude mycobacterial phospholipids from *Mycobacterium phlei* were used as the lipid acceptors and a series of acyl-CoA derivatives of fatty acids were used as ¹⁴C-labelled donor substrates^{33,34}. Palmitoyl-CoA (C16:0) gave higher incorporation than myristyl-CoA (C14:0). Interestingly, the oleyl-CoA (C18:1) was a much better substrate than the saturated counterpart stearyl-CoA (C18:0). Finally, the tuberculostearic acid (C19:1) had a low specific activity and the small incorporation of label that was observed may be not significant³⁴.

How does PatA recognize CoA? To this end, the crystal structure of PatA in complex with S-hexadecyl Coenzyme A (S-C16CoA), a nonhydrolyzable analogue of palmitoyl-CoA, was solved at 3.28 Å resolution in *P*2₁ space group (PatA–S-C16CoA; Supplementary Table 1; Fig. 4). The acyl chain of S-C16CoA is localized into the hydrophobic tunnel, and superimposes very

well with the acyl chain moiety of palmitate observed in the PatA–C16-1, PatA–C16-2 and PatA–C16-3 complexes. It is worth noting that the position of the carboxylate group of palmitate is different to that observed for the thioether in the PatA–S-C16CoA complex. The 4-phosphopantetheinate moiety of S-C16CoA is clearly defined in the electron density map, and located at the entrance of the main groove, in close contact with a highly conserved region flanked by the β_2 – α_8 (residues 149–153), β_3 – α_9 (residues 174–180) and β_4 – β_5 (residues 199–207) loops, and two alpha helices, α_9 (residues 181–190) and α_{10} (residues 221–230; Supplementary Fig. 6). The adenosine 3',5'-diphosphate (3',5'-ADP) moiety of the ligand (disordered in other monomers of the asymmetric unit) sticks out from the globular core and is exposed to the bulk solvent, as observed in other acyl-CoA modifying enzymes (Supplementary Fig. 7)³⁵. The approximate volume of the palmitoyl-CoA-binding pocket was *ca.* 2,801 Å³ (ref. 36). To further validate the model, we designed site-directed mutations predicted to impair the palmitoyl-CoA interaction with PatA. Thus, the double substitution F182W/L197W would block the groove region of PatA, hindering the formation of the complex (Fig. 4b and Supplementary Fig. 8). As depicted in Fig. 5a, the PatA F182W/L197W variant could not (i) transfer a palmitoyl moiety to PIM₂, or (ii) hydrolyze palmitoyl-CoA, supporting the proposed model (Supplementary Fig. 9).

The phosphatidylinositol mannosides binding site. Although we were unable to co-crystallize PatA in complex with Ac₁PIM₁/Ac₂PIM₁, Ac₁PIM₂/Ac₂PIM₂ or their deacylated analogs, the three-dimensional structure suggests the possible binding mode for the polar head of PIM₁ or PIM₂ acceptor substrates within the active site. Docking calculations placed the polar head of PIM₂, the better substrate of PatA making important interactions within a region located at the end of the main groove and comprising helices α_4 and α_8 , and the connecting loops β_1 – α_7 (residues 83–90), β_2 – α_8 (residues 148–154) and α_{11} – α_{12} (residues 282–291; Fig. 6)^{13,28}. As a consequence, the O6 atom of the Manp ring linked to position 2 of *myo*-inositol in PIM₂ is predicted to be positioned favourably for activation by H126 and to receive the palmitate group from palmitoyl-CoA (Fig. 6; distance H126 NE2 atom to Manp O6 atom is 2.8 Å). The model also predicts an important role of residues E149, R164 and H284 to bind PIM₂ in the active site. In the model, E149 OE1 atom is found at 2.9 Å of the Manp residue O4 atom and R164 NH2 atom is placed at 3.1 Å of the O2 atom of the Manp ring, whereas H284 ND1 atom is at only 2.5 Å of *myo*-inositol O3 atom. The *myo*-inositol moiety and the Manp ring linked to position 6 of *myo*-inositol in PIM₂ also interact with Y80 and Q287. The approximate volume of the PIM₂-binding pocket was *ca.* 1741 Å³ (ref. 36). Interestingly, docking calculations put the *myo*-inositol and Manp moieties of PIM₁ in an equivalent position to that observed for PIM₂, leaving free space in the pocket, a fact that might account for the acceptor substrate specificity of PatA (Supplementary Fig. 10). To experimentally validate the proposed model, we designed three single-point substitution, E149A, R164A and H284A, predicted to impact the PIM₂ interaction with PatA (Fig. 6c; Supplementary Fig. 8). As depicted in Fig. 5a, the transferase activity of all PatA variants was severely compromised, nevertheless preserving the capability to hydrolyse palmitoyl-CoA (Supplementary Fig. 9).

Structural similarity with other acyltransferases. Structure homologue search using DALI server revealed only one protein with significant structural similarity, that of the glycerol-3-phosphate acyltransferase from *Cucurbita moschata* (CmGPAT;

Figure 3 | The palmitate binding site of PatA. (a–d) Four views of PatA-C16-1 crystal structure showing the palmitate chain deeply buried into the hydrophobic tunnel. (e,f) Two views of PatA showing the cap which closes the bottom of the hydrophobic tunnel. The 2mFo-DFc electron density map countered at 1 σ for the palmitate ligand is shown.

pdb codes 1IUQ and 1K30; Z-score of 8.9; r.m.s.d. value of 3.9 Å for 164 aligned residues; Fig. 7a)^{37,38}. *CmGPAT* catalyses the transfer of an acyl chain either from acyl-acyl-carrier protein (acyl-ACP) or acyl-CoA, to the *sn*-1 position of glycerol-3-phosphate, to form 1-acylglycerol-3-phosphate³⁹. Importantly, *CmGPAT* is able to use palmitoyl-CoA as a donor substrate, as PatA does, using a bi-bi-ordered mechanism⁴⁰. *CmGPAT* belongs to a large family of glycerol-3-phosphate acyltransferases (GPAT), which are critical in the biosynthesis and regulation of phospholipids composition in prokaryotic and eukaryotic cells^{41–43}. The structure of *CmGPAT* is composed of two domains: (i) a helical domain comprising the first 78 residues of the protein displays a four-helix bundle architecture of unknown function, and (ii) an α/β domain, consisting of a nine-stranded continuous β -sheet surrounded by 11 α -helices (Fig. 7a). As depicted in Fig. 7a, the central β -sheet of *CmGPAT* superimposes well with that observed in PatA, with the exception of its outermost strands β_1 , β_2 and β_3 , which are missing in PatA (see also Supplementary Fig. 11).

A combination of site-directed mutagenesis and activity measurements provided experimental support on the location of the acceptor-binding site in *CmGPAT* (Fig. 7b–d)⁴⁴. The replacement of R235, R237 and K193 by serine resulted in inactive enzymes. However, the *CmGPAT* variants retained the ability to bind stoichiometric quantities of acyl-ACPs, consistent

with the location of these residues in the positively charged acceptor-binding pocket, and in close proximity to the catalytic HX₄D motif^{37,38,44}. Interestingly, the suggested PIM₁/PIM₂-binding site in PatA is located in the same region of the glycerol-3-phosphate-binding site in *CmGPAT* (Fig. 7d). A sulfate ion observed in the crystal structure of *CmGPAT* superimposed very well with the phosphate moiety of the inositol ring of PIM₁ or PIM₂ (Fig. 7d). It is worth noting that, as acceptors exhibit a marked diversity of chemical structures compared with acyl-CoA or acyl-ACP donors, the acceptor-binding sites reflect this variability by showing different rearrangements of secondary structural elements (Fig. 7d).

The location of the acyl-CoA and acyl-ACP-binding site in *CmGPAT* has been a matter of strong debate^{37,38}. On the basis of sequence conservation analysis, a structural model in which the acyl chain runs over the entrance of the main groove of the protein was first proposed³⁷. Molecular surface calculations revealed the existence of three tunnels with sufficient space to accommodate the acyl chain of palmitoyl-CoA⁴⁵. Furthermore, enzymatic analysis of chimeric *CmGPAT* and *Spinacea oleracea* GPAT revealed that a region comprising residues 128–187 is important for acyl-CoA selectivity. This region completely covered the narrowest and most hydrophobic of the three tunnels, tunnel-2 (Fig. 7b,c), which was proposed to be involved in fatty-acid recognition³⁸. Strikingly, the acyl-binding pocket

Figure 4 | The palmitoyl-CoA binding site of PatA. (a–d) Four views of PatA-S-C16CoA crystal structure showing the binding mechanism of S-C16CoA. The palmitoyl moiety is deeply buried into the hydrophobic tunnel, whereas the coenzyme A moiety extends outwards through the side of the main groove. The 2mFo-DFc electron density map countered at 1 σ for the palmitate ligand is shown.

Figure 5 | Enzymatic activity of selected PatA variants. (a) In the upper panel, the acyltransferase activity of purified PatA and variants involved in substrate binding are shown (see Supplementary Fig. 9 for duplicates). Reaction mixtures contained crude membranes from *M. smegmatis* mc²155 and GDP-[¹⁴C]-mannose as a tracer (lane 1), supplemented with PimA (lanes 2–7) and palmitoyl-CoA (lanes 3–7) and purified PatA (lane 3), PatA-H284A (lane 4), PatA-E149A (lane 5), double mutant PatA-F182W/L197W (lane 6) and PatA-R164A (lane 7). The lipids were extracted from reaction mixtures and analysed by TLC and autoradiography as described in Methods section. In the lower panel, the hydrolytic activity against palmitoyl-CoA is shown. (b) In the upper panel, the acyltransferase activity of purified PatA and variants involved in catalysis are shown (see Supplementary Fig. 9 for duplicates). Reaction mixtures contained crude membranes from *M. smegmatis* mc²155 and GDP-[¹⁴C]-mannose as a tracer (lane 1), supplemented with PimA (lane 2–6) and palmitoyl-CoA (lanes 3–6) and purified PatA (lane 3), PatA-D131A (lane 4), PatA-E200A (lane 5) and PatA-H126A (lane 6). In the lower panel, the hydrolytic activity against palmitoyl-CoA is shown as determined by spectrophotometric analysis. All enzymatic activities measurements were determined in duplicates (Supplementary Fig. 9).

identified in the crystal structures of the palmitoyl-PatA and PatA-S-C16CoA complexes superimposed very well with tunnel-2 of *CmGPAT* (Supplementary Fig. 11). On the basis of the experimental location of the S-C16CoA in PatA, a palmitoyl-CoA molecule was fitted into tunnel-2 and subjected to energy minimization (Fig. 7d,e; Supplementary Fig. 11; see Methods for details). First, the palmitate moiety accommodates into the hydrophobic tunnel. The walls of the tunnel are covered with

mainly conserved, hydrophobic and aromatic residues including L135 (β_3), P145 and I148 (α_7), I159 (α_8), T163 (α_8 - β_4 loop), F165 (β_4), F180 (α_{10}), L186 (β_5), L226 and W228 (β_6), L274 and L276 (β_7) and L305, with F120 and I123 (α_6) making up the cap. Interestingly, the CoA-binding site observed in PatA is also conserved in *CmGPAT*. Specifically, the acyl donor is located in the region corresponding to the entrance of the main groove (Fig. 7c,e). The two α -helices α_9 and α_{10} , flanking the entrance of

Figure 6 | The phosphatidylinositol mannosides binding site. (a,b) Two views showing the docking calculations in which PIM₂ attaches to the end side of the main groove, close to the hydrophobic tunnel. **(c)** Close view of the binding site, showing the location of predicted residues involved in PIM₂ interaction that have been mutated (H126, E149, R164, H284) along with mutated residues involved in CoA binding (L197 and F182).

acyl-CoA to the main groove of PatA, are structurally equivalent to α_{11} (residues 201–222) and α_{12} (residues 251–265) in CmGPAT (Fig. 7e). The substitution of L261 (α_{12}) by an aromatic residue, which is located at the interface of α_{11} – α_{12} helices, caused major changes in the selectivity of CmGPAT for acyl-ACP derivatives⁴⁴. Overall, major secondary structural elements are structurally preserved across both families of acyltransferases supporting a common binding mode for palmitoyl-CoA.

The catalytic mechanism of PatA. Analysis of the cleft running over the surface of PatA revealed a catalytic site reminiscent to that observed in the serine protease family of enzymes. In serine proteases, the cleavage of the peptide bond is mediated by nucleophilic attack of the serine hydroxyl group on the scissile carbonyl bond. The active site comprises a catalytic triad consisting of the O γ atom of the serine, the imidazole ring of a histidine, and the carboxylate group of an aspartic/glutamic acid, involved in a charge relay system that increases the nucleophilicity of the serine hydroxyl and modulates the pKa of the central histidine as a general base or acid during the catalytic cycle⁴⁵. In all crystal structures of palmitoyl-PatA and PatA-S-C16CoA complexes, the carboxylate OE2 oxygen atom of E200 was found at 2.8 Å of the ND1 nitrogen atom of the aromatic imidazole ring of the invariant H126 (Fig. 8a). It is worth noting that when the individual palmitate molecules observed in the three crystal structures of palmitoyl-PatA were superimposed, they showed important structural flexibility at the carboxylate region (Supplementary Fig. 3c), suggesting that the carboxylate group is not in a catalytically competent position in the crystal structures. Moreover, the palmitate is not a substrate neither an inhibitor of the reaction catalysed by PatA (Supplementary Fig. 12). Our binary complexes correspond most likely to the hydrolysis reaction product of palmitoyl-CoA, thus one of the oxygen atoms found in the palmitate that interacts with H126 most likely come from a water molecule activated by H126. The S-C16CoA coordinates in PatA-S-C16CoA crystal structure were used to generate palmitoyl-CoA atomic coordinates by substitution of C16 atom with a carbonyl group followed by energy minimization. Docking calculations placed the Manp moiety attached to the 2-position of *myo*-inositol in PIM₂ with its O6 atom favourably positioned to receive the palmitate group from palmitoyl-CoA (Fig. 8b; see Online Methods for details). We propose a model in which H126 acts as the general base to abstract a proton from the hydroxyl group at position 6 of the Manp ring linked to the 2-position of inositol in PIM₁ or PIM₂, to facilitate the nucleophilic attack on the thioester of palmitoyl-CoA (Fig. 8d). The E200 gets involved in a charge relay system with H126 and the HO6 atom from the Manp moiety, contributing to the appropriate structural arrangement of the imidazole ring of the histidine residue and modulating its pKa to act as a base in the first step and as an acid in the second step, providing protonic assistance to the departing CoA leaving group. It is worth noting that the H126-E200 hydrogen bond was found in a *syn* orientation relative to the carboxylate⁴⁵. The result of the nucleophilic attack is a covalent bond between the mannose ring of PIM₁ or PIM₂ and palmitate. As depicted in Fig. 5b, the functional role of H126 and E200 was clearly confirmed, since their substitution by alanine completely inactivated the enzyme (Supplementary Fig. 9).

In CmGPAT, the catalytic site is also located at the base of the large groove of the protein, displaying the sequence HX₄D, a well-conserved motif among the GPAT family of acyltransferases²⁷. H139 and the adjacent D144 were proposed to promote a charge-relay system to facilitate the nucleophilic attack on the thioester of the acyl-CoA^{26,37,38,46}. In PatA, H126 occupies the same location to that observed for H139 in CmGPAT. However, the aspartic acid D131 of the HX₄D motif displays a completely different arrangement when compared with D144 (Fig. 8c). Specifically, the carboxylate group of D131 makes a strong hydrogen bond with the side chain OH of Y83, and additional electrostatic interactions with the lateral chains of W130, Y163 and R164, suggesting a structural role for this residue. In that sense, the replacement of D131 by alanine preserved 37% of the enzymatic activity for PIM₂ (Fig. 5b;

Figure 7 | Structural similarities between PatA and CmGPAT. (a) Structural superposition of PatA and CmGPAT. Secondary structure elements are labelled. The localization of the fatty acid and acceptor binding sites in CmGPAT are indicated. (b,c) The active site of CmGPAT is located into a main groove, with a hydrophobic tunnel running perpendicular and deeply buried into the core of the enzyme. (d) Structural superposition of the proposed acceptor binding site in PatA and CmGPAT, showing donor and acceptor PatA substrates for spatial reference in white colour. (e) Structural superposition of the CoA binding site in PatA and CmGPAT.

Figure 8 | Catalytic mechanism of PatA. (a) Active site configuration of PatA, as visualized in the crystal structure in complex with S-C16CoA. (PatA-S-C16CoA) (b) Active site configuration of PatA as visualized in the docking of palmitoyl-CoA and PIM₂ (the atomic coordinates of palmitoyl-CoA were derived from S-C16CoA following *in silico* addition of an oxygen atom at position C16 of S-C16CoA). The charged relay system formed between E200, H126 and the 6-OH of the mannose linked to the 2-position of inositol (ino) is highlighted. (c) Structural superposition of the catalytic site of PatA (grey) and CmGPAT (green) with palmitoyl-CoA docked into CmGPAT. (d) Proposed catalytic mechanism for PatA.

Figure 9 | Structure weighted sequence alignment of PatA with eukaryotic/prokaryotic acyltransferases. (a) The protein sequences were extracted from UniProt accession numbers AOQWG5 from *M. smegmatis* (PatA_Msmeg); P24205 from *Escherichia coli* (MSBB_ECOLI); O06659 from *Shigella flexneri* (MSBB2_SHIFL); POACV0 from *E. coli* (HTRB_ECOLI); P45239 from *Haemophilus influenzae* (HTRB_HAEIN); P10349 from *C. muscicola* (GPAT_CUCMO); Q43869 from *Spinacia oleracea* (GPAT_SPIOL); POA7A7 PlsB from *E. coli* (PLSB_ECOLI); Q7UBC6 PlsB from *S. flexneri* (PLSB_SHIFL); O80437 from *Arabidopsis thaliana* (GPAT6_ARATH); O15228 from *Homo sapiens* (DHAPAT_HUMAN); Q8L7R3 from *Arabidopsis thaliana* (LPCT1_ARATH); Q99943 from *H. sapiens* (PLCA_HUMAN); and Q53EU6 from *H. sapiens* (GPAT3_HUMAN). Conserved positions are shown in black and grey background. Conserved hydrophobic residues are indicated with an *h*. The secondary structural elements corresponding to the 3D structure of PatA are shown above the alignment. Catalytic amino acids and those involved in palmitate binding are indicated as asterisks and black circles, respectively. (b) Structural similarity of *M. tuberculosis* H37Rv and *M. smegmatis* mc²155 PatA. Catalytic amino acids are indicated as asterisks. Amino acids involved in palmitate and pantotheinate binding are indicated as black and white circles, respectively. Residues proposed to interact with PIM₁ and PIM₂ based on the dockings are shown as white and black triangles, respectively. Amino acids mutated are underlined.

Supplementary Fig. 9). Altogether, the structural information strongly supports a common catalytic mechanism for both families of enzymes.

Discussion

Amino-acid sequence alignment revealed that PatA has strong resemblance to HtrB and MsbB, two key acyltransferases involved

in the biosynthesis of bacterial lipopolysaccharides (Fig. 9a)^{47,48}. HtrB and MsbB catalyse the last steps of Kdo2-lipid A biosynthesis in Gram-negative bacteria, consecutively adding the secondary lauroyl and myristoyl residues to the distal glucosamine unit. Both enzymes prefer acyl-ACP donors but can also function with acyl-CoA substrates^{7,8}. The fact that HtrB, MsbB and PatA preferentially use lauroyl (C12), myristoyl (C14) or palmitoyl (C16) derivatives might suggest the occurrence of a hydrocarbon ruler mechanism for acyl moieties recognition. PatA, HtrB and MsbB enzymes are distantly related to the glycerol-3-phosphate (GPAT), lysophosphatidic acid (LPAAT), dihydroacetone phosphate (DHAPAT) and 2-acylglycerophosphatidylethanolamine (LPEAT) families of acyltransferases (Fig. 9)^{5,27}. GPAT, LPAAT, DHAPAT, and LPEAT display highly conserved residues distributed in four regions, and named as blocks 1–4 (refs 27,41). According to multiple sequence alignments among the HtrB, MsbB, GPAT, LPAAT, DHAPAT and LPEAT families, weighted by structural alignment of PatA and *CmGPAT*, a common core can be defined (Fig. 9). It is worth noting that we respected the classical names of blocks 1–4 as reported in the literature, introducing two new regions as blocks 0 and 5. Critical residues and their interactions in the reaction centre are essentially preserved in all these acyltransferase families, strongly supporting a common catalytic mechanism. Interestingly, the alignment suggests that members of the GPAT, LPAAT, DHAPAT and LPEAT families display a conserved aspartate residue that participates in the charge relay system with the conserved histidine of the HX₄D motif (block 1)^{26,49}. In contrast, HtrB, MsbB and PatA seem to use a glutamate/aspartate residue located in block 3, suggesting a divergence among these families of acyltransferases. The structural divergence of the carboxylate group acting as a pK_a modulator of the catalytic histidine residue might be due to the requirement of the acyltransferases to accommodate acceptor molecules of different nature, as observed in PatA and *CmGPAT*. Nevertheless, the hydrophobic nature of residues involved in fatty-acid recognition is well conserved, suggesting a common binding mode.

Finally, Ac₁PIM₂ appears to be a metabolic end product that accumulates at high steady-state levels in the cells as well as a precursor for more polar forms of PIMs, lipomannan and LAM¹⁰. Interestingly, the four enzymes involved in the biosynthesis of Ac₁PIM₂, the phosphatidyl-*myo*-inositol synthase PgsA1 (Rv2612c in *M. tuberculosis* H37Rv), PimA (Rv2610c), PimB (Rv2188c in *Mtb* H37Rv) and PatA (Rv2611c), were found to be essential for the growth of *M. smegmatis* and/or *M. tuberculosis*^{10,12,17,50}. Importantly, the amino-acid sequences of *M. smegmatis* and *M. tuberculosis* versions of PatA displayed 74% identity and 84% similarity (Fig. 9b). All residues that participate in the catalytic mechanism and palmitoyl binding, as well as those proposed to interact with the CoA and PIM₂ substrates are strictly conserved between both proteins. Thus, the structural data presented here offers exciting possibilities for inhibitor design and the discovery of chemotherapeutic agents against this major human pathogen.

Methods

Expression and purification of PatA in *M. smegmatis*. A truncated version of PatA lacking the first 12 residues of the protein (PatA; MSMEG_2934)²⁸, was purified as previously described with the following modifications. *M. smegmatis* mc²155 cells transformed with the corresponding plasmid pJAM2-*patA* were grown in MM63 medium (15 mM (NH₄)₂SO₄, 10 mM KH₂PO₄, 18 μM FeSO₄·7H₂O, pH 7.0) supplemented with 1 mM MgSO₄, 0.025% (v/v) tyloxapol and 0.2% (w/v) succinate and 20 μg ml⁻¹ of kanamycin. When the culture reached OD₆₀₀ = 0.6 the expression of PatA was induced by adding 0.2% acetamide. After 16 h at 37 °C, cells were collected at 4,000g for 10 min and resuspended in 50 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.5, 500 mM NaCl, 40 mM imidazole (solution A) containing protease inhibitors (Complete EDTA-free, Roche). The cells were resuspended in solution A (1 g of cells per 5 ml of solution A) and disrupted by sonication in 15 cycles of 60 s

pulses with 90 s cooling intervals between the pulses. PatA was solubilized from the mycobacterial membrane by the addition of 2 mM CHAPS. The suspension was gently stirred during 1 h at 4 °C and centrifuged at 11,000g for 20 min. The supernatant was applied to a HisTrap Chelating column (1 ml, GE Healthcare) equilibrated with solution A. The column was then washed with solution A until no absorbance at 280 nm was detected. Elution was performed with a linear gradient of 40–500 mM imidazole in solution A at 1 ml min⁻¹. The resulting PatA preparation displayed a single protein band when run on a 12% NuPAGE Bis-Tris precast gel stained with SimplyBlue SafeStain (Invitrogen). The purified recombinant PatA protein was stored at 4 °C and then concentrated for crystallization screening by using a Vivaspinn 20 spin concentrator (Vivascience) with a 10-kDa-molecular mass cutoff.

Site-directed mutagenesis. The PatA-H126A, PatA-D131A, PatA-E149A, PatA-R164A, PatA-E200A and PatA-H284A mutants, and double mutant PatA-F182W/L197W were synthesized by GenScript using the pJAM2-*patA* construct, and further expressed and purified to apparent homogeneity as described for the recombinant PatA enzyme.

PatA-C16 complex crystallization and data collection. Three crystal forms were obtained, referred thereafter as PatA-C16-1, PatA-C16-2 and PatA-C16-3. The first and second crystal forms were obtained by mixing 0.25 μl of PatA at 5 mg ml⁻¹ in 20 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.5 with 0.25 μl of mother liquor containing 100 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.0, 230 mM MgCl₂ and 12–16% (w/v) PEG 8,000. Crystals grew in 7–15 days and were transferred to a cryo-protectant solution containing 25% ethylene glycol and frozen under liquid nitrogen. Complete X-ray diffraction data sets were collected at beamline I03 (Diamond Light Source, Oxfordshire, UK) and processed with XDS program⁵¹. The second crystal form of PatA diffracted to a maximum resolution of 2.9 Å and crystallized with four molecules in the asymmetric unit and space group *P* 2₁ (Supplementary Table 1; PatA-C16-2). The first crystal form of PatA diffracted to a maximum resolution of 2.06 Å and crystallized with two molecules in the asymmetric unit and space group *C* 2 (Supplementary Table 1; PatA-C16-1). The third crystal form was obtained by mixing 0.25 μl of PatA at 5 mg ml⁻¹ in 25 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.5, 150 mM NaCl with 0.25 μl of mother liquor containing 100 mM Tris-HCl pH 8.5 and 20% ethanol. Crystals grew in 3 days and were transferred to a cryo-protectant solution containing 25% sucrose and frozen under liquid nitrogen. A complete set of X-ray diffraction data were collected at beamline X06SA Swiss Light Source (Villigen, Switzerland) and processed with XDS program. PatA-C16-3 crystals diffracted to a maximum resolution of 2.43 Å and crystallized with one molecule in the asymmetric unit and space group *P* 4₂ 2₁ 2 (Supplementary Table 1).

PatA-S-C16CoA complex crystallization and data collection. One crystal form was obtained by mixing 0.25 μl of PatA at 5.1 mg ml⁻¹ in 1 mM S-hexadecyl Coenzyme A (S-C16CoA; stock solution at 10 mM in 20 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.5) and 20 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.5 with 0.25 μl of mother liquor containing 100 mM HEPES pH 7.5, 500 mM ammonium sulfate and 30% (v/v) 2-methyl-2,4-pentanediol. Crystals grew in 7–15 days and were directly frozen under liquid nitrogen. A complete X-ray diffraction data set was collected at beamline I03 (Diamond Light Source) and processed with XDS program⁵¹. PatA-S-C16CoA diffracted to a maximum resolution of 3.28 Å and crystallized with four molecules in the asymmetric unit and space group *P* 2₁ (Supplementary Table 1).

PatA structure determination and refinement. PatA crystals of form *C* 2 (PatA-C16-1) were soaked with 10 different platinum salts at 1 mM concentration for a time period of 130–145 min (HR2-442, Hampton Research) followed by flash freezing in liquid-N₂. Anomalous data were collected at the theoretical L-1 absorption edge of Pt (13,879.9 eV–0.8933 Å). Oscillation images were collected at 104 beamline (Diamond Light Source) with an oscillation angle of 0.2 for a total of 1,800 images using a Pilatus 6M-F pixel detector. Data were collected with an attenuated X-ray beam (5% transmission) and a 0.04-s exposure time per image. Data were integrated and scaled in XDS and experimental phases determined using the SHELXC/D/E package⁵². Data of a PatA crystal soaked with 1 mM K₂PtCl₄ for 130 min were used for experimental phasing with a 2.5-Å data cutoff applied, giving a mean value |ΔF|/σ(ΔF) in the highest resolution shell of 0.9. The substructure determination located two Pt atoms in the asymmetric unit (CC = 33.64, CC(weak) = 20.71 and CFOM = 54.35). Experimental phases were determined and subsequently used for initial cycles of model building and density modifications by SHELXE. Buccaneer⁵³ and the CCP4 suite⁵⁴ were used for further model extension. The structure determination of PatA-C16-1, PatA-C16-2, PatA-C16-3 and PatA-S-C16CoA were carried out by molecular replacement using Phaser⁵⁵ and the PHENIX suite⁵⁶ and the PatA-Pt structure as model (Supplementary Table 2). Followed by cycles of manual rebuilding and refinement using Coot⁵⁷ and phenix.refine⁵⁸, respectively. The structures were validated by MolProbity⁵⁹.

Molecular docking calculations. The crystal structure of mycobacterial PatA in complex with S-C16CoA (PatA-S-C16CoA) was investigated using the structure

preparation function in MOE2013.08 (ref. 60). First, S-C16CoA coordinates in PatA–S-C16CoA crystal structure (chain A) were used to generate palmitoyl–CoA atomic coordinates by substitution of C16 atom with a carbonyl group. Then the model was prepared using the Amber12EHT force field, an all-atom force field, combining two-dimensional Extended Hückel Theory (EHT) and Amber12 force field, with Born solvation, and hydrogen atoms were added using Protonate3D function^{61,62}. The docking site of PIM₁ and PIM₂ was defined by 14 residues of the PatA–S-C16CoA crystal structure. PIM₂ structure was retrieved from the PDB (ligand code XPX) and after substitution of acyl chains with acetyl groups, the molecule was energy minimized using MOE with a 0.1 kcal mol^{−1} Å^{−1} r.m.s. gradient threshold. PIM₁ structure was constructed by removing one mannose ring of PIM₂. The PIM₁ and PIM₂ structures were submitted to conformational search using LowModeMD with default parameters in MOE2013.08 (ref. 63). The same procedure was carried out with PIM₁, in which a mannose residue GOLD (Genetic Optimization for Ligand Docking; Cambridge Crystallographic Data Center (CCDC), version 5.2.2) was used with default genetic algorithm parameter settings^{64,65} for all calculations, with the exception that the search efficiency parameter was set to 200% to improve predictive accuracy by calculating the optimal number of genetic algorithm operations for the ligand due to their large flexibility. The ASP scoring function implemented in GOLD was used to rank the docked poses; this fitness function has been optimized for the prediction of ligand-binding positions^{66,67}. PIM₁ and PIM₂ were docked into the PatA–palmitoyl–CoA complex. Only docking poses having a C6-hydroxyl group of the mannose closed to the thioester of palmitoyl–CoA were kept for analysis. The best solutions were assessed by their respective docking score and by visual inspection.

PatA acyltransferase activity assay. PatA transferase activity was measured in the assay with mycobacterial membranes²⁸. Briefly, *M. smegmatis* mc²155 cells were broken by sonication and the membrane (100,000g pellet) fraction was obtained by differential centrifugation. The reaction mixtures contained 250 µg of membrane proteins, 1.2 µg of purified PimA_{SM}, 10 µg of purified PatA or the mutated versions, 0.1 µCi GDP-[¹⁴C]mannose (specific activity of 55 mCi mmol^{−1}, ARC Inc.), 0.12 mM palmitoyl–CoA (Sigma-Aldrich) in DMSO with final concentration in the reaction mixture 2% (v/v), 62 µM ATP, 10 mM MgCl₂, and 25 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.5 in the final volume of 50 µl. Reactions were incubated 100 min at 37 °C and stopped with 300 µl of CHCl₃/CH₃OH (2:1, by volume). The samples were left rocking 30 min at room temperature, and centrifuged at 1,500g for 10 min. The organic phase (bottom) was analysed by thin layer chromatography on aluminium-coated silica 60 F₂₅₄ plates (Merck) developed in CHCl₃/CH₃OH/conc. NH₄OH/H₂O (65:25:0.5:4), and quantified by scintillation spectrometry²⁸. All enzymatic activity measurements were determined in duplicates. Following the same procedure, palmitate was assayed as a possible inhibitor or substrate at different concentrations (Supplementary Fig. 12).

PatA palmitoyl–CoA hydrolytic activity assay. The hydrolytic activity of PatA and PatA variants against palmitoyl–CoA was measured as following a methodology described for other acyltransferases^{68,69}. A typical reaction contained 20 mM Tris-HCl pH 8.3, 0.2 mM disulfide (5,5′-dithiobis-(2-nitrobenzoic acid)) (DTNB), 0.06 mM palmitoyl–CoA and 4 µM PatA or its variants. All reactions were carried out at 37 °C in a CARY 300 Bio UV Visible/Spectrophotometer. The spectrum of the product TNB^{−2} formed after the interaction of the DTNB and the CoA liberated from the hydrolysis of the substrate palmitoyl–CoA by the enzyme, was measured continuously at 412 nm during 20 min. All enzymatic activity measurements were determined in duplicates (Fig. 5).

Structural analysis and sequence alignment. The sequence of PatA from *M. smegmatis* (A0QWG5) was subjected to basic local alignment search tool (BLAST) and several orthologs in *Mycobacteria* sp. were found. Afterwards, they were aligned using the ClustalW server (<http://www.ebi.ac.uk/Tools/msa/clustalw2/>). The structure weighted sequence alignment was performed using PROMALS3D server (<http://prodata.swmed.edu/promals3d/promals3d.php>). For labelling the conserved and similar residues, BoxShade server was used (http://embnet.vital-it.ch/software/BOX_form.html). Structural analysis and graphics for publications were performed with PyMOL (version 0.99) and Chimera⁷⁰.

References

- White, S. W., Zheng, J., Zhang, Y. M. & Rock, C. O. The structural biology of type II fatty acid biosynthesis. *Annu. Rev. Biochem.* **74**, 791–831 (2005).
- de Mendoza, D. Temperature sensing by membranes. *Annu. Rev. Microbiol.* **68**, 101–116 (2014).
- Greengood, T. J., Klett, E. L. & Coleman, R. A. Acyl-CoA metabolism and partitioning. *Annu. Rev. Nutr.* **34**, 1–30 (2014).
- Cronan, J. E. Bacterial membrane lipids: where do we stand? *Annu. Rev. Microbiol.* **57**, 203–224 (2003).
- Röttig, A. & Steinbüchel, A. Acyltransferases in bacteria. *Microbiol. Mol. Biol. Rev.* **77**, 277–321 (2013).
- Chan, D. I. & Vogel, H. J. Current understanding of fatty acid biosynthesis and the acyl carrier protein. *Biochem. J.* **430**, 1–19 (2010).
- Raetz, C. R., Reynolds, C. M., Trent, M. S. & Bishop, R. E. Lipid A modification systems in gram-negative bacteria. *Annu. Rev. Biochem.* **76**, 295–329 (2007).
- Whitfield, C. & Trent, M. S. Biosynthesis and export of bacterial lipopolysaccharides. *Annu. Rev. Biochem.* **83**, 99–128 (2014).
- Kaur, D., Guerin, M. E., Skovierova, H., Brennan, P. J. & Jackson, M. Biogenesis of the cell wall and other glycoconjugates of *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*. *Adv. Appl. Microbiol.* **69**, 23–78 (2009).
- Guerin, M. E., Korduláková, J., Alzari, P. M., Brennan, P. J. & Jackson, M. Molecular basis of phosphatidyl-myoinositol mannoside biosynthesis and regulation in mycobacteria. *J. Biol. Chem.* **285**, 33577–33583 (2010).
- Morita, Y. S. *et al.* Inositol lipid metabolism in mycobacteria: biosynthesis and regulatory mechanisms. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* **1810**, 630–641 (2011).
- Korduláková, J. *et al.* Definition of the first mannosylation step in phosphatidylinositol mannoside synthesis. PimA is essential for growth of mycobacteria. *J. Biol. Chem.* **277**, 31335–31344 (2002).
- Guerin, M. E. *et al.* New insights into the early steps of phosphatidylinositol mannoside biosynthesis in mycobacteria: PimB′ is an essential enzyme of *Mycobacterium smegmatis*. *J. Biol. Chem.* **284**, 25687–25696 (2009).
- Doz, E. *et al.* Mycobacterial phosphatidylinositol mannosides negatively regulate host Toll-like receptor 4, MyD88-dependent proinflammatory cytokines, and TRIF-dependent co-stimulatory molecule expression. *J. Biol. Chem.* **284**, 23187–23196 (2009).
- Cala-De Paepe, D. *et al.* Deciphering the role of CD1e protein in mycobacterial phosphatidyl-myoinositol mannosides (PIM) processing for presentation by CD1b to T lymphocytes. *J. Biol. Chem.* **287**, 31494–31502 (2012).
- Hill, D. L. & Ballou, C. E. Biosynthesis of mannophospholipids by *Mycobacterium phlei*. *J. Biol. Chem.* **241**, 895–902 (1966).
- Boldrin, F. *et al.* The phosphatidyl-myoinositol mannosyltransferase PimA is essential for *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* growth in vitro and in vivo. *J. Bacteriol.* **196**, 3441–3451 (2014).
- Lea-Smith, D. J. *et al.* Analysis of a new mannosyltransferase required for the synthesis of phosphatidylinositol mannosides and lipoarabinomannan reveals two lipomannan pools in corynebacterineae. *J. Biol. Chem.* **283**, 6773–6782 (2008).
- Korduláková, J. *et al.* Identification of the required acyltransferase step in the biosynthesis of the phosphatidylinositol mannosides of mycobacterium species. *J. Biol. Chem.* **278**, 36285–36295 (2003).
- Gilleron, M. *et al.* Acylation state of the phosphatidylinositol mannosides from *Mycobacterium bovis* bacillus Calmette Guérin and ability to induce granuloma and recruit natural killer T cells. *J. Biol. Chem.* **276**, 34896–34904 (2001).
- Gilleron, M., Quesniaux, V. F. & Puzo, G. Acylation state of the phosphatidylinositol hexamannosides from *Mycobacterium bovis* bacillus Calmette Guérin and *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* H37Rv and its implication in Toll-like receptor response. *J. Biol. Chem.* **278**, 29880–29889 (2003).
- Guerin, M. E. *et al.* Molecular recognition and interfacial catalysis by the essential phosphatidylinositol mannosyltransferase PimA from mycobacteria. *J. Biol. Chem.* **282**, 20705–20714 (2007).
- Batt, S. M. *et al.* Acceptor substrate discrimination in phosphatidyl-myoinositol mannoside synthesis: structural and mutational analysis of mannosyltransferase *Corynebacterium glutamicum* PimB′. *J. Biol. Chem.* **285**, 37741–37752 (2010).
- Albesa-Jové, D., Giganti, D., Jackson, M., Alzari, P. M. & Guerin, M. E. Structure-function relationships of membrane-associated GT-B glycosyltransferases. *Glycobiology* **24**, 108–124 (2014).
- Giganti, D. *et al.* Secondary structure reshuffling modulates glycosyltransferase function at the membrane. *Nat. Chem. Biol.* **11**, 16–18 (2015).
- Heath, R. J. & Rock, C. O. A conserved histidine is essential for glycerolipid acyltransferase catalysis. *J. Bacteriol.* **180**, 1425–1430 (1998).
- Lewin, T. M., Wang, P. & Coleman, R. A. Analysis of amino acid motifs diagnostic for the sn-glycerol-3-phosphate acyltransferase reaction. *Biochemistry* **38**, 5764–5771 (1999).
- Svetliková, Z., Baráth, P., Jackson, M., Korduláková, J. & Mikušová, K. Purification and characterization of the acyltransferase involved in biosynthesis of the major mycobacterial cell envelope glycolipid-monoacylated phosphatidylinositol dimannoside. *Protein Expr. Purif.* **100**, 33–39 (2014).
- Blobel, G. Intracellular protein topogenesis. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* **77**, 1496–1500 (1980).
- McLaughlin, S. The electrostatic properties of membranes. *Annu. Rev. Biophys. Chem.* **18**, 113–136 (1989).
- White, S. H. & Wimley, W. C. Membrane protein folding and stability: Physical principles. *Annu. Rev. Biophys. Biomol. Struct.* **28**, 319–365 (1999).
- Baker, N. A., Sept, D., Joseph, S., Holst, M. J. & McCammon, J. A. Electrostatics of nanosystems: application to microtubules and the ribosome. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* **98**, 10037–10041 (2001).
- Brennan, P. J. & Ballou, C. E. Biosynthesis of mannophosphoinositides by *Mycobacterium phlei*. The family of dimannophosphoinositides. *J. Biol. Chem.* **242**, 3046–3056 (1967).

34. Brennan, P. J. & Ballou, C. E. Biosynthesis of mannophosphoinositides by *Mycobacterium phlei*. Enzymatic acylation of the dimannophosphoinositides. *J. Biol. Chem.* **243**, 2975–2984 (1968).
35. Thoden, J. B., Zhuang, Z., Dunaway-Mariano, D. & Holden, H. M. The structure of 4-hydroxybenzoyl-CoA thioesterase from arthrobacter sp. strain SU. *J. Biol. Chem.* **278**, 43709–43716 (2003).
36. Le Guilloux, V., Schmidtke, P. & Tuffery, P. Fpocket: an open source platform for ligand pocket detection. *BMC Bioinformatics* **10**, 168 (2009).
37. Turnbull, A. P. *et al.* Analysis of the structure, substrate specificity, and mechanism of squash glycerol-3-phosphate (1)-acyltransferase. *Structure* **9**, 347–353 (2001).
38. Tamada, T. *et al.* Substrate recognition and selectivity of plant glycerol-3-phosphate acyltransferases (GPATs) from *Cucurbita moscata* and *Spinacea oleracea*. *Acta Crystallogr. D Biol. Crystallogr.* **60**, 13–21 (2004).
39. Murata, N. & Tasaka, Y. Glycerol-3-phosphate acyltransferase in plants. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* **1348**, 10–16 (1997).
40. Hayman, M. W., Fawcett, T. & Slabas, A. R. Kinetic mechanism and order of substrate binding for sn-glycerol-3-phosphate acyltransferase from squash (*Cucurbita moschata*). *FEBS Lett.* **514**, 281–284 (2002).
41. Yao, J. & Rock, C. O. Phosphatidic acid synthesis in bacteria. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* **1831**, 495–502 (2013).
42. Wendel, A. A., Lewin, T. M. & Coleman, R. A. Glycerol-3-phosphate acyltransferases: rate limiting enzymes of triacylglycerol biosynthesis. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* **1791**, 501–506 (2009).
43. Zhang, Y. M. & Rock, C. O. Thematic review series: glycerolipids. Acyltransferases in bacterial glycerophospholipid synthesis. *J. Lipid Res.* **49**, 1867–1874 (2008).
44. Slabas, A. R. *et al.* Squash glycerol-3-phosphate (1)-acyltransferase. Alteration of substrate selectivity and identification of arginine and lysine residues important in catalytic activity. *J. Biol. Chem.* **277**, 43918–43923 (2002).
45. Hedstrom, L. Serine protease mechanism and specificity. *Chem. Rev.* **102**, 4501–4524 (2002).
46. Bellizzi, III J. J. *et al.* The crystal structure of palmitoyl protein thioesterase 1 and the molecular basis of infantile neuronal ceroid lipofuscinosis. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* **97**, 4573–4578 (2000).
47. Clementz, T., Bednarski, J. J. & Raetz, C. R. Function of the *htrB* high temperature requirement gene of *Escherichia coli* in the acylation of lipid A: HtrB catalyzed incorporation of laurate. *J. Biol. Chem.* **271**, 12095–12102 (1996).
48. Clementz, T., Zhou, Z. & Raetz, C. R. Function of the *Escherichia coli* *msbB* gene, a multicopy suppressor of *htrB* knockouts, in the acylation of lipid A. Acylation by *MsbB* follows laurate incorporation by *HtrB*. *J. Biol. Chem.* **272**, 10353–10360 (1997).
49. Neuwald, A. F. Barth syndrome may be due to an acyltransferase deficiency. *Curr. Biol.* **7**, R465–R466 (1997).
50. Jackson, M., Crick, D. C. & Brennan, P. J. Phosphatidylinositol is an essential phospholipid of mycobacteria. *J. Biol. Chem.* **275**, 30092–30099 (2000).
51. Kabsch, W. XDS. *Acta Crystallogr. D Biol. Crystallogr.* **66**, 125–132 (2010).
52. Sheldrick, G. M. Experimental phasing with SHELXC/D/E: combining chain tracing with density modification. *Acta Crystallogr. D Biol. Crystallogr.* **66**, 479–485 (2010).
53. Cowtan, K. The Buccaneer software for automated model building. 1. Tracing protein chains. *Acta Crystallogr. D Biol. Crystallogr.* **62**, 1002–1011 (2006).
54. Winn, M. D. *et al.* Overview of the CCP4 suite and current developments. *Acta Crystallogr. D Biol. Crystallogr.* **67**, 235–242 (2011).
55. McCoy, A. J. *et al.* Phaser crystallographic software. *J. Appl. Crystallogr.* **40**, 658–674 (2007).
56. Adams, P. D. *et al.* PHENIX: a comprehensive Python-based system for macromolecular structure solution. *Acta Crystallogr. D Biol. Crystallogr.* **66**, 213–221 (2010).
57. Emsley, P., Lohkamp, B., Scott, W. G. & Cowtan, K. Features and development of Coot. *Acta Crystallogr. D Biol. Crystallogr.* **66**, 486–501 (2010).
58. Afonine, P. V. *et al.* Towards automated crystallographic structure refinement with phenix.refine. *Acta Crystallogr. D Biol. Crystallogr.* **68**, 352–367 (2012).
59. Chen, V. B. *et al.* MolProbity: all-atom structure validation for macromolecular crystallography. *Acta Crystallogr. D Biol. Crystallogr.* **66**, 12–21 (2010).
60. Molecular Operating Environment (MOE). 2013.08; Chemical Computing Group Inc., 1010 Sherbooke St. West, Suite #910, Montreal, QC, Canada, H3A 2R7 (2015).
61. Gerber, P. R. & Müller, K. MAB, a generally applicable molecular force field for structure modelling in medicinal chemistry. *J. Comput. Aided Mol. Des.* **9**, 251–268 (1995).
62. Case, D. A. *et al.* AMBER 12 (University of California, San Francisco, 2012).
63. Labute, P. LowModeMD--implicit low-mode velocity filtering applied to conformational search of macrocycles and protein loops. *J. Chem. Inf. Model.* **50**, 792–800 (2010).
64. Jones, G., Willett, P., Glen, R. C., Leach, A. R. & Taylor, R. Development and validation of a genetic algorithm for flexible docking. *J. Mol. Biol.* **267**, 727–748 (1997).
65. Verdonk, M. L., Cole, J. C., Hartshorn, M. J., Murray, C. W. & Taylor, R. D. Improved protein–ligand docking using GOLD. *Proteins* **52**, 609–623 (2003).
66. Mooij, W. T. M. & Verdonk, M. L. General and targeted statistical potentials for protein–ligand interactions. *Proteins* **61**, 272–287 (2005).
67. Cheng, T., Li, X., Li, Y., Liu, Z. & Wang, R. Comparative assessment of scoring functions on a diverse test set. *J. Chem. Inf. Model.* **49**, 1079–1093 (2009).
68. Ellman, G. L. Tissue sulphydryl groups. *Arch. Biochem. Biophys.* **82**, 70–77 (1959).
69. Rodgers, Jr J. B. Assay of acyl-CoA:monoglyceride acyltransferase from rat small intestine using continuous recording spectrophotometry. *J. Lipid Res.* **10**, 427–432 (1969).
70. Pettersen, E. F. *et al.* UCSF Chimera—a visualization system for exploratory research and analysis. *J. Comput. Chem.* **25**, 1605–1612 (2004).

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the European Commission Contract HEALTH-F3-2011-260872, the Spanish Ministry of Economy and Competitiveness Contract BIO2013-49022-C2-2-R, and the Basque Government (to M.E.G.); Slovak Research and Development Agency Contract No. DO7RP-0015-11 (to K.M.) and the NIH/NIAID grant AI064798 (to M.J.). D.A.-J. acknowledges the support from Fundación Biofísica Bizkaia. We gratefully acknowledge Sonia López-Fernández (Unit of Biophysics, CSIC/UPV/EHU, Spain), Drs E. Ogando and T. Mercero (Scientific Computing Service UPV/EHU, Spain) for technical assistance. We thank the Swiss Light Source (SLS), and the Diamond Light Source (DLS) for granting access to synchrotron radiation facilities and their staff for the onsite assistance. We specially thank the BioStruct-X project to support access to structural biology facilities. We also acknowledge all members of the Structural Glycobiology Group (Spain) for valuable scientific discussions. The following reagent was obtained through BEI Resources, NIAID, NIH: *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*, Strain H37Rv, Purified Phosphatidylinositol Mannosides 1 and 2 (PIM1,2), NR-14846.

Author contributions

M.E.G. and K.M. conceived the project. D.A.-J., Z.S., M.T., E.S.-V., A.C.-G., P.B., P.A., A.E., S.K.A. and J.O.C. performed the experiments. D.A.-J., E.S., M.J., J.K., K.M. and M.E.G. analysed the results. M.E.G. wrote the manuscript.

Additional information

Accession codes: Coordinates and structure factors have been deposited in the Worldwide Protein Data Bank (wwPDB) with accession codes 5F2T, 5F2Z, 5F31 and 5F34.

Supplementary Information accompanies this paper at <http://www.nature.com/naturecommunications>

Competing financial interests: The authors declare no competing financial interests.

Reprints and permission information is available online at <http://npg.nature.com/reprintsandpermissions/>

How to cite this article: Albesa-Jové, D. *et al.* Structural basis for selective recognition of acyl chains by the membrane-associated acyltransferase PatA. *Nat. Commun.* **7**:10906 doi: 10.1038/ncomms10906 (2016).

This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in the credit line; if the material is not included under the Creative Commons license, users will need to obtain permission from the license holder to reproduce the material. To view a copy of this license, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>