ARTICLE

Received 6 Feb 2015 | Accepted 29 Jan 2016 | Published 7 Mar 2016

DOI: 10.1038/ncomms10885

OPEN

Seeking a geochemical identifier for authigenic carbonate

Ming-Yu Zhao¹, Yong-Fei Zheng¹ & Yan-Yan Zhao¹

Authigenic carbonate was recently invoked as a third major global carbon sink in addition to primary marine carbonate and organic carbon. Distinguishing the two carbonate sinks is fundamental to our understanding of Earth's carbon cycle and its role in regulating the evolution of atmospheric oxygen. Here, using microscale geochemical measurements of carbonates in Early Triassic strata, we show that the growth of authigenic carbonate follows a different trajectory from primary marine carbonate in a cross-plot of uranium concentration and carbon isotope composition. Thus, a combination of the two geochemical variables is able to distinguish between the two carbonate sinks. The temporal distribution of authigenic carbonates in the Early Triassic strata suggests that the increase in the extent of carbonate authigenesis acted as a negative feedback to the elevated atmospheric CO₂ concentration.

¹CAS Key Laboratory of Crust-Mantle Materials and Environments, School of Earth and Space Sciences, University of Science and Technology of China, Hefei 230026, China. Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to M.-Y.Z. (email: zmy1988@mail.ustc.edu.cn) or to Y.-F.Z. (email: yfzheng@ustc.edu.cn).

The global carbon cycle shapes the biosphere and atmosphere, and regulates the redox and heat budgets of our planet. However, our understanding of the basic framework of this biogeochemical cycle is still very rudimentary. Traditionally, carbon entering the Earth's surficial spheres is hypothetically removed as two major sinks, namely primary marine carbonate and organic carbon^{1,2}. Recently, however, it was suggested that authigenic carbonate is a considerable component of modern oceanic carbon sequestration³ and probably a more essential carbon sink during periods with prevalent oceanic oxygen deficiency in Earth history⁴. Quantitative recognition of the status of authigenic carbonate in global carbon, oxygen and calcium cycles^{3–5} requires a clear way to distinguish it from primary marine carbonate, which may also be important in the interpretation of carbon isotope shifts recorded in marine carbonates.

Authigenic carbonates, including calcite, dolomite and siderite, can be precipitated in anoxic pore fluids, in response to elevated alkalinity resulting from a series of processes involving organic-matter degradation, such as iron reduction, sulphate reduction, methanogenesis and thermocatalytic decarboxylation^{6–8}. With carbon derived partially from these processes, authigenic carbonates can have distinct carbon isotopic compositions from those of primary marine carbonates, which makes them important in our understanding of Earth's coupled carbon and oxygen cycles^{4,5}. Most known authigenic carbonates associated with anoxic organic-matter degradation were likely formed in the sulphate reduction zone and the sulphate–methane transition zone⁷, although some authigenic dolomite can be precipitated deeper in the zone of methanogenesis to thermal decarboxylation^{6,9,10}.

Authigenic carbonates can occur in association with fluid venting at methane seeps, which is sporadically distributed over the modern seafloor¹¹ and may be enhanced by a drop in deep seawater oxygen level¹². The more widespread type of authigenic carbonate, however, probably exists in ordinary sediments without methane seep structures. Identifying this authigenic carbonate sink in deep time presents a unique challenge because it may be morphologically and isotopically indistinguishable from primary marine carbonate, it is possibly mixed with primary marine carbonate at the microscale⁴, and the existing modern analogues^{13,14} are imperfect.

Here we present detailed petrographic and geochemical observations of Early Triassic strata. The results show that the uranium concentration combined with the carbon isotope composition can be used to distinguish Earth's two carbonate sinks.

Results

Stratigraphy. We sampled a stratigraphic section spanning from the middle Smithian to the late Spathian at a high resolution in the deep-water zone of the Lower Yangtze basin, South China (Supplementary Note 1 and Supplementary Fig. 1). This mixed carbonate and siliciclastic sequence contains three lithologically distinguishable units (Fig. 1) comprising a basal sequence (S1) dominated by dolomitic shale, intercalated by thin layers (2–10 cm) of argillaceous limestone or oblate limestone lenses (with thicknesses of 2–5 cm and diameters >1 m); a middle sequence (S2) characterized by argillaceous limestone, interbedded by a few thin layers of dolomitic shale; and an upper sequence (S3) containing limestone and argillaceous limestone.

Macroscale geochemistry. Carbonate carbon isotope values ($\delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{carb}}$) of whole-rock samples of the limestone lenses/layers in S1 show point-to-point differences between successive

stratigraphic samples and can be lower than the mantle $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ value of -5‰ (Fig. 1 and Supplementary Table 1). In contrast, whole-rock samples of limestone and argillaceous limestone layers in the subsequent S2 and S3 show point-to-point coherent $\delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{carb}}$ values $> -4\text{‰}$. Carbonate phosphorus and uranium concentrations (P_{carb} and U_{carb}) of whole-rock samples of S1 are elevated relative to those of S2 and S3 (Fig. 1 and Supplementary Table 2). P_{carb} and U_{carb} of whole-rock samples exhibit no obvious correlation with aluminium ($r=0.17$ and 0.02 , respectively), reflecting minor contamination from silicates.

The organic carbon and sulphur concentration data of HCl-insoluble residues from the three intervals mainly fall above the mean correlation line of normal marine sediments¹⁵ (Supplementary Fig. 2), indicating euxinic conditions throughout the entire profile.

Microscale petrography and geochemistry. We performed a detailed investigation of S1 of the Early Triassic section. The thin limestone lenses/layers of S1 have a core/central layer containing homogeneous microcrystalline calcite (Fig. 2 and Supplementary Fig. 3) and dispersed xenomorphic or framboidal pyrite (5–60 μm , Supplementary Fig. 4), surrounded or not by a cement fringe (with a thickness of 0.5–10 mm).

The cement fringes in S1 consistently surround the oblate cores (with diameters >1 m) and the central layers, suggesting that they grew *in situ* on the cores/central layers. The investigated cement fringes can be categorized into two types according to their growth modes. The first type is composed of equigranular microcrystalline calcite, irregular-stacked calcite spar and bands (with a width of 0.2–2 mm) of pyrite (Fig. 2a and Supplementary Figs 3 and 4). $\delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{carb}}$ values are distinct between the equigranular microcrystalline calcite and the irregular-stacked calcite spar, but are homogeneous within each of these two calcite groups (Fig. 3 and Supplementary Table 1). The precipitation of substantial pyrite is likely to have stimulated a transient high level of carbonate oversaturation¹⁶, rendering large-scale nucleation. Thus, we speculate a pervasive growth mode^{16,17} for this cement type. The second type, which shows an outward growth trend relative to the cores/central layers, consists of layers of isopachous fibrous calcite (Fig. 2b) or outward-coarsening calcite laminae (Fig. 2c), with a pyrite distribution similar to those of the cores/central layers (Supplementary Fig. 4). This cement type can also exhibit outward variations in geochemical composition relative to the cores/central layers (Fig. 3) and thus is likely to have a concentric growth mode¹⁷.

A remarkable variation of $\delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{carb}}$ from -29.7 to -2.2‰ occurs in the cement fringes of S1 (Figs 1 and 3). The lowest $\delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{carb}}$ value of -29.7‰ occurring in the irregular-stacked calcite spar is even lower than the concomitant $\delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{org}}$ value of -26.6‰ . Although the lowest $\delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{carb}}$ value may not necessarily be caused by the involvement of methane, the possibility of methane oxidation cannot be ruled out.

The $\delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{carb}}$ values of the cores/central layers in S1 range from -13.2 to -1.9‰ . Microscale analyses of $\delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{carb}}$ and U_{carb} reveal obvious decreasing trends from the cores/central layers to the cement fringes (Fig. 3 and Supplementary Table 3), with U_{carb} decreasing markedly from 41.0–1.7 p.p.m. Although the $\delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{carb}}$ values and mineral morphology of the cores/central layers of S1 are often indistinguishable from those of primary marine carbonate, their U_{carb} concentrations are considerably higher.

The ferroan dolomite (with $\sim 2.4\text{ mol\%}$ iron and $\sim 1,560$ p.p.m. manganese) in the dolomitic shale exists as scattered rhombs (Supplementary Fig. 5), with diameters mostly in the range of 20–30 μm and some up to 50 μm . As revealed by backscattered electron images (Supplementary Fig. 5), the ferroan

Figure 1 | Geochemical results of sedimentary carbonates from the Yindushan section. (a) A $\delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{carb}}-\delta^{18}\text{O}_{\text{carb}}$ cross-plot for all samples. **(b)** Stratigraphic variations of $\delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{carb}}$, $\delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{org}}$, P_{carb} and U_{carb} . S1, S2 and S3 represent three stratigraphic intervals divided by $\delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{carb}}$ values.

dolomite grains usually have an iron-poor core surrounded by an iron-rich rim. The dolomitic shale samples have $\delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{carb}}$ values of -7.4 to -2.9 ‰ (Fig. 1), overlapping with those of primary marine carbonate, but most dolomitic shale samples have higher U_{carb} concentrations, in the range of 5.9–13 p.p.m. (Fig. 3).

Regardless of the large magnitude of the $\delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{carb}}$ variation, the $\delta^{18}\text{O}_{\text{carb}}$ values of the entire profile are within a narrow range of -9.0 to -5.1 ‰ (Fig. 1).

Discussion

Uranium is a water-soluble element, and thus its concentration in carbonate records the composition of the fluid from which the carbonate was precipitated¹⁸. We interpret the elevation of the carbonate uranium concentrations of S1 relative to those of S2 and S3 (Fig. 1) as resulting from different valences and carbonate-water partition coefficients of uranium between anoxic pore fluid and seawater. In oxic and even anoxic seawater, dissolved uranium exists predominantly as U(VI) in the form of uranyl and uranyl carbonate ions^{19–21} and is conservative with a concentration of $\sim 13 \text{ nmol kg}^{-1}$ and a residence time of

~ 0.5 Myr. Likely because of the much larger size of uranyl ion relative to Ca(II), the partition coefficient of U(VI) species in carbonate is low and is in the range of 0.007–0.2 for calcite^{21–23}. However, in anoxic sediments, uranium may be taken up by carbonate in the form of U(IV)²⁴, which is likely to have a much higher partition coefficient because the ionic radius of U(IV) (0.89 Å) is similar to that of Ca(II) (0.99 Å). In anoxic seawater, the reduction of U(VI) to U(IV) is thermodynamically favoured but kinetically hindered, possibly due to the requirement for mineral surfaces in the reduction of uranium^{19,25}. Thus, the reduction and removal of dissolved uranium principally occurs in the zones of iron and sulphate reduction in suboxic and anoxic sediments^{26–28}, tightly coupled with the formation of early-stage authigenic carbonate.

The outward variations of $\delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{carb}}$ and U_{carb} in S1 (Fig. 3) represent geochemical variations in the pore water profile across which the limestone layers/lenses gradually grew. On the modern seafloor, the uranium concentration of pore waters is typically observed to gradually decrease from the iron reduction zone to the sulphate reduction zone (below the iron maximum) and remain constant at depth^{26,27,29}. A typical $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ profile of dissolved

Figure 2 | Textures of the limestone lenses/layers in S1. (a) A limestone lens core surrounded by a cement fringe consisting of equigranular microcrystalline calcite (EMC), irregular-stacked calcite spar (ISCS) and pyrite bands (black). (b) A limestone lens core surrounded by layers of isopachous fibrous calcite (IFC). (c) The central layer (CL) of a thin limestone layer surrounded by outward-coarsening calcite laminae (OCCL). $\delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{carb}}$ values of subsamples are shown in Fig. 3. Scale bar, 1 mm. **a** and **c** are shown with cross-polarized light, whereas **b** is shown with plane-polarized light.

inorganic carbon (DIC) in pore water shows an initial decrease from a value close to that of the bottom seawater ($\sim 0\text{‰}$) in the iron reduction zone to approximately -25‰ near the base of the sulphate reduction zone, then an increase to approximately

Figure 3 | A cross-plot of $\delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{carb}}$ and U_{carb} data for the Yindushan section.

Lines a, b and c correspond to the three samples shown in Fig. 2. The direction of the authigenic calcite growth sequence, generally from the centre of the core/central layer to the outside edge of the cement fringe, is from right to left for each line. S1, S2 and S3 represent three stratigraphic intervals shown in Fig. 1. AC, authigenic carbonates; CL, central layer; DS, dolomitic shale; EMC, equigranular microcrystalline calcite; IFC, isopachous fibrous calcite; ISCS, irregular-stacked calcite spar; MNRD, modern non-skeletal replacement dolomites; MPMC, modern primary marine calcites; OCCL, outward-coarsening calcite laminae; PMC, primary marine carbonates.

+15‰ in the methanogenic zone, and finally a decrease to approximately -10‰ near the base of the methanogenic zone within the thermal decarboxylation zone^{6–10}. We use two diagenetic equations to simulate the outward variations in chemical compositions of authigenic calcite and the corresponding pore water chemistry (Fig. 4 and Supplementary Fig. 6). The model reproduces the observed correlation between $\delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{carb}}$ and U_{carb} , suggesting that the growth of authigenic calcite is likely to have started at a position near the top of the sulphate reduction zone and ended at the bottom of this zone.

Primary marine carbonates normally have $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ values higher than the mantle value of -5‰ . Modern primary marine calcites³⁰ and modern non-skeletal replacement dolomites formed through dolomitization³¹ commonly have uranium concentrations <1 and 2 p.p.m., respectively. Our limited analyses of the non-authigenic microcrystalline dolomites from Triassic and Ediacaran times also yield uranium concentrations of <2 p.p.m. (Supplementary Tables 4 and 5). As shown in Fig. 3, early-stage authigenic calcite has much higher uranium concentrations than those of primary marine calcites, whereas late-stage authigenic calcite has much lower $\delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{carb}}$ values. The uranium concentrations of the late-stage authigenic calcite (>1.7 p.p.m.) are also generally above or at the top end of the range of primary marine calcites (<2 p.p.m.), likely resulting from a stable and considerable total uranium concentration of pore water at depth^{26,29,32}. Although the degree of uranium enrichment in authigenic carbonate may vary as a function of the seawater uranium concentrations in Earth history, the relative enrichment of uranium between authigenic carbonates and contemporaneous primary marine carbonates likely holds given the geochemical behaviours of uranium outlined above. $\delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{carb}}$ alone is not sufficient to distinguish authigenic carbonates, due to the overlap in the $\delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{carb}}$ ranges of the two carbonate sinks. Nevertheless, uranium concentrations combined with $\delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{carb}}$

Figure 4 | Modelled variations of authigenic carbonate compositions and chemical profiles of pore water. The sample in the model corresponds to line b in Fig. 3. **(a)** A modelled co-variation of $\delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{carb}}$ and U_{carb} . **(b–d)** Modelled pore water profiles of uranium and DIC concentrations and $\delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{DIC}}$. The blue line in **d** shows the DIC concentration at which the authigenic calcite started to grow. The calcite-pore water partition coefficient of uranium is 13.25, with the assumption that the uranium and calcium concentrations of the pore water corresponding to the earliest authigenic calcite are the same as those of modern ocean water (13 nmol kg^{-1} and 0.01 mol kg^{-1} , respectively). See Supplementary Table 6 for the values/ranges of all parameters in the model.

can be used to differentiate the authigenic carbonate sink from the primary marine carbonate sink, and *in situ* measurements allow authigenic carbonate to be identified at the microscale.

Given the deep-water sedimentary environment, the large sizes and the considerable iron and manganese concentrations, the ferroan dolomite of the dolomitic shale in S1 was formed within the sediments. Dissolved iron is usually depleted in the sulphate reduction zone, due to the formation of pyrite, but its enrichment has been frequently observed in the methanogenic zone³³. Accordingly, it has been revealed that sulphate-reduction dolomites are generally deficient in iron and manganese (<1 mol% and 300–400 p.p.m., respectively) relative to methanogenic dolomites (>2 mol% and 1,200–1,400 p.p.m., respectively)^{8,34}. Thus, in light of the high iron and manganese contents (~ 2.4 mol% and $\sim 1,560$ p.p.m., respectively) and core-rim structure (Supplementary Fig. 5), the ferroan dolomite in S1 is likely to have formed in the upper part of the methanogenic zone, where the iron concentration gradually increases³³. This suggests that the method of identifying authigenic carbonates may also be applicable to the authigenic carbonate formed below the sulphate reduction zone because the uranium concentrations of the ferroan dolomite generally exceed those of non-skeletal replacement dolomites (Fig. 3). Similarly, a previous study revealed that Cambrian calcite concretions formed within and below the sulphate reduction zone also have high uranium concentrations³⁵. Taken together, although more verifications are needed, the method of identifying authigenic carbonates is likely applicable to various diagenetic zones, including the iron reduction zone, sulphate reduction zone and methanogenic zone.

Authigenic carbonate is the dominant carbonate component in S1 because the limestone layers/lenses and the ferroan dolomite all have an authigenic origin. Authigenic carbonate also exists as ferroan dolomite in the shale of S2, but, as indicated by the U_{carb} and $\delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{carb}}$ results (Figs 1 and 3), the remaining limestone and argillaceous limestone layers of S2 and S3 are primary marine carbonates. The Smithian is known as a period with a marked global negative $\delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{carb}}$ shift³⁶. It is likely that this carbon isotope shift was partially a reflection of a change in seawater chemistry because the primary marine calcite in S2 also has low $\delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{carb}}$ values (approximately -3%). In view of its distribution

in S1 and S2 (Fig. 1), the active carbonate authigenesis is likely to have been stimulated by the environmental forces accompanying the global negative carbon isotope shift. In addition to atmospheric CO_2 and the oceanic oxygen level³⁷, we propose that the influence of temperature on carbonate authigenesis may also be important because high temperature will enhance chemical weathering, and thus the generation rates of iron oxides³⁸, which promote carbonate authigenesis^{3,16}. Therefore, coupled global environmental forces in the Smithian^{39,40}, such as high temperature, the spread of anoxia and the increased level of atmospheric CO_2 , may have collectively boosted laterally extensive carbonate authigenesis. Because severe silicate diagenesis is also likely associated with anoxia⁴¹, the active carbonate authigenesis along with severe silicate diagenesis may represent an additional negative feedback that stabilizes atmospheric CO_2 concentrations.

Authigenic carbonate does not necessarily capture seawater conditions and thus should be identified before using carbonate records to reconstruct the compositions of ancient seawater. For example, in the Ediacaran Doushantuo Formation of the Yangtze platform, $\delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{carb}}$ results of dolomite layers in the black shale above the cap dolomite have been interpreted as a reflection of seawater compositions⁴². Our $\delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{carb}}$ and U_{carb} analyses show that the cap dolomite falls into the region of modern non-skeletal replacement dolomites (Supplementary Fig. 7 and Supplementary Table 4). However, with much higher U_{carb} concentrations (~ 6 p.p.m.), the dolomite layers in the black shale fall into the region of Early Triassic authigenic carbonates, indicating their authigenic origin within the sediments.

The method of identifying authigenic carbonates allows us to investigate the influence of carbonate authigenesis on extreme carbon isotope events in deep time. We take the Ediacaran Shuram anomaly as an example because it is the largest known $\delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{carb}}$ shift in Earth history⁴³ and has been linked to the rise of macroscopic bilaterians and calcifying metazoans^{43–45}. The large magnitude ($>10\%$) and the lack of a simultaneous decrease in $\delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{org}}$ suggest that this $\delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{carb}}$ negative shift event may have been caused by the addition of authigenic carbonates to primary marine carbonates⁴. We investigated the third negative $\delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{carb}}$ excursion (N3) in the Ediacaran Doushantuo Formation of the Yangtze platform (Supplementary Note 1 and Supplementary

Fig. 7), an interval corresponding to the Shuram anomaly⁴⁴. The organic-rich shale (organic carbon contents >1%) below and within N3 has high uranium concentrations (14–90 p.p.m.) comparable to modern values⁴⁶, indicating high oceanic uranium concentrations in this period. However, all the calcite and dolomite samples of N3 have uranium concentrations of <1.0 p.p.m. (Supplementary Fig. 7 and Supplementary Table 5), similar to the values of the underlying primary marine carbonates but much lower than those of the authigenic dolomite in the Ediacaran. Thus, at least in the investigated region, the Shuram anomaly is not likely to be an artefact of the incorporation of authigenic carbonate.

Methods

Sample processing. All the samples were devoid of visible alteration under a microscope. The carbonate phases of all the samples were identified using a Raman spectroscopy, X-ray diffraction or the energy-spectrum-scanning function of scanning electron microscopy. The carbonate phase of limestone lenses and limestone layers in the entire profile was identified as calcite embedded by very sparse dolomite grains (Supplementary Fig. 3), whereas the carbonate phases of dolomitic shale are ferroan dolomite and calcite. Samples prepared for whole-rock analyses were broken into small chips to remove the veins before pulverization. After petrographic observation, microzone powders (80–200 µg) were obtained from polished slices using the high-speed drill of a Leica Micromill.

$\delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{carb}}$ and $\delta^{18}\text{O}_{\text{carb}}$ analyses. To analyse the carbon and oxygen isotopes in carbonate, 80–200 µg of powder was reacted with anhydrous phosphoric acid at 72 °C for ~2 h through a GasBench II device connected to a Thermo Fisher Scientific MAT 253 mass spectrometer at the CAS Key Laboratory of Crust-Mantle Materials and Environments of the University of Science and Technology of China (USTC), Hefei. On the basis of duplicate analyses of a standard NBS-19, the external precisions (1σ) of the $\delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{carb}}$ and $\delta^{18}\text{O}_{\text{carb}}$ analyses were better than $\pm 0.1\text{‰}$ and $\pm 0.2\text{‰}$, respectively. All $\delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{carb}}$ and $\delta^{18}\text{O}_{\text{carb}}$ results are presented relative to Vienna Pee Dee Belemnite (VPDB). The detailed procedures for carbon and oxygen isotope analyses can be found in ref. 47.

$\delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{org}}$ analysis. Preparation for organic carbon isotope analysis involved dissolution in 6 N hydrochloric acid to remove the carbonate, stepwise centrifugation to remove the acid and drying at 75 °C. The organic carbon isotopes were analysed on a DELTA plus XP + ConFlo III + Flash EA, with a reaction tube at 1,020 °C, a reduction tube at 650 °C, a chromatographic column at 400 °C and a carrier gas flow of 90 ml min⁻¹. On the basis of repeated analyses of a standard GBW04407, the external precision (1σ) was better than $\pm 0.1\text{‰}$. All $\delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{org}}$ data are presented relative to VPDB.

Whole-rock major and trace element analyses. For the analyses of U_{carb} , P_{carb} and Al concentrations in whole rocks, ~50 mg of the powdered samples were cleaned in ultra-pure water, and then dissolved by ultra-pure 0.5 N acetic acid. The insoluble residues were removed through centrifugation; the resultant solutions were subsequently transformed into HNO_3 and then spiked and diluted for inductively coupled plasma atomic emission spectrometry (ICP-AES) and ICP-mass spectrometry (ICP-MS) analyses. The detailed procedures can be found in ref. 18. The external precisions (1σ) of the U_{carb} , P_{carb} and Al analyses were better than $\pm 2\%$.

Microscale U_{carb} analysis. Microdomain carbonate uranium content was determined by laser ablation (LA)-ICP-MS at the CAS Key Laboratory of Crust-Mantle Materials and Environments at USTC, using an ArF excimer ultraviolet (193 nm) system connected to an Agilent 7700 series ICP-MS. The analyses were conducted with a pulse rate of 10 Hz. The spot diameters were in the range of 16–60 µm, depending on the sizes of the calcite or dolomite grains. NIST 610 was used as the standard. To verify the validity of this method, two samples of primary marine carbonate (11CH738 and 11CH796) were analysed by both LA-ICP-MS and solution methods. The results of the LA-ICP-MS method (0.21 and 0.49 p.p.m., Fig. 3 and Supplementary Table 3) are comparable with those of the solution method (0.25 and 0.46 p.p.m., Supplementary Table 2).

OC_{IR} and S_{IR} analyses. For the analyses of organic carbon and sulphur contents in detrital sediments, pretreatment procedures were the same as those used for the organic carbon isotope analysis. The organic carbon contents of insoluble residues were measured using an Elemental Vario EL tube, with a combustion tube at 950 °C and a reduction tube at 550 °C, and the sulphur contents of the insoluble residues were measured on an Elemental Vario EL III, with a combustion tube at 1,150 °C and a reduction tube at 850 °C. Uncertainties (1σ) in the analyses of organic carbon and sulphur contents were lower than $\pm 0.3\%$ and $\pm 0.5\%$, respectively.

Element mapping analysis. X-ray element mapping of samples was performed on a Tescan Mira 3 LMH FE-SEM at the CAS Key Laboratory of Crust-Mantle Materials and Environments at USTC, with a beam current of 20 nA and an acceleration voltage of 15.0 kV. The maps were generated with a dwell time of 200 ms per pixel and at a resolution of 512 × 400 pixels. The area per pixel is $\sim 0.264 \mu\text{m} \times 0.264 \mu\text{m}$.

Numerical modelling. We use the following one-dimensional numerical model to describe the uranium concentration profile of pore water:

$$\frac{\partial C}{\partial t} = D_s \frac{\partial^2 C}{\partial x^2} - \omega \frac{\partial C}{\partial x} - k_U(C - C_s) = 0 \quad (1)$$

where C is the concentration of dissolved uranium, x is the depth (with the downward direction taken to be positive), D_s is the sediment diffusion coefficient, ω is the sediment advection rate, k_U is the first-order removal rate constant of uranium and C_s is the stable uranium concentration at depth. $D_s = D_M \times \phi^2$, where D_M is the free solution diffusion coefficient and ϕ is the porosity. $\omega = \omega_d / (1 - \phi)$, where ω_d is the sedimentation rate of compacted Smithian strata in the study area. k_U is used because the removal rate of uranium is first order with respect to the uranium concentration²⁷. We introduce the C_s term because the uranium concentration of pore water is usually relatively stable and considerable (1.6–9.9 nmol kg⁻¹) at depth^{26,29,32}. The boundary conditions of equation (1) are as follows:

$$x = 0; C = C_0$$

$$x = X; C = C_X$$

where C_0 is the dissolved uranium concentration of seawater and C_X is the dissolved uranium concentration at depth X . Solving equation (1) for the boundary conditions yields:

$$C(x) = C_s + \frac{(C_X - C_s)[\exp(\gamma x) - \exp(\alpha x)] + (C_0 - C_s)[\exp(\gamma X + \alpha x) - \exp(\gamma x + \alpha X)]}{\exp(\gamma X) - \exp(\alpha X)} \quad (2)$$

where

$$\alpha = \frac{\omega + (\omega^2 + 4k_U D_s)^{1/2}}{2D_s}$$

$$\gamma = \frac{\omega - (\omega^2 + 4k_U D_s)^{1/2}}{2D_s}$$

If the value of X is sufficiently high, $C_X \approx C_s$, and equation (2) can be simplified to

$$C(x) = C_s + \frac{(C_0 - C_s)[\exp(\gamma X + \alpha x) - \exp(\gamma x + \alpha X)]}{\exp(\gamma X) - \exp(\alpha X)} \quad (3)$$

Equation (3) can be well fitted to the uranium concentration profiles observed on the modern seafloor^{28,29,32}, if the proper k_U is applied.

For simplicity, we assume that the reaction for DIC in pore water is merely the degradation of organic matter. Thus, we describe the DIC concentration profile of pore water through the widely used 1G-Model for organic-matter degradation⁴⁸:

$$\frac{\partial C}{\partial t} = D_s \frac{\partial^2 C}{\partial x^2} - \omega \frac{\partial C}{\partial x} + \left(\frac{1 - \phi}{\phi} \right) \rho_s k G_0 \exp[-(k/\omega)x] = 0 \quad (4)$$

where ρ_s is the mean density of total sediment solids, G_0 is the concentration of metabolizable organic carbon in the total solids at the sediment–seawater interface and k is the rate constant of organic carbon degradation, calculated through the empirical relationship $k = 0.057\omega^{1.94}$ (ref. 49). The solution of equation (4) is

$$C(x) = \frac{(1 - \phi)\rho_s \omega^2 G_0}{\phi(D_s k + \omega^2)} \{1 - \exp[-(k/\omega)x]\} + C_0 \quad (5)$$

where C_0 is the DIC concentration of bottom seawater. The profiles of the ^{13}C and ^{12}C concentrations are modelled, respectively, using equation (5) to obtain the pore water $\delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{DIC}}$ profile. The co-variation of $\delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{carb}}$ and U_{carb} can be modelled through the combination of equations (3) and (5). The parameters of the models are listed in Supplementary Table 6.

References

- Kump, L. R., Kasting, J. F. & Crane, R. G. *The Earth System* 3rd edn (Prentice Hall, 2009).
- Archer, D. *The Global Carbon Cycle* (Princeton Univ. Press, 2010).
- Sun, X. & Turchyn, A. V. Significant contribution of authigenic carbonate to marine carbon burial. *Nat. Geosci.* **7**, 201–204 (2014).
- Schrag, D. P., Higgins, J. A., Macdonald, F. A. & Johnston, D. T. Authigenic carbonate and the history of the global carbon cycle. *Science* **339**, 540–543 (2013).
- Canfield, D. E. & Kump, L. R. Carbon cycle makeover. *Science* **339**, 533–534 (2013).

6. Irwin, H., Curtis, C. & Coleman, M. Isotopic evidence for source of diagenetic carbonates formed during burial of organic-rich sediments. *Nature* **269**, 209–213 (1977).
7. Mozley, P. S. & Burns, S. J. Oxygen and carbon isotopic composition of marine carbonate concretions: an overview. *J. Sediment. Petrol.* **63**, 73–83 (1993).
8. Mazzullo, S. J. Organogenic dolomitization in peritidal to deep-sea sediments: perspectives. *J. Sediment. Res.* **70**, 10–23 (2000).
9. Pisciotto, K. A. & Mahoney, J. J. Isotopic survey of diagenetic carbonates, Deep Sea Drilling Project leg 63. *Init. Rep. Deep Sea Drill. Proj.* **63**, 595–609 (1981).
10. Dale, A., John, C. M., Mozley, P. S., Smalley, P. C. & Muggeridge, A. H. Time-capsule concretions: unlocking burial diagenetic processes in the Mancos Shale using carbonate clumped isotopes. *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.* **394**, 30–37 (2014).
11. Levin, L. A. Ecology of cold seep sediments: interactions of fauna with flow, chemistry and microbes. *Oceanogr. Mar. Biol.* **43**, 1–46 (2005).
12. Bayon, G. *et al.* Formation of carbonate chimneys in the Mediterranean Sea linked to deep-water oxygen depletion. *Nat. Geosci.* **6**, 755–760 (2013).
13. Reimers, C. E., Ruttenberg, K. C., Canfield, D. E., Christiansen, M. B. & Martin, J. B. Porewater pH and authigenic phases formed in the uppermost sediments of the Santa Barbara Basin. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta* **60**, 4037–4057 (1996).
14. Meister, P. *et al.* Dolomite formation within the methanogenic zone induced by tectonically driven fluids in the Peru accretionary prism. *Geology* **39**, 563–566 (2011).
15. Berner, R. A. & Raiswell, R. Burial of organic carbon and pyrite sulfur in sediments over Phanerozoic time: a new theory. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta* **47**, 855–862 (1983).
16. Coleman, M. L. & Raiswell, R. Source of carbonate and origin of zonation in pyritiferous carbonate concretions: evaluation of a dynamic model. *Am. J. Sci.* **295**, 282–308 (1995).
17. Raiswell, R. & Fisher, Q. J. Mudrock-hosted carbonate concretions: a review of growth mechanisms and their influence on chemical and isotopic composition. *J. Geol. Soc.* **157**, 239–251 (2000).
18. Zhao, M.-Y. & Zheng, Y.-F. Marine carbonate records of terrigenous input into Paleotethyan seawater: geochemical constraints from Carboniferous limestones. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta* **141**, 508–531 (2014).
19. Anderson, R. F., Fleisher, M. Q. & LeHuray, A. P. Concentration, oxidation state, and particulate flux of uranium in the Black Sea. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta* **53**, 2215–2224 (1989).
20. Dunk, R. M., Mills, R. A. & Jenkins, W. J. A reevaluation of the oceanic uranium budget for the Holocene. *Chem. Geol.* **190**, 45–67 (2002).
21. Russell, A. D., Emerson, S., Nelson, B. K., Erez, J. & Lea, D. W. Uranium in foraminiferal calcite as a recorder of seawater uranium concentrations. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta* **58**, 671–681 (1994).
22. Kitano, Y. & Oomori, T. The coprecipitation of uranium with calcium carbonate. *J. Oceanogr. Soc. Jpn.* **27**, 34–42 (1971).
23. Meece, D. E. & Benninger, L. K. The coprecipitation of Pu and other radionuclides with CaCO₃. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta* **57**, 1447–1458 (1993).
24. Sturchio, N. C., Antonio, M. R., Soderholm, L., Sutton, S. R. & Brannon, J. C. Tetravalent uranium in calcite. *Science* **281**, 971–973 (1998).
25. Liger, E., Charlet, L. & Van Cappellen, P. Surface catalysis of uranium (VI) reduction by iron (II). *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta* **63**, 2939–2955 (1999).
26. Klinkhammer, G. P. & Palmer, M. R. Uranium in the oceans: where it goes and why. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta* **55**, 1799–1806 (1991).
27. Barnes, C. E. & Cochran, J. K. Uranium geochemistry in estuarine sediments: controls on removal and release processes. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta* **57**, 555–569 (1993).
28. Zheng, Y., Anderson, R. F., van Geen, A. & Fleisher, M. Q. Remobilization of authigenic uranium in marine sediments by bioturbation. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta* **66**, 1759–1772 (2002).
29. Morford, J. L., Martin, W. R. & Carney, C. M. Uranium diagenesis in sediments underlying bottom waters with high oxygen content. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta* **73**, 2920–2937 (2009).
30. Chung, G. S. & Swart, P. K. The concentration of uranium in freshwater vadose and phreatic cements in a Holocene ooid cay: a method of identifying ancient water tables. *J. Sediment. Res.* **60**, 735–746 (1990).
31. Swart, P. K. The elucidation of dolomitization events using nuclear track mapping. *SEPM Spec. Publ.* **43**, 11–23 (1988).
32. McManus, J., Berelson, W. M., Klinkhammer, G. P., Hammond, D. E. & Holm, C. Authigenic uranium: relationship to oxygen penetration depth and organic carbon rain. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta* **69**, 95–108 (2005).
33. Oni, O. *et al.* Distinct microbial populations are tightly linked to the profile of dissolved iron in the methanic sediments of the Helgoland mud area, North Sea. *Front. Microbiol.* **6**, 365 (2015).
34. Burns, S. J. & Baker, P. A. A geochemical study of dolomite in the Monterey Formation, California. *J. Sediment. Res.* **57**, 128–139 (1987).
35. Israelson, C., Halliday, A. N. & Buchardt, B. U-Pb dating of calcite concretions from Cambrian black shales and the Phanerozoic time scale. *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.* **141**, 153–159 (1996).
36. Payne, J. L. *et al.* Large perturbations of the carbon cycle during recovery from the end-Permian extinction. *Science* **305**, 506–509 (2004).
37. Higgins, J. A., Fischer, W. W. & Schrag, D. P. Oxygenation of the ocean and sediments: consequences for the seafloor carbonate factory. *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.* **284**, 25–33 (2009).
38. Canfield, D. E. The geochemistry of river particulates from the continental USA: major elements. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta* **61**, 3349–3365 (1997).
39. Song, H. *et al.* Geochemical evidence from bio-apatite for multiple oceanic anoxic events during Permian-Triassic transition and the link with end-Permian extinction and recovery. *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.* **353**, 12–21 (2012).
40. Sun, Y. *et al.* Lethally hot temperatures during the Early Triassic greenhouse. *Science* **338**, 366–370 (2012).
41. Wallmann, K. *et al.* Silicate weathering in anoxic marine sediments. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta* **72**, 2895–2918 (2008).
42. Jiang, G. *et al.* Organic carbon isotope constraints on the dissolved organic carbon (DOC) reservoir at the Cryogenian-Ediacaran transition. *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.* **299**, 159–168 (2010).
43. Grotzinger, J. P., Fike, D. A. & Fischer, W. W. Enigmatic origin of the largest-known carbon isotope excursion in Earth's history. *Nat. Geosci.* **4**, 285–292 (2011).
44. Condon, D. *et al.* U-Pb Ages from the Neoproterozoic Doushantuo Formation, China. *Science* **308**, 95–98 (2005).
45. Fike, D. A., Grotzinger, J. P., Pratt, L. M. & Summons, R. E. Oxidation of the Ediacaran Ocean. *Nature* **444**, 744–747 (2006).
46. Partin, C. A. *et al.* Large-scale fluctuations in Precambrian atmospheric and oceanic oxygen levels from the record of U in shales. *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.* **369**, 284–293 (2013).
47. Zha, X.-P., Zhao, Y.-Y. & Zheng, Y.-F. An online method combining a Gasbench II with continuous flow isotope ratio mass spectrometry to determine the content and isotopic compositions of minor amounts of carbonate in silicate rocks. *Rapid Commun. Mass Spectrom.* **24**, 2217–2226 (2010).
48. Berner, R. A. *Early Diagenesis: A Theoretical Approach* (Princeton Univ. Press, 1980).
49. Tromp, T. K., Van Cappellen, P. & Key, R. M. A global model for the early diagenesis of organic carbon and organic phosphorus in marine sediments. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta* **59**, 1259–1284 (1995).

Acknowledgements

This study was supported by funds from the Natural Science Foundation of China to Y.-F.Z. Thanks are extended to Yan'an Shen, Jun Yan and Weiping Li for their assistance with field sampling; Xiangping Zha and Mingyuan Lai for their assistance with stable isotope analyses; Fukun Chen and Ping Xiao for their assistance in the super-clean laboratory; Zhenhui Hou and Youqing Liang for their assistance with major and trace element analyses; Yingming Sheng and Mei Xia for their assistance with scanning electron microscopy analysis; Renxu Chen for his helpful suggestions; and three anonymous reviewers for their constructive reviews.

Author contributions

M.-Y.Z. and Y.-F.Z. designed this study; M.-Y.Z. wrote the manuscript with significant contributions from Y.-F.Z.; M.-Y.Z. performed the experiments and developed the numerical model; Y.-Y.Z. provided the samples for the Jiulongwan section and participated in the interpretation of the data.

Additional information

Supplementary Information accompanies this paper at <http://www.nature.com/naturecommunications>

Competing financial interests: The authors declare no competing financial interests.

Reprints and permission information is available online at <http://npg.nature.com/reprintsandpermissions/>

How to cite this article: Zhao, M.-Y. *et al.* Seeking a geochemical identifier for authigenic carbonate. *Nat. Commun.* 7:10885 doi: 10.1038/ncomms10885 (2016).

This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in the credit line; if the material is not included under the Creative Commons license, users will need to obtain permission from the license holder to reproduce the material. To view a copy of this license, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>