### EDITOR

Douglas K. McCormick

### Harvey Bialy

**Bonot MAMAGING EDITOR** Bialy Jennifer Van Brunt

SERIOR EDITOR Mark Ratner Associate iorice Pamela Knight

Continuous de lispandida de la continuo del continuo del continuo de la continuo del continuo de

CONTRIBUTING EDITORS

Jeffrey Fox

#00000000 EDITOR

Christin R. Ciresi

Bernard Dixon

ASSISTANT

ART DIRECTOR

Maryanne Kostynuk

PRODUCTION MANAGER

Ellen Rosenthal

PUBLISHER

Andy Sutherland

ADVERTISING SALES MANAGER

George F. Cominsky
BUROPEAN ADVENTISING MANAGER

Fiona Anderson

CREVIATION DIRECTOR

James Skowrenski

Bruce Shriver, Jr.

EDITORIAL CORRESPONDENCE: BIO/TEGHNOLOGY; 65 Bleecker St., New York, NY 10012. Telephone: (212) 477-9600. Telex: 668497UW fax: (212) 505-1364. BIO/TECHNOLOGY, 4 Little Essex Street, London WC2R 3LF. Telephone: (01) 872 0103. Telex: 262024 Fax: (01) 240 2408.

#### SCHOTTERC ADVISORY BOARD

George Poste, Chairman
Smith Kline & French
Ken-ichi Arai
DNAX Research Institute
Teruhiko Beppu
University of Tokyo
Ronald E. Cape
Getus Corporation
Jean-Pierre Changeux
Institut Pasteur
Mary-Dell Chilton
GIBA-Geigy
Nam-Hai Chua

Rockefeller University
Rita R. Colwell

University of Maryland, CARB
Arnold Demain

Massachusetts Institute of Technology

I. Lawrence Fox

J. Lawrence Fox Abbott Laboratories David Goeddel

Generiech Leroy Hood

California Institute of Technology

Morio Ikehara

Protein Engineering Research Institute
Ernest Jaworski
Monsanto Company

Irving Johnson
Eli Lilly Research Laboratories

David Mount
University of Arizona

Victor Nussenzweig
New York University Medical Center

Carl-Gustaf Rosen
Abitec AB

Kendall Smith
Dartmouth Medical School

Yukio Sugino Takeda Chemicals

Marc Van Montagu Plant Genetic Systems

Lemuel B. Wingard University of Pittsburgh Wataru Yamaya Seikagaku Kogyo

# THE FIRST WORD

## **CONFLICT OF INTEREST**

Put your books under your seats and take out a pencil. We're going to start today's class with a role-playing quiz on political science...or is it science policy? In any case, the objective is to locate those instances in which the declared policies of governments seem to conflict with the public interest.

Question 1. You are the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, making a concerted effort to reduce the backlog in patent applications. As part of this effort, you maintain a list of "oldest unexamined patents" in key areas of technology. Basically, it's a list of mail you haven't gotten around to reading yet. The oldest unexamined patent application in biotechnology was originally filed:

a) Last Thursday, but the mailroom guy took two days getting it up here so it's not my fault.

b) July 30, 1986, more than a year earlier than the oldest unexamined patent in any other area.

c) December 18, 1988, but it arrived during the office Christmas party and got shoved under a stack of "Free the Elves!" cocktail napkins.

d) 9.6 years ago, so that the patent will take effect when the Food and Drug Administration grants approval, so it's really better for the company this way.

The correct—or should we say true—answer is b, according to talks presented during Biotech USA in October.

Question 2. You are an administrative court in the German state of Hesse. When citizens complain that Hoechst AG is building a plant to produce a dangerous biological agent—human insulin—nearby, you:

a) Tell the complainants to go away until they learn some biology.

b) Stop construction of the plant and forbid human occupation of the area as well, on the grounds that people produce human insulin.

c) Allow construction to continue, based on careful assessment of scientific information about the risk (or lack of risk) posed by the plant.

d) Stop construction, based on careful assessment of scientific information about the risk (or lack of risk) posed by the plant.

e) Stop construction of the plant, issuing a decision that gets quoted in *The New York Times* thus: "'As long as parliament does not specifically allow the use of gene technology,' plants using such technology 'may not be constructed and operated regardless of the assessment of the danger they pose in individual cases.' "In other words, everything that is not specifically permitted is forbidden.

The real answer, of course, is e. Go figure.

Question 3. You are the George Bush administration. Now that the maverick Connecticut Republican Lowell Weicker has lost his Senate seat, you realize that the U.S. government contains only one effective advocate of applied leading-edge biology, Frank Young, the Food and Drug Administration commissioner. Since he has reduced approval times for life-saving drugs from 7.1 years to 4.7 years, has coped with the biotechnology boom with remarkable even-handedness, is well regarded even by his critics, and has managed all of this amid forced staff cutbacks and slashed budgets, you:

a) Fire him because cereal manufacturers and food processors are cribbing their advertising copy from the New England Journal of Medicine.

b) Fire him because he embargoed Chilean grapes after minuscule amounts of cyanide were found in two grapes following poisoning threats from Chilean guerrillas.

c) Fire him because some of his understaffed and short-funded department took bribes to help some drug filings and hinder others.

d) Disguise the dismissal as a promotion to Deputy Assistant Secretary of Health for health, science, and environment.

e) Reward him with a post that won't require him to wear a U.S. Public Health Service uniform.

f) Let him continue doing his job.

g) All of the above except f.

The correct answer is g. See the commentary for Question 2.

-Douglas McCormick