

ERRATUM

doi:10.1038/nature06542

Two stellar components in the halo of the Milky Way

Daniela Carollo, Timothy C. Beers, Young Sun Lee, Masashi Chiba, John E. Norris, Ronald Wilhelm, Thirupathi Sivarani, Brian Marsteller, Jeffrey A. Munn, Coryn A. L. Bailer-Jones, Paola Re Fiorentin & Donald G. York

Nature 450, 1020–1025 (2007)In Table 1 of this Article, rows 12 to 20 (the ‘Field F, G turnoff (non-kinematic)’) were inadvertently moved up one row in the N and $\langle V_\phi \rangle$ columns. The corrected table is shown below.**Table 1 | Studies claiming a retrograde outer halo**

Sample and selection criteria	N	Additional restrictions	$\langle V_\phi \rangle$ (km s^{-1})	Method	Source
Globular clusters (non-kinematic)	19	‘Young halo’	-64 ± 74	F&W	Ref. 2
Globular clusters (non-kinematic)	20	‘Young halo’	-42 ± 80	F&W	Ref. 10
RR Lyrae stars (non-kinematic)	26	$ Z < 8 \text{ kpc}$	-95 ± 29	FSM	Ref. 9
Field subdwarfs (kinematic)	30	$Z_{\text{max}} > 5 \text{ kpc}$	-45 ± 22	FSM	Ref. 7
Field horizontal-branch stars (non-kinematic)	90	Bias corrected $[\text{Fe}/\text{H}] < -1.6$	$+24 \pm 13$ -93 ± 36	F&W	Ref. 16 Ref. 8
Field subdwarfs (kinematic)	101	$ Z > 4 \text{ kpc}$ $V < -100 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ $[\text{Fe}/\text{H}] < -1.8$	-32 ± 10	FSM	Ref. 13
Field F, G, K dwarfs (non-kinematic)	250	$ Z > 5 \text{ kpc}$	-55 ± 16	FSM	Ref. 6
Field F, G turnoff (non-kinematic)		$Z_{\text{max}} > 5 \text{ kpc}$		FSM	This work
	2,228	$[\text{Fe}/\text{H}] < -1.0$	-11 ± 2		
	200	$[\text{Fe}/\text{H}] < -2.2$	-41 ± 11		
	771	$Z_{\text{max}} > 10 \text{ kpc}$ $[\text{Fe}/\text{H}] < -1.0$	-38 ± 5		
	94	$[\text{Fe}/\text{H}] < -2.2$	-71 ± 17		
	371	$Z_{\text{max}} > 15 \text{ kpc}$ $[\text{Fe}/\text{H}] < -1.0$	-56 ± 8		
	54	$[\text{Fe}/\text{H}] < -2.2$	-71 ± 25		