7. Horn, R. Coupled movements in voltage-gated ion channels. *J. Gen. Physiol.* **120**, 449–453 (2002).
8. Frank, J. Single-particle imaging of macromolecules by cryo-electron microscopy. *Annu. Rev. Biophys. Biomol. Struct.* **31**, 303–319 (2002).
9. Henderson, R. The potential and limitations of neutrons, electrons and X-rays for atomic resolution microscopy of unstained biological molecules. *Q. Rev. Biophys.* **28**, 171–193 (1995).
10. Adrian, M., Dubochet, J., Fuller, S. D. & Harris, J. R. Cryo-negative staining. *Micron* **29**, 145–160 (1998).
11. Golas, M. M., Sander, B., Will, C. L., Luhrmann, R. & Stark, H. Molecular architecture of the multiprotein splicing factor SF3b. *Science* **300**, 980–984 (2003).
12. Saxton, W. O. & Baumeister, W. The correlation averaging of a regularly arranged bacterial cell envelope protein. *J. Microsc.* **127**, 127–138 (1982).
13. van Heel, M. Similarity measures between images. *Ultramicroscopy* **21**, 95–100 (1987).
14. Conway, J. F. et al. Characterization of a conformational epitope on hepatitis B virus core antigen and quasiequivalent variations in antibody binding. *J. Virol.* **77**, 6466–6473 (2003).
15. Rosenthal, P. B. & Henderson, R. Optimal determination of particle orientation, absolute hand, and contrast loss in single-particle electron cryomicroscopy. *J. Mol. Biol.* **333**, 721–745 (2003).
16. Laine, M. et al. Atomic proximity between S4 segment and pore domain in *Shaker* potassium channels. *Neuron* **39**, 467–481 (2003).
17. Gandhi, C. S., Clark, E., Loots, E., Pralle, A. & Isacoff, E. Y. The orientation and molecular movement of a K⁺ channel voltage-sensing domain. *Neuron* **40**, 515–525 (2003).
18. Neale, E. J., Elliott, D. J., Hunter, M. & Sivaprasadarao, A. Evidence for intersubunit interactions between S4 and S5 transmembrane segments of the *Shaker* potassium channel. *J. Biol. Chem.* **278**, 29079–29085 (2003).
19. Chandry, K. G. & Gutman, G. A. In *Ligand and Voltage-Gated Channels* (ed. North, R. A.) 1–72 (CRC, Boca Raton, 1995).
20. Seoh, S. A., Sigg, D., Papazian, D. M. & Bezanilla, F. Voltage-sensing residues in the S2 and S4 segments of the *Shaker* K⁺ channel. *Neuron* **16**, 1159–1167 (1996).
21. Aggarwal, S. K. & MacKinnon, R. Contribution of the S4 segment to gating charge in the *Shaker* K⁺ channel. *Neuron* **16**, 1169–1177 (1996).
22. Orlova, E. V. et al. Structure of keyhole limpet hemocyanin type 1 (KLH1) at 15 Å resolution by electron cryomicroscopy and angular reconstitution. *J. Mol. Biol.* **271**, 417–437 (1997).
23. Gabashvili, I. S. et al. Solution structure of the *E. coli* 70S ribosome at 11.5 Å resolution. *Cell* **100**, 537–549 (2000).
24. Ludtke, S. J., Baldwin, P. R. & Chiu, W. EMAN: semiautomated software for high-resolution single-particle reconstructions. *J. Struct. Biol.* **128**, 82–97 (1999).
25. van Heel, M., Harauz, G., Orlova, E. V., Schmidt, R. & Schatz, M. A new generation of the IMAGIC image processing system. *J. Struct. Biol.* **116**, 17–24 (1996).
26. van Heel, M. Angular reconstitution: a posteriori assignment of projection directions for 3D reconstruction. *Ultramicroscopy* **21**, 111–123 (1987).
27. Frank, J. et al. SPIDER and WEB: processing and visualization of images in 3D electron microscopy and related fields. *J. Struct. Biol.* **116**, 190–199 (1996).
28. Sander, B., Golas, M. M. & Stark, H. Automatic CTF correction for single particles based upon multivariate statistical analysis of individual power spectra. *J. Struct. Biol.* **142**, 392–401 (2003).
29. Hawkes, P. W. In *Computer Processing of Electron Microscopic Images* (ed. Hawkes, P. W.) 1–33 (Springer, Berlin, 1980).
30. Jones, T. A., Zou, J. Y., Cowan, S. W. & Kjeldgaard Improved methods for building protein models in electron density maps and the location of errors in these models. *Acta Crystallogr. A* **47**, 110–119 (1991).

Supplementary Information accompanies the paper on www.nature.com/nature.

Acknowledgements We thank members of the MacKinnon lab, S. Darst, N. Opalka and D. Stokes for helpful discussions. This work was supported by grants from the NIH to D.N.W. and R.M. R.M. is an investigator in the Howard Hughes Medical Institute.

Competing interests statement The authors declare that they have no competing financial interests.

Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to R.M. (mackinn@rockefeller.edu). The electron microscopy map has been deposited with European Bioinformatics Institute (www.ebi.ac.uk/msd/emd-2771.map).

corrigenda

Enzymic activation and transfer of fatty acids and acyl-adenylates in mycobacteria

Omita A. Trivedi, Pooja Arora, Vijayalakshmi Sridharan, Rashmi Tickoo, Debasisa Mohanty & Rajesh S. Gokhale

Nature **428**, 441–445 (2004).

The structures of lauroyl-AMP and lauroyl-CoA are incorrectly represented in Fig. 1c, d of this Letter, which shows the L-form rather than the natural D-form of adenosine; there is no phosphate group at the 2'-position of the ribosyl moiety of lauroyl-AMP (Fig. 1c) and the phosphate group in lauroyl-CoA (Fig. 1d) should be at the 3'-position. These errors do not affect our conclusions. □

Reduction of hysteresis losses in the magnetic refrigerant Gd₅Ge₂Si₂ by the addition of iron

Virgil Provenzano, Alexander J. Shapiro & Robert D. Shull

Nature **429**, 853–857 (2004).

It has come to our attention that we failed to cite in our Letter to *Nature* a key publication¹ that describes changes in the magnetocaloric capabilities of Gd₅(Si₂Ge₂) as a result of alloying the compound with small amounts of various metals, including iron. That paper supports the findings presented in our Letter. However, we also show that it is important to consider the magnetic hysteresis in addition to the magnetocaloric effect when assessing the usefulness of a material as a magnetic refrigerant. □

1. Pecharsky, V. K. & Gschneidner, K. A. Effect of alloying on the giant magnetocaloric effect of Gd₅(Si₂Ge₂). *J. Magn. Magn. Mater. Res.* **167**, L179–L184 (1997).