

Received 22 November; accepted 23 December 2002; doi:10.1038/nature01378.
Published online 22 January 2003.

1. Grunstein, M. Histone acetylation in chromatin structure and transcription. *Nature* **389**, 349–352 (1997).
2. Turner, B. M. Histone acetylation and an epigenetic code. *Bioessays* **22**, 836–845 (2000).
3. Berger, S. L. Histone modifications in transcriptional regulation. *Curr. Opin. Genet. Dev.* **12**, 142–148 (2002).
4. Lachner, M. & Jenuwein, T. The many faces of histone lysine methylation. *Curr. Opin. Cell Biol.* **14**, 286–298 (2002).
5. Strahl, B. D. & Allis, C. D. The language of covalent histone modifications. *Nature* **403**, 41–45 (2000).
6. Zhang, X. *et al.* Structure of the neurospora SET domain protein DIM-5, a histone H3 lysine methyltransferase. *Cell* **111**, 117–127 (2002).
7. Spotswood, H. T. & Turner, B. M. An increasingly complex code. *J. Clin. Invest.* **110**, 577–582 (2002).
8. Feng, Q. *et al.* Methylation of H3-lysine 79 is mediated by a new family of HMTases without a SET domain. *Curr. Biol.* **12**, 1052–1058 (2002).
9. van Leeuwen, F., Gafken, P. R. & Gottschling, D. E. Dit1p modulates silencing in yeast by methylation of the nucleosome core. *Cell* **109**, 745–756 (2002).
10. Jenuwein, T. Re-SET-ting heterochromatin by histone methyltransferases. *Trends Cell Biol.* **11**, 266–273 (2001).
11. Wilson, J. R. *et al.* Crystal structure and functional analysis of the histone methyltransferase SET7/9. *Cell* **111**, 105–115 (2002).
12. Jacobs, S. A. *et al.* The active site of the SET domain is constructed on a knot. *Nature Struct. Biol.* **9**, 833–838 (2002).
13. Trievel, R. C., Beach, B. M., Dirk, L. M. A., Houtz, R. L. & Hurley, J. H. Structure and catalytic mechanism of a SET domain protein methyltransferase. *Cell* **111**, 91–103 (2002).
14. Min, J., Zhang, X., Cheng, X., Grewal, S. I. & Xu, R. M. Structure of the SET domain histone lysine methyltransferase Clr4. *Nature Struct. Biol.* **9**, 828–832 (2002).
15. Santos-Rosa, H. *et al.* Active genes are tri-methylated at K4 of histone H3. *Nature* **419**, 407–411 (2002).
16. Rea, S. *et al.* Regulation of chromatin structure by site-specific histone H3 methyltransferases. *Nature* **406**, 593–599 (2000).
17. Hofmann, J. L. Chromatographic analysis of the chiral and covalent instability of S-adenosyl-L-methionine. *Biochemistry* **25**, 4444–4449 (1986).
18. Otwinowski, Z. & Minor, W. in *Data Collection and Processing* (eds Sawyer, L., Isaacs, N. & Bailey, S.) 556–562 (SERC Daresbury Laboratory, Warrington, 1993).
19. CCP4. The CCP4 suite: programs for protein crystallography. *Acta Crystallogr. D* **50**, 760–763 (1994).
20. Jones, T. A., Zhou, J. Y., Cowan, S. W. & Kjeldgaard, M. Improved methods for building protein models in electron density maps and the location of errors in these models. *Acta Crystallogr. A* **47**, 110–119 (1991).

Supplementary Information accompanies the paper on Nature's website (<http://www.nature.com/nature>).

Acknowledgements We are grateful to G. Dodson and S. Smerdon for critical reading of the manuscript, and to Y. Shinkai and Y. Tanaka for the gift of the G9a clone. NMR spectra were recorded at the MRC Biomedical NMR Centre.

Competing interests statement The authors declare that they have no competing financial interests.

Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to S.J.G. (e-mail: sgambli@nimr.mrc.ac.uk). Coordinates for the SET7/9 ternary complex have been deposited with the Protein Data Bank under accession code 1o9s.

corrigendum

Contemporary fisherian life-history evolution in small salmonid populations

Mikko T. Koskinen, Thron O. Haugen & Craig R. Primmer

Nature **419**, 826–830 (2002).

The neutrality test equation applied in this Letter ($F = (N_e \sigma_{GB}^2) / (h^2 \sigma_{GW}^2 t)$) was incorrect: the correct equation is $F = (N_e \sigma_{GB}^2) / (\sigma_{GW}^2 t)$. Consequently, the numbers in the right three columns of the original Table 1 are wrong (corrected below). In addition, some variance estimates in Table 1 and some values of Fig. 2 were reported incorrectly (the entire correct Table 1 and the correct Fig. 2 are available from the authors). These errors do not affect our conclusion that the populations evolved predominantly as a result of natural selection. We thank W. G. Hill for bringing these errors to our attention. □

Table 1

Trait	Pairwise comparison	$F_{1, \infty}$	P	N_e (sign)
Length at termination (mm)	Les–Ht	37.7	***	2.5
	Les–Aur	0.78	0.38	272
	Ht–Aur	8.63	**	17.7
Yolk-sac volume (mm ³)	Les–Ht	29.0	***	3.21
	Les–Aur	59.2	***	3.59
	Ht–Aur	3.17	0.07	48.3
Growth rate (mm/ΔD)	Les–Ht	20.5	***	4.55
	Les–Aur	6.31	**	33.7
	Ht–Aur	41.5	***	3.68
Survival (%)	Les–Ht	5.90	*	15.8
	Les–Aur	3.13	0.08	68.0
	Ht–Aur	1.20	0.27	128
Incubation time (days)	Les–Ht	4.02	*	23.1
	Les–Aur	1.56×10^{-7}	>0.99	1.36×10^9
	Ht–Aur	7.82	**	19.5
Swim-up length (mm)	Les–Ht	0.26	0.61	363
	Les–Aur	0.04	0.84	5.79×10^3
	Ht–Aur	2.73×10^{-8}	>0.99	5.60×10^9
Hatching length (mm)	Les–Ht	1.46×10^{-8}	>0.99	6.38×10^9
	Les–Aur	0.78	0.38	274
	Ht–Aur	2.16×10^{-8}	>0.99	7.07×10^9