

Letter to the Editor

Modern Pathology (2008) 21, 1056; doi:10.1038/modpathol.2008.66

To the editor: We read with interest the article by Lapointe *et al.*,¹ who reported a novel fusion of variant *TMPRSS2* isoform with *ERG*, resulting in a variant *TMPRSS2 (isoforms 2)-ERG* fusion in prostate cancer. This finding has important implications for accurate detection of *TMPRSS2-ERG* fusion transcript, since 10% of specimens in their series expressed only the novel variant isoforms *TMPRSS2-ERG* fusion, which could be missed using the published RT-PCR assays for the known *TMPRSS2* transcript.^{2–6} This is a particularly important issue for the development of PCR-based diagnostic tests. For tissue-based FISH assays, the two *TMPRSS2* isoforms cannot be distinguished using the standard *ERG* break-apart assay.^{2,4,6}

There appears to be some possibility of confusion regarding the *ERG* break-apart assays presented in their paper. The FISH images presented in Figure 3a are described as negative for the *TMPRSS2-ERG* fusion. Because *TMPRSS2* and *ERG* are located so close together on 21q, all non-rearranged alleles should be immediately adjacent to each other (or even overlapping). In this figure, it appears more consistent with a break-apart signal pattern, suggesting gene fusion. This may be due to overlapping nuclei, making it unclear which signals belong to which nucleus, and whether all signals have been captured, as they may not all be on the same plane. Figure 3b demonstrates very well the *TMPRSS2-ERG* rearrangement through deletion. There is no significant visible difference between the relative signal positions of the non-rearranged allele and the allele with the rearrangement. As the signal distance is not informative, the rearrangement only becomes obvious because of the missing green signal between the red and blue signals. The signal patterns in Figure 3c are equally confusing. As in Figure 3b, the rearrangement is most clearly shown by the absence of the green signals (indicating fusion through deletion). However, the signals for the non-rearranged allele in the upper-right nucleus seem to be too distant to be from the same nucleus. In Figure 3b

and the other nuclei in Figure 3c, the non-rearranged aqua and red signals are immediately adjacent to each other. Also, there appears to be an additional non-rearranged allele in the large nucleus in the bottom center. We believe that these inconsistencies are due to overlapping nuclei as shown in Figure 3a.

We believe it is important to point out the ambiguity of the images to avoid possible confusion for the reader and in interpreting future *TMPRSS2-ERG* FISH assays.

Sven Perner and Mark A Rubin

Department of Pathology, Weill Cornell Medical Center, New York, NY, USA

References

- Lapointe J, Kim YH, Miller MA, *et al.* A variant *TMPRSS2* isoform and *ERG* fusion product in prostate cancer with implications for molecular diagnosis. *Mod Pathol* 2007;20:467–473.
- Perner S, Demichelis F, Beroukhi R, *et al.* *TMPRSS2:ERG* fusion-associated deletions provide insight into the heterogeneity of prostate cancer. *Cancer Res* 2006;66:8337–8341.
- Soller MJ, Isaksson M, Elfving P, *et al.* Confirmation of the high frequency of the *TMPRSS2/ERG* fusion gene in prostate cancer. *Genes Chromosomes Cancer* 2006; 45:717–719.
- Tomlins SA, Rhodes DR, Perner S, *et al.* Recurrent fusion of *TMPRSS2* and *ETS* transcription factor genes in prostate cancer. *Science* 2005;310:644–648.
- Wang J, Cai Y, Ren C, *et al.* Expression of variant *TMPRSS2/ERG* fusion messenger RNAs is associated with aggressive prostate cancer. *Cancer Res* 2006;66: 8347–8351.
- Yoshimoto M, Joshua AM, Chilton-Macneill S, *et al.* Three-color FISH analysis of *TMPRSS2/ERG* fusions in prostate cancer indicates that genomic microdeletion of chromosome 21 is associated with rearrangement. *Neoplasia* 2006;8:465–469.

Modern Pathology (2008) 21, 1056–1057; doi:10.1038/modpathol.2008.22

In reply: In response to the letter by Drs Perner and Rubin, the apparent confusion appears to arise from a misunderstanding of the genome map location and/or the colors of the fluorescence *in situ*

hybridization (FISH) probes used. As indicated in the legend to Figure 3 of the article,¹ the green/blue pseudocolored probes map, respectively, to the 5' and 3' portions of *ERG*, whereas the red