

Intestinal tuft cells: epithelial sentinels linking luminal cues to the immune system

F Gerbe^{1,2,3} and P Jay^{1,2,3}

Epithelial tuft cells (also known as “brush” cells in the airway) were first identified morphologically, almost six decades ago in the trachea and gastro-intestinal tract, but their function remained mysterious until three almost simultaneous reports recently revealed their essential role in the initiation of immune type 2 responses. This is a new and exciting example of cooperation between the epithelial and haematopoietic compartments for the management of enteric parasite infections. Here we review tuft cell functions and markers, and anchors epithelial tuft cells within the current paradigm of type 2 immune responses.

CELLULAR COMPOSITION OF THE INTESTINAL MUCOSA

The intestinal mucosa has two principal components: an epithelial cell monolayer and an underlying lamina propria (Figure 1).

The lamina propria is separated from the epithelial monolayer by a basement membrane and it is constituted of a very diverse populations of cells, including among others mesenchymal cells, such as fibroblasts, myo-fibroblasts, pericytes, endothelial and smooth muscle cells, as well as hematopoietic immune cells such as B and T lymphocytes, dendritic cells, and macrophages.¹ The large amount of immune cell infiltrate present in the lamina propria critically contributes to the barrier function of the adjacent monolayer of epithelial cells that separates our organism from its “environment” present in the gut lumen.^{2,3}

THE EPITHELIAL CRYPT: A PROLIFERATION COMPARTMENT

The intestinal epithelium, the fastest renewing structure of our bodies, is organized as two spatially and functionally distinct compartments, the crypt and the villus.⁴ The crypt compartment is organized as a bottle-shaped invagination into the underlying lamina propria. Crypts contain the stem cells, located at their base and, above the stem cell zone, the highly proliferating transit amplifying zone, responsible for the generation of sufficient cells to completely renew the

epithelium within a week.⁵ The emerging picture is that crypt base-located columnar stem cells expressing the leucine-rich repeat containing G protein-coupled receptor 5 (*Lgr5*) can self-renew and generate all the differentiated cell types of the epithelium, both *in vivo*⁶ and in *ex vivo* organoid cultures.⁷ Just above the stem cell zone, the so-called +4 cell position, four cell rows above the crypt base, has long been thought to correspond to a distinct stem cell population, with slower proliferation rates, that may constitute a reserve stem cell pool capable of reconstituting the *Lgr5*⁺ crypt base-located columnar cells after injury.^{8,9} However, other studies based on lineage tracing from *Dll1*⁺ progenitor cells provided evidence that this position is occupied by early differentiating secretory cells. *Dll1*⁺ cells and the abundant absorptive enterocyte progenitors expressing the intestinal alkaline phosphatase (*Alpi*) gene, both have the capacity to revert to the stem cell state upon injury of the crypt base-located columnar stem cell compartment.^{10,11} Thus, a picture is emerging in which cell plasticity could have important roles in crypt homeostasis and account for some of the heterogeneity of cells with stem cell capacity.

Crypts also contain Paneth cells, a terminally differentiated cell type intermingled with stem cells at the crypt base. Paneth cells are the only long-lived differentiated intestinal epithelial cell,¹² and they function as regulators of the microbial communities present in the gut, and as a niche for the stem cells.¹³

¹CNRS, UMR-5203, Institut de Génétique Fonctionnelle, Montpellier, France. ²INSERM, U1191, Montpellier, France and ³Université de Montpellier, Montpellier, France. Correspondence: P Jay (philippe.jay@igf.cnrs.fr)

Received 11 May 2016; accepted 8 July 2016; published online 24 August 2016. doi:10.1038/mi.2016.68




Figure 1 Schematic view of the intestinal epithelium and underlying immune cells. Epithelial crypts contain stem cells and their progeny that migrates through the transit-amplifying compartment, and differentiated Paneth cells. Epithelial villi contain only non-proliferative, differentiated, cells, including enterocytes, goblet, enteroendocrine and tuft cells. The *lamina propria* compartment contains different types of hematopoietic cells, depending on the immune status.

THE EPITHELIAL VILLUS COMPARTMENT CONTAINS SPECIALIZED CELL TYPES

The progeny of the stem cells migrates toward the upper crypt, where they differentiate into specialized cell types before populating the neighboring villi and eventually they undergo anoikis and desquamate in the gut lumen when they reach the villus tip. Until recently, three specialized cell types were usually mentioned in the villus compartment, including nutrient-absorbing enterocytes, mucus-secreting goblet cells and the entero-endocrine cells that produce several hormones that regulate gut physiology.¹⁴ In specific areas of the epithelium, called Peyer's patches, progenitor cells differentiate into specialized "M cells" that are capable of internalizing luminal antigens and presenting them to lymphocytes.¹⁵ In addition, it has long been known that additional cell types are present in the intestinal epithelium, including cup cells¹⁶ (although described only in guinea pigs, monkeys and rabbits) and the tuft cells, also known as brush, caveolated, multivesicular, fibrillovesicular, agranular light, or solitary chemosensory cells.¹⁷

THE TUFT CELL TYPE: FROM A MORPHOLOGY-BASED IDENTIFICATION TO A SPECIFIC MARKER SIGNATURE

Without extensively describing all the tuft cell markers that are reviewed elsewhere,¹⁸ it is interesting to recall the successive discoveries of some of these markers and their impact on the functional understanding of intestinal tuft cells. Tuft cells were initially identified in the trachea and gastro-intestinal tract by

electron microscopy thanks to their unique morphology, with a unique tubulovesicular system and an apical bundle of microfilaments connected to a tuft of long and thick microvilli projecting into the lumen.^{19–21} It is therefore not surprising that tuft cells were first characterized by the expression of structural markers. These include proteins related to their apical brush border such as Villin,²² expression of specific cytokeratins including Krt18,²³ and proteins of their microtubule network such as alpha-tubulin and its acetylated form.²⁴ However, none of these markers has a strictly tuft cell-restricted expression and the unambiguous identification of tuft cells remained difficult by routine techniques. When it was discovered that tuft cells share morphological and likely also functional features with taste-receptor cells,^{25–27} the set of tuft cell markers was enriched with new proteins related to the chemosensory attributes of tuft cells. These latter proteins notably include α -gustducin,²⁵ as well as the cation channel Trpm5.^{26,28} Retrospectively, the demonstration of Trpm5 expression in tuft cells could be considered as a great breakthrough since this protein is now known to have essential roles in the function of tuft cells.^{29,30} Moreover, the generation of a *Trpm5-eGFP* reporter allele led to the discovery of most of the currently known tuft cell markers,²⁷ including transcription factors, eicosanoids biosynthesis pathway components and type 2 immune response-related genes. Most of these markers have been validated in either the intestinal³¹ or gastric mucosa²⁴ or even in pancreatic^{32,33} tissues. The microtubule-linked protein kinase 1 (Dcl1),




Figure 2 Tuft cell detection using two molecular markers reveals strong expansion of the tuft cell lineage during enteric parasitic infection. Pictures show tuft cells in the intestinal epithelium of naive mice, stained for detection of Dclk1 (left panel) or Dclk1 and Pou2f3 (middle panel). The latter also indicates the basal representation of the tuft cells within the intestinal epithelium. The right panel shows the expansion of Dclk1- and Pou2f3-expressing tuft cells 7 days after infection with the *Nippostrongylus brasiliensis* helminth.

which was initially reported as a putative marker of gastric³⁴ and intestinal³⁵ epithelium stem cells, is actually predominantly expressed in tuft cells³⁶ and in a rare sub-population of enteroendocrine cells expressing the insulinoma-associated 1 (Insm1) protein.³⁷ Dclk1 is thus widely used as a tuft cell marker^{24,30,31,37–39} (Figure 2). Of note, the expression of the growth factor independent 1b (Gfi1b) transcription factor was described as specific to the tuft cell lineage in the context of the intestinal epithelium and is therefore also a useful marker.³⁷

POU2F3: A MASTER GENE FOR INTESTINAL TUFT CELL DIFFERENTIATION

Several of the key transcription factors controlling the specification or maintenance of the different intestinal epithelial cell types have been identified.⁴ The Spi-1/PU.1 related (Spib) transcription factor is required for differentiation of M cells.^{40,41} The Atonal bHLH factor 1 (Atoh1), in turn, is essential for the specification of goblet, Paneth, and enteroendocrine cells, which are collectively known as the secretory or granulocytic cell types of the intestinal epithelium.⁴² The Sry-box 9 (Sox9) factor, expressed in both the crypt stem and Paneth cells,⁴³ and the Neurogenin 3 (Ngn3), expressed in crypt progenitor cells,⁴⁴ are required for Paneth^{45,46} and enteroendocrine⁴⁷ cell specification or/and differentiation, respectively. The *Kruppel-like factor 4* (*Klf4*) is necessary for goblet cells differentiation.⁴⁸ Other important regulators include the Ets-domain transcription factor Spdef for Paneth and goblet cells^{49,50} differentiation and the Growth factor independent-1 (Gfi1) transcription factor that controls the relative representation of enteroendocrine cells compared with that of goblet and Paneth cells.^{51,52} Except for Atoh1, for which two separate studies came to divergent conclusions with one reporting absence of tuft cells in Atoh1-deficient mice, like goblet, Paneth, and enteroendocrine cells,³¹ and the other finding expansion of the tuft cell lineage in Atoh1-deficient mice,³⁷ all the above-mentioned transcription factors are dispensable for tuft cell specification.³¹ The role of Spib in tuft cell differentiation was not investigated.

More recently, the role of the Pou domain, class 2, transcription factor 3 (Pou2f3) transcription factor was also investigated. Pou2f3 expression is essentially restricted to Dclk1- and Gfi1b-expressing tuft cells within the intestinal epithelium (Figure 2).³⁸ Pou2f3-deficient mice lack all Pou2f3-

expressing taste receptor cells including sweet, umami, and bitter taste cells.⁵³ Pou2f3-deficient mice also lack Trpm5-expressing chemosensory cells in the nasal cavity⁵⁴ and olfactory epithelium.⁵⁵ The analysis of the intestinal epithelium of Pou2f3-deficient mice revealed a complete absence of tuft cells, whereas other cell types in the intestinal epithelium were present in normal numbers.³⁸ This study thus identified Pou2f3 as the first transcription factor specifically required for tuft cell presence in the intestinal epithelium (Figure 3). Pou2f3-deficient mice are thus a useful model to investigate the function of the tuft cell lineage.³⁸

TUFT CELLS INITIATE TYPE 2 RESPONSES TO HELMINTH INFECTIONS

Three independent and complementary studies^{30,38,39} have recently revealed another critical function of tuft cells in the initiation of type 2 immune responses, which are typically involved during intestinal protozoa or helminth parasite infections, and which are deleteriously activated in allergies.⁵⁶ Type 2 responses require activation and recruitment of type 2 helper T cells (Th2 cells) and group 2 innate lymphoid cells (ILC2s) by epithelial cell-derived cytokines, including IL25, IL33 and thymic stromal lymphopoietin.^{57–59} Production of IL13 by Th2 cells and ILC2s causes the remodeling of the intestinal epithelium, including goblet cell hyperplasia⁶⁰ and hypercontractibility of smooth muscle cells⁶¹ that peak at the time of worm expulsion.

In the Jay group study, it was found that the tuft cell population dramatically expands during infections with helminths such as *Nippostrongylus brasiliensis* or *Heligmosomoides polygyrus*, in an IL4/IL13 signaling-dependent way. Furthermore, in *Pou2f3*-deficient mice that lack tuft cells, the immune response was greatly altered; the mice had impaired recruitment of Th2 and ILC2 cells, reduced levels of IL13 production, nearly abolished goblet cell hyperplasia and greatly delayed worm expulsion. When investigating the link between the presence of tuft cells and efficient type 2 responses during infection, tuft cells were found to be the epithelial source of the IL25 alarmin expression. This identified tuft cells as the trigger to the induction of the type 2 response following parasite infections.³⁸

The Locksley group reached identical conclusions using an elegant mouse model that combines an IL25 expression




Figure 3 Genetic hierarchy controlling specification and differentiation of the different cell types constituting the intestinal epithelium. The progeny of intestinal stem cells can engage either toward an absorptive (columnar—left) or a secretory (granulocytic—right) cell fate, depending on the expression of *Hairy/enhancer of split 1 (Hes1)* or *Atonal homologue 1 (Atoh1)*, respectively. The *Delta-like ligand 1 (Dil-1)* gene is expressed in one or several type(s) of crypt-located secretory progenitor cells from which Paneth, goblet, enteroendocrine and tuft cells derive. Among the cells of the secretory lineages, *Neurogenin3 (Ngn3)* is required for presence of all enteroendocrine cells. *Growth factor independent 1 (Gfi1)* is necessary to prevent *Ngn3* expression within Paneth and goblet cells, while *SAM pointed domain containing ETS transcription factor (Spdef)* is required for their terminal differentiation. *SRY (sex determining region Y)-box 9 (Sox9)* and *Kruppel-like factor 4 (Klf4)* are necessary for Paneth cells and goblet cells differentiation, respectively. The *POU domain, class 2, transcription factor 3* gene (*Pou2f3*) is required for specification/differentiation of tuft cells. M-cells derive from intestinal stem cells and differentiate from *Spi-B transcription factor (Spi-1/PU.1 related)* (*SpiB*)-expressing cells, upon induction by the receptor activator of nuclear factor kappa-B ligand (RANKL) molecules produced by neighboring hematopoietic cells.

reporter and inducible deletion^{30,38,39} of the *Il25* gene. The deletion of the *Il25* gene led to essentially identical consequences as the absence of the tuft cell lineage,³⁹ suggesting that IL25 production is the essential function of tuft cells, at least in the context of parasite infections.

The Garrett group study addressed the chemosensory function of tuft cells in the context of protozoa or helminth infections. Deletion of the TRPM5 cation channel, which is involved in the transduction of signals from many taste-chemosensory G protein-coupled receptors,⁶² greatly impaired the type 2 response following infection with the *Trichomonas muris* protozoa. Trpm5-deficient mice failed to mount an efficient epithelial response and had impaired tuft cell expansion and a reduced IL25 expression and a lowered frequency of lamina propria IL17RB⁺ ILC2s.³⁰ This study thus identified TRPM5 as a critical player in the induction of type 2 responses, which likely act upstream of IL25 production.

Together, these three studies revealed an unexpected level of functional integration and cooperation between the epithelial and hematopoietic compartments in mounting an efficient response against parasite infections.

TRPM5 AND TASTE RECEPTOR SIGNALING: TOWARD A NEW PARADIGM OF PARASITE RECOGNITION?

The finding that cells with chemosensory pathways are critical for the initiation of type 2 immune responses to parasite

infections^{30,38,39} raised the question of whether tuft cells are the direct sensors of the presence of parasites in the gut lumen. Since Trpm5 is involved in the transduction of signals from taste-chemosensory G protein-coupled receptors,⁶² this finding opens avenues toward the identification of the parasite compounds that epithelial cells detect, as well as the receptor involved in parasite recognition upstream of Trpm5. Notably, Trpm5 transduces signals from taste receptors that respond to bitter-, sweet-, and umami-tasting substances.^{63,64} Trpm5 also transduces signals when tuft cells react after stimulation with glucose or high salt solution,²⁹ or with bitter compounds such as cycloheximide or denatonium.^{65,66} Multiple members of the T2R family of bitter taste receptors are expressed in the GI tract⁶⁷ and these proteins can respond to a wide range of chemicals with diverse specificities.⁶⁸ It is therefore tempting to envision a parallelism between the sensing of microbes by the TLR- and NOD-dependent pathways, and that of multicellular parasites by Trpm5-signaling receptors such as the taste receptors (Figure 4).

PERSPECTIVES ON TUFT CELL FUNCTION

The three recent simultaneous studies of tuft cell function during parasite infections mentioned above^{30,38,39} bring us to a new paradigm of type 2 immune responses. Not only has the precise epithelial source of IL25 been identified, but IL25 production relies on a cell type, namely the tuft cell, that lacked




Figure 4 Multiple complementary pathways underlie the trans-epithelial communication of luminal clues to the lamina propria. Epithelial cells are known to express either membrane-bound or intra-cellular pattern recognition receptors (PRRs), including members of the Toll-like receptor (TLR) family or NOD-like receptors. TLRs are activated by a wide spectrum of ligands, including bacterial and viral nucleic acids or components, such as lipopolysaccharides, flagellin or peptidoglycans. TLR signaling involves several adapter proteins, including MyD88, which lead to the production of inflammatory cytokines. NOD-like receptors are intracellular proteins that are activated by invasive bacteria peptidoglycan and which are involved in NFκB activation. Among the processes involved in luminal sampling by *lamina propria*-residing cells, paracellular leakage allows passage of small molecules across an intact epithelial layer. Dendritic cells can also directly sample the luminal content through the formation of trans-epithelial dendrites. Lymphoid follicle-associated epithelium M-cells are known to act as transporter of luminal molecules via the transcytosis process, thus enabling antigenic presentation to underlying immune cells. Mucus-secreting cells, known as goblet cells, can allow passage of antigens for preferential delivery to CD103⁺ dendritic cells.⁷⁰ Finally, chemosensory tuft cells are involved in type-2 immune response initiation through secretion of the IL25 alarmin by a Trpm5-dependent mechanism, which involves as yet unknown taste receptors.

a clear function thus far and that had been strikingly overlooked since its discovery almost 60 years ago. Other questions remain of course. For example, cells with a tuft cell morphology have also been identified in the airway, where they are often called brush cells.^{19,69} Recent studies revealed the cholinergic nature of the airway tuft/brush cells i.e., their capacity to express acetylcholine and the vesicular acetylcholine transporter VACHT. These cells also express a bitter taste transduction system, including T2R taste receptors, the G-protein alpha-gustducin, PLC-β2 enzyme and the transient receptor potential cation-channel Trpm5.^{28,65} Cholinergic tuft/brush cells establish contacts with peptidergic cholinceptive vagal sensory neurons and application of a bitter substance to tracheal mucosa resulted in cholinergically driven aversive respiratory reflexes, i.e., a reduction of respiratory rates.^{49,50} Airway tuft/brush cells thus link the luminal chemical composition of the lower airway to the control of breathing. However, are the tuft/brush cells from the airway the same cell type as the intestinal tuft cell? Is their similarity limited to a related morphology and the expression of a couple of markers, or do they share the same *Pou2f3*-dependent differentiation pathway and identical functions? Since different roles have been found so far in airway tuft/brush vs. intestinal tuft cells, answers to these questions may reveal additional functions for these cells. Even in a single organ such as the intestine, whether the function of proximally located tuft cells is identical to that of more distally-, or colon-located, tuft cells is still not certain. Finally, the discovery of the role of

intestinal tuft cells in regulating type 2 immune responses during parasite infections means it will be important to investigate their role in other type 2-dependent processes (such as allergy) in both the airway and in the gastro-intestinal epithelia. From the initial discovery of tuft cells, it took almost 60 years to identify their first functions. We anticipate rapid development in the understanding of tuft cell functions with the reliable molecular markers and dedicated mouse models now available.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank the Institut National de la Santé et de la Recherche Médicale, the Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique, the Association pour la Recherche contre le Cancer (SL220110603456 to P.J.), Agence Nationale de la Recherche (ANR-09-BLAN-0368-01 and ANR-14-CE14-0025-01) and Institut National du Cancer (INCa 2014-174) for support.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

F.G. and P.J. both contributed to the writing of the text and the preparation of the figures.

DISCLOSURE

The authors declared no conflict of interest.

© 2016 Society for Mucosal Immunology

REFERENCES

- Hunyady, B., Mezey, E. & Palkovits, M. Gastrointestinal immunology: cell types in the lamina propria—a morphological review. *Acta Physiol. Hung.* **87**, 305–328 (2000).

2. Peterson, L.W. & Artis, D. Intestinal epithelial cells: regulators of barrier function and immune homeostasis. *Nat. Rev. Immunol.* **14**, 141–153 (2014).
3. Mowat, A.M. & Agace, W.W. Regional specialization within the intestinal immune system. *Nat. Rev. Immunol.* **14**, 667–685 (2014).
4. van der Flier, L.G. & Clevers, H. Stem cells, self-renewal, and differentiation in the intestinal epithelium. *Annu. Rev. Physiol.* **71**, 241–260 (2009).
5. Clevers, H. The intestinal crypt, a prototype stem cell compartment. *Cell* **154**, 274–284 (2013).
6. Barker, N. *et al.* Identification of stem cells in small intestine and colon by marker gene *Lgr5*. *Nature* **449**, 1003–1007 (2007).
7. Sato, T. *et al.* Single *Lgr5* stem cells build crypt-villus structures *in vitro* without a mesenchymal niche. *Nature* **459**, 262–265 (2009).
8. Tian, H. *et al.* A reserve stem cell population in small intestine renders *Lgr5*-positive cells dispensable. *Nature* **478**, 255–259 (2011).
9. Sangiorgi, E. & Capecchi, M.R. *Bmi1* is expressed *in vivo* in intestinal stem cells. *Nat. Genet.* **40**, 915–920 (2008).
10. Es, J. H. van *et al.* *Dll1*(+) secretory progenitor cells revert to stem cells upon crypt damage. *Nat. Cell Biol.* **14**, 1099–1104 (2012).
11. Tetteh, P.W. *et al.* Replacement of lost *Lgr5*-positive stem cells through plasticity of their enterocyte-lineage daughters. *Cell Stem Cell* **18**, 203–213 (2016).
12. Ireland, H., Houghton, C., Howard, L. & Winton, D.J. Cellular inheritance of a Cre-activated reporter gene to determine Paneth cell longevity in the murine small intestine. *Dev. Dyn.* **233**, 1332–1336 (2005).
13. Sato, T. *et al.* Paneth cells constitute the niche for *Lgr5* stem cells in intestinal crypts. *Nature* **469**, 415–418 (2011).
14. Parker, H.E., Gribble, F.M. & Reimann, F. The role of gut endocrine cells in control of metabolism and appetite. *Exp. Physiol.* **99**, 1116–1120 (2014).
15. Neutra, M.R., Frey, A. & Kraehenbuhl, J.P. Epithelial M cells: gateways for mucosal infection and immunization. *Cell* **86**, 345–348 (1996).
16. Madara, J.L. Cup cells: structure and distribution of a unique class of epithelial cells in guinea pig, rabbit, and monkey small intestine. *Gastroenterology* **83**, 981–994 (1982).
17. Luciano, L., Reale, E. & Ruska, H. [On a glycogen containing brush cell in the rectum of the rat]. *Z. Zellforsch. Mikrosk. Anat.* **91**, 153–158 (1968).
18. Gerbe, F., Legraverend, C. & Jay, P. The intestinal epithelium tuft cells: specification and function. *Cell. Mol. Life Sci.* **69**, 2907–2917 (2012).
19. Rhodin, J. & Dalhamn, T. Electron microscopy of the tracheal ciliated mucosa in rat. *Z. Zellforsch. Mikrosk. Anat.* **44**, 345–412 (1956).
20. Jarvi, O. & Keyrilainen, O. On the cellular structures of the epithelial invasions in the glandular stomach of mice caused by intramural application of 20-methylcholantren. *Acta Pathol. Microbiol. Scand. Suppl.* **39**, 72–73 (1956).
21. Sato, A. Tuft cells. *Anat. Sci. Int.* **82**, 187–199 (2007).
22. Hofer, D. & Drenckhahn, D. Identification of brush cells in the alimentary and respiratory system by antibodies to villin and fimbrin. *Histochemistry* **98**, 237–242 (1992).
23. Hofer, D. & Drenckhahn, D. Cytoskeletal markers allowing discrimination between brush cells and other epithelial cells of the gut including enteroendocrine cells. *Histochem. Cell Biol.* **105**, 405–412 (1996).
24. Saqui-Salces, M. *et al.* Gastric tuft cells express *DCLK1* and are expanded in hyperplasia. *Histochem. Cell Biol.* **136**, 191–204 (2011).
25. Hofer, D., Puschel, B. & Drenckhahn, D. Taste receptor-like cells in the rat gut identified by expression of alpha-gustducin. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* **93**, 6631–6634 (1996).
26. Bezencon, C., le Coutre, J. & Damak, S. Taste-signaling proteins are coexpressed in solitary intestinal epithelial cells. *Chem. Senses* **32**, 41–49 (2007).
27. Bezencon, C. *et al.* Murine intestinal cells expressing *Trpm5* are mostly brush cells and express markers of neuronal and inflammatory cells. *J. Comp. Neurol.* **509**, 514–525 (2008).
28. Kaske, S. *et al.* *TRPM5*, a taste-signaling transient receptor potential ion-channel, is a ubiquitous signaling component in chemosensory cells. *BMC Neurosci.* **8**, 49 (2007).
29. Kokrashvili, Z. *et al.* Release of endogenous opioids from duodenal enteroendocrine cells requires *Trpm5*. *Gastroenterology* **137**, 598–606 (2009).
30. Howitt, M.R. *et al.* Tuft cells, taste-chemosensory cells, orchestrate parasite type 2 immunity in the gut. *Science* **351**, 1329–1333 (2016).
31. Gerbe, F. *et al.* Distinct *ATOH1* and *Neurog3* requirements define tuft cells as a new secretory cell type in the intestinal epithelium. *J. Cell Biol.* **192**, 767–780 (2011).
32. Bailey, J.M. *et al.* *DCLK1* marks a morphologically distinct subpopulation of cells with stem cell properties in preinvasive pancreatic cancer. *Gastroenterology* **146**, 245–256 (2013).
33. Delgiorno, K.E. *et al.* Identification and manipulation of biliary metaplasia in pancreatic tumors. *Gastroenterology* **146**, 233–44.e5 (2013).
34. Giannakis, M. *et al.* Molecular properties of adult mouse gastric and intestinal epithelial progenitors in their niches. *J. Biol. Chem.* **281**, 11292–11300 (2006).
35. May, R. *et al.* Identification of a novel putative gastrointestinal stem cell and adenoma stem cell marker, doublecortin and CaM kinase-like-1, following radiation injury and in adenomatous polyposis coli/multiple intestinal neoplasia mice. *Stem Cells* **26**, 630–637 (2008).
36. Gerbe, F., Brulin, B., Makrini, L., Legraverend, C. & Jay, P. *DCAMKL-1* expression identifies tuft cells rather than stem cells in the adult mouse intestinal epithelium. *Gastroenterology* **137**, 2179–2180 (2009).
37. Bjerknes, M. *et al.* Origin of the brush cell lineage in the mouse intestinal epithelium. *Dev. Biol.* **362**, 194–218 (2012).
38. Gerbe, F. *et al.* Intestinal epithelial tuft cells initiate type 2 mucosal immunity to helminth parasites. *Nature* **529**, 226–230 (2016).
39. von Moltke, J., Ji, M., Liang, H.-E. & Locksley, R.M. Tuft-cell-derived *IL-25* regulates an intestinal ILC2-epithelial response circuit. *Nature* **529**, 221–225 (2015).
40. de Lau, W. *et al.* Peyer's patch M cells derived from *Lgr5*(+) stem cells require *SpiB* and are induced by *RankL* in cultured 'miniguts'. *Mol. Cell Biol.* **32**, 3639–3647 (2012).
41. Kanaya, T. *et al.* The *Ets* transcription factor *Spi-B* is essential for the differentiation of intestinal microfold cells. *Nat. Immunol.* **13**, 729–736 (2012).
42. Shroyer, N.F. *et al.* Intestine-specific ablation of mouse atonal homolog 1 (*Math1*) reveals a role in cellular homeostasis. *Gastroenterology* **132**, 2478–2488 (2007).
43. Blache, P. *et al.* *SOX9* is an intestine crypt transcription factor, is regulated by the *Wnt* pathway, and represses the *CDX2* and *MUC2* genes. *J. Cell Biol.* **166**, 37–47 (2004).
44. Bjerknes, M. & Cheng, H. *Neurogenin 3* and the enteroendocrine cell lineage in the adult mouse small intestinal epithelium. *Dev. Biol.* **300**, 722–735 (2006).
45. Bastide, P. *et al.* *Sox9* regulates cell proliferation and is required for Paneth cell differentiation in the intestinal epithelium. *J. Cell Biol.* **178**, 635–648 (2007).
46. Mori-Akiyama, Y. *et al.* *SOX9* is required for the differentiation of paneth cells in the intestinal epithelium. *Gastroenterology* **133**, 539–546 (2007).
47. Jenny, M. *et al.* *Neurogenin3* is differentially required for endocrine cell fate specification in the intestinal and gastric epithelium. *EMBO J.* **21**, 6338–6347 (2002).
48. Katz, J.P. *et al.* The zinc-finger transcription factor *Klf4* is required for terminal differentiation of goblet cells in the colon. *Development* **129**, 2619–2628 (2002).
49. Gregorieff, A. *et al.* The *ets*-domain transcription factor *Spdef* promotes maturation of goblet and paneth cells in the intestinal epithelium. *Gastroenterology* **137**, 1333–45 e1–3 (2009).
50. Noah, T.K., Kazanjian, A., Whitsett, J. & Shroyer, N.F. *SAM* pointed domain *ETS* factor (*SPDEF*) regulates terminal differentiation and maturation of intestinal goblet cells. *Exp. Cell Res.* **316**, 452–465 (2009).
51. Shroyer, N.F., Wallis, D., Venken, K.J., Bellen, H.J. & Zoghbi, H.Y. *Gfi1* functions downstream of *Math1* to control intestinal secretory cell subtype allocation and differentiation. *Genes Dev.* **19**, 2412–2417 (2005).
52. Bjerknes, M. & Cheng, H. Cell lineage metastability in *Gfi1*-deficient mouse intestinal epithelium. *Dev. Biol.* **345**, 49–63 (2010).
53. Matsumoto, I., Ohmoto, M., Narukawa, M., Yoshihara, Y. & Abe, K. *Skn-1a* (*Pou2f3*) specifies taste receptor cell lineage. *Nat. Neurosci.* **14**, 685–687 (2011).
54. Ohmoto, M. *et al.* *Pou2f3/Skn-1a* is necessary for the generation or differentiation of solitary chemosensory cells in the anterior nasal cavity. *Biosci. Biotechnol. Biochem.* **77**, 2154–2156 (2013).
55. Yamaguchi, T. *et al.* *Skn-1a/Pou2f3* is required for the generation of *Trpm5*-expressing microvillous cells in the mouse main olfactory epithelium. *BMC Neurosci.* **15**, 13 (2014).

56. Allen, J.E. & Maizels, R.M. Diversity and dialogue in immunity to helminths. *Nat. Rev. Immunol.* **11**, 375–388 (2011).
57. Moro, K. *et al.* Innate production of T(H)2 cytokines by adipose tissue-associated c-Kit(+)Sca-1(+) lymphoid cells. *Nature* **463**, 540–544 (2010).
58. Neill, D.R. *et al.* Nuocytes represent a new innate effector leukocyte that mediates type-2 immunity. *Nature* **464**, 1367–1370 (2010).
59. Fallon, P.G. *et al.* Identification of an interleukin (IL)-25-dependent cell population that provides IL-4, IL-5, and IL-13 at the onset of helminth expulsion. *J. Exp. Med.* **203**, 1105–1116 (2006).
60. Herbert, D.R. *et al.* Intestinal epithelial cell secretion of RELM-beta protects against gastrointestinal worm infection. *J. Exp. Med.* **206**, 2947–2957 (2009).
61. Castro, G.A., Badial-Aceves, F., Smith, J.W., Dudrick, S.J. & Weisbrodt, N.W. Altered small bowel propulsion associated with parasitism. *Gastroenterology* **71**, 620–625 (1976).
62. Kinnamon, S.C. Taste receptor signalling—from tongues to lungs. *Acta Physiol. Oxf. Engl* **204**, 158–168 (2012).
63. Zhang, Y. *et al.* Coding of sweet, bitter, and umami tastes: different receptor cells sharing similar signaling pathways. *Cell* **112**, 293–301 (2003).
64. Damak, S. *et al.* Trpm5 null mice respond to bitter, sweet, and umami compounds. *Chem. Senses* **31**, 253–264 (2006).
65. Krasteva, G. *et al.* Cholinergic chemosensory cells in the trachea regulate breathing. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* **108**, 9478–9483 (2011).
66. Schütz, B. *et al.* Chemical coding and chemosensory properties of cholinergic brush cells in the mouse gastrointestinal and biliary tract. *Front. Physiol.* **6**, 87 (2015).
67. Wu, S.V. *et al.* Expression of bitter taste receptors of the T2R family in the gastrointestinal tract and enteroendocrine STC-1 cells. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* **99**, 2392–2397 (2002).
68. Meyerhof, W. *et al.* The molecular receptive ranges of human TAS2R bitter taste receptors. *Chem. Senses* **35**, 157–170 (2010).
69. Reid, L. *et al.* The mysterious pulmonary brush cell: a cell in search of a function. *Am. J. Respir. Crit. Care Med.* **172**, 136–139 (2005).
70. McDole, J.R. *et al.* Goblet cells deliver luminal antigen to CD103+ dendritic cells in the small intestine. *Nature* **483**, 345–349 (2012).