www.nature.com/leu

SPOTLIGHT REVIEW Chromatin modifiers and the promise of epigenetic therapy in acute leukemia

SM Greenblatt and SD Nimer

Hematopoiesis is a tightly regulated process involving the control of gene expression that directs the transition from hematopoietic stem and progenitor cells to terminally differentiated blood cells. In leukemia, the processes directing self-renewal, differentiation and progenitor cell expansion are disrupted, leading to the accumulation of immature, non-functioning malignant cells. Insights into these processes have come in stages, based on technological advances in genetic analyses, bioinformatics and biological sciences. The first cytogenetic studies of leukemic cells identified chromosomal translocations that generate oncogenic fusion proteins and most commonly affect regulators of transcription. This was followed by the discovery of recurrent somatic mutations in genes encoding regulators of the signal transduction pathways that control cell proliferation and survival. Recently, studies of global changes in methylation and gene expression have led to the understanding that the output of transcriptional regulators and the proliferative signaling pathways are ultimately influenced by chromatin structure. Candidate gene, whole-genome and whole-exome sequencing studies have identified recurrent somatic mutations in genes encoding epigenetic modifiers in both acute myeloid leukemia (AML) and acute lymphoid leukemia (ALL). In contrast to the two-hit model of leukemogenesis, emerging evidence suggests that these epigenetic modifiers represent a class of mutations that are critical to the development of leukemia and affect the regulation of various other oncogenic pathways. In this review, we discuss the range of recurrent, somatic mutations in epigenetic modifiers found in leukemia and how these modifiers relate to the classical leukemogenic pathways that lead to impaired cell differentiation and aberrant self-renewal and proliferation.

Leukemia (2014) 28, 1396-1406; doi:10.1038/leu.2014.94

INTRODUCTION

Leukemia research has largely focused on regulators of signaling and cellular differentiation for the past 15 years. Although the classical model of leukemogenesis has suggested that a mutation in a gene encoding a regulator of signaling/proliferation is a requirement for transformation, recent characterization of de novo acute myeloid leukemia (AML) has suggested that over 40% of patients do not have an identifiable mutation in a signaling gene. Similarly, mutations in myeloid transcription factors occur in around 20% of AML patients and gene fusion events occur in less than half of AML patients. Taken together, these findings fail to completely explain the impaired differentiation that is a defining characteristic of leukemia. Similarly, genetic characterization of pediatric T-cell acute lymphoblastic leukemia (T-ALL) has indicated that somatic mutations in genes involved in development or signaling are not found in 20% of early T-cell precursor -ALL and 69% of non-early T-cell precursor-ALL.² Mutations in epigenetic modifiers are emerging as a large class of mutations that is critical in the development of both AML and subtypes of ALL. In contrast to the previous view that this class of mutations are rare, analysis of 200 cases of de novo AML by a combination of whole-exome and whole-genome sequencing showed that over 70% of patients had at least one non-synonymous mutation in a DNA methylation-related gene or another epigenetic modifier.' Adding to this importance, many mutations classically defined for their role in proliferation and differentiation are now understood to have important roles in regulating chromatin structure.

SOMATIC MUTATIONS AND ALTERATIONS IN CHROMATIN-MODIFYING ENZYMES

Chromatin modifiers are enzymes that catalyze the chemical conversion of cytosine residues in DNA, or lysine, arginine, tyrosine and serine residues in histone proteins. The importance of epigenetic modifiers in leukemia was first suggested by the identification of recurrent translocations in histone acetyltransferase and methyltransferase genes (for example, *CBP*, *P300*, *NSD1*, *MLL* and *MOZ*). In recent years, somatic mutations have also been identified in genes that encode the proteins controlling DNA cytosine modifications (for example, *DNMT3A* and *TET2*). Figure 1 depicts the epigenetic regulation of methylation and acetylation, and their potential targeting in leukemia by 'epigenetic-modifying therapy'.

Cytosine modifications

DNA methylation has an important role in myeloid and lymphoid commitment, as well as hematopoietic stem cell (HSC) self-renewal.^{3–5} Methylation profiling of mouse multipotent progenitor cells has indicated that the promoters of several transcription factors become methylated during the cellular differentiation toward common myeloid progenitors and common lymphoid progenitors.⁶ Furthermore, methylation profiling has been used to classify subtypes of leukemia, with prognostic significance.^{7–9} Genome-wide cytosine methylation profiling, combined with copy number and gene expression analysis in childhood ALL,

Sylvester Comprehensive Cancer Center, University of Miami, Miami, FL, USA. Correspondence: Dr SD Nimer, Sylvester Comprehensive Cancer Center, University of Miami, Clinical Research Building, 6th Floor, Suite 660, 1120 NW 14th Street, (C241) Miami, FL 33136-1000, USA. E-mail: SNimer@med.miami.edu

Received 19 November 2013; revised 4 February 2014; accepted 14 February 2014; accepted article preview online 10 March 2014; advance online publication, 6 May 2014



Figure 1. Regulation of methylation and acetylation in leukemia and their therapeutic potential. The figure shows a selection of proteins that add, remove and recognize chromatin modifications, as well as the the proteins that regulate DNA methylation. The genes encoding these proteins can be altered through mutation, deletion or altered expression in leukemia. Ac, acetylation; DNMT, DNA methyltransferase; HAT, histone acetyltransferase; HDAC, histone deacetylase; KDM, lysine demethylase; Me, methylation; PKMT, lysine methyltransferase; PRMT, arginine methyltransferase.

has suggested that there is an aberrant epigenetic signature that is common to all cases, regardless of disease subtype. This suggests that a common set of epigenetically deregulated genes may be required for the initiation or maintenance of hematopoietic transformation. However, DNA methylation patterns clearly associate with specific chromosomal rearrangements. Indeed, oncogenic translocations involving transcription factors such as *ETV6-RUNX1* have prognostic value (favorable in this case) and are associated with specific alterations in methylation.¹⁰ Overexpression of *EVI1* has been associated with an aberrant hypermethylation profiling in myelodysplastic syndrome (MDS)/AML suggests that aberrant methylation may be the primary mechanism of tumor suppressor gene silencing and clonal evolution to acute leukemia.¹²

DNMT3a is an enzyme required for de novo methylation and a frequent target of somatic mutations, occurring in over 30% of cytogenetically normal-AML patients and 16% of T-ALL.¹³⁻¹⁷ Approximately 60% of the mutations in DNMT3A result in the heterozygous substitution of arginine 882 in the catalytic domain of the enzyme, leading to decreased methyltransferase activity in vitro.¹⁸ Interestingly, the wild-type DNMT3A allele is still expressed and recent data suggest that the DNMT3A mutant proteins exert a dominant negative effect through interactions with wild-type DNMT3A and DNMT3B.¹⁹ DNMT3A-deficient mouse HSCs display altered patterns of cytosine methylation including both hypomethylated and hypermethylated regions.^{13,18,20} DNMT3A appears to be required for the normal self-renewal capacity of HSCs in adult mice and for maintaining the differentiation potential of serially transplanted HSCs in wild-type recipients.³ DNMT1 also appears to be critical for leukemia stem cell function, as haploinsufficiency of Dnmt1 in an MLL-AF9induced mouse model resulted in reduced DNA methylation and bivalent chromatin marks associated with tumor suppressor gene de-repression.²¹

The ten-eleven translocation (TET) family of proteins has recently been shown to contribute to the regulation of DNA methylation through the conversion of 5-methylcytosine (5-mc) to 5-hydroxymethyl cytosine (5hmC).²² This modification is thought to block the binding of proteins that mediate transcriptional silencing by recognizing methylated DNA; thus, it is found in

regulatory regions of genes that are actively transcribed.^{23,24} 5hmC is also thought to be a critical step on the path to DNA demethylation.²⁵ TET2 mutations occur in 7–23% of AML and 49% of chronic myelomonocytic leukemia and are associated with poor prognosis in cytogenetically normal-AML.²⁶⁻²⁹ Deletion of Tet2 in mice leads to increased self-renewal, expansion of the hematopoietic stem and progenitor cell compartment and altered cell differentiation toward the monocytic/granulocytic lineages.^{30–32} TET2 mutations in myeloid malignancies are generally associated with low 5hmC levels and both DNA hypermethylation and hypomethylation at CpG sites in AML.³³ TET2 mutations are mutually exclusive with gain of function mutations in the isocitrate dehydrogenase 1 and 2 enzymes (IDH1/2) that are found in 15–33% of AML.^{26,34–38} In general, IDH1/2 mutations are associated with poor prognosis, but outcome may vary somewhat based on the location of the *IDH1/2* mutation.³⁹ The reason for this mutual exclusivity was rapidly identified; IDH1/2 regulates the conversion of isocitrate to α -ketoglutarate (α -KG), and mutations in the arginine residues of IDH1/2 alter its enzymatic function, leading to the aberrant accumulation of a 2-hydroglutarate (2-HG), an 'oncometabolite' that impairs the function of TET proteins and the activity of the jumonji (JmJ) family of histone demethylases, which also require α -KG.^{40,41} Thus, *IDH1* mutations impair histone demethylation, and, biologically, appear to inhibit differentiation.⁴² In a bone marrow transplantation model, IDH1 mutations cooperated with HOXA9 to accelerate the development of an MPD-like disorder.43 Knock-in mice that express the IDH1 (R132H) mutation have increased (that is, detectable) 2-HG serum levels and expansion of the multipotent progenitor population.⁴⁴ The same increase in 2-HG is seen in patients with IDH1/2 mutant AML.⁴

Polycomb group proteins

Hematopoiesis requires the proper temporal and lineage-specific regulation of gene expression, such as the homeotic genes, whose expression is reciprocally controlled by large protein complexes containing the polycomb group (PcG) proteins or trithorax group (Trx) proteins. The balance between these complexes is crucial for the normal regulation of embryonic development and cell differentiation, with alterations in *HoxA* and *HoxB* cluster gene

Chromatin modifiers in leukemia SM Greenblatt and SD Nimer



Figure 2. Polycomb repressive complexes and MLL-fusion complexes in leukemia and their therapeutic potential The PcG protein complexes, known as PRC1 and PRC2, maintain transcriptional silencing. EZH2 contains the methyltransferase activity for PRC2 that catalyzes the di-and trimethylation of H3K27. Recurrent deletions and sequence mutations in EZH2, SUZ12 and EED are found in T-ALL. ASXL1 mutations promote transformation by decreasing PRC2 recruitment and contributing to loss of transcriptional repression. Another PRC2-interacting protein, JAR1D2, is involved in the recruitment of the complex to target loci and is deleted in the progression of chronic phase myeloid malignancies to acute leukemia. PRC1 complex recognizes H3K27me3 via the chromodomain-containing CBX proteins and is involved in the maintenance of gene repression through histone H2A ubiquitination and the recruitment of DNA methyltransferases. PRC1 contains several proteins linked to cancer including Bmi-1, a protein associated with HSC self-renewal, and the ubiquitin ligases Ring1A and Ring1B. Several MLL fusion proteins can aberrantly recruit the DOT1L methyltranferase, leading to methylation of H3K79 and the activation of genes driving cellular transformation. MLL fusion proteins are also dependent on Menin, a component of the MLL-SET1-like histone methyltransferase complex and an adaptor to the chromatin-associated protein LEDGF.

expression being a characteristic of many hematologic malignancies.46 Figure 2 depicts the normal PcG complexes, the leukemia-associated MLL fusion complexes and their relevance to the epigenetic therapy of leukemia. The major PcG protein complexes, known as polycomb repressive complex 1 (PRC1) and polycomb repressive complex 2 (PRC2), maintain transcriptional silencing. The PRC2 complex consists of four core members, EZH1/2, EED, SUZ12 and RBAP48. EZH2 contains the methyltransferase activity that catalyzes the di- and trimethylation of H3K27, which is generally a repressive chromatin mark.⁴⁷ EZH2 is the most frequently mutated PRC2 component in cancer and it is also upregulated in many solid tumors, often serving as an indicator of aggressive disease. While heterozygous gain-of-function mutations of EZH2 have been identified in diffuse large B-cell lymphomas, loss-of-function missense, nonsense and frameshift mutations are typically observed in myeloid malignancies, especially MDS.⁴⁸⁻⁵⁰ EZH2 mutations are rare in most acute leukemias (1-2% of de novo AML), but they are found in 16-19% of T-ALL.^{51,52} Recurrent deletions or somatic mutations in SUZ12 (21%) and EED (15%) were also identified in early T-cell precursor-ALL.

The site-specific recruitment of PRC complexes to chromatin is an important step in the regulation of histone methylation. As PRC complexes do not contain DNA sequence specific binding activity, they are subject to interaction with other proteins, such as ASXL1 (addition of sex combs like 1). Deletions and point mutations in *ASXL1* occur in 6–30% of AML and 43% of chronic myelomono-cytic leukemia.^{28,53} These mutations promote transformation by decreasing PRC2 recruitment and thereby reducing H3K27 methylation, leading to loss of the transcriptional repression of genes whose expression can promote leukemogenesis, including HOXA9.54 Loss-of-function Asxl1 mutations showed a mild phenotype in mice, with defects in myeloid and lymphoid progenitors, but no evidence of myelodysplasia or leukemic progression, while conditional knockout of Asxl1 in the hematopoietic compartment resulted in myelodysplasia.54,55 Another PRC2 interacting protein, JARID2, is also involved in recruiting the complex to target loci.⁵⁶ JARID2 inhibits the lysine methyltransferase activity of PRC2, and it is deleted during the progression of some chronic phase myeloid malignancies to acute leukemia.⁵⁷

The PRC1 complex recognizes H3K27me3 via its chromodomain-containing CBX proteins and is involved in the maintenance of gene repression through histone H2A ubiquitination and the recruitment of DNA methyltransferases.⁵⁸ The diverse forms of the PRC1 complex consist of a core containing BMI1 and the ubiguitin ligases RING1A and RING1B, but also CBX proteins, Ph homologs (PHC 1-3) and other RING-finger domain containing proteins. PRC1 contains several proteins linked to cancer including BMI1, a protein associated with HSC self-renewal and the leukemic reprogramming of myeloid progenitors.^{59,60} RING1A and RING1B contain important histone ubiquitin ligase activity, and both BMI1 and RING1 components have been shown to be overexpressed in myeloid malignancies and have a critical role in hematopoiesis.⁶¹ Bmi1-deficient granulocyte/macrophage progenitors (GMPs) transformed with MLL-AF9 showed impaired leukemia stem activity and increased differentiation potential. Conditional inactivation of Ring1B resulted in a reduction in total bone marrow cell numbers, while the proliferation of myeloid progenitors and percentage of lineage negative cells increased.⁶² Other fusion proteins such as PLZF/RARA have been shown to interact with BMI1 and PRC1 complexes, recruiting the complex to retinoic acid response elements.⁶³ Taken together, these studies suggest that oncogenic fusion genes require PRC1 protein activity in order to establish the leukemic reprogramming of myeloid progenitors, including the block in their differentiation.

The recurrent loss-of-function mutations, copy-number alternations or overexpression of PRC components in lymphoid leukemia suggest that these complexes may act as epigenetic tumor suppressors. Supporting this notion is the finding that overexpression of PRC2 components can inhibit the function of the complex by altering the subunit composition, thereby leading to aberrant targeting of the complex.⁶⁴ The recruitment of DNA methyltransferases by PRC2 is another critical step in transcriptional repression and it too may be altered by somatic mutations in *DNMT3A*.⁶⁵ All these changes may lead to aberrant

activation of PRC target genes or the inappropriate recruitment of the complex to other gene targets, leading to aberrant transcriptional repression.

MLL proteins

Mutations involving mammalian versions of trithorax genes are found in leukemia (MLL1) and in solid tumors as well (MLL 2,3,4,5). The MLL genes encode histone methyltransferases whose mutations can alter chromatin structure. MLL proteins are members of the SET domain containing protein lysine methyltransferase family; they methylate H3K4, generating a mark of transcriptional activation. Translocations involving MLL and its inframe fusion partners have been observed in 5-10% of AML and greater than 70% of infant ALL; they are generally associated with poor prognosis.^{66–70} In-frame partial tandem duplications of MLL occur in 5–7% of *de novo* AML and they too are associated with an unfavorable prognosis.⁷¹ The direct binding targets of MLL fusion proteins include HOXA cluster genes and MEIS1.⁷² Enforced expression of MLL-AF9, or a combination of HOXA9 and MEIS1, induces the leukemic transformation of hematopoietic stem and progenitor cells in mouse models.⁷³ MLL rearrangement leads to acquisition of H3K79 methyltransferase activity at MLL target sites due to the recruitment of the methyltransferase DOT1L.74-76 MLL fusion proteins are also dependent on Menin, a component of the MLL-SET1-like histone methyltransferase complex, that serves as a link to the chromatin-associated protein LEDGF.^{77,78} Genetic loss of Menin induces differentiation and reverses aberrant HOX gene expression in leukemic blasts, while disrupting the Menin interaction domain of MLL downregulates MEIS1 and inhibits cell proliferation.^{79,80} Peptides that directly disrupt the LEDGF-MLL interface have shown efficacy in MLL-AF9-induced AML, and it appears that numerous MLL-interacting proteins must remain fully functional for MLL-FP-driven leukemias to persist.8

Other lysine methyltransferases and demethylases

NSD1 encodes another protein lysine methyltransferase involved in leukemia through its fusion with the nucleoporin 98 gene (NUP98), generating the NUP98-NSD1 fusion protein that is associated with poor prognosis in patients.⁸²⁻⁸⁴ Histone lysine methylation can be reversed by the amine oxidase type lysinespecific demethylases, including LSD1 and LSD2, which are generally referred to as 'erasers' because they remove histone marks. LSD1 is of particular interest as it exhibits specificity for H3K4 and H3K9 methylation, is critical for erythroid differentiation and is highly expressed in AML.⁸⁵ Global H3K4 methylation levels can also be altered by mutations or gene expression changes in the Jumanji C (JmjC) family of lysine demethylases. These genetic abnormalities include translocations involving the JARID1 family of histone H3K4 demethylases and overexpression of KDM2B, an H3K36me2-specific demethylase that is required for initiation and maintenance of AML.⁸⁶ Fusion of the NUP98 and JARID1A genes (also known as KDM5A) occurs in 10% of acute megakaryoblastic leukemia.87,88 This translocation creates haploinsufficiency for Nup98 and JAR1D1A, and it leads to alteration of JARID1 function, possibly due to recruitment of p300/CBP by the fusion protein.³ UTX, another member of the JmjC family of lysine demethylases, is altered through inactivating mutations in AML and ALL.^{90–92}

Protein arginine methyltransferases

Protein arginine methyltransferases (PRMTs) catalyze the mono- or dimethylation of arginine residues in histones, and other nonhistone substrates, including transcription factors. Asymmetric dimethylation of histones is generally associated with gene activation, whereas symmetric dimethyl-arginine is associated with gene repression. PRMTs appear to have some role in acute leukemia, as several members of the PRMT family are 1399

overexpressed in AML including PRMT4 and PRMT5.^{93–95} Our lab has recently reported that PRMT4 can block myeloid differentiation, at least in part by promoting the assembly of a repressive RUNX1 complex. Knockdown of PRMT4 in several human leukemia cell lines, and in human CD34⁺ cells, promotes myeloid differentiation.⁹⁶ PRMT1 appears to be a critical member of the MLL transcriptional complex, while PRMT6 has been shown to inhibit H3K4 methylation by MLL.^{97,98}

Histone acetyltransferases

Histone acetvltransferases (HATs) catalyze the transfer of an acetvl group to lysine residues, neutralizing the positive charge and promoting a less compact chromatin state that is associated with increased gene transcription. Mutations in the HAT CBP have been identified in 18% of relapsed acute lymphoblastic leukemia, resulting in impaired histone acetylation and aberrant transcriptional regulation of CBP targets.^{51,99,100} MOZ, a member of the MYST family of acetyltransferases, is a critical regulator of HSC maintenance and global Hox gene expression, through its effects on histone H3K9 acetylation at Hox loci.¹⁰¹⁻¹⁰³ MOZ is involved in several chromosomal translocations in leukemia including fusion to P300, CBP and TIF2.^{104–106} TIP60 is another HAT thought to have a tumor suppressive role in leukemia through the recognition of H3K9me3.¹⁰⁷ TIP60 protein levels are reduced in AML patients and TIP60 has been shown to interact with ETV6, a frequent fusion partner in B-precursor ALL and a frequent site of deletions or mutations in acute leukemia.¹⁰⁸

Cross-talk between chromatin regulatory complexes

Transcriptional activation requires both the addition of activating post-translational modifications and the removal of the repressive modifications, such as H3K27 methylation. Therefore, it is not surprising that leukemia cells often display changes in both PcG and Trx group proteins concomitantly. The first connection between PcG proteins and MLL leukemia-associated factors emerged from the observation that MLL-AF9-expressing leukemic stem cells achieve transcriptional activation and overcome senescence through interactions between the PRC1 components BMI1 and CBX8.^{59,109}

The cross-talk between normal or oncogenic epigenetic modifiers and other oncogenes may have a potential for therapeutic intervention. A prime example of this is the efficacy of inhibiting PRC2 activity in *MLL*-rearranged leukemias.^{110–112} Conditional deletion of *Ezh2* in GMPs expressing MLL-AF9 reduced their proliferation in culture and attenuated the progression to AML.¹¹³ Although genetic loss of *Ezh2* resulted in a mild phenotype in the MLL-AF9 mouse model, loss of PRC2 function through deletion of *Eed* significantly inhibited leukemia cell growth.¹¹⁰ Inhibition of PRC2 components has shown pre-clinical efficacy in acute promyelocytic leukemia as well, a disease driven by the PML-RAR α fusion, also known as the (15;17) translocation. PML-RAR α was shown to complex with the PRC2 components SUZ12, *EZH2* and *EED* and recruit them to specific promoters. This study showed a link between PML-RAR α and PRC2-driven H3K27 methylation and DNA methylation. Inhibition of PRC2 induced demethylation of PML-RAR α target genes, reactivating the promoters and driving granulocytic differentiation.^{62,114}

The ability of oncogenic fusion proteins to interact with proteins, which their wild-type constituents may not, can be used to develop new therapeutics. For example, MLL fusion interact with the disruptor of telomere silencing 1-like (DOT1L) protein, a histone methyltransferase that catalyzes the methylation of H3K79, while wild-type MLL does not.¹¹⁵ This association leads to aberrant recruitment of DOT1L and enhanced H3K79 methylation at MLL fusion protein-directed loci.⁷⁴ Loss of DOT1L in MLL-rearranged leukemia cells promotes differentiation and apoptosis as well as the decreased expression of MLL fusion

targets. Finally, the development and maintenance of *MLL*-rearranged leukemia appears to be dependent on DOT1L *in vivo*, so that DOT1L inhibitors have potential therapeutic promise in this disease.^{75,116-118}

One of the challenges in developing new epigenetic therapies is to understand how these pathways act in concert to regulate transcription. Integrating large amounts of genetic data may mean letting go of preconceived notions of mutation classification and protein function. One of the findings of The Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA) recent characterization of *de novo* AML was the discovery of recurrent mutations in genes that encode components of cohesion and the spliceosome complexes.¹ Mutations in the cohesion complex were found in 13% of de novo AML and were predicted to occur in the leukemic initiating clone.¹¹⁹ Recent work has shown the association of DNMT3B with this complex, possibly providing a link between chromatin condensation and cytosine methylation.¹²⁰ Similarly, mutations in genes encoding the spliceosome complex have been found in many myeloid and lymphoid malignancies including 14% of de novo AML, 10-15% of CLL and 38% of MDS.^{121,122} Interestingly, in MDS patients, these mutations were more likely to co-occur with mutations in epigenetic modifiers, suggesting a possible cross-talk between these two pathways.¹²³

Significance of chromatin modifiers in leukemia

An important question is whether these mutations in epigenetic modifiers are truly leukemic drivers and therefore appropriate therapeutic targets. MLL fusion proteins may be sufficient to drive leukemogenesis, as MLL-rearranged leukemias have the fewest number of mutations of any of the known cancers for which TCGA data exist.¹ The identification of *TET2* and *DNMT3A* mutations in a normal elderly population suggests that these mutations may be involved in clonal selection and clonal fitness over time, but they can exist without malignant transformation.^{124,125} This enhanced

capacity for self-renewal, clonal expansion and skewing toward the myeloid lineage may make individual cells more susceptible to malignant transformation by other genetic alterations. The rate of these mutations in pre-leukemic disorders supports a role for epigenetic modifiers in the early stages of leukemic development. *ASXL1* mutations have been identified in over 30% of patients with refractory anemia with excess blasts and in a similar percentage of AML that evolved from MDS.¹²⁶ Interestingly, chronic myelomonocytic leukemia, the disease with the highest rate of epigenetic modifier gene mutations, is a myelodysplastic/ myeloproliferative neoplasm (MDS/MPN) rather than an acute leukemia.^{28,127,128}

Numerous studies show that introducing epigenetic modifier gene mutations into mouse hematopoietic stem/progenitor cells often confers increased self-renewal, and myeloproliferation with extramedullary hematopoiesis, but not transformation to acute leukemia. Genetic knock out of these genes in mouse models have shown that they are critical for driving HSC self-renewal and differentiation; thus, they contribute to but are not themselves sufficient to cause acute leukemia. Given the remarkable demonstrations of clonal heterogeneity in cancer, the early occurrence of epigenetic mutations suggests that they may be the most relevant therapeutic targets, as they are present in a greater number of leukemic clones than those mutations that occur in the final stages of transformation.

PROGNOSTIC IMPORTANCE OF CHROMATIN MODIFIERS AND THERAPEUTIC INTERVENTION

The discovery of recurrent mutations in chromatin modifiers has led to efforts to correlate these genetic changes with clinical characteristics, and several such mutations do have prognostic significance (Table 1). *TET2* mutations have been associated with adverse overall survival in intermediate risk AML.²⁹ Similarly, multiple studies have shown that *DNMT3A* mutations confer

Mutation	Clinical association	Role in chromatin biology	References
TET2	Mutations found in 7–25% of AML. Mutations and copy-number changes associated with worse prognosis in CN-AML.	Regulates demethylation through the conversion of 5-methylcytosine to 5-hydroxymethyl-cytosine. Mutations result in global DNA hypermethylation.	22,26,27,29,33
IDH1/2	Missense mutations in the active site of the enzyme seen in 6–30% of adult AML. Adverse survival in CN-AML.	Altered enzyme activity leading to the accumulation of 2-HG; associated with aberrant locus-specific hypermethylation.	33–45
DNMT3A	Mutations occur in up to 36% of CN-AML and 16% of adult ETP-ALL. Associated with worse overall survival.	Enzyme involved in <i>de novo</i> DNA methylation. Mutations associated with gene expression changes in HoxB cluster.	13–20
ASXL1	Deletions and point mutations in 6–30% of AML patients.	Mutations result in decreased H3K27 methylation and decreased recruitment of PRC2 to target loci.	28,53–55
EZH2	Mutations identified in 2% de novo AML.	Important H3K27 methyltransferase that is the enzymatic component of PRC2.	48–52
MLL-FP	MLL-rearrangement occurs in 5–10% of AML. MLL rearrangements observed in 70% of infant ALL. Associated with poor prognosis.	Acquisition of H3K79 methyltransferase activity due to recruitment of Dot1L. Regulates HoxA cluster genes and Meis1 gene expression.	67–73
CBP	Mutations and deletions identified in 18% of relapsed ALL.	Transcriptional co-activator that has histone and non- histone protein acetyltransferase activity.	99,100
NUP98-NSD1	Detected in 5% of pediatric AML patients. Poor prognosis in children and adults.	Thought to upregulate HoxA cluster genes and Meis1 expression through recruitment of CBP/p300 and maintenance of H3K36 methylation.	82–84
ETV6-RUNX1	Translocations occur in 25% of B-precursor ALL. Associated with poor prognosis.	Associated with dominant negative RUNX1 and ETV6 functions, as well as aberrant DNA hypomethylation.	152,153

repressive complex.

Chromatin modifiers in leukemia SM Greenblatt and SD Nimer

1401

adverse risk to intermediate risk AML patients, although this appears to be restricted to the subset of patients with FLT3-ITD mutations.^{13,14} *ASXL1* mutations are associated with adverse overall survival in cytogenetically normal-AML or intermediate risk AML, whereas specific *IDH1* mutations have been shown to be associated with favorable outcomes.^{29,39} When combined with current cytogenetic and mutations testing, these markers may be useful in risk stratification or treatment selection. For example, genetic profiling of AML patients suggests that those with *DNMT3A* mutations have improved outcome when treated with high dose vs lower dose daunorubicin.⁵²

Epigenetic therapeutics

We now know that epigenetic (DNA and chromatin) modifications are in fact, generally reversible, allowing for lineage specific changes in gene expression during differentiation, cell division and DNA repair. Indeed, it is the inherent plasticity of epigenetic modifications that makes them susceptible to pharmacological intervention. Thus, the discovery of recurrent mutations in chromatin modifiers has provided additional insights into the pathogenesis of leukemia, as well as the development of new highly potent and directed epigenetic therapies. A summary of current epigenetic therapies and their relevance to leukemia can be found in Table 2.

DNA methyltransferase inhibitors and histone deacetlylase inhibitors were the first epigenetically targeted inhibitors to be FDA approved for the treatment of cancer in the United States. Azacitidine and decitabine are nucleoside analogs and inhibitors of the DNA methyltransferase enzymes DMNT1 and DMNT3. They are thought to act through the gene hypomethylation (for example, of tumor suppressor genes); however, this has never been formally shown. Combinations of these inhibitors are currently being evaluated in clinical trials for AML and MDS. histone deacetlylase inhibitors demonstrated modest therapeutic potential in early clinical trials for B-cell lymphoma, myeloma,

New and notable epigenetic therapies in leukemia				
Class of epigenetic target	Target of therapeutic	Mechanism and biological support	References	
Histone acetytransferases (HATs)	p300/CBP	Inhibits cellular proliferation, reduces colony formation and induces apoptosis in AML1-ETO positive AML cell lines and primary blasts. Small-molecule inhibition of CBP/catenin interactions eliminate drug-resistant clones in ALL.	154,155	
	MYST family (TIP60, MOZ)	Small molecule inhibition of histone acetyltransferase activity. Knockdown of Tip60 in a CML cell line results in loss of transcriptional repression at c-myb targets.	101–106	
Readers of lysine acetylation	Bromodomain-containing proteins (BRD4)	Small molecule inhibitors targeting the acetyl-lysine binding pocket. Efficacy against MLL fusion leukemia cell lines and mouse models through the induction of early cell cycle arrest and apoptosis. In Phase I clinical trials for patients with acute leukemia.	136–139	
Histone lysine demethylases	LSD1 (KDM1A)	Disruption of histone demethylase activity. Inhibitors have been shown to induce differentiation in MLL-rearranged leukemias. Inhibitors may be efficacious when combined with ATRA in non-APL patients.	131,132	
	JmjC-containing demethylases (UTX, JMJD3, JARID1, KDM2B)	Small molecular inhibitors are competitive for 2-oxoglutarate. A JMJD3/UTX inhibitor reduces proinflammatory cytokine production by macrophages. Depletion of Kdm2b in hematopoietic progenitors impairs Hoxa9/Meis1-induced leukemic transformation.	86,158,159	
Metabolic modulators of methylation	IDH1/2	IDH1/2 inhibitors decrease the production of 2-HG, induce demethylation of histone H3K9me3 and increase expression of genes associated with differentiation.	40–45	
Histone methyltransferases and associated proteins	Menin/LEDGF	Small molecule inhibitors that target the Menin–MLL interaction developed for MLL rearranged leukemias. Inhibitor induces growth arrest and inhibits transformation in MLL-transduced bone marrow cells. Small peptides disrupting the LEDGF-MLL interaction show increased disease latency in an MLL-AF9 leukemia model.	77–81	
	Dot1L	Selective for MLL-rearranged acute leukemia cell lines. Inhibited H3K79 methylation and MLL-fusion target gene expression.	74–76,115–118	
	EZH2	Small molecules that disrupt the methyltransferase activity of PRC2. Peptides have been developed that disrupt the EZH2–EED protein interactions. MLL-AF9 leukemia cells treated with inhibitor undergo growth arrest and myeloid differentiation.	133–135	
	G9a	Small molecular inhibitors targeting the histone peptide binding pocket G9a inhibition resulted in repression of JAK2 in a CML cell line.	160,161	
	Arginine methyltransferses (PRMTs)	Knockdown of PRMT1 suppresses the self-renewal capability of AE9a cells. Downregulation of PRMT4 promotes myeloid differentiation in leukemia cells and prolongs survival in a leukemia transplantation model.	162–164	

myelodysplastic syndrome and AML. They are currently FDA approved only for the treatment of cutaneous T-cell lymphoma. Despite extensive research, we still do not fully understand the mechanism of action of these therapeutics.

The discovery of recurrent IDH1/2 mutations has led to the development of small molecule inhibitors that aim to target the mutant enzymes. These agents are being evaluated in preclinical studies for their use in patients with glioma or AML. In cell lines, a selective IDH1-R132H inhibitor decreased the production of R-2-hydroxyglutarate (R-2HG), induced demethylation of histone H3K9me3 and increased expression of genes associated with differentiation.¹²⁹ A small molecule inhibitor specific for IDH2-R140Q induced the differentiation of an erythroleukemia cell line and also human acute leukemia cells.¹³⁰ Similarly, inhibition of mutant IDH1 decreased 2-HG production, induced apoptosis in murine cells and inhibited the proliferation of progenitor cells obtained from AML patients with *IDH1* mutations.⁴³

The high frequency of mutations in components (or regulators) of the PRC2 complex in myeloid and lymphoid malignancies, and the resulting changes in the level of H3K27me3, has sparked interest in the lysine demethylases as therapeutic targets. Small molecule catalytic site inhibitors are being developed for this family of proteins and show selectivity for these enzymes. Inhibition of LSD1, by RNAi or small molecules, has recently been shown to induce the differentiation of MLL-rearranged leukemias. In addition, there is evidence that LSD1 inhibitors may be efficacious in non-APL patients when combined with all trans-retinoic acid (ATRA).¹³¹ This could make a currently FDAapproved therapy (ATRA) more applicable to this larger number of patients.¹³² Potent inhibitors of the JmjC family of histone demethylases, which includes UTX, JMJD3 and JARID1, are being developed for use in cancer, and potent and selective inhibitors of EZH2 have been developed for use in lymphoma and possibly leukemia as well.^{133,134} Another strategy has been used to develop peptides, which disrupt EZH2-EED protein interactions, and these show efficacy in MLL-AF9-expressing leukemia cells.¹³⁵

Another level of transcriptional regulation is provided by the proteins that recognize specific histone residues based on post-translational modifications, known as chromatin 'readers'. The bromodomain-containing proteins are responsible for recognizing acetylated lysine residues on histone tails. Quite unexpectedly, MLL fusion protein-driven AML is sensitive to JQ1, a BRD4 inhibitor, and MYC-driven malignancies are also guite sensitive to such bromodomain inhibitors in vitro and in animal models.^{136,137} Several bromodomain-containing proteins are amenable to small molecule inhibition and demonstrate therapeutic efficacy in preclinical models of AML.¹³⁸ Bromodomain inhibitor treatment of B-ALL cell lines decreased their viability and induced the loss of BRD4 at the MYC promoter, causing downregulation of MYC transcription and the reduced expression of c-Myc target genes.¹³⁹ A Phase I study of a BRD2/3/4 inhibitor in patients with hematologic malignancy is ongoing (NCT01713582). Inhibitors of other 'epigenetic readers' such as the chromodomain-containing proteins that recognize methyl-lysine are also being explored.¹⁴⁰

Challenges for epigenetic-targeted therapy

A major challenge in the development of more effective epigenetic therapies is the lack of biomarkers to evaluate efficacy in a clinical setting. In contrast to the pharmacodynamics of receptor tyrosine inhibitors or chemotherapeutics, the effects of epigenetic therapies often take a long time to observe. Clinical trials using hypomethylating agents have suggested that maximal DNA hypomethylation may occur more than a week after their administration, while effects on proliferation, differentiation and cell survival may not occur for weeks.^{141–143} Therefore, established measures of clinical response may be unhelpful in evaluating the mechanism of action of these compounds. There has been

an effort to correlate response with the methylation status of specific genes, changes in gene expression or levels of microRNA; however, the connection between these biomarkers and efficacy is generally weak.^{144–146} Although methylation of tumor suppressor genes decrease in some patients, global methylation changes are not consistently associated with changes in gene expression or clinical responses. Also, there is a need to understand why certain subsets of patients fail to respond to treatment.¹⁴⁷

There is also a need to understand more about the biology of these large multiprotein chromatin-modifying complexes and the mechanism of action of the inhibitors. The function of these complexes may be cell context dependent, hinging on the underlying genetic landscape of the cell and the available interacting proteins. Mutations in the methyltransferase EZH2 demonstrate how both gain-of-function and loss-of-function mutations can lead to lead to aberrant transcription and proliferation, depending on the cellular context. Chromatinmodifying enzymes may have much more diverse roles than previously thought, as many histone methyltransferases are now known to act on non-histone substrates. Despite these limitations in our understanding, chromatin-modifying protein inhibitors will be an important tool to help define these functions and develop more effective therapeutic strategies.

Although leukemia cells have relatively few mutations compared with solid tumors, it is rare for cancer to be driven by a single genetic or epigenetic mutation. In solid tumors, targeting of an oncogenic driver often leads to activation of the same or distant oncogenic pathways that allow the tumor to escape treatment. To date, most preclinical studies of chromatin modifier inhibitors have focused on MLL-rearranged leukemia, which is highly dependent on fusion protein-dependent effects for survival. To make 'epigenetic-modifying therapy' more applicable to patients, we will need to consider how chromatin-modifying mutations fit into the larger genetic landscape, which include changes in signaling pathways. Epigenetic therapy might lead to resistance through epigenetic or non-epigenetic mechanisms. For example, clinical trials of DNMT inhibitors in MDS and CML have shown that cells present at the time of relapse have less methylation than those present before treatment, suggesting methylation-independent clonal evolution. Hopefully, there will be successes in combining epigenetic modifying therapies with other classes of inhibitors. Histone deacetlylase inhibitors have shown promising preclinical activity in combination with chemotherapeutic drugs, inhibitors of heat-shock proteins, proteasome proteins and tyrosine kinases.^{148–150} It is also encouraging that the first studies of the inhibitors of epigenetic modifiers have demonstrated unexpected effects on previously identified leukemic drivers. For example, the ability of bromodomain inhibitors to target critical oncogenes, such as c-Myc or Bcl-2, is promising and somewhat unexpected.¹⁵¹⁻¹⁵⁴ Although the biology of epigenetic regulation is complex, novel epigenetic therapies show tremendous potential for improving our therapy of acute leukemia and other related diseases.^{155–15}

SUMMARY

The recent completion of the Cancer Genome Atlas Research Network's analysis of adult *de novo* AML has highlighted the importance of mutations in chromatin modifiers. Although individual mutations in chromatin modifiers do not appear to be sufficient to induce leukemia in mouse models, the changes in self-renewal and differentiation they mediate are critical steps in the transformation of hematopoietic stem/progenitor cells. Moreover, it is becoming increasingly clear that the interaction of transcription factors with epigenetic modifiers is critical to their oncogenic activity. When these interactions are inhibited in mouse models, leukemic precursors lose the ability to differentiate,

self-renew and propagate in recipient mice. The challenge for the future will be to translate this knowledge into the development of new, combination therapies, targeting leukemic driver mutations and their dependence on chromatin-modifying enzymes.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank the members of the Nimer lab, especially PJ Hamard, Ezra Blumenthal and Madhavi Tadi for their helpful comments and thoughtful discussions on this manuscript. This work has been supported by the NCI under award number R01CA166835.

REFERENCES

- Cancer Genome Atlas Research Network. Genomic and epigenomic landscapes of adult de novo acute myeloid leukemia. N Engl J Med 2013; 368: 2059–2074.
- 2 Zhang J, Ding L, Holmfeldt L, Wu G, Heatley SL, Payne-Turner D et al. The genetic basis of early T-cell precursor acute lymphoblastic leukaemia. *Nature* 2012; 481: 157–163.
- 3 Tadokoro Y, Ema H, Okano M, Li E, Nakauchi H. De novo DNA methyltransferase is essential for self-renewal, but not for differentiation, in hematopoietic stem cells. *J Exp Med* 2007; **204**: 715–722.
- 4 Broske AM, Vockentanz L, Kharazi S, Huska MR, Mancini E, Scheller M *et al.* DNA methylation protects hematopoietic stem cell multipotency from myeloerythroid restriction. *Nat Genet* 2009; **41**: 1207–1215.
- 5 Bock C, Beerman I, Lien WH, Smith ZD, Gu H, Boyle P *et al.* DNA methylation dynamics during in vivo differentiation of blood and skin stem cells. *Mol Cell* 2012; **47**: 633–647.
- 6 Ji H, Ehrlich Ll, Seita J, Murakami P, Doi A, Lindau P *et al.* Comprehensive methylome map of lineage commitment from haematopoietic progenitors. *Nature* 2010; **467**: 338–342.
- 7 Figueroa ME, Lugthart S, Li Y, Erpelinck-Verschueren C, Deng X, Christos PJ et al. DNA methylation signatures identify biologically distinct subtypes in acute myeloid leukemia. Cancer Cell 2010; 17: 13–27.
- 8 Deneberg S, Guardiola P, Lennartsson A, Qu Y, Gaidzik V, Blanchet O et al. Prognostic DNA methylation patterns in cytogenetically normal acute myeloid leukemia are predefined by stem cell chromatin marks. *Blood* 2011; **118**: 5573–5582.
- 9 Figueroa ME, Chen SC, Andersson AK, Phillips LA, Li Y, Sotzen J et al. Integrated genetic and epigenetic analysis of childhood acute lymphoblastic leukemia. J Clin Investig 2013; 123: 3099–3111.
- 10 Lugthart S, van Drunen E, van Norden Y, van Hoven A, Erpelinck CA, Valk PJ *et al.* High EVI1 levels predict adverse outcome in acute myeloid leukemia: prevalence of EVI1 overexpression and chromosome 3q26 abnormalities underestimated. *Blood* 2008; **111**: 4329–4337.
- 11 Lugthart S, Figueroa ME, Bindels E, Skrabanek L, Valk PJ, Li Y *et al.* Aberrant DNA hypermethylation signature in acute myeloid leukemia directed by EVI1. *Blood* 2011; **117**: 234–241.
- 12 Jiang Y, Dunbar A, Gondek LP, Mohan S, Rataul M, O'Keefe C et al. Aberrant DNA methylation is a dominant mechanism in MDS progression to AML. Blood 2009; 113: 1315–1325.
- 13 Ley TJ, Ding L, Walter MJ, McLellan MD, Lamprecht T, Larson DE et al. DNMT3A mutations in acute myeloid leukemia. N Engl J Med 2010; 363: 2424–2433.
- 14 Thol F, Damm F, Ludeking A, Winschel C, Wagner K, Morgan M et al. Incidence and prognostic influence of DNMT3A mutations in acute myeloid leukemia. J Clin Oncol 2011; 29: 2889–2896.
- 15 Marcucci G, Metzeler KH, Schwind S, Becker H, Maharry K, Mrozek K et al. Age-related prognostic impact of different types of DNMT3A mutations in adults with primary cytogenetically normal acute myeloid leukemia. J Clin Oncol 2012; 30: 742–750.
- 16 Grossmann V, Haferlach C, Weissmann S, Roller A, Schindela S, Poetzinger F *et al.* The molecular profile of adult T-cell acute lymphoblastic leukemia: mutations in RUNX1 and DNMT3A are associated with poor prognosis in T-ALL. *Genes Chromosomes Cancer* 2013; **52**: 410–422.
- 17 Neumann M, Heesch S, Schlee C, Schwartz S, Gokbuget N, Hoelzer D *et al.* Whole-exome sequencing in adult ETP-ALL reveals a high rate of DNMT3A mutations. *Blood* 2013; **121**: 4749–4752.
- 18 Yan XJ, Xu J, Gu ZH, Pan CM, Lu G, Shen Y et al. Exome sequencing identifies somatic mutations of DNA methyltransferase gene DNMT3A in acute monocytic leukemia. Nat Genet 2011; 43: 309–315.

- 19 Kim SJ, Zhao H, Hardikar S, Singh AK, Goodell MA, Chen T. A DNMT3A mutation common in AML exhibits dominant-negative effects in murine ES cells. *Blood* 2013; **122**: 4086–4089.
- 20 Challen GA, Sun D, Jeong M, Luo M, Jelinek J, Berg JS *et al.* Dnmt3a is essential for hematopoietic stem cell differentiation. *Nat Genet* 2012; **44**: 23–31.
- 21 Trowbridge JJ, Sinha AU, Zhu N, Li M, Armstrong SA, Orkin SH. Haploinsufficiency of Dnmt1 impairs leukemia stem cell function through derepression of bivalent chromatin domains. *Gene Dev* 2012; **26**: 344–349.
- 22 Ito S, D'Alessio AC, Taranova OV, Hong K, Sowers LC, Zhang Y. Role of Tet proteins in 5mC to 5hmC conversion, ES-cell self-renewal and inner cell mass specification. *Nature* 2010; **466**: 1129–1133.
- 23 Pastor WA, Pape UJ, Huang Y, Henderson HR, Lister R, Ko M et al. Genome-wide mapping of 5-hydroxymethylcytosine in embryonic stem cells. *Nature* 2011; 473: 394–397.
- 24 Wu H, D'Alessio AC, Ito S, Wang Z, Cui K, Zhao K *et al.* Genome-wide analysis of 5-hydroxymethylcytosine distribution reveals its dual function in transcriptional regulation in mouse embryonic stem cells. *Gene Dev* 2011; **25**: 679–684.
- 25 Hackett JA, Sengupta R, Zylicz JJ, Murakami K, Lee C, Down TA *et al.* Germline DNA demethylation dynamics and imprint erasure through 5-hydroxymethylcytosine. *Science* 2013; **339**: 448–452.
- 26 Delhommeau F, Dupont S, Della Valle V, James C, Trannoy S, Masse A *et al.* Mutation in TET2 in myeloid cancers. *N Engl J Med* 2009; **360**: 2289–2301.
- 27 Chou WC, Chou SC, Liu CY, Chen CY, Hou HA, Kuo YY et al. TET2 mutation is an unfavorable prognostic factor in acute myeloid leukemia patients with intermediate-risk cytogenetics. Blood 2011; 118: 3803–3810.
- 28 Jankowska AM, Makishima H, Tiu RV, Szpurka H, Huang Y, Traina F et al. Mutational spectrum analysis of chronic myelomonocytic leukemia includes genes associated with epigenetic regulation: UTX, EZH2, and DNMT3A. Blood 2011; 118: 3932–3941.
- 29 Metzeler KH, Maharry K, Radmacher MD, Mrozek K, Margeson D, Becker H et al. TET2 mutations improve the new European LeukemiaNet risk classification of acute myeloid leukemia: a Cancer and Leukemia Group B study. J Clin Oncol 2011; 29: 1373–1381.
- 30 Li Z, Cai X, Cai CL, Wang J, Zhang W, Petersen BE et al. Deletion of Tet2 in mice leads to dysregulated hematopoietic stem cells and subsequent development of myeloid malignancies. Blood 2011; 118: 4509–4518.
- 31 Moran-Crusio K, Reavie L, Shih A, Abdel-Wahab O, Ndiaye-Lobry D, Lobry C *et al.* Tet2 loss leads to increased hematopoietic stem cell self-renewal and myeloid transformation. *Cancer Cell* 2011; **20**: 11–24.
- 32 Quivoron C, Couronne L, Della Valle V, Lopez CK, Plo I, Wagner-Ballon O et al. TET2 inactivation results in pleiotropic hematopoietic abnormalities in mouse and is a recurrent event during human lymphomagenesis. *Cancer Cell* 2011; 20: 25–38.
- 33 Figueroa ME, Abdel-Wahab O, Lu C, Ward PS, Patel J, Shih A et al. Leukemic IDH1 and IDH2 mutations result in a hypermethylation phenotype, disrupt TET2 function, and impair hematopoietic differentiation. Cancer Cell 2010; 18: 553–567.
- 34 Abbas S, Lugthart S, Kavelaars FG, Schelen A, Koenders JE, Zeilemaker A et al. Acquired mutations in the genes encoding IDH1 and IDH2 both are recurrent aberrations in acute myeloid leukemia: prevalence and prognostic value. Blood 2010; 116: 2122–2126.
- 35 Boissel N, Nibourel O, Renneville A, Gardin C, Reman O, Contentin N et al. Prognostic impact of isocitrate dehydrogenase enzyme isoforms 1 and 2 mutations in acute myeloid leukemia: a study by the Acute Leukemia French Association group. J Clin Oncol 2010; 28: 3717–3723.
- 36 Marcucci G, Maharry K, Wu YZ, Radmacher MD, Mrozek K, Margeson D *et al.* IDH1 and IDH2 gene mutations identify novel molecular subsets within de novo cytogenetically normal acute myeloid leukemia: a Cancer and Leukemia Group B study. *J Clin Oncol* 2010; **28**: 2348–2355.
- 37 Paschka P, Schlenk RF, Gaidzik VI, Habdank M, Kronke J, Bullinger L *et al.* IDH1 and IDH2 mutations are frequent genetic alterations in acute myeloid leukemia and confer adverse prognosis in cytogenetically normal acute myeloid leukemia with NPM1 mutation without FLT3 internal tandem duplication. *J Clin Oncol* 2010; **28**: 3636–3643.
- 38 Schnittger S, Haferlach C, Ulke M, Alpermann T, Kern W, Haferlach T. IDH1 mutations are detected in 6.6% of 1414 AML patients and are associated with intermediate risk karyotype and unfavorable prognosis in adults younger than 60 years and unmutated NPM1 status. *Blood* 2010; **116**: 5486–5496.
- Green CL, Evans CM, Zhao L, Hills RK, Burnett AK, Linch DC *et al.* The prognostic significance of IDH2 mutations in AML depends on the location of the mutation. *Blood* 2011; **118**: 409–412.
- 40 Dang L, White DW, Gross S, Bennett BD, Bittinger MA, Driggers EM et al. Cancer-associated IDH1 mutations produce 2-hydroxyglutarate. *Nature* 2009; 462: 739–744.

- 41 Gross S, Cairns RA, Minden MD, Driggers EM, Bittinger MA, Jang HG et al. Cancerassociated metabolite 2-hydroxyglutarate accumulates in acute myelogenous leukemia with isocitrate dehydrogenase 1 and 2 mutations. J Exp Med 2010; 207: 339–344.
- 42 Lu C, Ward PS, Kapoor GS, Rohle D, Turcan S, Abdel-Wahab O et al. IDH mutation impairs histone demethylation and results in a block to cell differentiation. *Nature* 2012; **483**: 474–478.
- 43 Chaturvedi A, Araujo Cruz MM, Jyotsana N, Sharma A, Yun H, Gorlich K et al. Mutant IDH1 promotes leukemogenesis in vivo and can be specifically targeted in human AML. Blood 2013; 122: 2877–2887.
- 44 Sasaki M, Knobbe CB, Munger JC, Lind EF, Brenner D, Brustle A et al. IDH1(R132H) mutation increases murine haematopoietic progenitors and alters epigenetics. *Nature* 2012; 488: 656–659.
- 45 Janin M, Mylonas E, Saada V, Micol JB, Renneville A, Quivoron C et al. Serum 2-Hydroxyglutarate Production in IDH1- and IDH2-Mutated De Novo Acute Myeloid Leukemia: A Study by the Acute Leukemia French Association Group. J Clin Oncol 2013; 32: 297–305.
- 46 Argiropoulos B, Humphries RK. Hox genes in hematopoiesis and leukemogenesis. Oncogene 2007; 26: 6766–6776.
- 47 Cao R, Wang L, Wang H, Xia L, Erdjument-Bromage H, Tempst P et al. Role of histone H3 lysine 27 methylation in Polycomb-group silencing. *Science* 2002; 298: 1039–1043.
- 48 Morin RD, Johnson NA, Severson TM, Mungall AJ, An J, Goya R et al. Somatic mutations altering EZH2 (Tyr641) in follicular and diffuse large B-cell lymphomas of germinal-center origin. Nat Genet 2010; 42: 181–185.
- 49 Nikoloski G, Langemeijer SM, Kuiper RP, Knops R, Massop M, Tönnissen ER et al. Somatic mutations of the histone methyltransferase gene EZH2 in myelodysplastic syndromes. Nat Genet 2010; 42: 665–667.
- 50 Pasqualucci L, Trifonov V, Fabbri G, Ma J, Rossi D, Chiarenza A et al. Analysis of the coding genome of diffuse large B-cell lymphoma. Nat Genet 2011; 43: 830–837.
- 51 Kroeze LI, Nikoloski G, da Silva-Coelho P, van Hoogen P, Stevens-Linders E, Kuiper RP et al. Genetic defects in PRC2 components other than EZH2 are not common in myeloid malignancies. *Blood* 2012; **119**: 1318–1319.
- 52 Ntziachristos P, Tsirigos A, Van Vlierberghe P, Nedjic J, Trimarchi T, Flaherty MS et al. Genetic inactivation of the polycomb repressive complex 2 in T cell acute lymphoblastic leukemia. Nat Med 2012; 18: 298–301.
- 53 Patel JP, Gonen M, Figueroa ME, Fernandez H, Sun Z, Racevskis J et al. Prognostic relevance of integrated genetic profiling in acute myeloid leukemia. N Engl J Med 2012; 366: 1079–1089.
- 54 Abdel-Wahab O, Pardanani A, Patel J, Wadleigh M, Lasho T, Heguy A et al. Concomitant analysis of EZH2 and ASXL1 mutations in myelofibrosis, chronic myelomonocytic leukemia and blast-phase myeloproliferative neoplasms. *Leukemia* 2011; 25: 1200–1202.
- 55 Abdel-Wahab O, Adli M, LaFave LM, Gao J, Hricik T, Shih AH et al. ASXL1 mutations promote myeloid transformation through loss of PRC2-mediated gene repression. *Cancer cell* 2012; 22: 180–193.
- 56 Peng JC, Valouev A, Swigut T, Zhang J, Zhao Y, Sidow A *et al.* Jarid2/Jumonji coordinates control of PRC2 enzymatic activity and target gene occupancy in pluripotent cells. *Cell* 2009; **139**: 1290–1302.
- 57 Puda A, Milosevic JD, Berg T, Klampfl T, Harutyunyan AS, Gisslinger B *et al.* Frequent deletions of JARID2 in leukemic transformation of chronic myeloid malignancies. *Am J hematol* 2012; **87**: 245–250.
- 58 Sparmann A, van Lohuizen M. Polycomb silencers control cell fate, development and cancer. Nat Rev Cancer 2006; 6: 846–856.
- 59 Smith LL, Yeung J, Zeisig BB, Popov N, Huijbers I, Barnes J et al. Functional crosstalk between Bmi1 and MLL/Hoxa9 axis in establishment of normal hematopoietic and leukemic stem cells. *Cell Stem Cell* 2011; 8: 649–662.
- 60 Yuan J, Takeuchi M, Negishi M, Oguro H, Ichikawa H, Iwama A. Bmi1 is essential for leukemic reprogramming of myeloid progenitor cells. *Leukemia* 2011; 25: 1335–1343.
- 61 Xu F, Li X, Wu L, Zhang Q, Yang R, Yang Y *et al.* Overexpression of the EZH2, RING1 and BMI1 genes is common in myelodysplastic syndromes: relation to adverse epigenetic alteration and poor prognostic scoring. *Ann Hematol* 2011; **90**: 643–653.
- 62 Cales C, Roman-Trufero M, Pavon L, Serrano I, Melgar T, Endoh M *et al.* Inactivation of the polycomb group protein Ring1B unveils an antiproliferative role in hematopoietic cell expansion and cooperation with tumorigenesis associated with Ink4a deletion. *Mol Cell Biol* 2008; **28**: 1018–1028.
- 63 Boukarabila H, Saurin AJ, Batsche E, Mossadegh N, van Lohuizen M, Otte AP et al. The PRC1 Polycomb group complex interacts with PLZF/RARA to mediate leukemic transformation. Gene Dev 2009; 23: 1195–1206.
- 64 Li G, Margueron R, Ku M, Chambon P, Bernstein BE, Reinberg D. Jarid2 and PRC2, partners in regulating gene expression. *Genes Dev* 2010; 24: 368–380.

- 65 Vire E, Brenner C, Deplus R, Blanchon L, Fraga M, Didelot C *et al*. The Polycomb group protein EZH2 directly controls DNA methylation. *Nature* 2006; **439**: 871–874.
- 66 Slovak ML, Kopecky KJ, Cassileth PA, Harrington DH, Theil KS, Mohamed A et al. Karyotypic analysis predicts outcome of preremission and postremission therapy in adult acute myeloid leukemia: a Southwest Oncology Group/Eastern Co operative Oncology Group Study. *Blood* 2000; **96**: 4075–4083.
- 67 Dohner K, Tobis K, Ulrich R, Frohling S, Benner A, Schlenk RF et al. Prognostic significance of partial tandem duplications of the MLL gene in adult patients 16 to 60 years old with acute myeloid leukemia and normal cytogenetics: a study of the Acute Myeloid Leukemia Study Group Ulm. J Clin Oncol 2002; 20: 3254–3261.
- 68 Balgobind BV, Raimondi SC, Harbott J, Zimmermann M, Alonzo TA, Auvrignon A et al. Novel prognostic subgroups in childhood 11q23/MLL-rearranged acute myeloid leukemia: results of an international retrospective study. *Blood* 2009; 114: 2489–2496.
- 69 Steudel C, Wermke M, Schaich M, Schakel U, Illmer T, Ehninger G et al. Comparative analysis of MLL partial tandem duplication and FLT3 internal tandem duplication mutations in 956 adult patients with acute myeloid leukemia. *Genes Chromosomes Cancer* 2003; **37**: 237–251.
- 70 Whitman SP, Ruppert AS, Marcucci G, Mrozek K, Paschka P, Langer C et al. Longterm disease-free survivors with cytogenetically normal acute myeloid leukemia and MLL partial tandem duplication: a Cancer and Leukemia Group B study. *Blood* 2007; **109**: 5164–5167.
- 71 Caligiuri MA, Schichman SA, Strout MP, Mrozek K, Baer MR, Frankel SR et al. Molecular rearrangement of the ALL-1 gene in acute myeloid leukemia without cytogenetic evidence of 11q23 chromosomal translocations. *Cancer Res* 1994; 54: 370–373.
- 72 Milne TA, Briggs SD, Brock HW, Martin ME, Gibbs D, Allis CD *et al.* MLL targets SET domain methyltransferase activity to Hox gene promoters. *Mol Cell* 2002; **10**: 1107–1117.
- 73 Krivtsov AV, Twomey D, Feng Z, Stubbs MC, Wang Y, Faber J et al. Transformation from committed progenitor to leukaemia stem cell initiated by MLL-AF9. Nature 2006; 442: 818–822.
- 74 Bernt KM, Zhu N, Sinha AU, Vempati S, Faber J, Krivtsov AV et al. MLL-rearranged leukemia is dependent on aberrant H3K79 methylation by DOT1L. Cancer Cell 2011; 20: 66–78.
- 75 Jo SY, Granowicz EM, Maillard I, Thomas D, Hess JL. Requirement for Dot1l in murine postnatal hematopoiesis and leukemogenesis by MLL translocation. *Blood* 2011; **117**: 4759–4768.
- 76 Nguyen AT, Taranova O, He J, Zhang Y. DOT1L, the H3K79 methyltransferase, is required for MLL-AF9-mediated leukemogenesis. *Blood* 2011; **117**: 6912–6922.
- 77 Yokoyama A, Somervaille TC, Smith KS, Rozenblatt-Rosen O, Meyerson M, Cleary ML. The menin tumor suppressor protein is an essential oncogenic cofactor for MLL-associated leukemogenesis. *Cell* 2005; **123**: 207–218.
- 78 Yokoyama A, Cleary ML. Menin critically links MLL proteins with LEDGF on cancer-associated target genes. *Cancer Cell* 2008; 14: 36–46.
- 79 Caslini C, Yang Z, El-Osta M, Milne TA, Slany RK, Hess JL. Interaction of MLL amino terminal sequences with menin is required for transformation. *Cancer Res* 2007; 67: 7275–7283.
- 80 Grembecka J, He S, Shi A, Purohit T, Muntean AG, Sorenson RJ et al. Menin-MLL inhibitors reverse oncogenic activity of MLL fusion proteins in leukemia. Nat Chem Biol 2012; 8: 277–284.
- 81 Mereau H, De Rijck J, Cermakova K, Kutz A, Juge S, Demeulemeester J *et al.* Impairing MLL-fusion gene-mediated transformation by dissecting critical interactions with the lens epithelium-derived growth factor (LEDGF/p75). *Leukemia* 2013; 27: 1245–1253.
- 82 Cerveira N, Correia C, Doria S, Bizarro S, Rocha P, Gomes P *et al.* Frequency of NUP98-NSD1 fusion transcript in childhood acute myeloid leukaemia. *Leukemia* 2003; **17**: 2244–2247.
- 83 Wang GG, Cai L, Pasillas MP, Kamps MP. NUP98-NSD1 links H3K36 methylation to Hox-A gene activation and leukaemogenesis. *Nat Cell Biol* 2007; 9: 804–812.
- 84 Hollink IH, van den Heuvel-Eibrink MM, Arentsen-Peters ST, Pratcorona M, Abbas S, Kuipers JE et al. NUP98/NSD1 characterizes a novel poor prognostic group in acute myeloid leukemia with a distinct HOX gene expression pattern. Blood 2011; **118**: 3645–3656.
- 85 Shi Y, Lan F, Matson C, Mulligan P, Whetstine JR, Cole PA *et al.* Histone demethylation mediated by the nuclear amine oxidase homolog LSD1. *Cell* 2004; **119**: 941–953.
- 86 He J, Nguyen AT, Zhang Y. KDM2b/JHDM1b, an H3K36me2-specific demethylase, is required for initiation and maintenance of acute myeloid leukemia. *Blood* 2011; **117**: 3869–3880.
- 87 de Rooij JD, Hollink IH, Arentsen-Peters ST, van Galen JF, Berna Beverloo H, Baruchel A *et al.* NUP98/JARID1A is a novel recurrent abnormality in pediatric

acute megakaryoblastic leukemia with a distinct HOX gene expression pattern. *Leukemia* 2013; **27**: 2280–2288.

- 88 Gruber TA, Larson Gedman A, Zhang J, Koss CS, Marada S, Ta HQ et al. An Inv(16)(p13.3q24.3)-encoded CBFA2T3-GLIS2 fusion protein defines an aggressive subtype of pediatric acute megakaryoblastic leukemia. *Cancer Cell* 2012; 22: 683–697.
- 89 Wang GG, Song J, Wang Z, Dormann HL, Casadio F, Li H et al. Haematopoietic malignancies caused by dysregulation of a chromatin-binding PHD finger. *Nature* 2009; 459: 847–851.
- 90 Agger K, Cloos PA, Christensen J, Pasini D, Rose S, Rappsilber J *et al.* UTX and JMJD3 are histone H3K27 demethylases involved in HOX gene regulation and development. *Nature* 2007; **449**: 731–734.
- 91 van Haaften G, Dalgliesh GL, Davies H, Chen L, Bignell G, Greenman C *et al.* Somatic mutations of the histone H3K27 demethylase gene UTX in human cancer. *Nat Genet* 2009; **41**: 521–523.
- 92 Mar BG, Bullinger L, Basu E, Schlis K, Silverman LB, Dohner K *et al.* Sequencing histone-modifying enzymes identifies UTX mutations in acute lymphoblastic leukemia. *Leukemia* 2012; 26: 1881–1883.
- 93 Jansson M, Durant ST, Cho EC, Sheahan S, Edelmann M, Kessler B et al. Arginine methylation regulates the p53 response. Nat Cell Biol 2008; 10: 1431–1439.
- 94 Zhao Q, Rank G, Tan YT, Li H, Moritz RL, Simpson RJ et al. PRMT5-mediated methylation of histone H4R3 recruits DNMT3A, coupling histone and DNA methylation in gene silencing. Nat Struct Mol Biol 2009; 16: 304–311.
- 95 Liu F, Zhao X, Perna F, Wang L, Koppikar P, Abdel-Wahab O et al. JAK2V617Fmediated phosphorylation of PRMT5 downregulates its methyltransferase activity and promotes myeloproliferation. *Cancer Cell* 2011; **19**: 283–294.
- 96 Vu LP, Perna F, Wang L, Voza F, Figueroa ME, Tempst P *et al.* PRMT4 blocks myeloid differentiation by assembling a Methyl-RUNX1-dependent repressor complex. *Cell Rep* 2013; **5**: 1625–1638.
- 97 Cheung N, Chan LC, Thompson A, Cleary ML, So CW. Protein argininemethyltransferase-dependent oncogenesis. Nat Cell Biol 2007; 9: 1208–1215.
- 98 Guccione E, Bassi C, Casadio F, Martinato F, Cesaroni M, Schuchlautz H et al. Methylation of histone H3R2 by PRMT6 and H3K4 by an MLL complex are mutually exclusive. Nature 2007; 449: 933–937.
- 99 Mullighan CG, Zhang J, Kasper LH, Lerach S, Payne-Turner D, Phillips LA *et al.* CREBBP mutations in relapsed acute lymphoblastic leukaemia. *Nature* 2011; **471**: 235–239.
- 100 Inthal A, Zeitlhofer P, Zeginigg M, Morak M, Grausenburger R, Fronkova E et al. CREBBP HAT domain mutations prevail in relapse cases of high hyperdiploid childhood acute lymphoblastic leukemia. *Leukemia* 2012; 26: 1797–1803.
- 101 Voss AK, Collin C, Dixon MP, Thomas T. Moz and retinoic acid coordinately regulate H3K9 acetylation, Hox gene expression, and segment identity. *Dev Cell* 2009; **17**: 674–686.
- 102 Katsumoto T, Aikawa Y, Iwama A, Ueda S, Ichikawa H, Ochiya T et al. MOZ is essential for maintenance of hematopoietic stem cells. *Gene Dev* 2006; 20: 1321–1330.
- 103 Perez-Campo FM, Borrow J, Kouskoff V, Lacaud G. The histone acetyl transferase activity of monocytic leukemia zinc finger is critical for the proliferation of hematopoietic precursors. *Blood* 2009; **113**: 4866–4874.
- 104 Chaffanet M, Gressin L, Preudhomme C, Soenen-Cornu V, Birnbaum D, Pebusque MJ. MOZ is fused to p300 in an acute monocytic leukemia with t(8;22). *Genes Chromosomes Cancer* 2000; 28: 138–144.
- 105 Deguchi K, Ayton PM, Carapeti M, Kutok JL, Snyder CS, Williams IR et al. MOZ-TIF2-induced acute myeloid leukemia requires the MOZ nucleosome binding motif and TIF2-mediated recruitment of CBP. Cancer Cell 2003; 3: 259–271.
- 106 Crowley JA, Wang Y, Rapoport AP, Ning Y. Detection of MOZ-CBP fusion in acute myeloid leukemia with 8;16 translocation. *Leukemia* 2005; **19**: 2344–2345.
- 107 Sun Y, Jiang X, Xu Y, Ayrapetov MK, Moreau LA, Whetstine JR et al. Histone H3 methylation links DNA damage detection to activation of the tumour suppressor Tip60. Nat Cell Biol 2009; 11: 1376–1382.
- 108 Nordentoft I, Jorgensen P. The acetyltransferase 60 kDa trans-acting regulatory protein of HIV type 1-interacting protein (Tip60) interacts with the translocation E26 transforming-specific leukaemia gene (TEL) and functions as a transcriptional co-repressor. *Biochem J* 2003; **374**(Pt 1): 165–173.
- 109 Tan J, Jones M, Koseki H, Nakayama M, Muntean AG, Maillard I *et al.* CBX8, a polycomb group protein, is essential for MLL-AF9-induced leukemogenesis. *Cancer Cell* 2011; **20:** 563–575.
- 110 Neff T, Sinha AU, Kluk MJ, Zhu N, Khattab MH, Stein L *et al.* Polycomb repressive complex 2 is required for MLL-AF9 leukemia. *Proc Natl Acad SciUSA* 2012; **109**: 5028–5033.

- 111 Shi A, Murai MJ, He S, Lund G, Hartley T, Purohit T *et al.* Structural insights into inhibition of the bivalent menin-MLL interaction by small molecules in leukemia. *Blood* 2012; **120**: 4461–4469.
- 112 Thiel AT, Feng Z, Pant DK, Chodosh LA, Hua X. The trithorax protein partner menin acts in tandem with EZH2 to suppress C/EBPalpha and differentiation in MLL-AF9 leukemia. *Haematologica* 2013; **98**: 918–927.
- 113 Tanaka S, Miyagi S, Sashida G, Chiba T, Yuan J, Mochizuki-Kashio M et al. Ezh2 augments leukemogenicity by reinforcing differentiation blockage in acute myeloid leukemia. Blood 2012; **120**: 1107–1117.
- 114 Villa R, Pasini D, Gutierrez A, Morey L, Occhionorelli M, Vire E *et al.* Role of the polycomb repressive complex 2 in acute promyelocytic leukemia. *Cancer Cell* 2007; **11**: 513–525.
- 115 Okada Y, Feng Q, Lin Y, Jiang Q, Li Y, Coffield VM *et al.* hDOT1L links histone methylation to leukemogenesis. *Cell* 2005; **121**: 167–178.
- 116 Daigle SR, Olhava EJ, Therkelsen CA, Majer CR, Sneeringer CJ, Song J et al. Selective killing of mixed lineage leukemia cells by a potent small-molecule DOT1L inhibitor. Cancer Cell 2011; 20: 53–65.
- 117 Daigle SR, Olhava EJ, Therkelsen CA, Basavapathruni A, Jin L, Boriack-Sjodin PA et al. Potent inhibition of DOT1L as treatment of MLL-fusion leukemia. Blood 2013; **122**: 1017–1025.
- 118 Yu W, Chory EJ, Wernimont AK, Tempel W, Scopton A, Federation A *et al.* Catalytic site remodelling of the DOT1L methyltransferase by selective inhibitors. *Nat Commun* 2012; **3**: 1288.
- 119 Welch JS, Ley TJ, Link DC, Miller CA, Larson DE, Koboldt DC *et al.* The origin and evolution of mutations in acute myeloid leukemia. *Cell* 2012; **150**: 264–278.
- 120 Geiman TM, Sankpal UT, Robertson AK, Chen Y, Mazumdar M, Heale JT *et al.* Isolation and characterization of a novel DNA methyltransferase complex linking DNMT3B with components of the mitotic chromosome condensation machinery. *Nucleic Acid Res* 2004; **32**: 2716–2729.
- 121 Quesada V, Conde L, Villamor N, Ordonez GR, Jares P, Bassaganyas L *et al.* Exome sequencing identifies recurrent mutations of the splicing factor SF3B1 gene in chronic lymphocytic leukemia. *Nat Genet* 2012; **44**: 47–52.
- 122 Wang L, Lawrence MS, Wan Y, Stojanov P, Sougnez C, Stevenson K et al. SF3B1 and other novel cancer genes in chronic lymphocytic leukemia. N Engl J Med 2011; 365: 2497–2506.
- 123 Mian SA, Smith AE, Kulasekararaj AG, Kizilors A, Mohamedali AM, Lea NC et al. Spliceosome mutations exhibit specific associations with epigenetic modifiers and proto-oncogenes mutated in myelodysplastic syndrome. *Haematologica* 2013; **98**: 1058–1066.
- 124 Busque L, Patel JP, Figueroa ME, Vasanthakumar A, Provost S, Hamilou Z et al. Recurrent somatic TET2 mutations in normal elderly individuals with clonal hematopoiesis. Nat Genet 2012; 44: 1179–1181.
- 125 Jan M, Snyder TM, Corces-Zimmerman MR, Vyas P, Weissman IL, Quake SR et al. Clonal evolution of preleukemic hematopoietic stem cells precedes human acute myeloid leukemia. Sci Transl Med 2012; 4: 149ra118.
- 126 Boultwood J, Perry J, Pellagatti A, Fernandez-Mercado M, Fernandez-Santamaria C, Calasanz MJ *et al.* Frequent mutation of the polycomb-associated gene ASXL1 in the myelodysplastic syndromes and in acute myeloid leukemia. *Leukemia* 2010; 24: 1062–1065.
- 127 Ernst T, Chase AJ, Score J, Hidalgo-Curtis CE, Bryant C, Jones AV *et al.* Inactivating mutations of the histone methyltransferase gene EZH2 in myeloid disorders. *Nat Genet* 2010; **42**: 722–726.
- 128 Grossmann V, Kohlmann A, Eder C, Haferlach C, Kern W, Cross NC *et al.* Molecular profiling of chronic myelomonocytic leukemia reveals diverse mutations in >80% of patients with TET2 and EZH2 being of high prognostic relevance. *Leukemia* 2011; **25**: 877–879.
- 129 Rohle D, Popovici-Muller J, Palaskas N, Turcan S, Grommes C, Campos C *et al*. An inhibitor of mutant IDH1 delays growth and promotes differentiation of glioma cells. *Science* 2013; **340**: 626–630.
- 130 Wang F, Travins J, DeLaBarre B, Penard-Lacronique V, Schalm S, Hansen E et al. Targeted inhibition of mutant IDH2 in leukemia cells induces cellular differentiation. Science 2013; 340: 622–626.
- 131 Schenk T, Chen WC, Gollner S, Howell L, Jin L, Hebestreit K et al. Inhibition of the LSD1 (KDM1A) demethylase reactivates the all-trans-retinoic acid differentiation pathway in acute myeloid leukemia. Nat Med 2012; 18: 605–611.
- 132 Konze KD, Ma A, Li F, Barsyte-Lovejoy D, Parton T, Macnevin CJ et al. An orally bioavailable chemical probe of the lysine methyltransferases EZH2 and EZH1. ACS Chem Biol 2013; 8: 1324–1334.
- 133 Knutson SK, Wigle TJ, Warholic NM, Sneeringer CJ, Allain CJ, Klaus CR *et al.* A selective inhibitor of EZH2 blocks H3K27 methylation and kills mutant lymphoma cells. *Nat Chem Biol* 2012; **8**: 890–896.
- 134 McCabe MT, Ott HM, Ganji G, Korenchuk S, Thompson C, Van Aller GS et al. EZH2 inhibition as a therapeutic strategy for lymphoma with EZH2-activating mutations. *Nature* 2012; 492: 108–112.

Chromatin modifiers in leukemia SM Greenblatt and SD Nimer

- 135 Kim W, Bird GH, Neff T, Guo G, Kerenyi MA, Walensky LD et al. Targeted disruption of the EZH2-EED complex inhibits EZH2-dependent cancer. Nat Chem Biol 2013; 9: 643–650.
- 136 Dawson MA, Prinjha RK, Dittmann A, Giotopoulos G, Bantscheff M, Chan WI *et al.* Inhibition of BET recruitment to chromatin as an effective treatment for MLL-fusion leukaemia. *Nature* 2011; **478**: 529–533.
- 137 Zuber J, Shi J, Wang E, Rappaport AR, Herrmann H, Sison EA et al. RNAi screen identifies Brd4 as a therapeutic target in acute myeloid leukaemia. *Nature* 2011; 478: 524–528.
- 138 Filippakopoulos P, Qi J, Picaud S, Shen Y, Smith WB, Fedorov O et al. Selective inhibition of BET bromodomains. *Nature* 2010; **468**: 1067–1073.
- 139 Ott CJ, Kopp N, Bird L, Paranal RM, Qi J, Bowman T et al. BET bromodomain inhibition targets both c-Myc and IL7R in high-risk acute lymphoblastic leukemia. Blood 2012; **120**: 2843–2852.
- 140 Herold JM, Wigle TJ, Norris JL, Lam R, Korboukh VK, Gao C et al. Small-molecule ligands of methyl-lysine binding proteins. J Med Chem 2011; 54: 2504–2511.
- 141 Garcia-Manero G, Gore SD, Cogle C, Ward R, Shi T, Macbeth KJ et al. Phase I study of oral azacitidine in myelodysplastic syndromes, chronic myelomonocytic leukemia, and acute myeloid leukemia. J Clin Oncol 2011; 29: 2521–2527.
- 142 Negrotto S, Ng KP, Jankowska AM, Bodo J, Gopalan B, Guinta K et al. CpG methylation patterns and decitabine treatment response in acute myeloid leukemia cells and normal hematopoietic precursors. *Leukemia* 2012; 26: 244–254.
- 143 Tsai HC, Li H, Van Neste L, Cai Y, Robert C, Rassool FV et al. Transient low doses of DNA-demethylating agents exert durable antitumor effects on hematological and epithelial tumor cells. *Cancer Cell* 2012; 21: 430–446.
- 144 Shen L, Kantarjian H, Guo Y, Lin E, Shan J, Huang X *et al.* DNA methylation predicts survival and response to therapy in patients with myelodysplastic syndromes. *J Clin Oncol* 2010; **28**: 605–613.
- 145 Fandy TE, Herman JG, Kerns P, Jiemjit A, Sugar EA, Choi SH *et al.* Early epigenetic changes and DNA damage do not predict clinical response in an overlapping schedule of 5-azacytidine and entinostat in patients with myeloid malignancies. *Blood* 2009; **114**: 2764–2773.
- 146 Blum W, Garzon R, Klisovic RB, Schwind S, Walker A, Geyer S et al. Clinical response and miR-29b predictive significance in older AML patients treated with a 10-day schedule of decitabine. Proc Natl Acad SciUSA 2010; 107: 7473–7478.
- 147 Klco JM, Spencer DH, Lamprecht TL, Sarkaria SM, Wylie T, Magrini V et al. Genomic impact of transient low-dose decitabine treatment on primary AML cells. Blood 2013; 121: 1633–1643.
- 148 Rao R, Fiskus W, Yang Y, Lee P, Joshi R, Fernandez P et al. HDAC6 inhibition enhances 17-AAG--mediated abrogation of hsp90 chaperone function in human leukemia cells. Blood 2008; 112: 1886–1893.
- 149 Yu C, Rahmani M, Conrad D, Subler M, Dent P, Grant S. The proteasome inhibitor bortezomib interacts synergistically with histone deacetylase inhibitors to induce apoptosis in Bcr/Abl + cells sensitive and resistant to STI571. *Blood* 2003; **102**: 3765–3774.
- 150 Delmore JE, Issa GC, Lemieux ME, Rahl PB, Shi J, Jacobs HM et al. BET bromodomain inhibition as a therapeutic strategy to target c-Myc. Cell 2011; 146: 904–917.
- 151 Picaud S, Da Costa D, Thanasopoulou A, Filippakopoulos P, Fish PV, Philpott M et al. PFI-1, a highly selective protein interaction inhibitor, targeting BET Bromodomains. Cancer Res 2013; 73: 3336–3346.

- 152 Rubnitz JE, Wichlan D, Devidas M, Shuster J, Linda SB, Kurtzberg J et al. Prospective analysis of TEL gene rearrangements in childhood acute lymphoblastic leukemia: a Children's Oncology Group study. J Clin Oncol 2008; 26: 2186–2191.
- 153 Bhojwani D, Pei D, Sandlund JT, Jeha S, Ribeiro RC, Rubnitz JE et al. ETV6-RUNX1positive childhood acute lymphoblastic leukemia: improved outcome with contemporary therapy. Leukemia 2012; 26: 265–270.
- 154 Gang EJ, Hsieh YT, Pham J, Zhao Y, Nguyen C, Huantes S et al. Small-molecule inhibition of CBP/catenin interactions eliminates drug-resistant clones in acute lymphoblastic leukemia. Oncogene 2014; 33: 2169–2178.
- 155 Gao XN, Lin J, Ning QY, Gao L, Yao YS, Zhou JH et al. A histone acetyltransferase p300 inhibitor C646 induces cell cycle arrest and apoptosis selectively in AML1-ETO-positive AML cells. PLoS One 2013; 8: e55481.
- 156 Falk H, Connor T, Yang H, Loft KJ, Alcindor JL, Nikolakopoulos G et al. An efficient high-throughput screening method for MYST family acetyltransferases, a new class of epigenetic drug targets. J Biomol Screening 2011; 16: 1196–1205.
- 157 Harris WJ, Huang X, Lynch JT, Spencer GJ, Hitchin JR, Li Y et al. The histone demethylase KDM1A sustains the oncogenic potential of MLL-AF9 leukemia stem cells. Cancer Cell 2012; 21: 473–487.
- 158 Kruidenier L, Chung CW, Cheng Z, Liddle J, Che K, Joberty G et al. A selective jumonji H3K27 demethylase inhibitor modulates the proinflammatory macrophage response. *Nature* 2012; **488**: 404–408.
- 159 Sayegh J, Cao J, Zou MR, Morales A, Blair LP, Norcia M et al. Identification of small molecule inhibitors of Jumonji AT-rich interactive domain 1B (JARID1B) histone demethylase by a sensitive high throughput screen. J Biol Chem 2013; 288: 9408–9417.
- 160 Vedadi M, Barsyte-Lovejoy D, Liu F, Rival-Gervier S, Allali-Hassani A, Labrie V et al. A chemical probe selectively inhibits G9a and GLP methyltransferase activity in cells. Nat Chem Biol 2011; 7: 566–574.
- 161 Kubicek S, O'Sullivan RJ, August EM, Hickey ER, Zhang Q, Teodoro ML *et al.* Reversal of H3K9me2 by a small-molecule inhibitor for the G9a histone methyltransferase. *Mol Cell* 2007; 25: 473–481.
- 162 Wan H, Huynh T, Pang S, Geng J, Vaccaro W, Poss MA et al. Benzo[d]imidazole inhibitors of Coactivator Associated Arginine Methyltransferase 1 (CARM1)-Hit to Lead studies. Bio-org Med Chem Lett 2009; 19: 5063–5066.
- 163 Sack JS, Thieffine S, Bandiera T, Fasolini M, Duke GJ, Jayaraman L *et al.* Structural basis for CARM1 inhibition by indole and pyrazole inhibitors. *Biochem J* 2011; 436: 331–339.
- 164 Shia WJ, Okumura AJ, Yan M, Sarkeshik A, Lo MC, Matsuura S et al. PRMT1 interacts with AML1-ETO to promote its transcriptional activation and progenitor cell proliferative potential. Blood 2012; 119: 4953–4962.

This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs 3.0 Unported License. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in the credit line; if the material is not included under the Creative Commons license, users will need to obtain permission from the license holder to reproduce the material. To view a copy of this license, visit http://creativecommons. org/licenses/by-nc-nd/3.0/

SPOTLIGHT