Jeffrey Everitt, DVM

Department of Pathology, Duke University, Durham, NC

Craig Fletcher, DVM, PhD, DACLAM

Director, Division of Laboratory Animal Medicine
Assistant Dean for Animal Research Resources
Associate Professor, Department of Pathology and Laboratory Medicine University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill, NO

Charmaine Foltz, DVM, DACLAM

Director, Division of Veterinary Resources, NIH, Bethesda, MD

Paul Houghton CEO, Biologist, Primate Products, Redwood City, CA

Robert F. Hoyt, Jr., DVM, MS, DACLAM Animal Program Director, Frederick National Laboratory for Cancer Research National Cancer Institute, Bethesda, MD

Mary Lou James, BA, RLATG

Consultant, Regulatory Compliance, St. Louis, MO

Alicia Z. Karas, DVM, MS, DACVA

Assistant Professor, Anesthesia, Department of Clinical Sciences, Cummings School of Veterinary Medicine, Tufts University, North Grafton, MA

Bruce W. Kennedy, MS, RLATG, CMAR, CPIA Compliance Associate and Lecturer, Office of Research,

Cal Poly Pomona, Pomona, CA

Krishnan Kolappaswamy, DVM, PhD, DACLAM

Associate Director, Laboratory Animal Medicine-North America Chair, IACUC, Envigo, Indianapolis, IN

Professor and Chairman, Department of Comparative Medicine, Milton S. Hershey Medical Center, Pennsylvania State University, Hershey, PA

Richard H. Latt. DVM. DACLAM

Biotech Services Inc., Montreal, Quebec, Canada

Sherry M. Lewis, PhD Nutritionist/Research Scientist, National Center for Toxicological Research, Jefferson, AR

Assistant Professor of Biology, New Mexico Highlands University, Las Vegas, NM

John A. Maher, MS, MBA, CMAR, RLATG

Associate Director, Comparative Medicine, Pfizer, Pearl River, NY

Jörg Mayer, Dr.med.vet., MSc, MRCVS, DABVP (ECM)

Associate Professor of Zoological Medicine, College of Veterinary Medicine, University of Georgia, Athens, GA

Daniel R. Schwartz, MS, DVM, DACLAM

Attending Veterinarian, Wesleyan University, Middleton, CT

John Curtis Seely, DVM, DACVP

Veterinary Pathologist, Experimental Pathology Laboratories, Research Triangle Park, NC

Jo Ellen Sherow, BS, LATG Director, Research Compliance, Ohio University, Athens, OH

Jerald Silverman, DVM, DACLAM
Professor and Director, Department of Animal Medicine,
University of Massachusetts Medical School, Worcester, MA

Michael K. Stoskopf, DVM, PhD, DACZM

Professor and Director of Environmental Medicine Consortium, College of Veterinary Medicine, North Carolina State University, Raleigh, NC

James Taylor, DVM, MS, DACLAM Management Consultant, Derwood, MD

Robert H. Weichbrod, PhD, MBA, RLATG Animal Program Administrator, National Eye Institute, NIH, Bethesda, MD

Misty J. Williams-Fritze, DVM, MS, DACLAM

Director, Veterinary Services, CBSET, Inc., Lexington, MA

Director, Division of Compliance Oversight, OLAW, NIH, Bethesda, MD

Analyzing resources for self-training in animal welfare

Animal welfare, as a field, is established but still growing. Veterinarians can seek specialized board certification in Animal Welfare, but in the absence of funded residency programs many veterinarians must rely on limited online resources to teach themselves. To gauge the availability of information on topics of animal welfare, Nicolette Petervary and colleagues compiled and analyzed a database of freely available online learning resources that address topics of animal welfare. Their analysis identifies topics that are well represented and, importantly, others that are underrepresented among existing resources, and the authors suggest ways in which content providers can improve their offerings to better support the field of animal welfare.

See page 173

Improvements in the approach to cranial implants

Cranial implants are essential components of modern studies that examine neurophysiology in awake primates. Over the years, researchers have made improvements to cranial implants and the process by which they are surgically installed, but implantation still entails a high risk of major complications. Jessica Johnston and associates at the University of Pennsylvania describe some recent refinements that they have implemented to improve their procedures and reduce the risk of complications during cranial implantation. They describe the complications that arose from their original materials and protocols, and they detail how new technology and equipment improve upon previously used common methods, all with the aim to benefit both surgeons and primate subjects at their institution. See page 180



2016 Nature America, Inc. All rights reserved.