ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Metaproteomics of cellulose methanisation under thermophilic conditions reveals a surprisingly high proteolytic activity

Fan Lü^{1,2}, Ariane Bize¹, Alain Guillot³, Véronique Monnet³, Céline Madigou¹, Olivier Chapleur¹, Laurent Mazéas¹, Pinjing He² and Théodore Bouchez¹

¹Irstea, UR HBAN, F-92761, Antony, France; ²State Key Laboratory of Pollution Control and Resource Reuse, Tongji University, Shanghai, China and ³INRA, UMR1319 MICALIS, PAPPSO, Jouy-en-Josas, France

Cellulose is the most abundant biopolymer on Earth. Optimising energy recovery from this renewable but recalcitrant material is a key issue. The metaproteome expressed by thermophilic communities during cellulose anaerobic digestion was investigated in microcosms. By multiplying the analytical replicates (65 protein fractions analysed by MS/MS) and relying solely on public protein databases, more than 500 non-redundant protein functions were identified. The taxonomic community structure as inferred from the metaproteomic data set was in good overall agreement with 16S rRNA gene tag pyrosequencing and fluorescent *in situ* hybridisation analyses. Numerous functions related to cellulose and hemicellulose hydrolysis and fermentation catalysed by bacteria related to *Caldicellulosiruptor* spp. and *Clostridium thermocellum* were retrieved, indicating their key role in the cellulose-degradation process and also suggesting their complementary action. Despite the abundance of acetate as a major fermentation product, key methanogenesis enzymes from the acetoclastic pathway were not detected. In contrast, enzymes from the hydrogenotrophic pathway affiliated to *Methanothermobacter* were almost exclusively identified for methanogenesis, suggesting a syntrophic acetate oxidation process coupled to hydrogenotrophic methanogenesis. Isotopic analyses confirmed the high dominance of the hydrogenotrophic methanogenesis. Very surprising was the identification of an abundant proteolytic activity from *Coprothermobacter proteolyticus* strains, probably acting as scavenger and/or predator performing proteolysis and fermentation. Metaproteomics thus appeared as an efficient tool to unravel and characterise metabolic networks as well as ecological interactions during methanisation bioprocesses. More generally, metaproteomics provides direct functional insights at a limited cost, and its attractiveness should increase in the future as sequence databases are growing exponentially.

The ISME Journal (2014) 8, 88–102; doi:10.1038/ismej.2013.120; published online 15 August 2013

Subject Category: Integrated genomics and post-genomics approaches in microbial ecology

Keywords: anaerobic digestion; *Caldicellulosiruptor*; cellulosome; *Clostridium thermocellum*; *Coprothermobacter proteolyticus*; metaproteomics

Introduction

Lignocellulosic materials including paper, textile, wood, yard trimmings and crop straws are dominant in municipal solid waste (MSW), agricultural waste and energy crops. Lignocellulose is the most abundant biochemical renewable energy source on Earth, because the bioenergy it contains can be recovered by cost-effective and robust anaerobic digestion technologies that are now widely applied. These processes involve sophisticated self-assembled and largely uncultured microbial communities

comprising tens to hundreds of operational taxonomy units (Chouari *et al.*, 2005; Krause *et al.*, 2008; Kröber *et al.*, 2009; Riviere *et al.*, 2009). However, various biotechnological barriers still hinder a fully optimised process operation. Major issues include the polysaccharide hydrolysis efficiency, the methanogenesis stability and the sensitivity to inhibitions and disturbances (Chen *et al.*, 2008; Ward *et al.*, 2008; Ganidi *et al.*, 2009; Holm-Nielsen *et al.*, 2009). To better pilot anaerobic bioprocesses, additional functional insight into the catalysis of complex organic substrate digestion by the anaerobic microbial communities is required.

Significant progress has been achieved over the last few years. Fluorescent *in situ* hybridisation (FISH) approaches contributed to the identification of cellulolytic bacteria in various anaerobic environments (O'Sullivan *et al.*, 2007). Isotopic labelled

Correspondence: T Bouchez, Irstea, UR HBAN, 1 rue Pierre-Gilles de Gennes CS 10030, F-92761 Antony, France.

E-mail: theodore.bouchez@irstea.fr

Received 21 December 2012; revised 30 May 2013; accepted 7 June 2013; published online 15 August 2013

substrates were exploited to identify the functional microbial groups catalysing the methanisation of cellulose using stable isotope probing (Li *et al.*, 2009). Several metagenomic studies shed light on the identity of the functional groups and provided an extended catalogue of the catalytic potential (Krause *et al.*, 2008; Schlüter *et al.*, 2008; Jaenicke *et al.*, 2011; Rademacher *et al.*, 2012). However, these approaches do not generate direct information on the expressed genes and associated metabolic processes. Recently, metatranscriptome sequencing provided insight into the metabolically active communities of a mesophilic biogas plant (Zakrzewski *et al.*, 2012). Two metaproteomic approaches were implemented on similar anaerobic systems, and the feasibility of such approaches was proved (Abram *et al.*, 2011; Hanreich *et al.*, 2012), and more specifically on the complex matrix of anaerobic lignocellulose-degrading communities (Hanreich *et al.*, 2012). Both based on a two-dimensional gel separation, they enabled the identification of a few dozen functions in the case of psychrophilic anaerobic digestion of glucose-fed wastewater (Abram *et al.*, 2011) and of a dozen functions for methanisation of agricultural biomass in thermophilic conditions (Hanreich *et al.*, 2012).

As thermophilic methanisation is currently emerging as a promising process (van Lier *et al.*, 2001), we investigated the metaproteome obtained from a microcosm containing office paper and inoculated with stabilised digestate from a thermophilic MSW anaerobic digester, with the objective of achieving a comprehensive view. To increase the identification depth, a combination of various separation techniques was employed, and a high number of protein fractions were analysed. The possibility of obtaining valuable information based solely on public protein databases was questioned. For this, identification results using the UniprotKB database were compared with analyses of the microcosm generated independently by a polyphasic approach (16S rRNA gene pyrosequencing, FISH, stable isotopic fractioning signatures of methanogenesis processes). Encouragingly, more than 500 non-redundant protein functions were identified, and the complementary approaches were in overall good agreement.

After presenting an overview of the metaproteomic data set and assessing its validity, functional insights are presented with a focus on each methanisation step (hydrolysis, fermentation, acetogenesis and methanogenesis) and on unexpectedly high proteolytic activities.

Materials and methods

Anaerobic incubations

Sludge was sampled from a 21-m³ thermophilic anaerobic industrial pilot digester located in France,

fed with the organic fraction of MSW. The sample was sieved, stabilised at 55 °C, centrifuged at 13 100 g at 4 °C, aliquoted and stored at –80 °C to serve as inoculum. In each of five 1-l bottle (replicates A–E), 5 g unprinted office paper, 10 g inoculum (wet mass) and 500 g Biochemical Methane Potential buffer (EN-ISO-11734, 1998) were added (see also Supplementary Materials Section S0). Three similar microcosms without paper were set up as control. The bottles were rubber-sealed, the headspace was purged with N₂ and all microcosms were incubated in anaerobic and thermophilic conditions (55 ± 0.5 °C).

Chemical analyses

The degradation dynamics was assessed by measuring the biogas production and composition, pH, concentrations of total organic carbon, total inorganic carbon and volatile fatty acids in the liquid phase. The biogas isotopic composition was analysed by determining $\delta^{13}\text{CH}_4$ and $\delta^{13}\text{CO}_2$ values and calculating the apparent fractionation factor α_c (Qu *et al.*, 2009). Biogas production and composition were assessed as described in Qu *et al.* (2009). The detected gas included CO₂, CH₄, H₂S, N₂, H₂ and O₂. The liquid samples were recovered with a syringe and needle through the rubber septum. They were centrifuged briefly at maximum speed in a bench centrifuge to separate the liquid phase from the cell-containing pellet. The pH was measured on the liquid phase just after sampling. The supernatants and pellets were stored separately at –80 °C for further chemical and biological analyses.

Protein preparation and mass spectrometry analyses

Proteins were extracted and purified from 50 ml samples of the paper anaerobic incubation (replicate A, day 60) using a protocol from Wilmes and Bond (2004), modified to handle samples from a MSW digester containing much debris. Briefly, cells were disrupted by bead-beating in the lysis buffer, and the proteins were purified from the obtained supernatant by trichloroacetic acid–acetone precipitation. Protein concentrations were assessed using the 2-D Quant Kit (GE Healthcare, Aulnay sous Bois, France) and the High Sensitivity Protein 250 Kit (Agilent, Les Ulis, France). The purified proteins were further processed for subsequent MS/MS analyses according to three different strategies detailed below. For every strategy, fixation of each fraction in SDS-PAGE gel (NuPAGE Novex 4–12% Bis-Tris Gel 1.0 mm; Invitrogen, Saint Aubin, France) was the last step, with or without prior separation. Strategy 1 was the separation according to the molecular weight, resulting in 26 fractions: a protein aliquot was migrated by SDS-PAGE and the gel lane was cut into 26 sections. Strategy 2, performed in three technical replicates, was the separation into 12

fractions according to the pI, resulting in a total of 36 fractions: for each protein aliquot, 12 liquid fractions were generated by off-gel isoelectric focusing (OFFGEL-IEF, Agilent 3100 OFFGEL Fractionator, low-resolution kit, pH 3–10, 12 cm Immobilised pH Gradient strip), and each obtained fraction was fixed into SDS-PAGE gel by a very short-duration migration and gel excision. Strategy 3, performed in three technical replicates, was the absence of separation and generated 3 fractions: the protein aliquot was simply fixed in an SDS-PAGE gel fragment by a very short-duration migration followed by gel excision. As a result, a total of 65 fractions (26 + 36 + 3) fixed in SDS-PAGE gel fragments were separately submitted to in-gel tryptic digestion followed by shotgun analyses by nanoLC-MS/MS (LTQ-Orbitrap, Thermo Fisher, Waltham, MA, USA; PAPPISO proteomic platform, INRA, Jouy-en-Josas). The detailed procedures are supplied in the Supplementary Section S1.

Peptide identification and data processing

The mass spectrometry data set produced for each fraction was analysed for peptide and protein identification using X!Tandem software (<http://www.thegpm.org/tandem/>) and the UniProtKB database with nearly 20 million entries (version January 2012, <http://uniprot.org>). The 65 X!Tandem result sets were imported into the software Scaffold 2.0 (Proteome Software, Inc., Portland, OR, USA) to combine, compare and validate identified proteins based on peptide and protein probability. The filtering thresholds were the protein probability >95%, at least two unique peptides per protein, and the peptide probability of at least one unique peptide >90%. The potential contaminant proteins, such as keratin and trypsin, were excluded from the analysis.

The obtained identified redundant proteins were grouped according to two different methods: first, non-redundant protein groups were obtained in Scaffold 2.0 based on the presence of shared identified peptides; second, and independently, another grouping was performed based on belonging to shared UniRef clusters with various identity thresholds (for example, 50%, 90% and 100%). The latter methods provided a simple and convenient insight into the taxonomic specificity of the identified redundant proteins. For instance, the case where an identified protein belonged to a UniRef50 cluster containing solely this protein was a strong indication that the taxonomic assignment and the inferred function were very specific because no other closely related protein sequence was present in the database. The possible presence of a signal peptide within protein sequences was analysed with SignalP (Petersen *et al.*, 2011). The detailed procedures are supplied in the Supplementary Materials (Supplementary Section S1).

DNA analyses

DNA was extracted using the PowerSoil DNA Isolation Kit (MO BIO Laboratories, Inc., Carlsbad, CA, USA) according to the manufacturer's instructions. A series of 16S rRNA-based techniques were conducted to describe the microbial community, including automated ribosomal intergenic spacer analyses (ARISA, Supplementary Figure S12), 16S rRNA gene pyrosequencing and FISH. Pyrosequences were obtained for the raw digestate directly sampled from the industrial facility, the inoculum (sieved and stabilised digestate, see section *Anaerobic incubations*), the replicate A (day 0 and 60) and the replicate B (day 60 and 73). They were deposited in the National Center for Biotechnology Information Short Read Archive as BioProject PRJNA182049. More detailed procedures are supplied in the Supplementary Section S2.

Results and Discussion

Office paper degradation dynamics and general overview of the metaproteome data set

Office paper was selected as a model cellulosic substance, because it is a major component in MSW and has a relatively fixed composition of 70% cellulose and 30% hemicellulose. Batch anaerobic incubations of office paper were conducted at 55 °C in five replicated microcosms labelled from A to E. At day 60, the replicate A was used for metaproteomic analyses, whereas replicates B–E were further incubated until day 120 (Supplementary Materials Section S0). The replicates exhibited a good level of reproducibility, and classical degradation trends were observed (Supplementary Figure S2). The rapid onset of a hydrolytic and acidogenic activity led to the accumulation of volatile fatty acid, reaching a concentration of 360 mg carbon per liter at day 18 and mainly corresponding to acetate. This induced a pH decrease from 7.5 to 5.8. After a phase of slow methane production, the methanogenic activity increased gradually from day 20 onwards until it reached a plateau around day 60. At this stage, 62% of the carbon initially introduced as paper had been degraded, and volatile fatty acid concentrations in the liquid phase were low and mainly corresponded to acetate, propionate and lactate.

All metaproteomic analyses were performed on samples from replicate A, day 60: 65 protein sample fractions were prepared by three different separation strategies and analysed by MS/MS (Materials and methods) to favour a sufficient analysis depth (Figure 1a). Strategy 2 based on OFFGEL-IEF generated the highest number of identified non-redundant protein groups (266, 358 and 360 for each technical replicate, respectively), followed by strategy 1 based on SDS-PAGE (212 protein groups) and by strategy 3 (no separation, 54, 90 and 120 protein groups for each technical

Figure 1 Overview of the metaproteomics results. **(a)** Rarefaction curve. Number of identified non-redundant protein groups in function of the number of protein sample fractions included in the analyses. The fractions were generated by several technical replicates and various separation procedures (Materials and methods). A total of 65 protein fractions were included. **(b)** Distribution of the identified non-redundant protein groups into Clusters of Orthologous Groups. [S] Function unknown, [R] General function prediction only, [Q] Secondary metabolites biosynthesis, transport and catabolism, [P] Inorganic ion transport and metabolism, [I] Lipid transport and metabolism, [H] Coenzyme transport and metabolism, [F] Nucleotide transport and metabolism, [E] Amino acid transport and metabolism, [G] Carbohydrate transport and metabolism, [C] Energy production and conversion, [O] Post-translational modification, protein turnover and chaperon functions, [U] Intracellular trafficking, secretion and vesicular transport, [W] Extracellular structures, [Z] Cytoskeleton, [N] Cell motility, [M] Cell wall/membrane/envelope biogenesis, [T] Signal transduction mechanisms, [V] Defense mechanisms and [Y] Nuclear structure. **(c)** Taxonomic distribution of the identified non-redundant protein groups. Bold number: number of non-redundant protein groups. Number in parenthesis: number of non-redundant protein groups belonging to UniRef50 clusters specific for the considered taxonomic or functional group (*C. proteolyticus* species, *C. thermocellum* species, *Caldicellulosiruptor* genus, *Methanothermobacter* genus and other methanogens, respectively) (see Materials and methods for more details about UniRef50). *Putative uncharacterised protein A4XIB5_CALS8 from *Caldicellulosiruptor*, and/or A71471_METB6 from Methanogens **RNA polymerase sigma factor A4XHW4_CALS8 from *Caldicellulosiruptor* and/or A3DDV0_CLOTH from *C. thermocellum* ***Phenylacetate-CoA ligase A3DD21_CLOTH from *C. thermocellum* and/or A6VIF0_METM7 from Methanogens.

replicate, respectively) (Supplementary Table S4, Supplementary Figure S5). Both the separation step and the amount of starting protein material appeared as important factors to favour identification (Supplementary Table S3). All the data sets were then combined and analysed together. A significant number of proteins were identified using the UniprotKB database (full content). Among the 7 17 065 spectra obtained, 40 818 ($\approx 6\%$) were assigned to peptides by X!Tandem search. As a reference, 25% of the spectra are typically assigned when studying 10 μg proteins from a pure culture of *Lactococcus lactis* (Beganovic *et al.*, 2010). After filtering with Scaffold software, 13 090 peptides corresponding to 2541 potentially redundant proteins were retained. The latter corresponded to 514 non-redundant protein groups and also to 497 distinct UniRef50 clusters (Materials and method). Except for a few protein groups that were highly redundant (for example actin, pyridoxine, RNA

polymerase sigma factor and some oxidoreductases), the redundancy was overall limited and distributed throughout the various protein groups.

According to the classification into the 25 Clusters of Orthologous Groups (Figure 1b), the category amino acids [E] seemed unexpectedly abundant, given that the initial substrate was only composed of carbohydrate. Indeed, the dominant categories were related to energy [C], carbohydrate [G] and amino acids [E], together accounting for 46.6% of the 514 non-redundant protein groups. Post-translation [O], translation [J] and coenzyme [H] were the next dominant functional categories, representing 14.6% of the total. Most proteins related to coenzyme [H] were assigned to archaea.

The taxonomic distribution of the identified proteins based on the non-redundant protein groups (Figure 1c, Supplementary Table S17) suggested the presence of a few dominant groups, possibly linked to the thermophilic conditions and the presence of

one major substrate for growth (office paper). The retrieved taxa were consistent with the anaerobic thermophilic conditions. *Caldicellulosiruptor* species ($\approx 41\%$), *Coprothermobacter proteolyticus* ($\approx 20\%$) and *Clostridium thermocellum* strains ($\approx 16\%$) were the most represented, suggesting the dominance of members of the order Thermoanaerobacterales followed by Clostridiales. *Methanothermobacter thermoautotrophicus* was the dominant archaea ($\approx 5\%$).

Validity and representativeness of the identified proteins

Polyphasic experiments and *in silico* approaches were implemented to evaluate the validity of the metaproteomic data before developing more detailed biological interpretation. This approach suggested that invaluable functional insight could be gained for most of the dominant microbial groups.

The accuracy of the taxonomic distribution resulting from the metaproteomic data set was evaluated by 16S rRNA gene tag pyrosequencing (Figure 2, Supplementary Figure S13). On the same sample (Figure 2, A60) and on samples from replicate B at similar time points (Figure 2, B60 and B73), the most dominant genera were consistently retrieved (*Caldicellulosiruptor*, *Coprothermobacter* and *Methanothermobacter*), and the dominance of members of the orders Thermoanaerobacterales followed by Clostridiales was confirmed. These dominant strains were not abundant in the inoculum (Figure 2, Inoc. A0; Supplementary Figure S12), and they thus developed in the course of the incubation, which further supports their active role during the office paper digestion. FISH analyses, reflecting the abundance of rRNAs in the specifically targeted cells, were performed for the replicate A at day 60. They provided additional elements of proof for the activity and abundance of the three above-mentioned bacterial genera (Supplementary Figure S11). The genera *Gelria* (Firmicutes phylum) and Tta-b61 (Firmicutes phylum) were poorly represented in the metaproteomic data set, although they appeared to be of significant importance based on the pyrosequencing results (Figure 2b, operational taxonomic units 489 and 356–383, respectively). These observations were probably mainly linked to the absence of closely related sequenced genomes in the database (Table 1). In addition, in contrast to the metaproteomic analyses, a low proportion of sequences were attributed to *C. thermocellum* and other Ruminococcaceae cellulolytic strains including *Clostridium cellulosi* (Figure 2b, A60). One possible reason could be that the classification of Firmicutes is very complex and that the taxonomic assignment results obtained on partial 16S rDNA sequences must be interpreted cautiously (average read lengths ~300–400 bp). PCR bias on the sample from microcosm A, day 60, could also be an explanation for this discrepancy because

Figure 2 Taxonomic distributions obtained by 16S rRNA gene pyrosequencing: **(a)** with the archaeal primer set and **(b)** with the bacterial primer set. Dig: collected raw thermophilic digestion sludge; Inoc: thermophilic inoculum (sieved and stabilised digestion sludge); A0, A60, B60 and B73: microcosm and incubation day; * sample also analysed by metaproteomics (A60). For the most abundant groups, the arbitrary operational taxonomic unit (OTU) number is indicated. The cladogram is based on genus frequencies for archaea **(a)** and on OTU frequencies for bacteria **(b)**. See Supplementary Section S2 and Supplementary Table S1 for more details about the procedures. Rarefaction curves are shown in Supplementary Figure S13.

Table 1 Overview of protein detection for some of the abundant bacterial taxonomic groups

	<i>C. proteolyticus</i>	<i>C. thermocellum</i> and <i>Ruminococcaceae</i>	<i>Caldicellulosiruptor</i> species	<i>Gelria</i>	<i>Tta-b61</i>
% in sample from microcosm A, day 60 ^a	43–65	1–2	10–16	20–25	7–12
Closest fully sequenced microbial genome(s) (number of putative proteins encoded in the genomes)	<i>C. proteolyticus</i> (1482)	<i>C. thermocellum</i> (2911–3173)	<i>Caldicellulosiruptor</i> species (2147–2682)	<i>Natranaerobius thermophilus</i> , <i>Desulfotomaculum kuznetsovii</i> , <i>Pelotomaculum thermo-propionicum</i> (2882, 3398, 2919)	<i>Thermoanaerobacter pseudethanolicus</i> (2239)
% 16S ID ^b	97–100	87–100	95–97	88	88
% NAI ^c	60–100	43–100	50–60	45	45
% AAI ^d	95–100	52–100	70–100	53	53
Protein identification probability ^e	0.62–1.00	0.02–1.00	0.21–1.00	0.02	0.02
% of the total number (514) of identified non-redundant proteins	20% (104 proteins)	16% (82 proteins)	37% (192 proteins)	3% (13 proteins)	1% (6 proteins)

^aRough estimate based on the 16S rRNA gene pyrosequencing data values from the bacterial primer set $\pm 20\%$, and neglecting the archaeal population.

^bPercentage of sequence identity between the sample-related sequences and the 16S rRNA gene sequences from the most closely related fully sequenced microbial genome represented in UniprotKB.

^cNucleic acid identity. Estimation based on Zaneveld *et al.* (2010).

^dAmino acid identity. Estimation based on Konstantinidis and Tiedje (2007).

^eEstimation based on Denef *et al.* (2007).

abundant related strains were observed in the sample by FISH with probe UCL284 (Supplementary Table S1, Supplementary Figure S11).

The identification of peptides and proteins using the full UniprotKB database was highly specific. As pointed out in a study by Denef *et al.*, 2007, similar procedures enable cross-strain identification and avoid most cross-species false-positive hits. Indeed in the present case, the overlap between the dominant microbial groups after protein identification was limited to three non-redundant protein groups (Figure 1c). In addition, 24% of the 427 UniRef50 clusters affiliated to the dominant microbial groups were specific for the concerned group, respectively, *Caldicellulosiruptor* species, *C. proteolyticus*, *C. thermocellum* and methanogenic archaea of various orders (Figure 1c, Supplementary Figure S10). All this confirmed the specificity of the identification procedure, and thus strengthens the validity of the identified functions.

In conclusion, the presence in the database of proteomes from fully sequenced genomes closely related to the sample's strains together with the specificity of the identification procedure suggested that interesting functional insights could be gained for most abundant groups (*C. proteolyticus*, *C. thermocellum*-related strains, *Caldicellulosiruptor* species, *Methanothermobacter* species). More limited information was expected for *Gelria* and Tta-b61 groups, which could have been significantly present in the sample.

Ruminococcaceae strains and *Caldicellulosiruptor* species are complementary key actors for polysaccharide hydrolysis

Consistent with paper being the main substrate for the incubations, a large number of proteins potentially involved in cellulose and hemicellulose

binding and hydrolysis or in oligosaccharide metabolism were identified (Table 2). Ruminococcaceae strains including *C. thermocellum*, and *Caldicellulosiruptor* members, appeared as key actors for paper hydrolysis. Moreover, the details of the identified functions suggested a synergetic action of both actors. The former could have been hydrolysing the cellulosic part of the substrate, including the crystalline part, whereas the latter could have been specifically involved in hemicellulose hydrolysis in addition to cellulose hydrolysis.

More specifically, 11 non-redundant protein groups related to polysaccharide hydrolysis were attributed to *C. thermocellum*. This widely studied thermophilic cellulolytic species is known for efficiently hydrolysing the cellulose, including in its crystalline shape (reviewed in Maki *et al.*, 2009). Its cellulosome has been extensively characterised (reviewed in Bayer *et al.*, 2008), and the presence of dockerin/cohesin domains within a protein is a strong indication that they are cellulosomal subunits. The identified proteins included some major cellulosomal structural and catalytic components. In particular, CelS and CelJ proteins are among the most upregulated enzymes for *C. thermocellum* Avicel-grown cells compared with cellobiose-grown cells (Gold and Martin, 2007). Hence, even if a limited number of the over 30 cellulosomal proteins from *C. thermocellum* (Gold and Martin, 2007) were detected, the information was sufficient to confirm the cellulolytic activity of strains closely related to *C. thermocellum*.

Seven non-redundant protein groups related to polysaccharide hydrolysis were affiliated to the *Caldicellulosiruptor* genus (Table 2). This taxon contains cellulolytic members, such as *C. obsidiansis* or *C. bescii* (formerly *Anaerocellum thermophilum*). These do not possess cellulosomes, their cellulolytic system being based on secreted multifunctional

Table 2 Identified proteins putatively related to polysaccharide hydrolysis

Information based on public databases and publications					Mass spectrometry		
Identified proteins ^a	Uniprot entry (gene) ^b [Reference] ^c	UniRef50 clusters: ID name taxonomic range	CAZy ^d	Domains ^e	Putative functions or activities ^d	Number of peptide ions ^f	Coverage (%) ^g
Affiliated mainly to <i>C. thermocellum</i> strains (cellulolytic system based on a cellulosome)							
O86999_CLOTM (slpA) A3DHX1_CLOTH		UniRef50_Q086999 S-layer protein <i>C. thermocellum</i>		SLH signal	S-layer protein; functional partners: carbohydrate-binding protein A3DET8_CLOTH (STRING 9 network http://string-db.org/)	32	42
Q06851_CLOTH (cipA) [1] D1NHZ0_CLOTM E6U082_CLOTL A3DD30_CLOTH C7HDM6_CLOTM D1NI43_CLOTM E6USK3_CLOTL P71140_CLOTM (celJ) [1]		UniRef50_Q06851 Cellulosomal-scaffold protein <i>A. C. thermocellum</i> UniRef50_C7HDM6 Glycoside hydrolase family 9 domain protein, Ig domain protein cellulolytic Clostridiales	CBM3 CBM30 CBM44 GH9 GH44	Dockerin cohesin signal Dockerin Ig-like_fold signal	Cellulosomal-scaffolding protein GH44: endoglucanase, xyloglucanase, active on many substances (GH9: endoglucanase)	20 11	15 9.3
A3DH67_CLOTH (celS) [1] P0C2S5_CLOTM (celS) C7HDX8_CLOTM E6US41_CLOTL D1NQ73_CLOTM		UniRef50_P22534 Endoglucanase A mainly cellulolytic Clostridia	GH48	Dockerin signal	GH48: processive exoglucanase acting on reducing end, endo-processive cellulose, key component for enzymatic synergy, most abundant enzyme subunit	7	6.9
Q06852_CLOTH (olpB) [1] D1NHZ1_CLOTM E6U083_CLOTL C7HDC4_CLOTM E6URK4_CLOTL		UniRef50_Q06852 Cell surface glycoprotein 1 mainly <i>C. thermocellum</i> UniRef50_D1NNW4 Type 3a cellulose-binding domain protein <i>C. thermocellum</i> UniRef50_A3DBG8 Ig domain protein group 2 domain protein mainly <i>C. thermocellum</i>	CBM3	Cohesin SLH signal signal	Cellulosome anchoring protein CBM3a	6 5	4.8 6.7
A3DBG8_CLOTH C7HH13_CLOTM E6UN62_CLOTL D1NKR6_CLOTM				SLH Big_2 signal	Functional partners: carbohydrate-binding protein A3DET8 (STRING 9 network http://string-db.org/) GH94: cellobiose phosphorylase	5	1.4
A3DC35_CLOTH C7HGL0_CLOTM E6ULX2_CLOTL		UniRef50_D2Q7C4 Cellobiose phosphorylase mainly cellulolytic Clostridiales, Actinobacteridae	CBM GH94			3	3.3
A3DDD5_CLOTH C7HD15_CLOTM D1NMI1_CLOTM E6URU4_CLOTL A3DIQ6_CLOTH C7HEH9_CLOTM D1NRB6_CLOTM E6UTK1_CLOTL Q93HT8_CLOTM (cdp-ym4)		UniRef50_C7HD15 Cellulosome protein dockerin type I mainly <i>C. thermocellum</i> UniRef50_D9SR15 Glycosyltransferase 36(f) mainly <i>C. thermocellum</i>	GH94	Dockerin signal	Cellulosome protein dockerin type I GH94: cellodextrin phosphorylase	2 2	3.4 2.8
C7HJV5_CLOTM D1NR31_CLOTM D1NRP9_CLOTM O52779_CLOTM (xynV) E6UT15_CLOTL O52780_CLOTM (xynU) O87118_CLOTM (xynB) O87119_CLOTM (xynA) [1] E6UT14_CLOTL A3DJP0_CLOTH Q8GJ44_CLOSR (xynA)		UniRef50_A3DJP0 Glycoside hydrolase family 11 mainly cellulolytic Clostridiales	CBM6 GH11 CE4	Dockerin signal	GH11: endo-β-1,4-xylanase	2	4.2
Affiliated mainly to <i>Caldicellulosiruptor</i> species (cellulolytic system based on multifunctional enzymes)							
E4SCW4_CALK2		UniRef50_Q9KWWY5 Beta-mannanase mainly thermophilic bacteria and archaea, including many Clostridia	GH3		GH3: removes single glycosyl residues from the non-reducing end, dual or broad substrate specificities, β-glucosidase CE7: acetyl xylan esterase	7	16
A4XM78_CALS8		UniRef50_F8F4V8 Esterase mainly Clostridia and Bacilli	CE7			4	11
P22534_CALSA (celA) [2] A4XIF5_CALS8		UniRef50_P22534 Endoglucanase A mainly Clostridia and other bacteria	CBM3 GH9 GH48	Signal	GH48: processive exoglucanase acting on reducing end, endo-processive cellulose, key component for enzymatic synergy, most abundant enzyme subunit	3	1.3

Table 2 (Continued)

Identified proteins ^a	Information based on public databases and publications				Mass spectrometry	
	Uniprot entry (gene) ^b (Reference) ^c	UniRef50 clusters: ID name taxonomic range	CAZy ^d	Domains ^e	Putative functions or activities ^d	Number of peptide ions ^f Coverage (%) ^g
E45B60_CALK1 G2PWXX9_9FIRM [2]		UniRef50_A4XIG8 Glycosyltransferase 36 ^(b) <i>Caldicellulosigraptor</i>	GH94 CBM		GH94: cellobiose phosphorylase, membrane protein	2 3.9
G2PWE2_9FIRM		UniRef50_E4Q5G9 Endo-1,4-beta-xylanase mainly <i>Caldicellulosigraptor</i>	CBM9 CenC-like CBM GH10	SLH Signal	GH10: endo-beta-1,4-xylanase, membrane protein	2 1.3
A4XN28_CALS8 B9MLB5_ANATD D9TFV3_CALO0 E4Q4G6_CALOW E4QE34_CALH1 E4S9M6_CALKI E4SEW2_CALK2 F7KFZ8_Lachno B9MN93_ANATD D9TGX7_CALO0 E4Q6A9_CALOW E4QAK4_CALH1		UniRef50_P39130 Putative glucosidase lplID mainly <i>Clostridia</i> and <i>Bacillales</i>	GH4		GH4: distinct substrate specificities, unusual mechanism involving NAD ⁺	2 6.7
		UniRef50_Q9KWY5 Beta-mannanase mainly thermophilic bacteria and archaea including many <i>Clostridia</i>	GH3		GH3: remove single glycosyl residues from the non-reducing end, dual or broad substrate specificities, β -glucosidase	2 7.1
<i>Affiliated to Coprothermobacter proteolyticus strains (non-cellulolytic organisms)</i>						
B5Y6P8_COPPD		UniRef50_B5Y6P8 Amylopullulanase <i>C. proteolyticus</i>	GH13		GH13: amylopullulanase, α -amylase, pullulanase (etc), wide range of different preferred substrates and products	26 21
B5Y7R5_COPPD		UniRef50_B5Y7R5 Endoxylanase <i>C. proteolyticus</i>		SLH signal	Endoxylanase, pectin lyase	2 3.3

^aRedundant protein list: all possibilities corresponding to a given set of peptides are shown. *Italic font*: entry showing 100% sequence identity with the above entry. The affiliation to distinct UniRef90 clusters is materialised by distinct paragraphs.

^b*Clostridium* species: CLOTH, *C. thermocellum* strain ATCC 27405/DSM 1237; CLOTM, *C. thermocellum* strain YS; CLOTL, *C. thermocellum* strain DSM 1313/LMG 6656/LQ8; CLOS, *C. stercorarium*; *Lachnospiraceae* species: Lachno, *L. bacterium*; *Caldicellulosigraptor* species: 9FIRM, *C. lactoaceticus*; ANATD, *C. beccarii*; CALH1, *C. hydrothermalis*; CALK2, *C. kronotskyensis*; CALKI, *C. kristjanssonii*; CALS8, *C. saccharolyticus*; CALSA, *C. saccharolyticus*; CALOO, *C. obsidiansis*; CALOW, *C. owensensis*; and *Coprothermobacter* species: COPPD, *C. proteolyticus*.

^cReference indicating experimental evidence for the expression and/or activity of the corresponding protein or a closely related one. [1] = Gold and Martin, 2007; [2] = Lochner *et al.*, 2011.

^dMore information at <http://www.cazy.org/> and <http://www.cazy.org/> (Cantarel *et al.*, 2009). CBM, carbohydrate-binding module; GH, glycoside hydrolase; CE, carbohydrate esterase.

^eSLH, S-layer homology domain; Big, bacterial immunoglobulin-like, group 2; Ig, immunoglobulin-like; signal, signal sequence (secreted or membrane protein).

^fNumber of unique parent peptide ions matched (including different charge states, modifications).

^gPercentage of amino acid coverage to the matched protein.

^hGlycosyltransferase 36 was recently reclassified as glycoside hydrolase 94 (GH94) according to CAZy.

enzymes; they usually utilise a broad range of plant materials, including crystalline cellulose, cellulose, hemicellulose, starch and pectin, with a very high hydrogen yield (van de Werken *et al.*, 2008; VanFossen *et al.*, 2009; Yang *et al.*, 2009; Hamilton-Brehm *et al.*, 2010; Lochner *et al.*, 2011). Among the non-redundant protein groups identified for *Caldicellulosiruptor*, four were probably related to hemicellulose degradation (two beta-mannanases UniRef50_Q9KWY5, one acetyl xylan esterase UniRef50_F8F4V8 and one endoxylanase UniRef50_E4Q5G9). This suggested that *Caldicellulosiruptor* members were also present in the incubations and partly specialised in hemicellulose degradation.

To the best of our knowledge, results on cellulolytic species functions and interactions have not been reported in such detail for cellulose methanisation by complex microbial communities.

Identified proteins related to central carbon metabolism

The identified proteins related to central carbon metabolism (Supplementary Tables S6–S8, Supplementary Figures S7–S9) reinforced the conclusions concerning the major contribution of *Caldicellulosiruptor* species (see also Supplementary Table S20) and *C. thermocellum* (see also Supplementary Table S19) to saccharification and fermentation during the incubation, with the former more oriented towards hemicellulolysis compared with the latter, which is more specialised for cellulolysis. In addition, these results highlighted the important contribution of *C. proteolyticus* as fermenting microorganism (see also Supplementary Table S18) and indicated the possible activity of other non-dominant species. Finally, they suggested that each of these groups produced a distinct combination of metabolic end products and that acetate was produced by most of the identified fermentative bacterial groups.

More specifically, after polysaccharide and oligosaccharide hydrolysis, monosaccharides are channelled to the central catabolic pathways to generate pyruvate. None of the enzymes from the Entner-Doudoroff pathway were detected. In contrast, proteins from the Embden–Meyerhof pathway (glycolysis) corresponding to 24 distinct UniRef50 clusters were identified (Supplementary Table S6, Supplementary Figure S7). Mainly attributed to strains from the *C. thermocellum*, *C. proteolyticus* and *Caldicellulosiruptor* genera, they confirmed the importance of these Gram-positive members of the class Clostridia in the studied community. Remarkably, considering together the identified proteins affiliated to the *Caldicellulosiruptor* genus, only the phosphofructokinase was not detected; all other nine enzymes from the Embden–Meyerhof pathway were retrieved for this genus. Proteins from the non-oxidative arm of the pentose phosphate pathway were present as

well (Supplementary Table S6, Supplementary Figure S7, 10 distinct UniRef50 clusters) and overall attributed to the same three Clostridia taxa. In particular, enzymes processing the xylose—a major building block from hemicellulose—to the pentose phosphate pathway non-oxidative branch were identified and attributed to *Caldicellulosiruptor* species (Supplementary Table S6, Supplementary Figure S7, for example, xylose isomerase, step 28, and xylulokinase, step 29). This was consistent with the above-mentioned role of *Caldicellulosiruptor* species in hemicellulolysis.

Proteins associated with pyruvate metabolism and corresponding to 23 distinct UniRef50 clusters were also identified (Supplementary Table S7, Supplementary Figure S8), pyruvate being the glycolytic pathway end product. They were, however, distributed among various taxa, mainly *C. thermocellum*, *C. proteolyticus* and *Caldicellulosiruptor* species (as above), as well as *Pelotomaculum thermopropionicum* (Clostridia class). The latter is a known anaerobic thermophilic, syntrophic, propionate-oxidising bacterium (Imachi *et al.*, 2002).

Acetyl-CoA and other tricarboxylic acid cycle intermediates are further catalysed into different fermentation products. The identified proteins (Supplementary Table S8, Supplementary Figure S9) corresponded to 21 distinct UniRef50 clusters and were mainly affiliated to *Caldicellulosiruptor* species and *C. proteolyticus*, followed by *C. thermocellum* and *Thermosinus carboxydivorans*. The latter is an anaerobic thermophilic hydrogen-producing bacterium (Sokolova *et al.*, 2004). According to the identified enzymes, a variety of fermentation products could have been generated. *C. thermocellum* strains could have been mainly generating lactate, ethanol and acetate as metabolic end products (Supplementary Table S8, (11), (13), (17)). *Caldicellulosiruptor* species could have been producing mainly lactate, propanoate and acetate (Supplementary Table S8, (11), (13), (15), (16)). *C. proteolyticus* strains could have been producing formate, butanol, butanoate and acetate (Supplementary Table S8, (1), (7), (11), (12)).

However, it is difficult to draw definite conclusions concerning the nature and number of the fermentation products because of incomplete information.

Syntrophic acetate oxidation and hydrogenotrophic methanogenesis are the dominant pathways for methane production

At the metabolic level, most methanogens (including representatives of the *Methanothermobacter* genus) perform hydrogenotrophic methanogenesis exclusively; only members of the order Methanosarcinales perform acetoclastic methanogenesis or both methanogenesis pathways (Thauer *et al.*, 2008). The data generated by pyrosequencing, metaproteomic approaches and isotopic analyses together strongly

15, blue). The identified proteins related to methanogenesis were mainly affiliated to *Methanothermobacter* members (Supplementary Table S9), consistent with a hydrogenotrophic pathway and with the pyrosequencing analyses (see above). Finally, the dominance of the hydrogenotrophic pathway was also supported by the apparent isotopic fractionation α_C values determined over time (Figure 4, Supplementary Figure S3) (Conrad, 2005).

As acetate first accumulated during the degradation (Supplementary Figure S2) and was then consumed during the phase of biogas isotopic enrichment, it was reasonable to assume that acetate was transformed into H_2 and CO_2 by syntrophic acetate oxidation (SAO). The presence of syntrophic hydrogen suppliers in the community was therefore questioned (reviewed in Schink, 1997).

Figure 4 Temporal evolution of the apparent fractionation factor α_c calculated from the ^{13}C isotopic signal of methane (δCH_4) and CO_2 (δCO_2) in the biogas. For substrates of natural isotopic composition, such as office paper in the present case, α_c values > 1.065 are associated with hydrogenotrophic methanogenesis (Conrad, 2005). Measures were performed on the five replicates and on two controls. The mean values and s.d. are shown.

Metaproteomic together with the pyrosequencing data brought some interesting insights, but definitive conclusions could not be drawn owing to the lack of known protein specific for the SAO pathways and to the still limited knowledge about thermophilic SAO microorganisms compared with mesophilic ones (reviewed in Hattori, 2008; Westerholm *et al.*, 2011; and other publications from Schnürer's group).

In the present case, the majority of the key bacterial enzymes involved in the Acetyl-CoA pathway were identified (Figure 3, Acetogens, steps 12–14), suggesting the presence of acetogenic bacteria. They represented eight non-redundant protein groups with an average of four peptides per group and 12% protein coverage (Supplementary Table S9). They included subunits from the carbon monoxide dehydrogenase/acetyl-coA synthase/decarboxylase complex (Supplementary Table S9, step 14) assigned to *Moorella thermoacetica* (acsC gene), *Carboxydotherrhus hydrogenoformans* and *Thermodesulfatator indicus* (cooSI gene). Four formyltetrahydrofolate synthetase proteins (regarded as the key and specific enzymes for the oxidative Acetyl-CoA pathway, also named Eastern branch of the Wood-Ljungdahl pathway) were retrieved and assigned to *Caldicellulosiruptor lactoaceticus* (two of them), *Desulfatibacillum alkenivorans* and *C. proteolyticus*, respectively. Finally, a serine hydroxymethyltransferase was assigned to *C. proteolyticus* (Supplementary Table S9, step 22).

Comparing these results to the pyrosequencing data, *C. proteolyticus* and *Gelria* bacteria can be proposed as important H_2 producers in the system and might have established efficient syntrophy with *Methanothermobacter* archaea (Plugge *et al.*, 2002; Sasaki *et al.*, 2011). However, according to the

literature (Plugge *et al.*, 2002; Sasaki *et al.*, 2011) and to their protein expression profile, they corresponded to typical fermenting microorganisms rather than to obligate SAO. Based on the pyrosequencing data, other good candidates for SAO could be other members of the order Thermoanaerobacteriales (Figure 2b). For instance, the family Thermoanaerobacteraceae comprises thermophilic and strictly anaerobic acetogenic species such as *Thermoacetogenium phaeum* (SAO, Hattori, 2008), *M. thermoacetica* (homoacetogen, Pierce *et al.*, 2008) and *C. hydrogenoformans* (hydrogenogenic bacterium producing the carbon monoxide dehydrogenase/acetyl-coA synthase/decarboxylase complex with the corresponding acs operon closely related to that of *M. thermoacetica*; Wu *et al.*, 2005). The moderate proportion of pyrosequencing sequences attributed to the Thermoanaerobacteriales order ($\sim 0.4\%$ without *Gelria*) seems similar to proportions observed for SAO bacteria in other systems (for example, Wersterholm *et al.*, 2011). Strains belonging to the Clostridiales order may also have been performing SAO.

In conclusion, the microorganisms acting as SAO in syntrophy with the hydrogenotrophic methanogens could not be clearly identified, but the data indicated the presence of several strains closely related to known homoacetogens or SAO microorganisms. As presented above, the limited number of identified proteins related to SAO candidates was probably linked to the lack of closely related entries in the protein database.

Nitrogen metabolism and recycling: the abundance of proteolytic strains from the species *C. proteolyticus*

Among the numerous identified proteins putatively involved in ammonia assimilation and amino acid biosynthesis (Supplementary Table S10) and in peptidase activities (Supplementary Table S11), 22 were surprisingly affiliated to *C. proteolyticus* (11 in each of Supplementary Tables S10 and S11), indicating that *C. proteolyticus* strains could have been exerting an intensive proteolytic activity during the methanisation (Cai *et al.*, 2011).

The extracellular protease activity from *C. proteolyticus* strains was first supported by the identification of a putative extracellular cell wall-attached protease (B5Y6Q5, MEROPS S8A). Based on MEROPS information (Rawlings *et al.*, 2012), this protease could have a role in nutrition and it could have been abundantly produced because 13 peptides were detected by MS/MS analyses, the highest level among identified peptidases (Supplementary Table S10). In addition, a putative peptidase T from *C. proteolyticus* was possibly involved in general protein turnover (B5Y9V8, MEROPS M20). Very interestingly, three of the putative peptidases identified from *C. proteolyticus* were potentially involved in microcin synthesis (bacterial toxin composed of few peptides) (Duquesne *et al.*, 2007;

Cai *et al.*, 2011). Indeed, they belonged to the three distinct UniRef50 clusters UniRef50_B5Y6N5 Tldt/PmbA, UniRef50_B5Y6N6 LmbIH and UniRef50_B5Y9Y2 TldD, and to the MEROPS family U62. This suggested that *C. proteolyticus* could have been actively predated other microorganisms in addition to simply scavenging extracellular proteinaceous material.

This proteolytic activity was further supported by other retrieved protein functions. In particular, seven ABC transporter clusters were attributed to *C. proteolyticus* including three related to peptide transport (B5Y898, B56YV2-B5Y6V3 and B5Y897), suggesting an important peptide import/export activity (Supplementary Table S12). Several proteins from *C. proteolyticus* related to oxidative stress response or to virulence factor were also identified, but their role remains unclear.

Finally, the important activity of *C. proteolyticus* members in the present anaerobic system was generally supported by the present body of data. One-hundred and four non-redundant protein groups were affiliated to *C. proteolyticus*

(Figure 1c, Supplementary Table S18), among which 53 belonged to UniRef50 clusters specific to the species. About 30% of the 16S rRNA gene pyrotags were attributed to the species (Figure 2b), and a significant fraction of the bacterial community was hybridised with a probe targeting *Corprothermobacter* species (Supplementary Figure S11).

The proteolytic activity of *Coprophthermobacter* members is clearly supported by the literature, and such strains were detected a number of times during anaerobic digestion of protein-rich waste (for example, Ollivier *et al.*, 1985; Kersters *et al.*, 1994; Etchebehere *et al.*, 1998; Sasaki *et al.*, 2007, 2011). It was furthermore reported that their ability to ferment carbohydrates was far inferior compared with that to ferment proteins (Ollivier *et al.*, 1985; Kersters *et al.*, 1994; Etchebehere *et al.*, 1998). Their abundance in a system fed with paper as the sole exogenous substrate is surprising and suggests that extracellular proteinaceous material was abundant. Several distinct and non-exclusive protein sources can be suggested: the protein constituents of the EPS (up to 40% based on Liu

Figure 5 Functional model for the anaerobic digestion of lignocellulose by complex thermophilic communities.

and Fang, 2002); the abundant extracellular enzymes, in particular cellulosomes/cellulases or other proteins secreted by *C. thermocellum* (Ellis *et al.*, 2012) and other cellulolytic strains; dead cell material present in the initial inoculum; and finally dead cell material generated during the incubation by the counter-selection of poorly competitive strains, by various stresses or by predation. Possible predators could be *C. proteolyticus* members, as discussed above, as well as viruses, whose presence is compatible with the four identified CRISPR-associated protein groups (Supplementary Table S15) (Bhaya *et al.*, 2011).

Concluding remarks

Combining metaproteomic analyses and isotopic, chemical and molecular approaches, the present study provides one of the most comprehensive views of expressed biological functions during cellulosic waste methanisation, complementing the information gained from previous metagenomic or metatranscriptomic studies (for example, Krause *et al.*, 2008; Schluter *et al.*, 2008; Jaenicke *et al.*, 2011; Rademacher *et al.*, 2012; Zarkzewski *et al.*, 2012). Using the complete UniprotKB database, over 500 protein functions were identified. The novel information gained on the microbial catalysts highlights the importance of ecological interactions between microbial groups, especially cooperation, for efficient methanisation. Based on the results, a model for the studied ecosystem is suggested (Figure 5). Its main features are as follows: the complementarity of distinct cellulolytic microbial groups to hydrolyse the recalcitrant substrate; the absence of acetoclastic methanogenesis despite the abundance of acetate as fermentation product; the dominance of hydrogenotrophic methanogenesis in association with syntrophic microorganisms; and finally the abundance of proteolytic fermentative *Coprothermobacter* strains. For a given microbial group, the identification level strongly relied on the availability of genome sequences from closely related strains. Consequently, the picture is still incomplete, and additional information could probably be gained concerning the function of *Gelria* and Tta-b61 with a more specific database that could typically be obtained by complementary metagenomic approaches.

To our knowledge, the abundant proteolytic activity has never been reported for similar polysaccharide-fed bioprocesses. Further investigation of proteolytic activity in large-scale methanisation plants treating lignocellulosic waste could help to better understand the carbon and nitrogen fluxes during such processes. The probable complementarity of distinct cellulolytic strains is also an important aspect, and whether it is a general feature in similar systems remains an open question.

Metaproteomics appears as an attractive tool for providing direct and cost-limited access to functional information (reviewed in Wilmes and Bond, 2009; Schneider and Riedel, 2010). The exponential increase in sequence database size should reinforce the attractiveness of this approach in the future.

Conflict of Interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Acknowledgements

Equipment at Irstea HBAN was acquired in the framework of the LABE project funded by CPER 2007–2013. The project was funded by Agence Nationale de la Recherche, project ANR Bioénergies/DANAC and by the project NSFC (21177096, 50878166). We acknowledge Elie Desmond for his valuable help and discussions on the taxonomic analyses and Yohan Rodolphe for his contribution to the sequencing experiments. The authors also would like to thank the anonymous reviewers for their helpful comments.

References

- Abram F, Enright AM, O'Reilly J, Botting CH, Collins G, O'Flaherty V. (2011). A metaproteomic approach gives functional insights into anaerobic digestion. *J Appl Microbiol* **110**: 1550–1560.
- Bayer EA, Lamed R, White BA, Flint HJ. (2008). From cellulosomes to cellulosomes. *Chem Rec* **8**: 364–377.
- Beganovic J, Guillot A, van de Guchte M, Jouan A, Gitton C, Loux V *et al.* (2010). Characterization of the insoluble proteome of *Lactococcus lactis* by SDS-PAGE LC-MS/MS leads to the identification of new markers of adaptation of the bacteria to the mouse digestive tract. *J Proteome Res* **9**: 677–688.
- Bhaya D, Davison M, Barrangou R. (2011). CRISPR-Cas systems in bacteria and archaea: versatile small RNAs for adaptive defense and regulation. *Annu Rev Genet* **45**: 273–297.
- Cai H, Gu J, Wang Y. (2011). Protease complement of the thermophilic bacterium *Coprothermobacter proteolyticus*. In: Arabina HR, Tran Q-N (eds) *Proceeding of the International Conference on Bioinformatics and Computational Biology BIOCAMP'11*. ISBN: Las Vegas, Nevada, USA, pp 18–21.
- Cantarel BL, Coutinho PM, Rancurel C, Bernard T, Lombard V, Henrissat B. (2009). The carbohydrate-active enzymes database (CAZy): an expert resource for glycogenomics. *Nucleic Acids Res* **37**: D233–D238.
- Chen Y, Cheng JJ, Creamer KS. (2008). Inhibition of anaerobic digestion process: a review. *Biores Technol* **99**: 4044–4064.
- Chouari R, Le Paslier D, Daegelen P, Ginestet P, Weissenbach J, Sghir A. (2005). Novel predominant archaeal and bacterial groups revealed by molecular analysis of an anaerobic sludge digester. *Environ Microbiol* **7**: 1104–1115.

- Conrad R. (2005). Quantification of methanogenic pathways using stable carbon isotopic signatures: a review and a proposal. *Org Geochem* **36**: 739–752.
- Denef VJ, Shah MB, VerBerkmoes NC, Hettich RL, Banfield JF. (2007). Implications of strain- and species-level sequence divergence for community and isolate shotgun proteomic analysis. *J Proteome Res* **6**: 3152–3161.
- Duquesne S, Destoumieux-Garzon D, Peduzzi J, Rebuffat S. (2007). Microcins, gene-encoded antibacterial peptides from enterobacteria. *Nat Prod Rep* **24**: 708–734.
- Ellis LD, Holwerda EK, Hogsett D, Rogers S, Shao X, Tschaplinski T *et al.* (2012). Closing the carbon balance for fermentation by *Clostridium thermocellum* (ATCC 27405). *Biores Technol* **103**: 293–299.
- Etchebehere C, Pavan ME, Zorzopulos J, Soubes M, Muxi L. (1998). *Coprothermobacter platensis* sp. nov., a new anaerobic proteolytic thermophilic bacterium isolated from an anaerobic mesophilic sludge. *Int J Syst Bacteriol* **48**: 1297–1304.
- Ganidi N, Tyrrel S, Cartmell E. (2009). Anaerobic digestion foaming causes—a review. *Biores Technol* **100**: 5546–5554.
- Gold ND, Martin VJJ. (2007). Global view of the *Clostridium thermocellum* cellulosome revealed by quantitative proteomic analysis. *J Bacteriol* **189**: 6787–6795.
- Hamilton-Brehm SD, Mosher JJ, Vishnivetskaya T, Podar M, Carroll S, Allman S *et al.* (2010). *Caldicellulosiruptor obsidiansis* sp. nov., an anaerobic, extremely thermophilic, cellulolytic bacterium isolated from Obsidian Pool, Yellowstone National Park. *Appl Environ Microbiol* **76**: 1014–1020.
- Hanreich A, Heyer R, Benndorf D, Rapp E, Pioch M, Reichl U *et al.* (2012). Metaproteome analysis to determine the metabolically active part of a thermophilic microbial community producing biogas from agricultural biomass. *Can J Microbiol* **58**: 917–922.
- Hattori S. (2008). Syntrophic acetate-oxidizing microbes in methanogenic environments. *Microbes Environ* **23**: 118–127.
- Holm-Nielsen JB, Al Seadi T, Oleskowicz-Popiel P. (2009). The future of anaerobic digestion and biogas utilization. *Biores Technol* **100**: 5478–5484.
- Imachi H, Sekiguchi Y, Kamagata Y, Hanada S, Ohashi A, Harada H. (2002). *Pelotomaculum thermopropionicum* gen. nov., sp. nov., an anaerobic, thermophilic, syntrophic propionate-oxidizing bacterium. *Int J Syst Evol Microbiol* **52**: 1729–1735.
- Jaenicke S, Ander C, Bekel T, Bisdorf R, Dröge M, Gartemann KH *et al.* (2011). Comparative and joint analysis of two metagenomic datasets from a biogas fermenter obtained by 454-pyrosequencing. *PLoS One* **6**: e14519.
- Kerstens I, Maestrojuan GM, Torck U, Vancanneyt M, Kersters K, Verstraete W. (1994). Isolation of *Coprothermobacter proteolyticus* from an anaerobic digest and further characterization of the species. *Sys Appl Microbiol* **17**: 289–295.
- Konstantinidis KT, Tiedje JM. (2007). Prokaryotic taxonomy and phylogeny in the genomic era: advancements and challenges ahead. *Curr Opin Microbiol* **10**: 504–509.
- Krause L, Diaz NN, Edwards RA, Gartemann K-H, Krömeke H, Neuwger H *et al.* (2008). Taxonomic composition and gene content of a methane producing microbial community isolated from a biogas reactor. *J Biotechnol* **136**: 91–101.
- Kröber M, Bekel T, Diaz NN, Goesmann A, Jaenicke S, Krause L *et al.* (2009). Phylogenetic characterization of a biogas plant microbial community integrating clone library 16S-rDNA sequences and metagenome sequence data obtained by 454-pyrosequencing. *J Biotechnol* **142**: 38–49.
- Li T, Mazeas L, Sghir A, Leblon G, Bouchez T. (2009). Insights into networks of functional microbes catalysing methanization of cellulose under mesophilic conditions. *Environ Microbiol* **11**: 889–904.
- Liu H, Fang HH. (2002). Extraction of extracellular polymeric substances (EPS) of sludges. *J Biotechnol* **95**: 249–256.
- Lochner A, Giannone RJ, Keller M, Antranikian G, Graham DE, Hettich RL. (2011). Label-free quantitative proteomics for the extremely thermophilic bacterium *Caldicellulosiruptor obsidiansis* reveal distinct abundance patterns upon growth on cellobiose, crystalline cellulose, and switchgrass. *J Proteome Res* **10**: 5302–5314.
- Maki M, Leung KT, Qin W. (2009). The prospects of cellulase-producing bacteria for the bioconversion of lignocellulosic biomass. *Int J Biol Sci* **5**: 500–516.
- Ollivier BM, Mah RA, Ferguson TJ, Boone DR, Garcia JL, Robinson R. (1985). Emendation of the genus *Thermobacteroides*—*Thermobacteroides-Proteolyticus* sp-nov, a proteolytic acetogen from a methanogenic enrichment. *Int J Syst Bacteriol* **35**: 425–428.
- O'Sullivan C, Burrell PC, Clarke WP, Blackall LL. (2007). A survey of the relative abundance of specific groups of cellulose degrading bacteria in anaerobic environments using fluorescence *in situ* hybridization. *J Appl Microbiol* **103**: 1332–1343.
- Petersen TN, Brunak S, von Heijne G, Nielsen H. (2011). SignalP 4.0: discriminating signal peptides from transmembrane regions. *Nat Methods* **8**: 785–786.
- Pierce E, Xie G, Barabote RD, Saunders E, Han CS, Dettler JC *et al.* (2008). The complete genome sequence of *Moorella thermoacetica* (f. *Clostridium thermoaceticum*). *Environ Microbiol* **10**: 2550–2573.
- Plugge CM, Balk M, Zoetendal EG, Stams AJM. (2002). *Gelria glutamica* gen. nov., sp. nov., a thermophilic, obligately syntrophic, glutamate-degrading anaerobe. *Int J Syst Evol Microbiol* **52**: 401–407.
- Qu X, Mazéas L, Vavilin VA, Epissard J, Lemunier M, Mouchel J-M *et al.* (2009). Combined monitoring of changes in delta13CH4 and archaeal community structure during mesophilic methanization of municipal solid waste. *FEMS Microbiol Ecol* **68**: 236–245.
- Rademacher A, Zakrzewski M, Schlüter A, Schönberg M, Szczepanowski R, Goesmann A *et al.* (2012). Characterization of microbial biofilms in a thermophilic biogas system by high-throughput metagenome sequencing. *FEMS Microbiol Ecol* **79**: 785–799.
- Rawlings ND, Barrett AJ, Bateman A. (2012). MEROPS: the database of proteolytic enzymes, their substrates and inhibitors. *Nucleic Acids Res* **40**: D343–D350.
- Riviere D, Desvignes V, Pelletier E, Chaussonnerie S, Guermazi S, Weissenbach J *et al.* (2009). Towards the definition of a core of microorganisms involved in anaerobic digestion of sludge. *ISME J* **3**: 700–714.

- Sasaki K, Haruta S, Ueno Y, Ishii M, Igarashi Y. (2007). Microbial population in the biomass adhering to supporting material in a packed-bed reactor degrading organic solid waste. *Appl Microbiol Biotechnol* **75**: 941–952.
- Sasaki K, Morita M, Sasaki D, Nagaoka J, Matsumoto N, Ohmura N *et al.* (2011). Syntrophic degradation of proteinaceous materials by the thermophilic strains *Coprothermobacter proteolyticus* and *Methanothermobacter thermautotrophicus*. *J Biosci Bioeng* **112**: 469–472.
- Schink B. (1997). Energetics of syntrophic cooperation in methanogenic degradation. *Microbiol Mol Biol Rev* **61**: 262–280.
- Schlüter A, Bekel T, Diaz NN, Dondrup M, Eichenlaub R, Gartemann KH *et al.* (2008). The metagenome of a biogas-producing microbial community of a production-scale biogas plant fermenter analysed by the 454-pyrosequencing technology. *J Biotechnol* **136**: 77–90.
- Schneider T, Riedel K. (2010). Environmental proteomics: analysis of structure and function of microbial communities. *Proteomics* **10**: 785–798.
- Sokolova TG, Gonzalez JM, Kostrikina NA, Chernyh NA, Slepova TV, Bonch-Osmolovskaya EA *et al.* (2004). *Thermosinus carboxydivorans* gen. nov., sp. nov., a new anaerobic, thermophilic, carbon-monoxide-oxidizing, hydrogenogenic bacterium from a hot pool of Yellowstone National Park. *Int J Syst Evol Microbiol* **54**: 2353–2359.
- Thauer RK, Kaster A-K, Seedorf H, Buckel W, Hedderich R. (2008). Methanogenic archaea: ecologically relevant differences in energy conservation. *Nat Rev Micro* **6**: 579–591.
- van de Werken HJG, Verhaart MRA, VanFossen AL, Willquist K, Lewis DL, Nichols JD *et al.* (2008). Hydrogenomics of the extremely thermophilic bacterium *Caldicellulosiruptor saccharolyticus*. *Appl Environ Microbiol* **74**: 6720–6729.
- van Lier JB, Tilche A, Ahring BK, Macarie H, Moletta R, Dohanyos M *et al.* (2001). New perspectives in anaerobic digestion. *Water Sci Technol* **43**: 1–18.
- VanFossen AL, Verhaart MRA, Kengen SMW, Kelly RM. (2009). Carbohydrate utilization patterns for the extremely thermophilic bacterium *Caldicellulosiruptor saccharolyticus* reveal broad growth substrate preferences. *Appl Environ Microbiol* **75**: 7718–7724.
- Ward AJ, Hobbs PJ, Holliman PJ, Jones DL. (2008). Optimisation of the anaerobic digestion of agricultural resources. *Biores Technol* **99**: 7928–7940.
- Westerholm M, Dolfing J, Sherry A, Gray ND, Head IM, Schnürer A. (2011). Quantification of syntrophic acetate-oxidising microbial communities in biogas processes. *Environ Microbiol Rep* **3**: 500–505.
- Wilmes P, Bond PL. (2004). The application of two-dimensional polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis and downstream analyses to a mixed community of prokaryotic microorganisms. *Environ Microbiol* **6**: 911–920.
- Wilmes P, Bond PL. (2009). Microbial community proteomics: elucidating the catalysts and metabolic mechanisms that drive the Earth's biogeochemical cycles. *Curr Opin Microbiol* **12**: 310–317.
- Wu M, Ren Q, Durkin AS, Daugherty SC, Brinkac LM, Dodson RJ *et al.* (2005). Life in hot carbon monoxide: the complete genome sequence of *Carboxydotherrmus hydrogenofomans* Z-2901. *PLoS Genet* **1**: e65.
- Yang SJ, Kataeva I, Hamilton-Brehm SD, Engle NL, Tschaplinski TJ, Doeppke C *et al.* (2009). Efficient degradation of lignocellulosic plant biomass, without pretreatment, by the thermophilic anaerobe '*Anaerocellum thermophilum*' DSM 6725. *Appl Environ Microbiol* **75**: 4762–4769.
- Zakrzewski M, Goesmann A, Jaenicke S, Jünemann S, Eikmeyer F, Szczepanowski R *et al.* (2012). Profiling of the metabolically active community from a production-scale biogas plant by means of high-throughput metatranscriptome sequencing. *J Biotechnol* **158**: 248–258.
- Zaneveld JR, Lozupone C, Gordon JI, Knight R. (2010). Ribosomal RNA diversity predicts genome diversity in gut bacteria and their relatives. *Nucleic Acids Res* **38**: 3869–3879.

Supplementary Information accompanies this paper on the ISME Journal website (<http://www.nature.com/ismej>)