ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Ovine pedomics: the first study of the ovine foot 16S rRNA-based microbiome

Leo A Calvo-Bado¹, Brian B Oakley^{2,5}, Scot E Dowd³, Laura E Green^{1,5}, Graham F Medley¹, Atiya Ul-Hassan¹, Vicky Bateman¹, William Gaze¹, Luci Witcomb¹, Rose Grogono-Thomas⁴, Jasmeet Kaler¹, Claire L Russell⁴ and Elizabeth MH Wellington¹

¹School of Life Sciences, University of Warwick, Coventry, UK; ²USDA ARS, Richard Russell Research Center, 950 College Station Rd Athens, GA, USA; ³Research and Testing Laboratory, Lubbock, TX, USA and ⁴School of Clinical Veterinary, University of Bristol, Langford, UK

We report the first study of the bacterial microbiome of ovine interdigital skin based on 16S rRNA by pyrosequencing and conventional cloning with Sanger-sequencing. Three flocks were selected, one a flock with no signs of footrot or interdigital dermatitis, a second flock with interdigital dermatitis alone and a third flock with both interdigital dermatitis and footrot. The sheep were classified as having either healthy interdigital skin (H) and interdigital dermatitis (ID) or virulent footrot (VFR). The ovine interdigital skin bacterial community varied significantly by flock and clinical condition. The diversity and richness of operational taxonomic units was greater in tissue from sheep with ID than H or VFR-affected sheep. Actinobacteria, Bacteroidetes, Firmicutes and Proteobacteria were the most abundant phyla comprising 25 genera. *Peptostreptococcus*, *Corynebacterium* and *Staphylococcus* were associated with H, ID and VFR, respectively. Sequences of *Dichelobacter nodosus*, the causal agent of ovine footrot, were not amplified because of mismatches in the 16S rRNA universal forward primer (27F). A specific real-time PCR assay was used to demonstrate the presence of *D. nodosus*, which was detected in all samples including the flock with no signs of ID or VFR. Sheep with ID had significantly higher numbers of *D. nodosus* (10^4 – 10^9 cells per g tissue) than those with H or VFR feet. The ISME Journal (2011) 5, 1426–1437; doi:10.1038/ismej.2011.25; published online 24 March 2011
Subject Category: microbial population and community ecology
Keywords: footrot; microbiome; sheep

Introduction

Dichelobacter nodosus, a Gram-negative bacterium, causes footrot in small ruminants. The first clinical sign of footrot is interdigital dermatitis (ID), in certain environments, and with some strains of *D. nodosus*, separation of the hoof horn from the sensitive tissue can arise causing virulent footrot (VFR) (Beveridge, 1941). Footrot, both ID and VFR, is responsible for over 90% of lameness in sheep in the United Kingdom (Kaler and Green, 2008), and it is one of the most important causes of poor welfare and economic loss to the sheep industry in the world. Several taxa other than *D. nodosus* have been linked to footrot; this disease can be considered as a polymicrobial disease with opportunistic colonisers contributing to increased severity and/or persistence of the disease (Beveridge, 1941; Stewart, 1989; Billington *et al.*, 1996). The bacterial species

associated with footrot are *Fusobacterium necrophorum* (Beveridge, 1941; Roberts and Egerton, 1969), *Arcanobacterium pyogenes* (Lavín *et al.*, 2004) and *Treponema* (Beveridge, 1941; Egerton *et al.*, 1969; Naylor *et al.*, 1998; Collighan *et al.*, 2000; Dhawi *et al.*, 2005). The structure of the total bacterial community and how this differs between healthy and diseased sheep is unknown.

In microscopic examination of samples from foot lesions cocci, corynebacteria and other rod-shape microorganisms were abundant near the surface of the skin and in lesions (Beveridge, 1941; Egerton *et al.*, 1969). However, *D. nodosus* and *Treponema* spp. were present in small numbers and less frequently present compared with *F. necrophorum*, but all were present in the deeper parts of the tissue (Beveridge, 1941). Aerobic and anaerobic cultivation of bacteria from diseased feet have also revealed the presence of other microorganisms including *Bacteroides* spp., *Porphyromonas* spp., *Prevotella* spp., *Peptostreptococcus* spp. and *Clostridium* spp. among others (Beveridge 1941; Moore *et al.*, 2005). Cultivation of bacteria from affected goats showed that the major taxa were *D. nodosus*, *Peptostreptococcus*, *Megasphaera* and *Fusobacterium* (Piriz Duran *et al.*, 1990).

Correspondence: LA Calvo-Bado, Department of Biological Sciences, School of Life Sciences, University of Warwick, Gibbet Hill Road, Coventry CV4 7AL, UK.

E-mail: l.a.calvo-bado@warwick.ac.uk

⁵These authors contributed equally to this work.

Received 25 October 2010; revised 3 February 2011; accepted 4 February 2011; published online 24 March 2011

The aim of this study was to investigate the microbial community of the interdigital skin of sheep comparing individuals with healthy feet (H), interdigital dermatitis (ID) or virulent footrot (VFR). Sheep were selected from three flocks with and without footrot to test the hypothesis that the structure of the bacterial community varies by clinical condition of the sheep and flock.

Materials and methods

Source of tissue samples

Three geographically separated farms located in the South West of England were selected for the study. Flock A (20 Badger Faced Welsh Mountain sheep) had no clinical cases of footrot or interdigital dermatitis for the past 10 years, VFR had been eradicated by a combination of culling and use of parenteral oxytetracycline (Kaler *et al.*, 2010a). Sheep were not foot-trimmed. Flock B (100 Wiltshire Horn sheep) had sheep with ID but no VFR. Affected sheep were sprayed with oxytetracycline or copper sulphate spray, and there was no policy for culling lame sheep. Sheep were foot-trimmed once a year. Flock C (200 Suffolk cross mule sheep) had sheep with ID and VFR. Affected sheep were sprayed with oxytetracycline and necrotic material was trimmed away. Ewes were also routinely foot-trimmed once a year. There was no culling policy.

The sheep were selected from the three flocks as follows: flock A three sheep with healthy feet (H), flock B three sheep with H feet and two with ID feet and flock C two sheep with healthy feet, three with ID and two with VFR (Table 1). Healthy feet were without clinical abnormality, feet with ID had irritation present in the red interdigital space, with or without a white/grey pasty scum and loss of hair in the interdigital space and VFR presented as separation of horn from the underlying tissue with or without ID. All four feet of all sheep were examined *post mortem* and faeces/grass were removed aseptically to expose the interdigital skin for sampling. Tissue samples were taken from the interdigital skin using a sterile 0.5-cm core borer (0.8 cm depth). All material was stored at -80°C . All tissue samples from flocks A and B were collected in summer 2008; tissue samples for flock C were collected in summer 2008 (H1C, H2C, H3C, VFR1C), spring (ID1C, ID2C, ID3C) and summer 2009 (VFR2C). The DNA from all feet was pooled per sheep for all analyses with exception of the quantitative PCR (qPCR) assays where *D. nodosus* cell number was quantified in each foot separately.

Bacterial DNA extraction from tissue

Tissue samples (130–160 mg) were treated with 10 mg ml^{-1} collagenase (Collagenase NB 4 G, SERVA Electrophoresis, Heidelberg, Germany) in 0.05 M TES/ 0.36 mM CaCl_2 pH 7.5 at 37°C for 5–7 h to release the microbial cell. The supernatant was centrifuged at

Table 1 Flock code by clinical condition of feet and flock

Flock	H	ID	VFR
<i>Flock A</i>			
Sheep 1	H1A	—	—
	LF h	—	—
	RF h	—	—
	RH h		
	LH h		
Sheep 2	H2A		
	LF h		
	RF h		
	RH h		
	LH h		
Sheep 3	H3A		
	LF h		
	RF h		
	RH h		
	LH h		
<i>Flock B</i>			
Sheep 1	H1B	ID1B	—
	LF h	LF id	—
	RF h	RF h	—
	RH h	RH h	
	LH h	LH h	
Sheep 2	H2B	ID2B	
	LF h	LF id	
	RF h	RF h	
	RH h	RH id	
	LH h	LH id	
Sheep 3	H3B	NA	
	LF h		
	RF h		
	RH h		
	LH h		
<i>Flock C</i>			
Sheep 1	H1C	ID1C	VFR1C
	LF h	LF id	LF vfr
	RF h	RF id	RF vfr
	RH h	RH id	LH vfr
	LH h	LH id	RH vfr
Sheep 2	H2C	ID2C	VFR2C
	LF h	LF h	LF vfr
	RF h	RF h	RF h
	RH h	LH id	LH h
	LH h	RH h	RH h
Sheep 3	NA	ID3C	NA
		LF id	
		RF id	
		LH id	
		RH id	

Abbreviations: ID, interdigital dermatitis; H, healthy; NA, Not available; VFR, virulent footrot.

The sheep were classified as having healthy interdigital skin (H), ID or VFR. The feet were classified as foot without abnormality (H), having ID or having VFR.

$15\,871 \times g$ for 15 min and the pellet formed was used to extract DNA using MagMAX Express Magnetic Particle Processors (AMBION, Applied Biosystems, Inc., Foster City, CA, USA) according to the manufacturer's recommendations. DNA was eluted into $60\text{ }\mu\text{l}$ of elution buffer (10 mM Tris-HCL pH 8).

16S rRNA PCR amplification for library construction

All PCR amplifications were carried out using PCR-Promega master mix (Promega, London, UK).

All PCR reactions had a final volume of 50 µl containing 25 µl Master mix (50 units per ml of *Taq* DNA polymerase supplied in a reaction buffer (pH 8.5), 400 µM each dNTP, 3 mM MgCl₂), 10 µM of each primer, 2.5 µl of DMSO (Dimethyl Sulfoxide, Fisher Scientific, Loughborough, Leicestershire, UK), 2 µl bovine serum albumin (BSA 10 mg per ml, SIGMA, St Louis, MO, USA) and 1–3 µl of template DNA (50–100 ng) were carried out using the following conditions: 1 cycle of 95 °C for 2 min, 35 cycles of 95 °C for 1 min, 55 °C for 1 min and 72 °C for 2 min with a final extension of 72 °C for 10 min.

Detection of *D. nodosus* by 16S rRNA-specific PCR

To test the reliability of *D. nodosus* extraction, PCR reactions were carried out using a direct or a nested PCR approach. All DNA samples were screened for the presence of *D. nodosus* using the specific primers for the 16S rRNA gene (*Cc* 5'-TCGGTACCGA GTATTTCTACCCAACACCT-3' and *Ac* 5'-CGGGGTT ATGTAGCTTGC-3') (La Fontaine *et al.*, 1993) at 60 °C annealing temperature for direct detection of *D. nodosus*. In some cases to increase sensitivity, a nested PCR was used comprising a round of PCR using universal 16S rRNA primers (27F and 1525R) (Lane, 1991; Baker *et al.*, 2003) at 55 °C instead of 60 °C annealing temperature followed by a second round of PCR using *D. nodosus* 16S rRNA-specific primers. Strain VCS1703A (Prof Julian I Rood, Monash University, Australia) was used as positive control and sterile water as negative control.

PCR libraries-based construction, Sanger sequencing and data analysis

For PCR clone libraries, 16S rRNA genes were amplified from the total community DNA from feet tissue (see above) using primers 27F and 1525R at 55 °C. All amplicons were gel purified (QIAquick Gel Extraction Kit, Qiagen, Crawley, West Sussex, UK) and cloned into the pGEM-T Easy vector system (Promega) according to the manufacturer's recommendations. A minimum of 100 colonies per ligation were recovered and grown and the plasmid DNA purified (QIAprep Spin Miniprep Kit, Qiagen) and sequenced using the 27F primer on an ABI PRISM 3130 × 1 Genetic Analyser (Applied Biosystems).

For phylogenetic analyses, all sequences from each library were edited, aligned and trimmed with SeqMan II (Lasergene 6, Madison, WI, USA). Sequences were aligned using the NAST alignment tool on the greengenes website (<http://greengenes.lbl.gov>) (DeSantis *et al.*, 2006a, b). For taxonomic classification, nearest neighbour, diversity indices (Shannon and Simpson 1-D) and richness estimates (Chao1 richness), sequences were grouped into Operational Taxonomic Units (OTUs) by the furthest-neighbour algorithm using DOTUR (Schloss and Handelsman, 2005) at a 97% similarity cutoff.

Pyrosequencing and data analysis

Pyrosequencing was carried out using bacterial tag-encoded FLX amplicon pyrosequencing (bTEFAP) similar to that described previously (Dowd *et al.*, 2008). bTEFAP was based on the Titanium sequencing platform rather than FLX (Roche Indianapolis, IN USA). The average sequence length was 405 bp with range of 300–500 bp. A single-step reaction was used with 30 cycles of PCR to reduce chimera formation.

Raw sequence data were edited using a series of custom Perl and Bioperl scripts that performed the following initial steps: trimming of pyrosequencing tag sequences, screening for presence of PCR primers, length screening and removal of sequences with one or more ambiguous base calls. BLASTN was run locally with default parameters using type strains from Release 102 of the Silva SSU rRNA database to determine the identity of sequences (Pruesse *et al.*, 2007). Sequences were clustered into OTUs using CD-HIT (Li and Godzik, 2006). Summary analyses of OTU frequency distributions, including rarefaction curves and canonical correspondence analysis, were carried out in R (R Development Core Team, 2009) automated with a series of scripts in the R language. Output from CD-HIT was converted to mothur format (Schloss *et al.*, 2009) with Perl, and community similarity trees and Venn diagrams were constructed in mothur. Sequences were aligned against a template alignment from the Silva rRNA database project for phylogenetic analysis (Pruesse *et al.*, 2007) using the mothur alignment package. Trees were built with maximum-likelihood and neighbour-joining algorithms in ARB (Ludwing *et al.*, 2004) using a 75% homology filter. Phylogenetic clustering was assessed with UniFrac (Lozupone and Knight, 2005), which uses both branch length and position to compare actual phylogenies to a null model of randomly permuted sites. To estimate the level of richness and diversity (the efficiency of new OTUs sampling recovery at 97% similarity cutoff), rarefaction curves were created for condition and flock.

Quantitative PCR (qPCR) of *D. nodosus*

Quantification of *D. nodosus* in samples, standards and no template controls (sterile water) was carried out in triplicate using Applied Biosystems 7500 Fast real-time PCR system. The RNA polymerase sigma-70 factor gene (*rpoD*; single copy number in *D. nodosus* genome) was used as a target with a thermal cycle profile of 1 cycle at 50 °C for 2 min, 1 cycle at 95 °C for 10 min, 40 cycles at 95 °C for 15 s and the final stage at 55 °C for 1 min. Each reaction contained 12.5 µl of Taqman universal PCR master mix (Promega) (50 units per ml of *Taq* DNA polymerase supplied in a proprietary reaction buffer pH 8.5, 400 µM of each: dATP, dGTP, dCTP, dTTP, 3 mM MgCl₂), 0.9 µM of each primer (*rpoDF* and *rpoDR*) (Table 2), 0.25 µM of Taqman (5' 6-carboxyfluorescein-tetramethyl-6-carboxyrhodamine 3') (Table 2),

Table 2 Primers and Taqman probes used

Primer name	Sequence (5'– 3')
<i>Cc</i>	TCGGTACCGAGTATTTCTACCCAACACCT
<i>Ac</i>	CGGGGTATGTAGCTTGC
16S rRNA-27F	AGAGTTTGATCMTGGCTCAG
16S rRNA-1525R	AAGGAGGTGWTCARCC
P2	CGCCCGCCGCGCGCGCGGGCGGGCGG
	GGGCACGGGGGCGCTACGGGAGGCAGCA
P3	ATTACCGCGGCTGCTGG
<i>rpoDF</i>	gCTCCCATTTTCgCgCATAT
<i>rpoDR</i>	CTgATgCAGAAgTCggTAaACA
Taqman <i>rpoD</i>	6FAM1 CATTCTTACCggKCg-BBQ2

16FAM reporter, 2BBQ BlackBerry Quencher, K = A/T/C.

2.5 µl of a 10 mg ml⁻¹ bovine serum albumin solution, 1 µl of template DNA and nuclease-free water in a total 25 µl reaction. Analytical specificity of *rpoD* against *D. nodosus* was carried out experimentally using DNA from other bacterial species found in the hoof, soil and farm animal faeces including *Fusobacterium necrophorum*, *Arcanobacterium pyogenes*, *Streptomyces* spp., *Streptococcus* spp., *Mycobacterium bovis*, *Pseudomonas putida* and *E. coli* laboratory strains. A database search also indicated that these primers were specific for the *rpoD* target gene form *D. nodosus* as predicted from the assay. These DNA extracts were also spiked with *D. nodosus* DNA, which were produced by amplification. DNA dilutions of 1:10 and 1:100 were used to investigate potential inhibitors of the reaction. The *rpoD* copy number in the unknown sample was estimated based on the standard curve using *D. nodosus* VSC1703A as template.

Denaturing gradient gel electrophoresis (DGGE) analysis

To profile the total bacterial community by denaturing gradient gel electrophoresis (DGGE), the V3 region of the 16S rRNA gene between positions 341 and 534 (*Escherichia coli* numbering) was amplified by PCR with primers P2 and P3 (Muyzer *et al.*, 1993). DGGE was carried out using the DCode mutation detection system (Bio-Rad, Hertfordshire, United Kingdom) with 20–60% denaturing gradient gels (Muyzer *et al.*, 1993). PCR products (400–500 ng) were loaded into 12% acrylamide gels and run at 60 V for 16.5 h at a constant temperature of 60 °C in 0.5 × TAE buffer (40 mM Tris-acetate and 1 mM EDTA, pH8.0). The gels were stained for 20 min in 1 × TAE containing ethidium bromide (0.5 mg l⁻¹) then de-stained for 20 min in Milli-Q water. The gels were then visualised and photographed using the Gene flash UV imager (Syngene Bio imaging, Cambridge, UK). Selected DGGE bands were cut from the gel and were re-amplified by PCR, and cleaned up (QIAquick, Qiagen) before sequencing. All sequences were edited using the DNASTar

SeqMan II sequence analysis package (Lasergene, Inc.) and the best matches were determined with BLASTN (<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Genbank/index.html>). The DGGE band positions and intensities were determined with the GelCompar II software (Applied Maths, Austin, TX, USA). The similarity matrix was calculated based on Jaccard's coefficient and a dendrogram was created using a UPGM algorithm in GelCompar software.

Results and discussion

Comparison of the bacterial diversity of the ovine foot by pyrosequencing and clone library sanger sequencing

A total of 61 708 sequences with a length of 350 to 535 nucleotides were generated from pyrosequencing and 1130 sequences from clone libraries for all flocks and conditions. A total of 25 672, 25 083 and 10 953 sequences that passed all quality control screens were detected in H, ID and VFR samples, respectively, which corresponded to 6009 from flock A, 15 301 from flock B and 40 398 from flock C, respectively. The bacterial community structure was different between flocks, which might have been attributable to location or breed, but was mostly driven by the disease status of the sheep (Figure 1a). The sequences were clustered into two groups (Figure 1b) overall and independent of the sequencing approach used; the bacterial populations present in healthy sheep were more similar than those in diseased sheep. The bacterial populations from healthy sheep from flocks A, B and C (Cluster 1) were unique for each flock, but the bacterial populations for diseased sheep in flocks B and C were more similar, irrespective of flock of origin (Cluster 2). These results were in agreement with the canonical correspondence analyses (Figure 1a). This suggests that flocks/farms/breeds have a unique population structure that differs from each other based on the proportion of the bacterial consortium. However, the population structure becomes more similar within diseased sheep and distinct from healthy sheep. There was a high sequence richness in flock C where there were more OTUs recovered, possibly because all clinical conditions H, ID and VFR were in this flock or possibly because more sheep were sampled (Figure 2a). ID had the highest richness of OTUs sampled in flock C. The rarefaction curves were stable at 2000 sequence reads for H sheep from flocks A and B compared with 5000–6000 for ID and VFR suggesting that to recover additional OTUs for animal samples with ID would require more sampling than for H animals and less sampling for VFR animals (Figure 2a). A core population was shared between disease and healthy sheep (Figure 2b); however, because each condition had its own distinctive and unique population, we next investigated these differences in more detail.

Figure 1 OTU-based similarity among communities considered by disease condition and flock using canonical correspondence analysis (a) and Jaccard similarity clustering (b) based on observed richness of taxa defined at a 97% similarity cutoff as described in the methods. Panel a superimposes two separate ordinations; pyrosequenced samples (representing 61 708 sequences) are shown in black, whereas Sanger-sequenced clone libraries (representing 1130 sequences) are shown in blue in a and the right-hand side of b. Scale bars in b represent 5% dissimilarity.

Comparison of the microbial communities and taxonomic classification

The phylogenetic distribution of 717 representative sequences for each OTU was significantly different among conditions based on the calculation of the UniFrac distance metric between communities ($P < 0.002$) and Parsimony tests ($P < 0.01$) (Figure 3a), indicating that the bacterial population was not randomly distributed but clustered by clinical condition. Sequences most closely related to *Staphylococcus* were associated with disease (Figure 3b, Supplementary Figure 1), and phylotypes most closely related to *Macroccoccus* and *Microccoccus* were associated with disease but also were ubiquitous across all conditions (Figure 3b, Supplementary Figure 1). Sequences classified as *Corynebacterium* were significantly associated with

Figure 2 Rarefaction curves (a) and the number of shared and unique OTUs among the three disease conditions. (b) Venn diagram of the number of shared and unique OTUs among the three disease conditions for sequences from pyrosequences for OTUs defined at a 97% similarity cutoff. The legend in a shows the number of OTUs observed and the Shannon diversity index, H' . A total of 717 OTUs were recovered.

the ID condition (Figure 4a). However, when considered by OTU classification, the most abundant OTUs associated with disease (ID or VFR) were most-closely related to *Macroccoccus*, *Microccoccus* and *Staphylococcus* (Figure 3a, Supplementary Figure 1). Phylotypes most closely related to *Peptostreptococcus* were associated with H sheep (Figure 3c, Supplementary Figure 1).

These 717 sequences were taxonomically assigned and distributed in 25 genera with 4 major phyla (Figure 4a). Firmicutes followed by Actinobacteria, Proteobacteria and Bacteroidetes were the most abundant phyla (Figure 4b). Firmicutes was the most diverse phylum and *Macroccoccus*, *Corynebacterium*, *Peptostreptococcus*, *Staphylococcus*, *Escherichia* and *Streptococcus* were the predominant genera. Actinobacteria were represented by 12 genera with a significant difference between ID and H, and ID and VFR (Figure 4b). *Peptostreptococcus* (20% in H), *Corynebacterium* (32% in ID) and

Figure 3 (a) Phylogenetic positions of 717 representative sequences for each OTU defined at a 3% cutoff as described in the text. Disease conditions are shown on the outer ring (white, healthy; grey, ID; black, VFR). Significant phylogenetic clustering of disease conditions was indicated by the UniFrac test ($P < 0.002$) and Parsimony tests ($P < 0.01$) as described in the text. (b) Phylogenetic positions of signature taxa for diseased condition (red) and taxa defined as ubiquitous (blue) in both healthy and diseased animals. (c) Phylogenetic position of signature taxa for the healthy condition (green). Signature taxa are as shown in Supplementary Figure 1. Trees in b and c include a non-redundant list of the best matches to each sequence as defined by the Silva project (Release 102); trees were constructed using the PhyML maximum-likelihood algorithm as fully described in the methods.

Figure 4 Summary of taxonomic classifications at the genus and phylum levels for sequences obtained from pyrosequencing for each of the three disease conditions H, ID, and VFR at the genus (a) and phylum (b) levels. Y axes represent proportion of sequences for each disease condition. Taxonomic classifications are based on EMBL taxonomy and were obtained by BLASTN with default parameters against a customised database of all type strains from the Silva SSU Ref database (Release 102) as described in the methods. Significant differences ($P < 0.05$, Chi-square test) between disease conditions for any given taxon are indicated by * symbols above bars; number of symbols corresponds to number of significant pairwise differences.

Staphylococcus (12% in VFR) had significantly different populations at the genus level by condition (Figure 4a), suggesting that these populations might be associated with each condition. The majority of sequences were Firmicutes with a percentage range from 40% for ID, 75% for VFR and 80% for H (Figure 4b). The taxonomic identity of some members of Firmicutes showed 92–94% sequence similarity to the database indicating that there might be novel, uncultured species.

The presence and high abundance of *Micrococcus* and *Macroccoccus* in all conditions and independent of the sequencing approach used in all the flocks

was not surprising. These aerobic bacteria have frequently been isolated from human (Kocur *et al.*, 2006) and animal (Chin and Watts, 1992; Kloos *et al.*, 1998) skin and might be considered part of the normal microflora of the skin in both human and animal hosts. *Corynebacterium* is a non-motile, facultative anaerobic bacterium widely distributed in nature. They were significantly more abundant in animals with ID in the pyrosequencing data in this study, suggesting that although a common inhabitant of moist sites in the human skin (Grice *et al.*, 2008, 2009), they may have an association with ID. *Corynebacterium* has been reported to be abundant

near the surface of the interdigital skin of sheep and in footrot lesions by others (Beveridge, 1941; Egerton *et al.*, 1969). The *Peptostreptococcus* population was significant higher in H sheep and is widely distributed in humans and animals. It is found in the upper respiratory tract, gingiva, gut and urogenital tract; these bacteria are opportunistic pathogens that can cause a wide spectrum of local and systemic disease (Conrads *et al.*, 1997; Murdoch, 1998). The presence of *Staphylococcus* is unsurprising, as this group commonly colonise human skin and nasal cavities. *S. epidermidis* is one of the major inhabitants of the human skin and mucosa representing 90% of the aerobic flora (Cogen *et al.*, 2008).

In the clone libraries, the sequences were assigned to three main phyla, Actinobacteria, Firmicutes and Proteobacteria with 27 genera (data not shown). *Macroccoccus* (Firmicutes) was the most abundant genus for all conditions; however, H sheep had a greater proportion of their population in this genus (35.6%) compared with ID (15.9%) and VFR (13.1%). *Streptococcus*, *Facklamia* and *Abiotrophia* (Firmicutes) were also abundant in VFR at >5% of the population. Although both sequencing approaches produced similar results, pyrosequencing produced a clearly higher resolution of bacterial diversity yielding 3.5 orders of magnitude more taxa.

Detection of *Fusobacterium necrophorum*, *arcanobacterium pyogenes* and *Treponema* species

Sanger-sequencing clone libraries did not show the presence of *Fusobacterium*. These bacteria were detected in the pyrosequencing data (Figure 4a). We confirmed the findings by using nested PCR. There were eight phylotypes of *Fusobacterium* detected from sheep in flocks B (ID sheep) and C (H and ID sheep). These sequences showed 98 and 95% sequence similarity to *Fusobacterium necrophorum* subsp. *funduliforme* and *Fusobacterium gonidiformans*, respectively. *Fusobacterium necrophorum* is a Gram-negative, non-spore-forming anaerobe. It has been strongly associated with ID and VFR (Roberts and Egerton, 1969; Bennett *et al.*, 2009). In a recent analysis from a longitudinal study (Witcomb *et al.*, submitted), *F. necrophorum* was monitored in H, ID and VFR sheep and there was no difference in *F. necrophorum* load between feet with H, ID or VFR (except in some of the sheep with VFR). These authors suggested that *F. necrophorum* has a role in persistence and/or severity of disease, once the VFR lesion has developed. *F. necrophorum* is a normal inhabitant of the alimentary tract of animals (Langworth, 1977) and is detected in faecal (Tadepalli *et al.*, 2009) and oral (Zaura *et al.*, 2009) material. It is also associated with abscesses in sheep feet (Nagaraja *et al.*, 2005; Zhou *et al.*, 2009).

There were nine phylotypes of *Arcanobacterium* detected in all three flocks and with all clinical presentations. The phylotype from flock B sheep

with ID had 99% sequence similarities to *A. pyogenes* isolated from cows with endometritis and resistant to antimicrobial resistance gene *TetW* (Liu *et al.*, 2009), whereas phylotypes from H sheep in flocks A and C showed 98% to *A. pluranimalium*, isolated from dog skin and deep lung abscesses (Lawson *et al.*, 2001). *Arcanobacterium pyogenes* is a Gram-positive, non-motile, non-spore-forming facultative anaerobe. It is a short, rod-shaped bacterium and a common inhabitant of the mucous membranes of ruminants, pigs and other domestic animals (Carter and Chengappa, 1991). It is an opportunistic pathogen causing diseases in dairy and beef cattle and swine (Jost and Billington, 2005), and foot diseases in domestic and wild animals (Davies *et al.*, 1999; Lavín *et al.*, 2004). This bacterium has been isolated from necrotic disease caused by *F. necrophorum* (Chrino-Trejo *et al.*, 2003; Jones *et al.*, 2004; Nagaraja *et al.*, 2005); however, there is no clear evidence of its association with footrot in sheep. *A. pluranimalium* is a new species of *Arcanobacterium* recently described (Lawson *et al.*, 2001). It has been isolated from the spleen of a dead harbour porpoise, from a lung abscess from a dead fallow deer and from a pyoderma in a dog (Ulbegi-Mohyla *et al.*, 2010). It has never been found or described in other hosts.

Treponema spp. were detected in only one sheep with ID from flock C. There were two sequences and they had 94 and 99% similarity to uncultured *Treponema* phylotypes from samples from cattle with digital dermatitis and from animal faecal samples (Klitgaard *et al.*, 2008; Ley *et al.*, 2008). *Treponema* are often free living but are linked to contagious ovine digital dermatitis and bovine digital dermatitis in sheep and cattle, respectively (Collighan *et al.*, 2000; Moore *et al.*, 2005; Demirkan *et al.*, 2006; Evans *et al.*, 2008, 2009; Sayers *et al.*, 2009). Several species have been associated to contagious ovine digital dermatitis and DD including *Treponema phagedenis*-like and *Treponema medium*/*Treponema vincentii*-like, *Treponema medium*/*Treponema vincentii*-like, *Treponema phagedenis*-like, and *Treponema denticola*/*Treponema putidum*-like (Sayers *et al.*, 2009). *Fusobacterium*, *Treponema* and *Arcanobacterium* were not detected in the clone libraries but only in the pyrosequencing data in the flock, with footrot history suggesting that because of the low prevalence may not be associated with VFR.

The difference in the structure of the bacterial community by farm might be linked to factors such as different breeds, which might have differing susceptibility to disease; footrot has low heritability (Emery *et al.*, 1984; Skerman and Moorhouse, 1987; Escayg *et al.*, 1997) or location with for example, varying soil types or climate. In addition, management factors such as use of antibiotics, hoof horn trimming and culling diseased sheep (Howell-Jones *et al.*, 2005; Green *et al.*, 2007) might have affected the bacterial community. The managements used in these flocks is unknown; however, antibiotics or

Figure 5 DGGE gel of 16S rRNA genes and UPGM (Jaccard's coefficient) dendrogram from interdigital skin tissue sample DNA. All feet were clinically scored as H, with ID and with VFR sheep for flock A (H1A, H2A, H3A), flock B (H1B, H2B, H3B, ID1B, ID2B) and flock C (H1C, H2C, H3C, ID1C, ID2C, ID3C, VFR1C, VFR2C). M, molecular weight marker; DN, *Dichelobacter nodosus*. DGGE bands 1–9.

physical damage to the interdigital skin may alter the microbial community structure on the skin, increasing or decreasing (Kaler *et al.*, 2010a, b) the incidence of disease.

Profiling bacterial community by DGGE

A comparative analysis of the profile of the total bacterial community assessed by DGGE (Figure 5) showed a visual, qualitative analysis of the predominant bacterial populations across flocks and conditions that were confirmed by deep sequencing and analyses of clone libraries. DGGE banding patterns from H sheep from flocks A and B were clustered independently from samples from sheep with ID and VFR from flocks B and C, suggesting that the latter samples shared a similar bacterial population. Unique and common DGGE bands were selected, and a total of 31 DGGE bands were extracted, purified and sequenced covering all flocks and feet conditions. These bands were identified (95–99% sequence similarities) to *Corynebacterium* spp. and *Actinobacterium* spp. (DGGE-1 and DGGE-7), *Arcanobacterium* spp. (DGGE-2), *Macroccoccus* spp. (DGGE-3), *gamma Proteobacteria* (DGGE-4), uncultured *Actinobacterium* spp., (DGGE-5), two uncultured *Bacillus* spp. (DGGE-6 and DGGE-8) and swine faecal bacterium (DGGE-9). One of the advantages of using DGGE for comparative analysis of parallel samples is the low cost and fast visual interpretation. The DGGE band position of *Macroccoccus* spp. was similar to that of a DDGE of

D. nodosus, which might be one of the reasons why *D. nodosus* was not isolated from the bands extracted from the gel.

Detection and quantification of *D. nodosus* in feet

D. nodosus were difficult to amplify and detect using bacterial community 16S rRNA libraries. This can be explained by either primer mismatches or low abundance or both. Bacterial community analyses of environmental samples relied on PCR amplification of the 16S rRNA gene using universal primers, targeting the variable regions (Lane, 1991). The *D. nodosus* 16S rRNA gene does not amplify at 60 °C using the 27F and 1525R primers because of two continuous mismatches at the 5' end of the 16S rRNA in *D. nodosus* (AGAGTTTGATTCCTGGCTCAG) of the 27F primer (AGAGTTTGATCMTGGCTCAG) (Lane, 1991) that prevent amplification at 60 °C. PCR amplification was observed at 50–55 °C and confirmed by sequencing. Amplification of *D. nodosus* occurred in all samples from all sheep in all flocks by direct or nested PCR. Amplicons were confirmed by sequencing. Variable or failed amplification occurred when direct amplification of 16S rRNA specific to *D. nodosus* was performed because the cell number was below the detection limit. This variability in the amplification was solved by using nested PCR. The variable regions V1–V9, of the 16S rRNA genes (rDNAs) have been used for species identification (Lane, 1991; Weisburg *et al.*, 1991), but also to assess bacterial

Figure 6 Quantitative PCR of the RNA polymerase sigma-70 factor gene (*rpoD*) from interdigital skin biopsy tissue DNA of 15 animals from flocks A, B and C. (5a) *D. nodosus* *rpoD* was quantified in all feet clinically scored as H, with ID and with VFR for H, ID and VFR diagnosed sheep for flock A (1A, 2A, 3A), flock B (1B, 2B, 3B) and flock C (1C, 2C). Samples were taken from all feet as follows: LH left hind, RH right hind, RF right front, LF left front. †Not quantitative, detected by 16S rRNA PCR. *D. nodosus* specific but below detection limit. (5b) Mann-Whitney test for comparison of *D. nodosus* numbers prevalence based on clinical condition in feet across flocks for healthy (H) and feet with interdigital dermatitis (ID). Calibration standards generated a curve line $R^2 = 0.99$ with a -3.70 slope and a Ct range of 17–27.

diversity in several habitats for the past 17 years. However, the use of the universal primer single mismatch 27F has recently been criticised for the its amplification efficiency (Frank *et al.*, 2008; Galkiewicz and Kellogg, 2008), and a new 27F priming-binding site has been suggested that can accommodate mismatching allowing minimal loss of efficiently and without compromising specificity with the reduction of annealing temperature.

D. nodosus was also not detected in the 61 709 sequences produced by pyrosequencing; however, analysis of the sequence reads removed during quality control checks revealed that *D. nodosus* sequences were amplified but removed due to sequence errors or mis-priming when using bacterial universal primers (see material and methods).

We developed a quantitative real-time PCR (qPCR) platform based on the presence of the RNA polymerase sigma-70 factor gene (*rpoD*) (single copy in the genome) in order to enumerate *D. nodosus* in individual feet and assess differences between across flock and condition. The absolute quantification of *D. nodosus* *rpoD* in clinical tissue DNA samples for individual feet from 15 sheep (60 foot samples) is shown in Figure 6a. As we mention above, *D. nodosus* was PCR detected in all feet sampled by direct or nested PCR for all three flocks; however, *D. nodosus* was quantifiable by qPCR in only 8 (25 feet) out of 15 sheep (60 feet) with

a variable number depending on the clinical condition. This may be because cell numbers in these samples were below the detection limit (10^3 cells per g). The quantity of *D. nodosus* was significantly higher in sheep from flock B than from flock A based on the Mann-Whitney test (Figure 6b). The numbers of *rpoD* copies per gram of tissue sample ranged from 10^3 to 10^9 . In H feet, *D. nodosus* was detected at 10^3 – 10^5 cells per g tissue in flock B, but was not quantifiable in H feet from flocks A or C. In feet with ID, *D. nodosus* was detected with values from 10^4 – 10^9 for samples from flock B and flock C. *D. nodosus* numbers were significantly higher in feet with ID than in healthy feet across all flocks (Mann-Whitney $P < 0.01$). *D. nodosus* was not quantifiable in VFR feet, but detected by PCR. These results are similar to those of Witcomb *et al.*, (submitted) who also reported an increase in abundance before the development of VFR and a reduction once a sheep had VFR. The absence or lower numbers of *D. nodosus* in cases of VFR may be because the organism is deeper in the tissue of the foot (Egerton *et al.*, 1969), has sloughed off in necrotic tissue or because other bacteria dominate once VFR has occurred. Although *D. nodosus* cell load is critically important (Witcomb *et al.*, unpublished) there are other factors that may contribute with the development/clinical presentation of the diseases as described above.

Conclusions

We present the first study of the ovine foot 16S rRNA-based microbiome. Our results show that independent of the sequencing approach used, the bacterial community structure, diversity and abundance differ by clinical condition with some variation between sheep and flock. Pyrosequencing produced a higher resolution of the taxa present in the skin compared with clone libraries. We identified bacterial populations that were associated with healthy (*Peptostreptococcus*), ID (*Corynebacterium*) and VFR (*Staphylococcus*)-affected sheep. Although the three genera are common inhabitants of human and animal skin, we envisage that extrinsic and intrinsic factors might affect their niche, producing an imbalance in the bacterial community from healthy to diseased feet. *D. nodosus* was present in all samples independent of the clinical condition but the number peaked in ID.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Acknowledgements

This research was supported by the Biotechnology and Biological Sciences Research Council UK (BBRSC) under the Combating Endemic Diseases of Farmed Animals for Sustainability (CEDFAS) initiative Grant No. BBE01870X1.

References

Baker GC, Smith JJ, Cowan DA. (2003). Review and reanalysis of domain specific 16S primers. *J Microbiol Methods* **55**: 541–555.

Bennett G, Hickford JG, Sedcole R, Zhou H. (2009). *Dichelobacter nodosus*, *Fusobacterium necrophorum* and the epidemiology of footrot. *Anaerobe* **15**: 173–176.

Beveridge WB. (1941). Foot rot in sheep: a transmissible disease due to infection with *Fusiformis nodosus* (n. sp.): studies on its cause, epidemiology and control. *Commonwealth Scientific and Industrial Research Organisation (CSIRO) Aust Bull* **140**: 1–56.

Billington SJ, Johnston JL, Rood JL. (1996). Virulence regions and virulence factors of the ovine footrot pathogen, *Dichelobacter nodosus*. *FEMS Microbiol Lett* **145**: 147–156.

Carter GR, Chengappa MM. (1991). *Essentials of Veterinary Bacteriology and Mycology*. Lea and Febiger: Philadelphia, PA.

Chin JC, Watts JE. (1992). Relationship between the immune response of sheep and the population dynamics of bacteria isolated from fleecerot lesions. *Vet Microbiol* **32**: 63–74.

Chrino-Trejo M, Woodbury MR, Huang F. (2003). Antibiotic sensitivity and biochemical characterization of *Fusobacterium* spp. and *Arcanobacterium pyogenes* isolated from farmed white-tailed deer (*Odocoiles*

virgianatus) with necrobacillosis. *J Zoo Wildlife Med* **34**: 262–268.

Cogen AL, Nizet V, Gallo RL. (2008). Skin microbiota: a source of disease or defence? *Br J Dermatol* **158**: 442–455.

Collighan RJ, Naylor RD, Martin PK, Cooley BA, Buller N, Woodward MJ. (2000). A spirochete isolated from a case of severe virulent ovine foot disease is closely related to a Treponeme isolated from human periodontitis and bovine digital dermatitis. *Vet Microbiol* **74**: 249–257.

Conrads G, Soffner J, Pelz K, Muters R. (1997). Taxonomic update and clinical significance of species within the genus *Peptostreptococcus*. *Clin Infect Dis* **25**: S94–S97.

Davies IH, Naylor RD, Martin PK. (1999). Severe ovine foot disease. *Vet Res* **145**: 646.

Demirkan I, Williams HF, Dhawi A, Carter SD, Winstanley C, Bruce KD et al. (2006). Characterization of a spirochaete isolated from a case of bovine digital dermatitis. *J Appl Microbiol* **101**: 948–955.

DeSantis Jr TZ, Hugenholtz P, Keller K, Brodie EL, Larsen N, Piceno YM et al. (2006a). NAST: a multiple sequence alignment server for comparative analysis of 16S rRNA genes. *Nucleic Acids Res* **34**: 394–399.

DeSantis TZ, Hugenholtz P, Larsen N, Rojas M, Brodie EL, Keller K et al. (2006b). Greengenes, a chimera-checked 16S rRNA gene database and workbench compatible with ARB. *Appl Environ Microbiol* **72**: 5069–5072.

Dhawi A, Hart CA, Demirkan I, Davies IH, Carter SD. (2005). Bovine digital dermatitis and severe virulent ovine foot rot: a common spirochaetal pathogenesis. *Vet J* **169**: 232–241.

Dowd SE, Callaway TR, Wolcott RD, Sun Y, McKeenhan T, Hagevoort RG et al. (2008). Evaluation of the bacterial diversity in the feces of cattle using 16S rDNA bacterial tag-encoded FLX amplicon pyrosequencing (bTEFAP). *BMC Microbiol* **8**: 125.

Egerton JR, Roberts DS, Parsonson IM. (1969). The aetiology and pathogenesis of ovine foot-rot. I. A histological study of the bacterial invasion. *J Comp Pathol* **79**: 207–215.

Emery DL, Stewart DJ, Clark BL. (1984). The comparative susceptibility of five breeds of sheep to foot-rot. *Aust Vet J* **61**: 85–88.

Escayg AP, Hickford JG, Bullock DW. (1997). Association between alleles of the ovine major histocompatibility complex and resistance to footrot. *Res Vet Sci* **63**: 283–287.

Evans NJ, Brown JM, Demirkan I, Murray RD, Vink WD et al. (2008). Three unique groups of spirochetes isolated from digital dermatitis lesions in UK cattle. *Vet Microbiol* **130**: 141–150.

Evans NJ, Brown JM, Demirkan I, Singh P, Getty B, Timofte D et al. (2009). Association of unique, isolated treponemes with bovine digital dermatitis lesions. *J Clin Microbiol* **47**: 689–696.

Frank JA, Reich CI, Sharma S, Weisbaum JS, Wilson BA, Olsen GJ. (2008). Critical evaluation of two primers commonly used for amplification of bacterial 16S rRNA genes. *Appl Environ Microbiol* **74**: 2461–2470.

Galkiewicz JP, Kellogg CA. (2008). Cross-Kingdom Amplification Using Bacteria-Specific Primers: complications for Studies of Coral Microbial Ecology. *Appl Environ Microbiol* **74**: 7828–7831.

Green LE, Wassink GJ, Grogono-Thomas R, Moore LJ, Medley GF. (2007). Looking after the individual to

- reduce disease in the flock: a binomial mixed effects model investigating the impact of individual sheep management of footrot and interdigital dermatitis in a prospective longitudinal study on one farm. *Prev Vet Med* **78**: 172–178.
- Grice EA, Kong HH, Conlan S, Deming CB, Davis J, Young AC *et al.* (2009). Topographical and temporal diversity of the human skin microbiome. *Science* **324**: 1190–1192.
- Grice EA, Kong HH, Renaud G, Young AC, Bouffard GG, Blakesley RW *et al.* (2008). A diversity profile of the human skin microbiota. *Genome Res* **18**: 1043–1050.
- Howell-Jones RS, Wilson MJ, Hill KE, Howard AJ, Price PE, Thomas DW. (2005). A review of the microbiology, antibiotic usage and resistance in chronic skin wounds. *J Antimicrob Chemoth* **55**: 143–149.
- Jones G, Jayappa H, Hunsaker B, Sweeny D, Rapp-Gabrielson V, Wasmoen T *et al.* (2004). Efficacy of an *Arcanobacterium pyogenes*-*Fusobacterium necrophorum* bacterin-toxoid as an aid in the prevention of liver abscesses in feedlot cattle. *Bovine Pract* **38**: 36–44.
- Jost BH, Billington JS. (2005). *Arcanobacterium pyogenes*: molecular pathogenesis of an animal opportunist. *Antonie van Leeuwenhoek* **88**: 87–102.
- Kaler J, Daniels SL, Wright JL, Green LE. (2010a). Randomized clinical trial of long-acting oxytetracycline, foot trimming, and flunixin meglumine on time to recovery in sheep with footrot. *J Vet Intern Med* **24**: 420–425.
- Kaler J, Green LE. (2008). Recognition of lameness and decisions to catch for inspection among sheep farmers and specialists in GB. *BMC Vet Res* **4**: 41.
- Kaler J, Medley GF, Grogono-Thomas R, Wellington EM, Calvo-Bado LA, Wassink GJ *et al.* (2010b). Factors associated with changes of state of foot conformation and lameness in a flock of sheep. *Prev Vet Med* **97**: 237–244.
- Klitgaard K, Boye M, Capion N, Jensen TK. (2008). Evidence of multiple *Treponema* phylotypes involved in bovine digital dermatitis as shown by 16S rRNA gene analysis and fluorescence *in situ* hybridization. *J Clin Microbiol* **46**: 3012–3020.
- Kloos WE, Ballard DN, George CG, Webster JA, Hubner RJ, Ludwig W *et al.* (1998). Delimiting the genus *Staphylococcus* through description of *Macrococcus caseolyticus* gen. nov., comb. nov. and *Macrococcus equiperficus* sp. nov., *Macrococcus bovicus* sp. nov. and *Macrococcus carouselicus* sp. nov. *Int J Syst Bacteriol* **48**: 859–877.
- Kocur M, Kloos WE, Schleifer KH. (2006). The Genus *Micrococcus*. In: Dworkin M, Falkow S, Rosenberg E, Schleifer KH, Stackebrandt E (eds). *The Prokaryotes: Archaea. Bacteria: Firmicutes, Actinomycetes*. Springer: Berlin, pp 961–971.
- La Fontaine S, Egerton JR, Rood JL. (1993). Detection of *Dichelobacter nodosus* using species-specific oligonucleotides as PCR primers. *Vet Microbiol* **35**: 101–117.
- Lane DJ. (1991). 16S/23S rRNA sequencing. In: Stackebrandt E, Goodfellow M (eds). *Nucleic Acids Techniques in Bacterial Systematics*. Chichester: Wiley, pp. 115–148.
- Langworth BF. (1977). *Fusobacterium necrophorum*: its characteristics and role as an animal pathogen. *Bacteriol Rev* **41**: 373–390.
- Lavín S, Ruiz-Bascarán M, Marco I, Abarca ML, Crespo MJ, Franch J. (2004). Foot Infections Associated with *Arcanobacterium pyogenes* in free-living Fallow Deer (*Dama dama*). *J Wildlife Dis* **40**: 607–611.
- Lawson PA, Falsen E, Foster G, Eriksson E, Weiss N, Collins MD. (2001). *Arcanobacterium pluranimalium* sp. nov., isolated from porpoise and deer. *Int J Syst Evol Microbiol* **51**: 55–59.
- Ley RE, Hamady M, Lozupone C, Turnbaugh P J, Ramey RR, Bircher JS *et al.* (2008). Evolution of mammals and their gut microbes. *Science* **320**: 1647–1651.
- Li W, Godzik A. (2006). Cd-hit: a fast program for clustering and comparing large sets of protein or nucleotide sequences. *Bioinformatics* **13**: 1658–1659.
- Liu MC, Wu CM, Liu YC, Zhao JC, Yang YL, Shen JZ. (2009). Identification, susceptibility, and detection of integron-gene cassettes of *Arcanobacterium pyogenes* in bovine endometritis. *J Dairy Sci* **92**: 3659–3666.
- Lozupone C, Knight R. (2005). UniFrac: a New Phylogenetic Method for Comparing Microbial Communities. *Appl Environ Microbiol* **71**: 8228–8235.
- Ludwing W, Strunk O, Westram R, Richter L, Meier H, Yadhukumar *et al.* (2004). ARB: a software environment for sequence data. *Nucleic Acids Res* **32**: 1363–1371.
- Moore LJ, Wassink GJ, Green LE, Grogono-Thomas R. (2005). The detection and characterisation of *Dichelobacter nodosus* from cases of ovine footrot in England and Wales. *Vet Microbiol* **108**: 57–67.
- Murdoch DA. (1998). Gram-positive anaerobic cocci. *Clin Microbiol Rev* **11**: 81–120.
- Muyzer G, De Waal EC, Uitterlinden AG. (1993). Profiling of complex microbial populations by denaturing gradient gel electrophoresis analysis of polymerase chain reaction-amplified genes coding for 16S rRNA. *Appl Environ Microbiol* **59**: 695–700.
- Nagaraja TG, Narayanan SK, Stewart GC, Chengappa MM. (2005). *Fusobacterium necrophorum* infections in animals: pathogenesis and pathogenic mechanisms. *Anaerobe* **11**: 239–246.
- Naylor RD, Martin PK, Jones JR, Burnell MC. (1998). Isolation of spirochetes from an incident of severe virulent ovine foot-rot. *Vet Res* **143**: 690–691.
- Piriz Duran S, Valle Manzano J, Cuenca Valera R, Vadillo Machota S. (1990). Obligatory anaerobic bacterial species isolated from foot-rot lesions in goats. *Brit Vet J* **146**: 551–558.
- Pruesse E, Quast C, Knittel K, Fuchs B, Ludwig W, Peplies J *et al.* (2007). SILVA: a comprehensive online resource for quality checked and aligned ribosomal RNA sequence data compatible with ARB. *Nucleic Acids Res* **35**: 7188–7196.
- R Development Core Team (2009). *R: A language and environment for statistical computing*. R Foundation for Statistical Computing: Vienna, Austria. ISBN 3-900051-07-0 URL <http://www.R-project.org>.
- Roberts DS, Egerton JR. (1969). The aetiology and pathogenesis of ovine foot-rot. II. The pathogenic association of *Fusiformis nodosus* and *F. necrophorus*. *J Comp Pathol* **79**: 217–227.
- Sayers G, Marques PX, Evans NJ, O'Grady L, Doherty ML, Carter SD *et al.* (2009). Identification of Spirochetes Associated with Contagious Ovine Digital Dermatitis. *J Clin Microbiol* **47**: 1199–1201.
- Schloss PD, Handelsman J. (2005). Introducing DOTUR, a computer program for defining operational taxonomic units and estimating species richness. *Appl Environ Microbiol* **71**: 1501–1506.
- Schloss PD, Westcott SL, Ryabin T, Hall JR, Hartmann M, Hollister EB *et al.* (2009). Introducing mothur: open-source, platform-independent, community-supported software for describing and comparing

- microbial communities. *Appl Environ Microbiol* **75**: 7537–7541.
- Skerman TM, Moorhouse SR. (1987). Broomfield corriedales: a strain of sheep selectively bred for resistance to footrot. *New Zeal Vet J* **35**: 101–106.
- Stewart DJ. (1989). Footrot of sheep. In: JR Egerton, WK Yong, GG Riffkin (eds), *Footrot and Foot Abscess of Ruminants*. CRC Press: Boca Raton, FL, pp 5–45.
- Tadepalli S, Narayanan SK, Stewart GC, Chengappa MM, Nagaraja TG. (2009). *Fusobacterium necrophorum*: a ruminal bacterium that invades liver to cause abscesses in cattle. *Anaerobe* **15**: 36–43.
- Ulbegi-Mohyla H, Hassan AA, Alber J, Lämmle C, Prenger-Berninghoff E, Weiss R et al. (2010). Identification of *Arcanobacterium pluranimalium* isolated from a dog by phenotypic properties and by PCR mediated characterization of various molecular targets. *Vet Microbiol* **142**: 458–460.
- Weisburg WG, Barns SM, Pelletier DA, Lane DJ. (1991). 16S ribosomal DNA amplification for phylogenetic study. *J Bacteriol* **173**: 697–703.
- Zaura E, Keijser BJ, Huse SM, Crielaard W. (2009). Defining the healthy "core microbiome" of oral microbial communities. *BMC Microbiol* **9**: 259.
- Zhou H, Bennett G, Hickford JGH. (2009). Variation in *Fusobacterium necrophorum* strains present on the hooves of footrot infected sheep, goats and cattle. *Vet Microbiol* **135**: 363–367.

Supplementary Information accompanies the paper on The ISME Journal website (<http://www.nature.com/ismej>)