# Impact of Metabolic Syndrome Components on the Incidence of Cardiovascular Disease in a General Urban Japanese Population: The Suita Study

Yoshihiro KOKUBO<sup>1</sup>, Tomonori OKAMURA<sup>1</sup>, Yasunao YOSHIMASA<sup>2</sup>, Yoshihiro MIYAMOTO<sup>2</sup>, Katsuyuki KAWANISHI<sup>3</sup>, Yasushi KOTANI<sup>3</sup>, Akira OKAYAMA<sup>1),4</sup>, and Hitonobu TOMOIKE<sup>1</sup>

Abdominal obesity is a prerequisite for some definitions of metabolic syndrome (MetS). We investigated the impact of MetS defined by two different criteria, which either did or did not require abdominal obesity as a prerequisite, on cardiovascular disease (CVD) incidence in an urban Japanese cohort study. We studied 5,332 Japanese (aged 30-79 years, without CVD at baseline), who completed a baseline survey (September 1989 to March 1994) and were followed up through December 2005. MetS was defined by the NCEP-ATPIII (modified by Asian obesity criteria) and the Japanese criteria. After 61.846 person-years of follow-up, we documented 317 CVD incidences. The MetS frequencies of the Japanese and of the modified NCEP-ATPIII criteria were 17.7% and 25.1% for men and 5.0% and 14.3% for women, respectively. The multivariate hazard ratios (HRs; 95% confidence intervals [CI]) of CVD incidence for MetS by the modified NCEP-ATPIII criteria were 1.75 (1.27-2.41) in men and 1.90 (1.31-2.77) in women, and those for MetS by the Japanese criteria were 1.34 (0.96–1.87) in men and 2.20 (1.31–3.68) in women. The multivariate HRs of CVD incidence for MetS for the Japanese and for the modified NCEP-ATPIII criteria were 2.92 (1.54-5.55) and 1.94 (0.98-3.82) in men under 60 years old, respectively. The CVD incidence risks increased according to the number of MetS components. The risks were similar among participants with the same number of MetS components, regardless of abdominal obesity. In conclusion, the number of MetS components (modified NCEP-ATPIII criteria) may be more strongly associated with CVD incidence than the abdominal obesity essential criteria (the Japanese criteria) in a general urban Japanese population. (Hypertens Res 2008; 31: 2027-2035)

Key Words: metabolic syndrome, cardiovascular risk factor, cohort study, general population

## Introduction

Metabolic syndrome (MetS) is a clustering of impaired glucose metabolism, abdominal fat accumulation, dyslipidemia, and elevated blood pressure (1). Previous papers have shown an association between MetS and cardiovascular disease (CVD) (2), but most studies conducted thus far have been based on Western populations. There have been several welldesigned prospective studies of Asian populations, and those

From the <sup>1</sup>Department of Preventive Cardiology and <sup>2</sup>Department of Atherosclerosis and Diabetes, National Cardiovascular Center, Suita, Japan; <sup>3</sup>The Suita Medical Foundation, Suita, Japan; and <sup>4</sup>Japan Anti-Tuberculosis Association, Tokyo, Japan.

This study was supported by grants-in-aid from the Japanese Ministry of Health, Labour and Welfare (H14-Kouka-027, H19-SeiShu-017, and H18-SeiShu-012) and by a Research Grant for Cardiovascular Disease from the Ministry of Health, Labour and Welfare (18S-2, 19K-8), Japan.

Address for Reprints: Yoshihiro Kokubo, M.D., Ph.D., Department of Preventive Cardiology, National Cardiovascular Center, 5–7–1, Fujishiro-dai, Suita 565–8565, Japan. E-mail: ykokubo@hsp.ncvc.go.jp

Received May 30, 2008; Accepted in revised form September 7, 2008.

studies had various limitations, including the use of body mass index (BMI) (3, 4), non-fasting triglyceride and glucose levels (3, 4), mortality (4, 5), or small sample size (4-7). In order to properly define MetS, it is essential to use data on waist circumference and on the levels of both fasting glucose and fasting triglycerides.

MetS has been defined in several ways by several groups, including the World Health Organization (8), the European Group for the Study of Insulin Resistance (9), the American Association of Clinical Endocrinologists, and the National Cholesterol Education Program Adult Treatment Panel III (NCEP-ATPIII) (10). However, these definitions are aimed mainly at Western countries. The International Diabetes Foundation (IDF) (11) and the American Heart Association (12) have recently introduced alternative definitions that can be applied worldwide (10). Stroke incidence is relatively higher in Japan than in Western countries (13). It is uncertain whether these criteria can be applied well to Japanese populations. A MetS definition needs to be tailored to the epidemiological background of the area in question.

The Japanese Committee on the Criteria for MetS has recently proposed a definition of Japanese MetS (14, 15). Under both the IDF and the Japanese definitions, the presence of abdominal obesity is necessary for a diagnosis of MetS. However, no prospective study has examined the association between MetS based on the Japanese criteria and CVD, particularly in urban areas, where most Japanese live. Therefore, we undertook this study to examine the impact of MetS under the Japanese and modified NCEP-ATPIII criteria on CVD incidence in a general urban Japanese population.

# Methods

## **Study Population**

The Suita study (16, 17), an epidemiological survey of cerebrovascular disease and CVD, was based on a random sampling of 12,200 residents of Suita, a city of approximately 350,000 people in northern Osaka, Japan. As a baseline, in 1989, participants between the ages of 30 and 79 were arbitrarily selected from the municipality population registry and stratified into groups by sex and age in 10-year increments. Of these, 6,406 men and women participated in regular health checkups between September 1989 and March 1994. Since then, these participants have participated in regular health checkups at the National Cardiovascular Center every 2 years and answered health questionnaires every year.

Some cohort members in the study population were excluded from these analyses because they met one or more of the following criteria: past or present CVD illness at baseline (n=208), failure to fast for at least 10 h before venipuncture or missing data (n=170), or failure to follow up after their baseline examination (n=696). After these exclusions, 5,332 individuals remained for analysis.

### **Baseline Survey**

We performed routine blood tests that measured fasting serum total cholesterol, high-density lipoprotein (HDL) cholesterol, triglycerides, and glucose levels. Physicians or nurses administered questionnaires covering the subjects' personal habits and any present illnesses. The subjects were classified as current smokers if they smoked at least one cigarette per day, as non-smokers if they had never smoked, and as past smokers if they had stopped smoking. Blood pressure was measured three times in a sitting position after at least 5 min of rest. Systolic and diastolic blood pressures (SBP and DBP) were taken to be the average of the second and third measurements that were recorded at least 1 min apart by welltrained doctors. Waist circumference was measured in a standing position at the umbilical level to the nearest 1 cm by well-trained technicians. Informed consent was obtained from all participants. This study was approved by the Institutional Review Board of the National Cardiovascular Center.

### **Definitions of Metabolic Syndrome**

MetS was defined using two criteria. First, in accordance with NCEP-ATPIII (18) criteria, it was defined as the presence of three or more of the following five components: 1) abdominal obesity modified by the International Obesity Task Force central obesity criteria for Asia (waist circumference  $\geq$ 90 cm in men and  $\geq$ 80 cm in women) (19), 2) elevated blood pressure (SBP/DBP  $\geq$ 130/85 mmHg and/or current use of antihypertensive medication), 3) hypertriglyceridemia (serum triglyceride levels  $\geq$ 1.7 mmol/L [150 mg/dL] and/or current use of cholesterol-lowering medication), 4) low HDL cholesterol (serum HDL levels of  $\leq$ 1.0 mmol/L [40 mg/dL] in men and of  $\leq$ 1.3 mmol/L [50 mg/dL] in women), and 5) elevated blood glucose levels (fasting blood glucose  $\geq$ 6.1 mmol/L [110 mg/dL] and/or current use of insulin or oral medication for diabetes).

Second, we used the definition of MetS recommended by the Japanese Committee on the Criteria for MetS (14, 15). MetS was defined by abdominal obesity (waist circumference  $\geq$ 85 cm in men and  $\geq$ 90 cm in women) (20) and least two of the following three components: 1) elevated blood pressure (SBP/DBP  $\geq$ 130/85 mmHg), 2) hyperlipidemia (serum triglyceride levels  $\geq$ 1.7 mmol/L [150 mg/dL] and/or HDL levels <1.0 mmol/L [40 mg/dL]), and 3) elevated blood glucose levels  $\geq$ 6.1 mmol/L (110 mg/dL). Subjects taking medication for hypertension, hyperlipidemia, or diabetes were included as having that component.

## **Endpoint Determination**

The endpoint of the follow-up period for each participant was whichever one of the following occurred first: 1) the date of the first myocardial infarction (MI) or stroke event, 2) the date of death, 3) the date the participant moved out of Suita,

	Ν	Ien ( <i>n</i> =2,492)		Women ( <i>n</i> =2,840)			
	MetS(-) ( <i>n</i> =2,043)	MetS(+) ( <i>n</i> =449)	<i>p</i> *	MetS(-) ( <i>n</i> =2,253)	MetS(+) ( <i>n</i> =587)	<i>p</i> *	
Age at baseline, years	55.4±13.3	58.1±11.5	< 0.001	$52.2 \pm 12.6$	61.3±9.8	< 0.001	
Systolic blood pressure, mmHg	$126 \pm 20$	$140 \pm 19$	< 0.001	$120 \pm 20$	$141 \pm 20$	< 0.001	
Diastolic blood pressure, mmHg	78±12	85±11	< 0.001	$73 \pm 11$	83±12	< 0.001	
Total cholesterol, mg/dL	$200 \pm 34$	$210 \pm 35$	< 0.001	$210 \pm 38$	227±38	< 0.001	
HDL cholesterol, mg/dL	51±13	$40 \pm 10$	< 0.001	$60 \pm 12$	$45 \pm 10$	< 0.001	
Triglyceride, mg/dL <sup>#</sup>	$121 \pm 73$	241±156	< 0.001	$90 \pm 44$	$178 \pm 113$	< 0.001	
Waist circumference, cm	$81.0 \pm 7.3$	89.7±7.0	< 0.001	$74.7 \pm 8.9$	$87.4 \pm 8.5$	< 0.001	
Elevated blood pressure, %	41.8	85.8	< 0.001	30.4	82.1	< 0.001	
Hypertriglyceridemia, %	21.6	82.9	< 0.001	7.2	63.7	< 0.001	
Lower-HDL cholesterol, %	15.5	64.8	< 0.001	18.7	80.1	< 0.001	
Hyperglycemia, %	8.9	43.9	< 0.001	3.6	29.6	< 0.001	
Current smoker, %	50.5	47.6	0.278	11.9	11.8	0.958	
Current drinker, %	75.5	72.6	0.207	34.6	25.4	< 0.001	

 Table 1. Baseline Distributions of Cardiovascular Disease Risk Factors According to Metabolic Syndrome under the NCEP 

 ATPIII Modified by Asian Obesity Definitions

Elevated blood pressure: antihypertensive drug use or >130/85 mmHg; hypertriglyceridemia: antihipidemic drug use or triglyceride >150 mg/dL; lower-HDL cholesterol: HDL cholesterol <40 mg/dL. MetS, metabolic syndrome; HDL, high-density lipoprotein. \*ANOVA or  $\chi^2$  tests were performed. #Log-transformed triglyceride was performed to statistical analysis.

Table 2. Age-Adjusted Hazard Ratios (Confidence Intervals) for Incidence of Cardiovascular Disease According	to Abdominal
Obesity at Baseline Examination	

	Men			Women				
	Case, n	Person- year	HR (95% CI)	р	Case, n	Person- year	HR (95% CI)	р
Japanese criteria								
<85 cm (men)/<90 cm (women)	111	17,112	1		96	29,960	1	
$\geq$ 85 cm (men)/ $\geq$ 90 cm (women)	77	11,247	0.97 (0.72–1.30)	0.844	33	3,890	1.64 (1.09–2.46)	0.019
Asian criteria								
<90 cm (men)/<80 cm (women)	145	23,136	1		53	21,139	1	
$\geq$ 90 cm (men)/ $\geq$ 80 cm (women)	43	5,223	1.18 (0.84–1.67)	0.327	76	12,711	1.44 (1.00–2.07)	0.048
NCEP-ATPIII criteria								
<102 cm (men)/<88 cm (women)	182	27,976	1		91	28,730	1	
$\geq$ 102 cm (men)/ $\geq$ 88 cm (women)	6	384	2.00 (0.88-4.54)	0.095	38	5,121	1.47 (1.00–2.17)	0.048

HR, hazard ratio; CI, confidence interval.

or 4) December 31, 2005 (censored). As a first-step survey to detect MI and stroke incidence, each participant's health status was checked during a clinical visit at the National Cardiovascular Center every 2 years. Furthermore, every year a health questionnaire was given to each participant *via* mail or telephone.

# Confirmation of Strokes and Myocardial Infarctions

In total, five hospitals in this area were capable of performing computed tomographic scans and/or magnetic resonance imaging, and all were major hospitals that admitted acute stroke and MI patients. Medical records were reviewed by registered hospital physicians or research physicians who were blinded to the baseline information. Strokes and MI events were registered if they occurred after the date on which the baseline health examination was held and before January 1, 2006. Strokes were defined according to the National Survey of Stroke criteria (21). These criteria require the rapid onset of a constellation of neurological deficits lasting at least 24 h or until death. For each stroke subtype (cerebral infarction [thrombotic or embolic infarction], intracerebral hemorrhage, and subarachnoid hemorrhage), a definite diagnosis was established based on examination of computed tomographic scans, magnetic resonance images, or autopsy. Defi-

$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$			Men			Women			
$\begin{array}{c ccccc} Cartforwaredur discusse \\ MetS Japanese definition \\ Cases, n 1 40 48 110 19 \\ Person-year 23,542 4,817 32,225 1,526 \\ Age-adjusted 1 1,31 (0.94-1.82) 0.009 1 2,16 (1.31-3.54) 0.002 \\ Multivariate-adjusted 1 1,34 (0.96-1.87) 0.080 1 2,20 (1.31-3.68) 0.003 \\ <00 years old \\ Cases, n 27 15 25 4 \\ Person-year 147,52 2,366 22,085 529 \\ Age-adjusted 1 2,276 (1.46-5,23) 0.002 1 5,39 (1.82-15.98) 0.000 \\ Multivariate-adjusted 1 2,276 (1.46-5,23) 0.002 1 5,20 (1.82-15.98) 0.000 \\ 260 years old \\ Cases, n 113 33 88 15 \\ Person-year 8,790 2,451 10,240 997 \\ Age-adjusted 1 10.66 (0.71-1.57) 0.764 1 1.83 (1.05-3.18) 0.033 \\ Multivariate-adjusted 1 10.46 (0.70-1.53) 0.841 1 1.83 (1.05-3.18) 0.033 \\ Multivariate-adjusted 1 1.70 (1.22-2.44) 0.001 1 1.93 (1.36-7.77) <0.001 \\ Cases, n 30 12 19 10 \\ Cases, n 30 12 19 10 \\ Cases, n 30 12 19 10 \\ Cases, n 30 12 19 0 \\ Cases, n 30 12 19 0 \\ Cases, n 30 3 12 35 73 56 \\ Person-year 14,509 2,606 19,872 2,742 \\ Age-adjusted 1 1.77 (1.27-2.44) 0.001 1 1.90 (1.31-2.77) <0.001 \\ Multivariate-adjusted 1 1.79 (0.91-3.52) 0.089 1 2,272 (1.25-59) 0.001 \\ Multivariate-adjusted 1 1.79 (0.91-3.52) 0.089 1 2,272 (1.25-59) 0.013 \\ Multivariate-adjusted 1 1.79 (0.91-3.52) 0.089 1 2,276 (1.4-6.57) 0.007 \\ <60 years old 2 19 10 \\ Person-year 8,804 2,381 7,533 3,704 \\ Age-adjusted 1 1.74 (0.91-3.52) 0.005 1 2,296 (1.4-6.57) 0.007 \\ \geq 60 years old 2 2 77 (1.25-59) 0.013 \\ Multivariate-adjusted 1 1.73 (1.20-2.48) 0.005 1 1.78 (1.19-2.66) 0.005 \\ Multivariate-adjusted 1 1.148 (0.90-2.44) 0.117 1 2,236 (1.02-5.46) 0.0043 \\ Multivariate-adjusted 1 1.148 (0.90-2.44) 0.117 1 2.36 (1.02-5.46) 0.003 \\ Multivariate-adjusted 1 1.148 (0.90-2.44) 0.016 1 2,77 (1.4-5.32) 0.002 \\ Multivariate-adjusted 1 1.12 (0.78-1.89) 0.331 1 2,09 (1.12-3.88) 0.019 \\ Multivariate-adjusted 1 1.12 (0.78-1.89) 0.314 1 2,09 (1.12-3.88) 0.019 \\ Multivariate-adjusted 1 1.12 (0.78-1.89) 0.314 1 2,00 (1.12-3.88) 0.019 \\ Multivariate-adjusted 1 1.12 (0.78-1.89) 0.314 1 2,00 (1.12-3.88) 0.019 \\ Multivariate-adjusted$		MetS(-)	MetS(+)	p value	MetS(-)	MetS(+)	p value		
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	Cardiovascular disease			_					
$\begin{array}{cccc} \begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	MetS Japanese definition								
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Cases. n	140	48		110	19			
Age-adjusted       1       11       134 (0.94-1.82)       0.109       1       2.16 (1.31-3.54)       0.002         Multivariate-adjusted       1       1.34 (0.96-1.87)       0.080       1       2.20 (1.31-3.68)       0.003         <60 years old       2.75       15       25       4         Person-year       14.752       2.366       22.085       529       0.002         Multivariate-adjusted       1       2.26 (1.46-5.23)       0.002       1       5.39 (1.80-81.87)       0.001         ≥60 years old       2.92 (1.54-5.5)       0.001       1       6.39 (1.80-81.87)       0.002         ∆dess, n       113       33       85       15       15         Person-year       8,790       2,451       10,240       997       30.33         Multivariate-adjusted       1       1.04 (0.70-1.53)       0.841       1       1.80 (1.01-3.20)       0.004         Multivariate-adjusted       1       1.70 (1.27-2.41)       <0.001       1       1.90 (1.31-2.77)       <0.001         Multivariate-adjusted       1       1.79 (0.91-3.52)       0.083       1       2.72 (1.23-5.99)       0.013         Cases, n       30       22       1       1.94 (0.98-3.82)	Person-vear	23.542	4.817		32.325	1.526			
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Age-adjusted	1	1.31 (0.94–1.82)	0.109	1	2.16 (1.31–3.54)	0.002		
	Multivariate-adjusted	1	1.34 (0.96–1.87)	0.080	1	2.20(1.31 - 3.68)	0.003		
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	<60 years old	-	110 1 (0120 1107)	01000	-	2120 (1101 0100)	01002		
Derson-year         14,752         2,366         22,085         529           Age-adjusted         1         2,76 (1.46-5.23)         0.002         1         5.39 (1.82-15.98)         0.001           ≥ 60 years old         2.92 (1.54-5.55)         0.001         1         6.23 (2.88-18.79)         0.001           ≥ 60 years old         0.001         1         6.23 (2.08-18.79)         0.001           Cases, n         113         33         85         15           Person-year         8,790         2,451         10,240         997           Age-adjusted         1         1.04 (0.70-1.53)         0.841         1         1.83 (1.05-3.18)         0.033           Multivariate-adjusted         1         1.60 (0.71-1.57)         0.764         1         1.80 (1.01-3.20)         0.046           Metts NCEP-ATPIII (Asian) definition         Cases, n         50         73         56         26.06         27,405         6,446         2.001         1         1.93 (1.35-2.77)         <0.001	Cases n	27	15		25	4			
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Person-year	14 752	2 366		22 085	529			
Multivariate-adjusted         1         2.92 (1.54-5.55)         0.001         1         6.25 (2.08-18.79)         0.001           ≥ 60 years old         Cases, n         113         33         85         15           Person-year         8,790         2,451         10,240         997           Age-adjusted         1         1.04 (0.70-1.53)         0.841         1.83 (1.05-3.18)         0.033           Multivariate-adjusted         1         1.06 (0.71-1.57)         0.764         1         1.80 (1.01-3.20)         0.046           MetS NCEP-ATPII (Asian) definition         Cases, n         55         73         56         5           Person-year         23,373         4.986         27,405         6,446         -         -           Multivariate-adjusted         1         1.70 (1.23-2.34)         0.001         1         1.90 (1.31-2.77)         <0.001	A ge-adjusted	1	2,566 2 76 (1 46-5 23)	0.002	1	539(182-1598)	0.002		
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Multivariate-adjusted	1	2.92 (1.54-5.55)	0.001	1	6 25 (2 08–18 79)	0.001		
Cuses, n       113       33       85       15         Person-year       8,790       2,451       10,240       997         Age-adjusted       1       1.04 (0.70-1,53)       0.841       1       1.83 (1.65-3.18)       0.033         Multivariate-adjusted       1       1.06 (0.71-1.57)       0.764       1       1.80 (1.01-3.20)       0.046         MetS NCEP-ATPIII (Asian) definition       Cases, n       133       55       73       56         Person-year       23,373       4.986       27,405       6,446         Age-adjusted       1       1.70 (1.23-2.34)       0.001       1       1.93 (1.52-7.7)       <0.001	>60 years old	1	2.52 (1.51 5.55)	0.001	1	0.20 (2.00 10.79)	0.001		
Derson-year         8,790         2,451         10,240         997           Age-adjusted         1         1.04 (0.70–1.53)         0.841         1         1.83 (1.05–3.18)         0.033           Multivariate-adjusted         1         1.06 (0.71–1.57)         0.764         1         1.80 (1.01–3.20)         0.046           MetS NCEP-ATPHI (Asian) definition         23,373         4.986         27,405         6,446           Age-adjusted         1         1.70 (1.23–2.34)         0.001         1         1.93 (1.35–2.77)         <0.001	Cases n	113	33		85	15			
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Person-year	8 790	2 451		10 240	997			
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	A ge-adjusted	0,750	1.04(0.70-1.53)	0.841	10,210	1.83(1.05-3.18)	0.033		
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Multivariate-adjusted	1	$1.01(0.70^{-1.55})$ 1.06(0.71 - 1.57)	0.764	1	$1.00(1.05 \ 5.10)$ 1.80(1.01 - 3.20)	0.035		
Cases, n       133       55       73       56         Person-year       23,373       4,986       27,405       6,446         Age-adjusted       1       1.70 (1,23-2.34)       0.001       1       1.93 (1,35-2.77)       <0.001	MetS NCFP_ A TPIII (Asian) d	efinition	1.00 (0./1-1.3/)	0.704	1	1.00 (1.01–3.20)	0.040		
Catcer, n       1.3       3.5       1.3       3.5         Person-year       23,373       4,986       27,405       6,446         Age-adjusted       1       1.70 (1,23-2,34)       0.001       1       1.93 (1,35-2,77)       <0.001	Cases n	133	55		73	56			
Letson-year       22,373       4,360       27,493       0,440       1       1,76 (1,23-2,34)       0,001       1       1.93 (1,35-2,77)       <0.001         Multivariate-adjusted       1       1.75 (1,27-2,41)       <0.001	Derson year	22 272	4 986		27 405	6.446			
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	A ge adjusted	25,575	+,900	0.001	27,403	1.03(1.35, 2.77)	< 0.001		
selection       1       1.73 (1.2/-2.41)       <0.001	Age-aujusteu Multivariate adjusted	1	1.70(1.23-2.34) 1.75(1.27, 2.41)	< 0.001	1	1.93(1.33-2.77) 1.00(1.31, 2.77)	< 0.001		
CoordCases, $n$ 30121910Person-year14,5092,60619,8722,742Age-adjusted11.79 (0.91–3.52)0.08912.72 (1.23–5.99)0.013Multivariate-adjusted11.94 (0.98–3.82)0.05512.79 (1.23–5.99)0.013Cases, $n$ 103435446Person-year $8,864$ 2,3817,5333,704Age-adjusted11.67 (1.16–2.40)0.00511.78 (1.19–2.66)0.005Multivariate-adjusted11.67 (1.16–2.40)0.00311.70 (1.12–2.59)0.012Myocardial infarctionCases, $n$ 5622327MetS Japanese definitionCases, $n$ 5622327MetS Japanese definitionUse and the construction of	< 60 years ald	1	1.75 (1.27-2.41)	<0.001	1	1.90 (1.31–2.77)	<0.001		
$\begin{array}{c ccccc} {\rm Cases,} n & 5.0 & 1.2 & 1.9 & 1.0 \\ {\rm Person-year} & 14,509 & 2,606 & 19,872 & 2,742 \\ {\rm Age-adjusted} & 1 & 1.79 (0.91-3.52) & 0.089 & 1 & 2.72 (1.23-5.99) & 0.013 \\ {\rm Multivariate-adjusted} & 1 & 1.94 (0.98-3.82) & 0.055 & 1 & 2.96 (1.34-6.57) & 0.007 \\ {\scriptstyle \geq} 60 years old & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & &$	< ob years old	20	12		10	10			
Age-adjusted       1       1.79 (0.91–3.52)       0.089       1       2.72 (1.23–5.99)       0.013         Multivariate-adjusted       1       1.94 (0.98–3.82)       0.055       1       2.96 (1.34–6.57)       0.007         ≥60 years old	Cases, <i>n</i>	14 500	2 606		10 872	2 7 4 2			
Age adjusted       1       1.79 (0.91–3.22)       0.069       1       2.72 (1.25–3.99)       0.013         ≥60 years old       1       1.94 (0.98–3.82)       0.055       1       2.96 (1.34–6.57)       0.007         ≥60 years old       2.96 (1.34–6.57)       0.007       43       54       46         Person-year       8,864       2.381       7,533       3,704         Age-adjusted       1       1.67 (1.16–2.40)       0.005       1       1.78 (1.19–2.66)       0.005         Multivariate-adjusted       1       1.73 (1.20–2.48)       0.003       1       1.70 (1.12–2.59)       0.012         Myocardial infarction       MetS Japanese definition       2.32       7       7       7       9       9.003       1       2.70 (1.15–6.35)       0.023         MetS NCEP-ATPHII (Asian) definition       Cases, n       52       26       18       21       21         Person-year       22,833       4,795       26,944       6,211       6,211       4,659       0.002       1       2.77 (1.44–5.32)       0.002         MetS NCEP-ATPHII (Asian) definition       2.12 (1.31–3.43)       0.002       1       2.77 (1.44–5.32)       0.003         Multivariate-adjusted       1 <td< td=""><td>A ga adjusted</td><td>14,509</td><td>2,000</td><td>0.090</td><td>19,072</td><td>2,742</td><td>0.012</td></td<>	A ga adjusted	14,509	2,000	0.090	19,072	2,742	0.012		
Multivariate-adjusted       1       1.94 (0.96–3.22)       0.053       1       2.96 (1.34–5.57)       0.007         ≥60 years old       0       43       54       46         Person-year       8,864       2,381       7,533       3,704         Age-adjusted       1       1.67 (1.16–2.40)       0.005       1       1.78 (1.19–2.66)       0.005         Multivariate-adjusted       1       1.73 (1.20–2.48)       0.003       1       1.70 (1.12–2.59)       0.012         Myocardial infarction          32       7          MetS Japanese definition          31,697       1.457         Age-adjusted       1       1.48 (0.90–2.44)       0.117       1       2.36 (1.02–5.46)       0.043         Multivariate-adjusted       1       1.48 (0.90–2.44)       0.117       1       2.36 (1.02–5.46)       0.043         Multivariate-adjusted       1       1.48 (0.90–2.44)       0.117       1       2.36 (1.02–5.46)       0.043         Multivariate-adjusted       1       1.51 (0.91–2.48)       0.105       1       2.70 (1.15–6.35)       0.023         MetS NCEP-ATPHII (Asian) definition         2.09 (1.30–3.37)	Age-adjusted	1	1.79(0.91-3.32) 1.04(0.08, 2.82)	0.089	1	2.72(1.25-5.99)	0.015		
Cases, n       103       43       54       46         Person-year       8,864       2,381       7,533       3,704         Age-adjusted       1       1.67 (1.16–2.40)       0.005       1       1.78 (1.19–2.66)       0.005         Multivariate-adjusted       1       1.73 (1.20–2.48)       0.003       1       1.70 (1.12–2.59)       0.012         Mycoardial infarction       MetS Japanese definition       2.32       7       7         Cases, n       56       22       32       7       7         Person-year       22,962       4,663       31,697       1,457       0.043         Multivariate-adjusted       1       1.51 (0.91–2.48)       0.105       1       2.70 (1.15–6.35)       0.023         MetS NCEP-ATPIII (Asian) definition       Cases, n       52       26       18       21         Cases, n       52       26       18       21       1       2.70 (1.15–6.35)       0.003         Multivariate-adjusted       1       2.19 (1.30–3.37)       0.002       1       2.68 (1.41–5.10)       0.003         Multivariate-adjusted       1       2.19 (1.30–3.37)       0.002       1       2.77 (1.44–5.32)       0.002         All strokes<		1	1.94 (0.96–5.62)	0.055	1	2.90 (1.34-0.37)	0.007		
Cases, $n$ 105453446Person-year $8,864$ $2,381$ $7,533$ $3,704$ Age-adjusted1 $1.67 (1.16-2.40)$ $0.005$ 1 $1.78 (1.19-2.66)$ $0.005$ Multivariate-adjusted1 $1.73 (1.20-2.48)$ $0.003$ 1 $1.70 (1.12-2.59)$ $0.012$ Myocardial infarctionMetS Japanese definitionCases, $n$ $56$ $22$ $32$ $7$ Person-year $22,962$ $4.663$ $31,697$ $1,457$ Age-adjusted1 $1.51 (0.91-2.48)$ $0.105$ 1 $2.70 (1.15-6.35)$ $0.023$ MetS NCEP-ATPIII (Asian) definitionCases, $n$ $52$ $26$ 18 $21$ Person-year $22,833$ $4.795$ $26,944$ $6,211$ Age-adjusted1 $2.10 (1.30-3.37)$ $0.002$ 1 $2.77 (1.44-5.32)$ $0.002$ All strokesMetS Japanese definitionCases, $n$ $84$ $26$ $78$ $12$ Age-adjusted1 $1.21 (0.78-1.89)$ $0.381$ 1 $2.09 (1.12-3.88)$ $0.019$ Multivariate-adjusted1 $1.27 (0.81-1.97)$ $0.292$ 1 $2.05 (1.07-3.92)$ $0.031$ MetS NCEP-ATPIII (Asian) definitionCases, $n$ $84$ $26$ $78$ $12$ Person-year $23,177$ $4.659$ $32,078$ $1.487$ Age-adjusted1 $1.27 (0.81-1.97)$ $0.292$ 1 $2.05 (1.07-3.92)$ $0.031$ Multivariate-adjusted1 </td <td>≥00 years old</td> <td>102</td> <td>42</td> <td></td> <td>5.4</td> <td>10</td> <td></td>	≥00 years old	102	42		5.4	10			
Person-year $6,804$ $2,351$ $7,353$ $5,704$ Age-adjusted1 $1.67$ ( $1.16-2.40$ ) $0.005$ 1 $1.78$ ( $1.19-2.66$ ) $0.005$ Multivariate-adjusted1 $1.73$ ( $1.20-2.48$ ) $0.003$ 1 $1.78$ ( $1.19-2.66$ ) $0.005$ Myocardial infarctionMetS Japanese definitionCases, $n$ $56$ $22$ $32$ $7$ Person-year $22,962$ $4,663$ $31,697$ $1,457$ Age-adjusted1 $1.48$ ( $0.90-2.44$ ) $0.117$ 1 $2.36$ ( $1.02-5.46$ ) $0.043$ Multivariate-adjusted1 $1.51$ ( $0.91-2.48$ ) $0.105$ 1 $2.70$ ( $1.15-6.35$ ) $0.023$ MetS NCEP-ATPIII (Asian) definitionCases, $n$ $52$ $26$ $18$ $21$ Person-year $22,833$ $4,795$ $26,944$ $6,211$ Age-adjusted1 $2.09$ ( $1.30-3.37$ ) $0.002$ 1 $2.77$ ( $1.44-5.32$ ) $0.002$ All strokesMetS Japanese definitionCases, $n$ $84$ $26$ $78$ $12$ Person-year $23,177$ $4,659$ $32,078$ $1,487$ Age-adjusted1 $1.27$ ( $0.81-1.97$ ) $0.292$ 1 $2.05$ ( $1.07-3.92$ ) $0.031$ MetS NCEP-ATPIII (Asian) definitionCases, $n$ $81$ $29$ $55$ $35$ Person-year $23,010$ $4,826$ $27,266$ $6,299$ Age-adjusted1 $1.52$ ( $0.99-2.34$ ) $0.053$ 1 $1.70$ ( $1.09-2.64$ ) $0.018$	Cases, <i>n</i>	103	43		54 7 522	40			
Age-adjusted11.67 (1.16–2.40)0.00511.78 (1.19–2.66)0.005Multivariate-adjusted11.73 (1.20–2.48)0.00311.70 (1.12–2.59)0.012Myocardial infarctionMetS Japanese definitionCases, n5622327Person-year22,9624,66331,6971,457Age-adjusted11.48 (0.90–2.44)0.11712.36 (1.02–5.46)0.043Multivariate-adjusted11.51 (0.91–2.48)0.10512.70 (1.15–6.35)0.023MetS NCEP-ATPIII (Asian) definitionCases, n52261821Person-year22,8334,79526,9446,211Age-adjusted12.12 (1.31–3.43)0.00212.77 (1.44–5.32)0.002All strokesMetS Japanese definitionCases, n84267812Person-year23,1774,65932,0781,487Age-adjusted11.21 (0.78–1.89)0.38112.09 (1.12–3.88)0.019Multivariate-adjusted11.27 (0.81–1.97)0.29212.05 (1.07–3.92)0.031MetS NCEP-ATPIII (Asian) definition129553535Person-year23,0104,82627,2666,2990.031MetS NCEP-ATPIII (Asian) definition11.52 (0.99–2.34)0.05311.70 (1.09–2.64)0.018Multivariate-adjusted11.52 (0.99–2.34)0.053 </td <td>Person-year</td> <td>8,864</td> <td>2,381</td> <td>0.005</td> <td>/,533</td> <td>3,/04</td> <td>0.005</td>	Person-year	8,864	2,381	0.005	/,533	3,/04	0.005		
Multivariate-adjusted         1         1.73 (1.20-2.48)         0.003         1         1.70 (1.12-2.39)         0.012           Myocardial infarction MetS Japanese definition	Age-adjusted	1	1.0/(1.10-2.40)	0.005	1	1.78 (1.19–2.00)	0.005		
Myocardial infarction         MetS Japanese definition         Cases, $n$ 56       22       32       7         Person-year       22,962       4,663       31,697       1,457         Age-adjusted       1       1.48 (0.90–2.44)       0.117       1       2.36 (1.02–5.46)       0.043         Multivariate-adjusted       1       1.51 (0.91–2.48)       0.105       1       2.70 (1.15–6.35)       0.023         MetS NCEP-ATPIII (Asian) definition        2       26       18       21         Cases, $n$ 52       26       18       21          Person-year       22,833       4,795       26,944       6,211        0.003         Multivariate-adjusted       1       2.09 (1.30–3.37)       0.002       1       2.68 (1.41–5.10)       0.003         Multivariate-adjusted       1       2.12 (1.31–3.43)       0.002       1       2.77 (1.44–5.32)       0.002         All strokes          2       7       32,078       1,487         Age-adjusted       1       1.21 (0.78–1.89)       0.381       1       2.09 (1.12–3.88)       0.019         Multivariate-adjusted       1       1.27	Multivariate-adjusted	1	1.73 (1.20–2.48)	0.003	1	1.70 (1.12–2.39)	0.012		
MetS Japanese definition Cases, $n$ 5622327Person-year22,9624,66331,6971,457Age-adjusted11.48 (0.90–2.44)0.11712.36 (1.02–5.46)0.043Multivariate-adjusted11.51 (0.91–2.48)0.10512.70 (1.15–6.35)0.023MetS NCEP-ATPIII (Asian) definition $Zases, n$ 52261821Cases, $n$ 52261821 $Z.77$ (1.44–5.32)0.003Multivariate-adjusted12.12 (1.31–3.43)0.00212.77 (1.44–5.32)0.002All strokes $Zases, n$ 84267812Person-year23,1774,65932,0781,487Age-adjusted11.21 (0.78–1.89)0.38112.09 (1.12–3.88)0.019Multivariate-adjusted11.27 (0.81–1.97)0.29212.05 (1.07–3.92)0.031MetS NCEP-ATPIII (Asian) definition $Zases, n$ 812953535MetS NCEP-ATPIII (Asian) definition $Zases, n$ 8129553535Multivariate-adjusted11.52 (0.99–2.34)0.05311.70 (1.09–2.64)0.018Multivariate-adjusted11.58 (1.02–2.43)0.03711.62 (1.02–2.58)0.041	Myocardial infarction								
Cases, $n$ 5622327Person-year22,9624,66331,6971,457Age-adjusted11.48 (0.90–2.44)0.11712.36 (1.02–5.46)0.043Multivariate-adjusted11.51 (0.91–2.48)0.10512.70 (1.15–6.35)0.023MetS NCEP-ATPIII (Asian) definition </td <td>MetS Japanese definition</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	MetS Japanese definition								
Person-year22,9624,66331,6971,457Age-adjusted11.48 (0.90–2.44)0.11712.36 (1.02–5.46)0.043Multivariate-adjusted11.51 (0.91–2.48)0.10512.70 (1.15–6.35)0.023MetS NCEP-ATPIII (Asian) definition </td <td>Cases, <i>n</i></td> <td>56</td> <td>22</td> <td></td> <td>32</td> <td>7</td> <td></td>	Cases, <i>n</i>	56	22		32	7			
Age-adjusted11.48 (0.90–2.44)0.11712.36 (1.02–5.46)0.043Multivariate-adjusted11.51 (0.91–2.48)0.10512.70 (1.15–6.35)0.023MetS NCEP-ATPIII (Asian) definitionCases, $n$ 52261821Person-year22,8334,79526,9446,211Age-adjusted12.09 (1.30–3.37)0.00212.68 (1.41–5.10)0.003Multivariate-adjusted12.12 (1.31–3.43)0.00212.77 (1.44–5.32)0.002All strokesMetS Japanese definitionCases, $n$ 84267812Person-year23,1774,65932,0781,487Age-adjusted11.21 (0.78–1.89)0.38112.09 (1.12–3.88)0.019Multivariate-adjusted11.27 (0.81–1.97)0.29212.05 (1.07–3.92)0.031MetS NCEP-ATPIII (Asian) definitionCases, $n$ 81295535Person-year23,0104,82627,2666,2990.018Multivariate-adjusted11.52 (0.99–2.34)0.05311.70 (1.09–2.64)0.018Multivariate-adjusted11.58 (1.02–2.43)0.03711.62 (1.02–2.58)0.041	Person-year	22,962	4,663		31,697	1,457			
Multivariate-adjusted1 $1.51 (0.91-2.48)$ $0.105$ 1 $2.70 (1.15-6.35)$ $0.023$ MetS NCEP-ATPIII (Asian) definitionCases, n52261821Person-year22,833 $4,795$ $26,944$ $6,211$ Age-adjusted1 $2.09 (1.30-3.37)$ $0.002$ 1 $2.68 (1.41-5.10)$ $0.003$ Multivariate-adjusted1 $2.12 (1.31-3.43)$ $0.002$ 1 $2.77 (1.44-5.32)$ $0.002$ All strokesMetS Japanese definitionCases, n84267812Person-year23,177 $4,659$ $32,078$ $1,487$ Age-adjusted1 $1.21 (0.78-1.89)$ $0.381$ 1 $2.09 (1.12-3.88)$ $0.019$ Multivariate-adjusted1 $1.27 (0.81-1.97)$ $0.292$ 1 $2.05 (1.07-3.92)$ $0.031$ MetS NCEP-ATPIII (Asian) definitionCases, n812955 $35$ Person-year $23,010$ $4,826$ $27,266$ $6,299$ Age-adjusted1 $1.52 (0.99-2.34)$ $0.053$ 1 $1.70 (1.09-2.64)$ $0.018$ Multivariate-adjusted1 $1.58 (1.02-2.43)$ $0.037$ 1 $1.62 (1.02-2.58)$ $0.041$	Age-adjusted	1	1.48 (0.90–2.44)	0.117	1	2.36 (1.02-5.46)	0.043		
MetS NCEP-ATPIII (Asian) definitionCases, $n$ 52261821Person-year22,8334,79526,9446,211Age-adjusted12.09 (1.30–3.37)0.00212.68 (1.41–5.10)0.003Multivariate-adjusted12.12 (1.31–3.43)0.00212.77 (1.44–5.32)0.002All strokesMetS Japanese definitionCases, $n$ 84267812Person-year23,1774,65932,0781,487Age-adjusted11.21 (0.78–1.89)0.38112.09 (1.12–3.88)0.019Multivariate-adjusted11.27 (0.81–1.97)0.29212.05 (1.07–3.92)0.031MetS NCEP-ATPIII (Asian) definitionCases, $n$ 81295535Person-year23,0104,82627,2666,299Age-adjusted11.52 (0.99–2.34)0.05311.70 (1.09–2.64)0.018Multivariate-adjusted11.58 (1.02–2.43)0.03711.62 (1.02–2.58)0.041	Multivariate-adjusted	1	1.51 (0.91–2.48)	0.105	1	2.70 (1.15-6.35)	0.023		
Cases, $n$ 52261821Person-year22,8334,79526,9446,211Age-adjusted12.09 (1.30–3.37)0.00212.68 (1.41–5.10)0.003Multivariate-adjusted12.12 (1.31–3.43)0.00212.77 (1.44–5.32)0.002All strokesMetS Japanese definitionCases, $n$ 84267812Person-year23,1774,65932,0781,487Age-adjusted11.21 (0.78–1.89)0.38112.09 (1.12–3.88)0.019Multivariate-adjusted11.27 (0.81–1.97)0.29212.05 (1.07–3.92)0.031MetS NCEP-ATPIII (Asian) definitionCases, $n$ 81295535Person-year23,0104,82627,2666,299Age-adjusted11.52 (0.99–2.34)0.05311.70 (1.09–2.64)0.018Multivariate-adjusted11.58 (1.02–2.43)0.03711.62 (1.02–2.58)0.041	MetS NCEP-ATPIII (Asian) d	efinition							
Person-year22,8334,79526,9446,211Age-adjusted1 $2.09 (1.30-3.37)$ $0.002$ 1 $2.68 (1.41-5.10)$ $0.003$ Multivariate-adjusted1 $2.12 (1.31-3.43)$ $0.002$ 1 $2.77 (1.44-5.32)$ $0.002$ All strokesMetS Japanese definitionCases, n84267812Person-year $23,177$ $4,659$ $32,078$ $1,487$ Age-adjusted1 $1.21 (0.78-1.89)$ $0.381$ 1 $2.09 (1.12-3.88)$ $0.019$ Multivariate-adjusted1 $1.27 (0.81-1.97)$ $0.292$ 1 $2.05 (1.07-3.92)$ $0.031$ MetS NCEP-ATPIII (Asian) definitionCases, n81295535Person-year $23,010$ $4,826$ $27,266$ $6,299$ Age-adjusted1 $1.52 (0.99-2.34)$ $0.053$ 1 $1.70 (1.09-2.64)$ $0.018$ Multivariate-adjusted1 $1.58 (1.02-2.43)$ $0.037$ 1 $1.62 (1.02-2.58)$ $0.041$	Cases, n	52	26		18	21			
Age-adjusted1 $2.09 (1.30-3.37)$ $0.002$ 1 $2.68 (1.41-5.10)$ $0.003$ Multivariate-adjusted1 $2.12 (1.31-3.43)$ $0.002$ 1 $2.77 (1.44-5.32)$ $0.002$ All strokesMetS Japanese definitionCases, n84267812Person-year $23,177$ $4,659$ $32,078$ $1,487$ Age-adjusted1 $1.21 (0.78-1.89)$ $0.381$ 1 $2.09 (1.12-3.88)$ $0.019$ Multivariate-adjusted1 $1.27 (0.81-1.97)$ $0.292$ 1 $2.05 (1.07-3.92)$ $0.031$ MetS NCEP-ATPIII (Asian) definition $Cases, n$ $81$ $29$ $55$ $35$ Person-year $23,010$ $4,826$ $27,266$ $6,299$ Age-adjusted1 $1.52 (0.99-2.34)$ $0.053$ 1 $1.70 (1.09-2.64)$ $0.018$ Multivariate-adjusted1 $1.58 (1.02-2.43)$ $0.037$ 1 $1.62 (1.02-2.58)$ $0.041$	Person-year	22,833	4,795		26,944	6,211			
Multivariate-adjusted1 $2.12 (1.31-3.43)$ $0.002$ 1 $2.77 (1.44-5.32)$ $0.002$ All strokes MetS Japanese definitionCases, n84267812Person-year23,1774,65932,0781,487Age-adjusted11.21 (0.78-1.89)0.38112.09 (1.12-3.88)0.019Multivariate-adjusted11.27 (0.81-1.97)0.29212.05 (1.07-3.92)0.031MetS NCEP-ATPIII (Asian) definition Cases, n81295535Person-year23,0104,82627,2666,299Age-adjusted11.52 (0.99-2.34)0.05311.70 (1.09-2.64)0.018Multivariate-adjusted11.58 (1.02-2.43)0.03711.62 (1.02-2.58)0.041	Age-adjusted	1	2.09 (1.30-3.37)	0.002	1	2.68 (1.41-5.10)	0.003		
All strokes MetS Japanese definition84267812Cases, $n$ 84267812Person-year23,1774,65932,0781,487Age-adjusted11.21 (0.78–1.89)0.38112.09 (1.12–3.88)0.019Multivariate-adjusted11.27 (0.81–1.97)0.29212.05 (1.07–3.92)0.031MetS NCEP-ATPIII (Asian) definition Cases, $n$ 81295535Person-year23,0104,82627,2666,299Age-adjusted11.52 (0.99–2.34)0.05311.70 (1.09–2.64)0.018Multivariate-adjusted11.58 (1.02–2.43)0.03711.62 (1.02–2.58)0.041	Multivariate-adjusted	1	2.12 (1.31–3.43)	0.002	1	2.77 (1.44–5.32)	0.002		
MetS Japanese definitionCases, $n$ 84267812Person-year23,1774,65932,0781,487Age-adjusted11.21 (0.78–1.89)0.38112.09 (1.12–3.88)0.019Multivariate-adjusted11.27 (0.81–1.97)0.29212.05 (1.07–3.92)0.031MetS NCEP-ATPIII (Asian) definition5535Cases, $n$ 81295535Person-year23,0104,82627,2666,299Age-adjusted11.52 (0.99–2.34)0.05311.70 (1.09–2.64)0.018Multivariate-adjusted11.58 (1.02–2.43)0.03711.62 (1.02–2.58)0.041	All strokes								
Cases, $n$ 84267812Person-year $23,177$ $4,659$ $32,078$ $1,487$ Age-adjusted1 $1.21 (0.78-1.89)$ $0.381$ 1 $2.09 (1.12-3.88)$ $0.019$ Multivariate-adjusted1 $1.27 (0.81-1.97)$ $0.292$ 1 $2.05 (1.07-3.92)$ $0.031$ MetS NCEP-ATPIII (Asian) definition $29$ $55$ $35$ Person-year $23,010$ $4,826$ $27,266$ $6,299$ Age-adjusted1 $1.52 (0.99-2.34)$ $0.053$ 1 $1.70 (1.09-2.64)$ $0.018$ Multivariate-adjusted1 $1.58 (1.02-2.43)$ $0.037$ 1 $1.62 (1.02-2.58)$ $0.041$	MetS Japanese definition								
Person-year $23,177$ $4,659$ $32,078$ $1,487$ Age-adjusted1 $1.21 (0.78-1.89)$ $0.381$ 1 $2.09 (1.12-3.88)$ $0.019$ Multivariate-adjusted1 $1.27 (0.81-1.97)$ $0.292$ 1 $2.05 (1.07-3.92)$ $0.031$ MetS NCEP-ATPIII (Asian) definition $29$ $55$ $35$ Person-year $23,010$ $4,826$ $27,266$ $6,299$ Age-adjusted1 $1.52 (0.99-2.34)$ $0.053$ 1 $1.70 (1.09-2.64)$ $0.018$ Multivariate-adjusted1 $1.58 (1.02-2.43)$ $0.037$ 1 $1.62 (1.02-2.58)$ $0.041$	Cases, n	84	26		78	12			
Age-adjusted1 $1.21 (0.78-1.89)$ $0.381$ 1 $2.09 (1.12-3.88)$ $0.019$ Multivariate-adjusted1 $1.27 (0.81-1.97)$ $0.292$ 1 $2.05 (1.07-3.92)$ $0.031$ MetS NCEP-ATPIII (Asian) definitionCases, n81295535Person-year $23,010$ $4,826$ $27,266$ $6,299$ Age-adjusted1 $1.52 (0.99-2.34)$ $0.053$ 1 $1.70 (1.09-2.64)$ $0.018$ Multivariate-adjusted1 $1.58 (1.02-2.43)$ $0.037$ 1 $1.62 (1.02-2.58)$ $0.041$	Person-year	23,177	4.659		32,078	1,487			
Multivariate-adjusted1 $1.27 (0.81-1.97)$ $0.292$ 1 $2.05 (1.07-3.92)$ $0.031$ MetS NCEP-ATPIII (Asian) definition000000Cases, n81295535Person-year23,0104,82627,2666,299Age-adjusted11.52 (0.99-2.34)0.05311.70 (1.09-2.64)0.018Multivariate-adjusted11.58 (1.02-2.43)0.03711.62 (1.02-2.58)0.041	Age-adjusted	1	1.21 (0.78–1.89)	0.381	1	2.09 (1.12-3.88)	0.019		
Mets NCEP-ATPIII (Asian) definition $12.00 (107.552)$ $0.051$ Mets NCEP-ATPIII (Asian) definition $29$ $55$ $35$ Person-year $23,010$ $4,826$ $27,266$ $6,299$ Age-adjusted       1 $1.52 (0.99-2.34)$ $0.053$ 1 $1.70 (1.09-2.64)$ $0.018$ Multivariate-adjusted       1 $1.58 (1.02-2.43)$ $0.037$ 1 $1.62 (1.02-2.58)$ $0.041$	Multivariate-adjusted	1	1.27 (0.81–1.97)	0.292	1	2.05 (1.07-3.92)	0.031		
Cases, $n$ 81295535Person-year23,0104,82627,2666,299Age-adjusted11.52 (0.99–2.34)0.05311.70 (1.09–2.64)0.018Multivariate-adjusted11.58 (1.02–2.43)0.03711.62 (1.02–2.58)0.041	MetS NCEP-ATPIII (Asian) definition								
Person-year       23,010       4,826       27,266       6,299         Age-adjusted       1       1.52 (0.99–2.34)       0.053       1       1.70 (1.09–2.64)       0.018         Multivariate-adjusted       1       1.58 (1.02–2.43)       0.037       1       1.62 (1.02–2.58)       0.041	Cases. n	81	29		55	35			
Age-adjusted       1       1.52 (0.99–2.34)       0.053       1       1.70 (1.09–2.64)       0.018         Multivariate-adjusted       1       1.58 (1.02–2.43)       0.037       1       1.62 (1.02–2.58)       0.041	Person-year	23 010	4.826		27 266	6,299			
Multivariate-adjusted       1 $1.58 (1.02-2.43)$ $0.037$ 1 $1.62 (1.02-2.58)$ $0.041$	Age-adjusted	1	1.52 (0.99-2.34)	0.053	1	1.70(1.09-2.64)	0.018		
	Multivariate-adjusted	1	1.58 (1.02–2.43)	0.037	1	1.62 (1.02–2.58)	0.041		

# Table 3. Age-Adjusted Hazard Ratios (95% Confidence Intervals) for Incidence of Cardiovascular Disease, Myocardial Infarction, and All Strokes According to Metabolic Syndrome under the Japanese and NCEP-ATPIII Definitions

Multivariate adjusted for age, smoking and drinking status. MetS, metabolic syndrome.

nite and probable MI was defined according to the criteria set out by the MONICA (Monitoring Trends and Determinants of Cardiovascular Disease) project (22), which requires evidence from ECGs, cardiac enzymes, and/or autopsy. Sudden deaths of unknown origin were deaths that occurred within 24 h from onset and were included in MI. However, there was little difference in hazard ratios between the groups with and without sudden death from CVD, because sudden death constituted a small sample size (n=6).

To complete surveillance for fatal stroke and MI, we also systematically searched for death certificates, the purpose of which were permitted to use by the Ministry of Health, Labour and Welfare. We checked for possible stroke and MI using data from 1) the health examination and questionnaire for the stroke and MI registry, without informed consent for the medical records survey and 2) death certificates without registration of CVD incidence, which were defined as probable stroke or MI. CVD was defined as stroke and MI in this study. Informed consent to review in-hospital medical records was obtained from 86.2% of participants who were suspected of having any signs or information suggesting the incidence of stroke or MI. For 13.8% of subjects from whom informed consent was not obtained, final diagnoses of CVD were confirmed by physicians or epidemiologists who had been involved in the diagnostic process throughout the study, in order to avoid the misclassification of diagnoses.

### **Statistical Analysis**

Analyses of variance and  $\chi^2$  tests were used to compare mean values and frequencies by sex, respectively, according to MetS based on the modified NCEP-ATPIII criteria. For each subject, the person-years of follow-up were calculated from September 1, 1989, to whichever came first: the first endpoint, MI or stroke event, death, emigration, or December 31, 2005. A Cox proportional hazards regression model was used to detect associations between abdominal obesity for Japanese ( $\geq 85$  cm in men or  $\geq 90$  cm in women), Asian ( $\geq 90$  cm in men or  $\geq 80$  cm in women), and American criteria ( $\geq 102$ cm in men or  $\geq$ 88 cm in women) and CVD during the followup period. The Cox proportional hazard regressions were fitted to the grouping (positive or negative MetS) after adjusting for age and the other potential confounding factors: baseline age, smoking status (never, ex-smoker, or current smoker), and drinking status (never, ex-drinker, or current drinker). Trend tests were conducted by assigning the number of MetS components to test the significance of these variables. All statistical analyses were conducted using the SAS statistical package (release version 8.2; SAS Institute Inc., Cary, USA).

#### Results

During the follow-up period (averaging 12.5 years), 200 strokes were documented (160 definite strokes and 40 probable strokes). These strokes comprised 130 cerebral infarc-

tions, 31 intracerebral hemorrhages, 22 subarachnoid hemorrhages, and 17 unclassified strokes. In addition, 117 MIs were documented (61 definite MIs and 56 probable MIs or sudden cardiac deaths).

Table 1 shows the distribution of CVD risk factors at the baseline according to MetS as defined by the modified NCEP-ATPIII criteria. Compared with the non-MetS groups, men and women with MetS were more likely to be older and to have higher frequencies of each MetS component.

Table 2 presents the age-adjusted HRs (95% confidence intervals [CI]) for the incidence of CVD according to waist circumference by the NCEP-ATPIII, Japanese, and Asian obesity criteria. Regardless of the criteria set, abdominal obesity was associated with CVD only in women.

Table 3 shows the association of MetS by the Japanese and the modified NCEP-ATPIII criteria with CVD incidence according to age category and sex. Using the Japanese criteria, MetS was associated only in women with the incidence of CVD, MI, and all strokes (HR [95% CI]: 2.20 [1.31-3.68], 2.70 [1.15-6.35], and 2.05 [1.07-3.92], respectively), whereas in men overall MetS was not associated with the incidence of CVD or its subtypes. However, among men under 60 years old, MetS based on the Japanese criteria was associated with CVD incidence (HR=2.92, 95% CI: 1.54-5.55). Using the modified NCEP-ATPIII definition, MetS was associated with each CVD subtype in both men and women. Multivariate adjusted HRs of CVD incidence for MetS based on the NCEP-ATPIII criteria were 1.94 (0.98-3.82) and 1.73 (1.20-2.48) in men less than or equal to and over 60 years old, respectively.

Figure 1 shows that the frequency of MetS increased with age for men and women based on the NCEP-ATPIII (A) and Japanese (B) criteria, respectively. The frequency based on the NCEP-ATPIII modified by the Asian obesity criteria (25.1% for men and 14.3% for women) was higher than that based on the Japanese criteria (17.7% for men and 5.0% for women), especially in women.

The risk of CVD incidence increased according to the number of components combined in men and women with and without abdominal obesity (Fig. 2). In addition, compared with the non-abdominal obesity and non-component groups, the risks of CVD incidence were similar among participants who had the same numbers of components, regardless of the presence or absence of abdominal obesity in men and women combined.

Figure 3 shows the multivariate HRs for MetS based on the Japanese and NCEP-ATPIII definitions modified by the obesity criteria for waist circumference. When the Japanese definition was adopted and the risk of MetS was monitored through sequential waist circumference changes, the cut-off points for waist circumference, which conferred a risk of CVD in men and women, were 84 cm and 92 cm, respectively. When the definition of MetS-indicative waist circumference was higher than those values, the risk was not statistically significant. When the NCEP-ATPIII definition



# A: The NCEP-ATPIII definition





**Fig. 1.** Frequencies of MetS components (A: the NCEP-ATPIII definition; and B: the Japanese definition, modified by the Asian waist circumference criteria) by sex. White and solid bars indicate men and women, respectively.

was used, the value of waist circumference did not modify the risk of CVD, implying that the clustering of risk factors may be more important than waist circumference itself for determining CVD risk.

# Discussion

In the current cohort study of a general urban Japanese population, the association between MetS and CVD was significant when the NCEP-ATPIII (modified by the Asian criteria) definition was applied. MetS based on the Japanese criteria was associated with CVD incidence in women, whereas in



**Fig. 2.** Multivariate HRs for the risks of CVD incidence according to the number of components based on the NCEP-ATPIII definition with and without abdominal obesity. White and solid circles indicate non-abdominal and abdominal obesity according to the Asian obesity criteria. \*p < 0.05 compared to the reference of non-abdominal obesity and no-components. Bars show 95% CI for the HRs.

men the association was found only in those under 60 years old. In addition, the risk of CVD incidence was similar among participants who had the same numbers of components regardless of whether they were abdominally obese. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first study of an urban Japanese cohort.

Compared to the previous studies, this study has several methodological strengths. First, previous Japanese cohort studies associating MetS with CVD were based predominantly on BMI (3, 4), non-fasting blood collection (3, 4), and mortality as the endpoint (4, 5). Our baseline subjects were observed in the fasting state, and we used waist circumference and a wide age range. Second, we evaluated a large prospective cohort of people randomly selected from a general Japanese population. A prospective study has little recall bias as well as results from a general population cohort that is more representative than occupational, hospital-based, or volunteer cohorts. Third, our sample size was relatively large for a cohort study and we could therefore perform sub-analysis by age and CVD subtypes. Fourth, our cohort population was selected at random from an urban population, in contrast to most of the other MetS cohort populations, which were selected from rural populations. Our study is the first of its kind in an urban area. Finally, our study examined the risk of CVD incidence, which is a more direct measure of CVD risk than the rate of CVD mortality, because the time to death from CVD is influenced by treatment.

Abdominal obesity induces inflammation in adiposities (23), endothelial dysfunction (24, 25), and oxidative stress (26), thereby contributing to CVD development (27, 28).

sequential changes in waist circumference

**B**: The Japanese definition through



A: The NCEP-ATPIII definition through sequential changes in waist circumference

**Fig. 3.** Multivariate HRs for MetS based on the NCEP-ATPIII (A) and Japanese (B) definitions through sequential changes in waist circumference by sex. Solid and dotted lines indicate HRs and 95% CI, respectively.

Accumulating evidence suggests that MetS increases the risk of CVD (29). However, there has been a lack of convincing evidence (29) that MetS is associated with CVD in Japan. Iso *et al.* reported that MetS was associated with a risk for ischemic CVD in Japan (3), although they used BMI as well as non-fasting blood glucose and triglyceride levels to define MetS. Ninomiya *et al.* reported that MetS was a significant risk factor for CVD in a rural Japanese population (6). However, that study examined a rural population half the size of that in our study. Takeuchi *et al.* reported that MetS was a risk factor for cardiac disease in a rural cohort (7), but their data were based on a small sample that comprised only men. Kadota *et al.* reported that MetS, defined by BMI and nonfasting blood samples, was associated with CVD mortality (4).

We have shown that the components of MetS synergistically increase CVD risk. Abdominal obesity did not affect the association between the number of MetS components and the risk of CVD incidence. The risk of CVD was also not related to waist circumference when the NCEP-ATPIII definition was applied (data not shown), suggesting that the combination of risk factors *per se* is more important than abdominal obesity for conferring risk.

The definition of MetS may be reconsidered on the basis of age and sex. According to our results, lifestyle modifications may not be needed for older men who are free of cardiovascular risk factors even if they have abdominal obesity. Therefore, to prevent CVD, it is not adequate for only subjects with MetS to change their lifestyles; subjects with one or two MetS components, even without abdominal obesity, should modify their lifestyles.

When the waist-circumference thresholds were sequentially changed in the Japanese criteria for MetS, our data showed that the clustering of metabolic risk factors was statistically significant for CVD at waist circumferences less than 85 cm for men and 93 cm for women. When the definition of MetS-indicative waist circumferences was higher than those values, the risk clustering was not statistically significant for CVD in men, and the 95% CI was much wider but still significant in women. Subjects with high risks and non-abdominal obesity with risk clustering aside from abdominal obesity will drop out when the waist-circumference definitions are raised.

Our study has several limitations. First, the annual emigration rate (1.5%) is relatively higher than that in rural areas. Second, about 10% of the subjects who underwent a baseline examination did not respond to our questionnaires afterward. We found no clinical background difference between participants and non-participants, because the main denial reason for participation in this study was not health problems. The frequencies of MetS according to NCEP-ATPIII modified by Asian criteria were 19% and 21% for participants and nonparticipants, respectively ( $\chi^2$  test p=0.09). In this study, the main reasons for emigration included job transfer, but not health problems.

In conclusion, the current prospective study for a general urban population showed that MetS, as defined by the Japanese criteria, was associated with CVD in women and middleaged men; a stronger association was found when the NCEP-ATPIII definition modified by the Asian obesity criteria was applied. The number of MetS components may be more strongly associated with CVD incidence than the essential waist-circumference criteria.

## Acknowledgements

We would like to express our deepest gratitude to all members of the Suita City Health Center and the Suita Medical Association. We also thank all researchers and the staff members of the Division of Preventive Cardiology for performing medical and follow-up examinations. We also thank Satsuki-Junyukai, the volunteer administrator for the Suita study.

### References

- 1. Eckel RH, Grundy SM, Zimmet PZ: The metabolic syndrome. *Lancet* 2005; **365**: 1415–1428.
- Galassi A, Reynolds K, He J: Metabolic syndrome and risk of cardiovascular disease: a meta-analysis. *Am J Med* 2006; 119: 812–819.
- 3. Iso H, Sato S, Kitamura A, *et al*: Metabolic syndrome and the risk of ischemic heart disease and stroke among Japanese men and women. *Stroke* 2007; **38**: 1744–1751.
- Kadota A, Hozawa A, Okamura T, *et al*: Relationship between metabolic risk factor clustering and cardiovascular mortality stratified by high blood glucose and obesity: NIP-PON DATA90, 1990–2000. *Diabetes Care* 2007; 30: 1533–1538.
- Niwa Y, Ishikawa S, Gotoh T, Kayaba K, Nakamura Y, Kajii E: Metabolic syndrome mortality in a populationbased cohort study: Jichi Medical School (JMS) Cohort Study. *J Epidemiol* 2007; 17: 203–209.
- Ninomiya T, Kubo M, Doi Y, *et al*: Impact of metabolic syndrome on the development of cardiovascular disease in a general Japanese population: the Hisayama study. *Stroke* 2007; **38**: 2063–2069.

- Takeuchi H, Saitoh S, Takagi S, *et al*: Metabolic syndrome and cardiac disease in Japanese men: applicability of the concept of metabolic syndrome defined by the National Cholesterol Education Program–Adult Treatment Panel III to Japanese men—the Tanno and Sobetsu Study. *Hypertens Res* 2005; 28: 203–208.
- Hunt KJ, Resendez RG, Williams K, Haffner SM, Stern MP: National Cholesterol Education Program *versus* World Health Organization metabolic syndrome in relation to allcause and cardiovascular mortality in the San Antonio Heart Study. *Circulation* 2004; **110**: 1251–1257.
- Kahn R, Buse J, Ferrannini E, Stern M: The metabolic syndrome: time for a critical appraisal: joint statement from the American Diabetes Association and the European Association for the Study of Diabetes. *Diabetes Care* 2005; 28: 2289–2304.
- Arenillas JF, Moro MA, Davalos A: The metabolic syndrome and stroke: potential treatment approaches. *Stroke* 2007; 38: 2196–2203.
- Alberti KG, Zimmet P, Shaw J: Metabolic syndrome—a new world-wide definition. A Consensus Statement from the International Diabetes Federation. *Diabet Med* 2006; 23: 469–480.
- Grundy SM, Cleeman JI, Daniels SR, *et al*: Diagnosis and management of the metabolic syndrome: an American Heart Association/National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute Scientific Statement. *Circulation* 2005; **112**: 2735– 2752.
- Tanaka H, Yokoyama T, Yoshiike N, Kokubo Y: Cerebrovascular disease, in Detels R, McEwen J, Beaglehole R, Tanaka H (eds): Oxford Textbook of Public Health: The Scope of Public Health. Oxford, Oxford University Press, 2002, pp 1193–1254.
- Matsuzawa Y: Metabolic syndrome—definition and diagnostic criteria in Japan. J Atheroscler Thromb 2005; 12: 301.
- Definition and the diagnostic standard for metabolic syndrome—Committee to Evaluate Diagnostic Standards for Metabolic Syndrome. *Nippon Naika Gakkai Zasshi* 2005; 94: 794–809 (in Japanese).
- Kokubo Y, Inamoto N, Tomoike H, *et al*: Association of genetic polymorphisms of sodium-calcium exchanger 1 gene, NCX1, with hypertension in a Japanese general population. *Hypertens Res* 2004; 27: 697–702.
- Kokubo Y, Tomoike H, Tanaka C, *et al*: Association of sixty-one non-synonymous polymorphisms in forty-one hypertension candidate genes with blood pressure variation and hypertension. *Hypertens Res* 2006; **29**: 611–619.
- Executive Summary of the Third Report of the National Cholesterol Education Program (NCEP) Expert Panel on Detection, Evaluation, and Treatment of High Blood Cholesterol in Adults (Adult Treatment Panel III). *JAMA* 2001; 285: 2486–2497.
- James PT, Leach R, Kalamara E, Shayeghi M: The worldwide obesity epidemic. *Obes Res* 2001; 9 (Suppl 4): 228S– 233S.
- 20. New criteria for 'obesity disease' in Japan. *Circ J* 2002; **66**: 987–992.
- Walker AE, Robins M, Weinfeld FD: The National Survey of Stroke. Clinical findings. *Stroke* 1981; 12: 113–144.

- 22. Tunstall-Pedoe H, Kuulasmaa K, Amouyel P, Arveiler D, Rajakangas AM, Pajak A: Myocardial infarction and coronary deaths in the World Health Organization MONICA Project. Registration procedures, event rates, and case-fatality rates in 38 populations from 21 countries in four continents. *Circulation* 1994; **90**: 583–612.
- Yudkin JS, Stehouwer CD, Emeis JJ, Coppack SW: C-reactive protein in healthy subjects: associations with obesity, insulin resistance, and endothelial dysfunction: a potential role for cytokines originating from adipose tissue? *Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol* 1999; **19**: 972–978.
- Meigs JB, O'Donnell C J, Tofler GH, *et al*: Hemostatic markers of endothelial dysfunction and risk of incident type
   diabetes: the Framingham Offspring Study. *Diabetes* 2006; 55: 530–537.
- 25. Lteif AA, Han K, Mather KJ: Obesity, insulin resistance, and the metabolic syndrome: determinants of endothelial

dysfunction in whites and blacks. *Circulation* 2005; **112**: 32–38.

- Ceriello A, Motz E: Is oxidative stress the pathogenic mechanism underlying insulin resistance, diabetes, and cardiovascular disease? The common soil hypothesis revisited. *Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol* 2004; 24: 816–823.
- Despres JP, Lemieux I: Abdominal obesity and metabolic syndrome. *Nature* 2006; 444: 881–887.
- Van Gaal LF, Mertens IL, De Block CE: Mechanisms linking obesity with cardiovascular disease. *Nature* 2006; 444: 875–880.
- Balkau B, Deanfield JE, Despres JP, *et al*: International Day for the Evaluation of Abdominal Obesity (IDEA): a study of waist circumference, cardiovascular disease, and diabetes mellitus in 168,000 primary care patients in 63 countries. *Circulation* 2007; **116**: 1942–1951.