DATA REPORT

Small copy-number variations involving genes of the FGF pathway in differences in sex development

Andrew Hagan¹ and Ina E Amarillo²

Retrospective chromosome microarray analysis of 83 genes within the fibroblast growth factor signaling pathway in 52 patients with heterogeneous differences in sex development (DSD) revealed small copy-number variations (CNVs) in ~31% ($n=26$) of investigated genes. Roughly half of these genes (39/83) are ≤ 50 kb. This study highlights the potential involvement of small CNVs in disrupting normal gene function and dysregulating genes of the FGF pathway associated with DSD.

Human Genome Variation (2017) 4, 17011; doi:10.1038/hgv.2017.11; published online 13 April 2017

Mammalian sex development is a coordinated series of events resulting in the determination and differentiation of a functional reproductive system with distinct sexual characteristics. Alterations to these events often result in a broad class of rare and heterogeneous conditions referred to as differences in sex development (DSD). DSD is characterized by congenital conditions in which development of gonads and other sex-related structures in relation to sex chromosome complement is atypical.¹ DSD has been historically diagnosed using conventional cytogenetics (karyotype and FISH), phenotypic, and biochemical assays while the molecular genetic alterations underlying the disease remains elusive in a significant portion of DSD conditions.² Traditional karyotype analysis of G-banded chromosomes is used for the cytogenetic characterization of DSD but is limited to detecting large scale abnormalities of a size greater than 5 Mb.³ Chromosomal microarray (CMA) allows for the detection of copy-number variations (CNVs) at a much higher resolution ($>100\times$) than traditional karyotyping.⁴

Fibroblast growth factors (FGFs) are a large class of signaling molecules that act directly on cells to regulate diverse responses such as mitogenesis, differentiation, migration, and cell survival.⁵ FGF signaling is ubiquitous in the mammalian embryo and plays key roles in the maturation of many organ systems, including testis determination.⁶ Disruption to FGF ligands, receptors, and intracellular signaling cascades have been implicated in a range of DSD including hypospadias,⁷ hypogonadotropic hypogonadism,^{8,9} and other XX or XY DSD conditions.¹⁰ Retrospective investigation¹¹ of a DSD patient set with no definitive molecular genetic diagnoses using a research criteria for calling CNVs involving FGF-related genes provides a rich opportunity for identifying novel regions associated with specific disorders.

Here we investigated CNVs that are smaller than 50 kb (referred to as 'small CNVs' hereafter) in 83 FGF-related genes (Supplementary Table 1) among 52 patients with heterogeneous DSD with obscure genetic molecular diagnosis.¹¹ Many of these genes were found on chromosomes 17 (8 genes) and none on 18, 21, and Y. About 47% (39/83) of these genes are smaller than 50 kb. Using high-resolution genome-wide chromosome microarray we found small CNVs in ~31% of our investigated genes

with a select number of CNVs that are hypothesized to disrupt normal molecular function. To our knowledge this is the first pathway-based analysis for small CNVs in DSD. This CMA approach will further our understanding of the role FGF signaling genes in DSD etiology.

The study population consists of 52 unrelated patients with atypical sex development (Supplementary Table 3). CMA was performed on patient's DNA using Genome-Wide CytoScan HD and SNP6.0 and analysis for calling CNVs was performed using Chromosomal Analysis Suite and Genotyping Console, respectively (Affymetrix, Inc., Santa Clara, CA, USA). Negative CMA result ($n=32$) as well as those with pathogenic gains/losses ($n=8$) or large genomic imbalance of uncertain clinical significance ($n=13$) were included in the study (Supplementary Table 3). The study population was evaluated for CNVs smaller than 50 kb to investigate if previously uncharacterized small deletions/duplications in 83 FGF-related genes possibly contribute to DSD phenotype (Supplementary Tables 1 and 3).

Investigation of FGF-related genes in patients with DSD reveals CNVs in 26 genes in the FGF pathway (Supplementary Table 2). The mean number of CNVs in FGF genes per patients was 2.44. CNVs were located roughly evenly between exonic and intronic regions. The number of CNVs per individual patients in FGF-related genes was between zero and eight, with seven individuals (see Note in Supplementary Table 3) lacking a CNV in the investigated genes. The co-occurrence of multiple CNVs in the pathway suggests a possible combinatorial role for these genes in altering the FGF-dependent sex developmental pathway (Supplementary Figure 1).¹² All of the called losses were heterozygous at the given locus. Given the ubiquitous importance of most of the signaling machinery a high number, 80% (16/20), of the genes with a found deletion CNV are likely to exhibit haploinsufficiency.^{13,14}

The majority of these CNVs were <10 kb (~57%); however, a minority of large scale deletion and duplication events (~14%) >1 Mb were found, all located on the X chromosome of patients. The total genome-wide CNV burden per patient in all genes was ~132 (data not shown). Though we are focusing only on CNVs in FGF-related genes there are many other genomic regions in each

¹Department of Developmental Biology, Washington University in St Louis School of Medicine, Saint Louis, MO, USA and ²Cytogenetics and Molecular Pathology Laboratory, Division of Laboratory and Genomic Medicine, Department of Pathology and Immunology, Washington University in St Louis School of Medicine, Saint Louis, MO, USA.
Correspondence: IE Amarillo (ina.amarillo@wustl.edu)

Received 23 June 2016; revised 11 September 2016; accepted 14 January 2017

Table 1. Deletions and duplications within genomic regions of FGF-related genes patients with DSD

Gene	Deletion frequency	P-value deletion frequency ^a	Duplication frequency	P-value duplication frequency ^a	GRCh37/hg19 genome location	Haploinsufficiency score ^b
<i>FGF receptors</i>						
<i>FGFR2</i>	1/52	1.94E-02	1/52	1.94E-02	chr10:123,237,844–123,353,481	0.5%
<i>FGF ligands</i>						
<i>FGF12</i>	1/52	1.94E-02			chr3:191,857,182–192,445,388	3.96%
<i>FGF13</i>	6/52	7.05E-11	12/52	< 2.2E-16	chrX:137,713,734–137,821,515	3.18%
<i>FGF14</i>	2/52	3.85E-04			chr13:102,373,205–103,054,124	5.19%
<i>FGF16</i>	4/52	1.59E-07	2/52	3.85E-04	chrX:76,709,647–76,712,013	9.77%
<i>Cofactors</i>						
<i>KL</i>	3/52	7.75E-06			chr13:33,590,571–33,640,282	16.22%
<i>EXT1</i>	5/52	3.32E-09			chr8:118,811,602–119,124,058	1.49%
<i>Inhibitors of signaling</i>						
<i>SPRY3</i>	5/52	3.32E-09	2/52	3.85E-04	chrX:154,997,397–155,012,119	62.78%
<i>MicroRNA inhibitors</i>						
<i>MIR424</i>	5/52	3.32E-09	2/52	3.85E-04	chrX:133,680,644–133,680,741	
<i>MIR503</i>	5/52	3.32E-09	2/52	3.85E-04	chrX:133,680,644–133,680,741	
<i>RAS-MAPK signaling pathway</i>						
<i>Adapters</i>						
<i>GAB1</i>			5/52	3.32E-09	chr4:144,257,983–144,395,718	23.17%
<i>SOS1</i>	1/52	1.94E-02			chr2:39,208,690–39,347,604	4.03%
<i>SOS2</i>	2/52	7.85E-05			chr14:50,583,846–50,698,099	16.91%
<i>PTPN11</i>	1/52	1.94E-02	5/52	3.32E-09	chr12:112,856,536–112,947,717	1.58%
<i>CRKL</i>			3/52	7.75E-06	chr17:1,324,647–1,359,561	4.35%
<i>Transcription factors</i>						
<i>ETV1</i>			3/52	7.75E-06	chr7:13,930,856–14,031,050	3.01%
<i>ETV4</i>			2/52	3.85E-04	chr17:41,605,211–41,623,305	3.98%
<i>ETV5</i>			3/52	7.75E-06	chr3:185,764,106–185,826,901	3.53%
<i>PI3K-AKT signaling pathway</i>						
<i>AKT2</i>			1/52	1.94E-02	chr19:40,736,224–40,791,302	4.88%
<i>PIK3CA</i>	1/52	1.94E-02			chr3:178,866,311–178,952,497	0.26%
<i>PIK3CB</i>	1/52	1.94E-02			chr3:138,371,540–138,478,201	0.73%
<i>PLCγ signaling pathway</i>						
<i>Adapters</i>						
<i>GRB14</i>	1/52	1.94E-02			chr2:165,349,323–165,478,360	9.22%
<i>SHB</i>	4/52	1.59E-07			chr9:37,915,895–38,069,210	31.2%
<i>Kinases</i>						
<i>PRKCB</i>	2/52	3.85E-04			chr16:23,847,300–24,231,932	7.8%
<i>JAK-STAT signaling pathway</i>						
<i>Kinases</i>						
<i>JAK1</i>	7/52	1.52E-12	20/52	< 2.2E-16	chr1:65,298,906–65,432,187	14.64%
<i>JAK2</i>	2/52	3.85E-04	5/52	3.32E-09	chr9:4,985,245–5,128,183	0.83%

Abbreviations: CMA, Chromosomal microarray; DSD, differences in sex development; FGF, fibroblast growth factor.

Deletions/duplications defined by CMA research criteria (> 1 kbp; > 4 markers) from a cohort of 53 patients with differences in spex development. Most deletions/duplications comprise partial region of gene with 57% < 10kbp size.

P-value was calculated by performing a 2-sided Fisher's exact test.

^aControl frequency defined through high-resolution map of healthy controls.¹⁵

^bHaploinsufficiency score percentages refer to percentile of gene ranked gene-wide on predicted haploinsufficient genes.¹⁴ 0–10% indicate a high rank and gene that is likely to exhibit haploinsufficiency.

patient that have small deletions and duplications that could be contributing to patient phenotype.

The DECIPHER database¹³ has documented 102 patients with CNVs encompassing the investigated FGF-related genes and phenotypes associated with DSD (Supplementary Table 4). These variants ranged from small (45.68 kb) to large (95.6 Mb), the majority of which are still much larger than the majority of CNVs found in the DSD specific patient set. Smaller regions within these intervals could partially account for the phenotype in the rare disease patients found in DECIPHER. These findings suggest that small CNVs remain under-represented in DECIPHER.¹¹

CNVs were found throughout the FGF molecular pathway (Table 1). CNVs were found in FGF receptors, ligands, intracellular signaling cascades, and regulatory molecules. Deletions/duplications were investigated based on a research criteria with alterations in as a little as 1 marker and 1 kb CNVs having the potential of being reported, however, all CNVs called contained >4 markers (Supplementary Table 3). All found CNVs were statistically enriched in the DSD patient population compared to healthy individuals¹⁵ (P value < 0.05; Fisher's exact test).

Two examples of CNVs found in patients that might be functionally contributing to disease are highlighted in Figure 1.

Figure 1. Genomic location of deletion in *FGFR2* and *PIK3CB*.¹⁹ (a) Schematic of microdeletion found in patient D38. Yellow bars highlight single-copy-deletion surrounding *FGFR2* exons 14 and 15. Deletion found in two patients in DECIPHER database (ID: 296424 & 265067)¹³ but not found in normal population through DGV database.^{15,25} (b) Location of deletion mapped to *FGFR2* protein structure schematic. Location encompasses the entirety of the tyrosine kinase 2 domain and partially the tyrosine kinase 1 domain. (c) Schematic of microdeletion found in patient D13. Yellow bars highlight single-copy-deletion surrounding *FGFR2* exons 4 through 10. Deletion was not found in normal population through DGV database.^{15,25} *PIK3CB* gene has not been categorized as disease causing. (d) Location of deletion mapped to *FGFR2* protein structure schematic. Location encompasses the entirety of the C2 domain and partially the helical and RBD domain. (Red bars indicate deletions, blue bars indicate duplications, green bar indicates OMIM disease causing gene, gray bar indicates OMIM non-disease causing gene). ABD, acidic binding domain; DGV, database of genomic variants; OMIM, online mendelian inheritance in man; RBD, ras-binding domain.

These examples are located in exonic regions of genes known to be essential to gonadal development. Many other CNVs were found in exonic and intronic regions, however, their relevance has not been explored.

Figures 1a and b highlights a deletion of exons encoding the tyrosine kinase 2 of *FGFR2* (~116 kb).¹⁵ Heterozygous single-nucleotide-activating mutations in this region have been linked to a number of disorders involved with bone patterning and growth.¹⁶ Recent studies in mouse models highlight *FGFR2*'s functional role in development of the bi-potential embryonic gonad with homozygous removal of *FGFR2* leading to a variety of phenotypes including hypoplastic testes and ovotestes.¹⁰ Further, *FGFR2* is an essential regulator of adrenal cortex development highlighting a potential pathogenesis partially dependent on the production of androgenic hormones.¹⁷ Heterozygous copy-number loss of the complete *FGFR2* or single-nucleotide point mutations has been suggested as candidate abnormalities for DSD in patients with mediator of specific DSD.^{7,18} It is possible a heterozygous deletion of the tyrosine kinase 2 domain of the *FGFR2* could be contributing to the DSD phenotype present in patient D38.

Figure 1c and d highlights a deletion of exons in *PIK3CB* (107 kb)¹⁹ that partially contains the predicted RBD, PI3K-type C2 domain, and partial PIK helical domain of the p110 β protein.²⁰ *PIK3CB* encodes p110 β , a catalytic subunit of the PI3K family of kinases.

Recently, p110 β has been found to be required for normal mouse testicular development. Expression of inactivated forms (kinase dead) of p110 β result in testicular hypotrophy, impaired

spermatogenesis, and increased levels of follicle stimulating hormone.²¹ Further, experiments have highlighted the role of p110 β in Sertoli cell development and regulation of the androgen receptor *Rhox5*.²² p110 β has not currently been investigated as a potential mediator of disease in patients with DSD through whole genome interrogation.

The RBD domain has been proposed as a binding domain for Ras-GDP and Ras-GTP, the molecular switches that activate the kinase function of the p110 β subunit.²³ The C2 domain has been proposed as involved in the targeting of p110 β towards the plasma membrane.²⁴ It is possible a heterozygous mutant p110 β isoform with impaired Ras-binding activity and plasma membrane targeting could contribute to the DSD phenotype present in patient D13.

Here we present CNV analysis of a heterogeneous population of patients with DSD, finding a significant enrichment in alterations of many members of the FGF signaling pathway. These findings suggest that small CNVs involving FGF-related genes especially those that are smaller than 50 kb in size should be further investigated as having the potential to be candidate genes contributing to DSD phenotypes in humans.

HGV DATABASE

The relevant data from this Data Report are hosted at the Human Genome Variation Database at <http://dx.doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.hgv.970>, <http://dx.doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.hgv.973>, <http://dx.doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.hgv.976>, <http://dx.doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.hgv.976>, <http://dx.doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.hgv.976>

10.6084/m9.figshare.hgv.979, <http://dx.doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.hgv.979>, <http://dx.doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.hgv.982>, <http://dx.doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.hgv.985>, <http://dx.doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.hgv.988>, <http://dx.doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.hgv.991>, <http://dx.doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.hgv.994>, <http://dx.doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.hgv.997>, <http://dx.doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.hgv.1000>, <http://dx.doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.hgv.1003>, <http://dx.doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.hgv.1006>, <http://dx.doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.hgv.1009>, <http://dx.doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.hgv.1012>, <http://dx.doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.hgv.1015>, <http://dx.doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.hgv.1018>, <http://dx.doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.hgv.1021>, <http://dx.doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.hgv.1024>, <http://dx.doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.hgv.1027>, <http://dx.doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.hgv.1030>, <http://dx.doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.hgv.1033>, <http://dx.doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.hgv.1036>, <http://dx.doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.hgv.1039>, <http://dx.doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.hgv.1042>, <http://dx.doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.hgv.1045>, <http://dx.doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.hgv.1048>, <http://dx.doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.hgv.1051>, <http://dx.doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.hgv.1054>, <http://dx.doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.hgv.1057>, <http://dx.doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.hgv.1060>, <http://dx.doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.hgv.1063>, <http://dx.doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.hgv.1066>, <http://dx.doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.hgv.1069>, <http://dx.doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.hgv.1072>, <http://dx.doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.hgv.1075>, <http://dx.doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.hgv.1078>, <http://dx.doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.hgv.1081>, <http://dx.doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.hgv.1084>, <http://dx.doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.hgv.1087>, <http://dx.doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.hgv.1090>, <http://dx.doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.hgv.1093>, <http://dx.doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.hgv.1096>, <http://dx.doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.hgv.1099>, <http://dx.doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.hgv.1102>, <http://dx.doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.hgv.1105>, <http://dx.doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.hgv.1108>, <http://dx.doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.hgv.1111>, <http://dx.doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.hgv.1114>, <http://dx.doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.hgv.1117>, <http://dx.doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.hgv.1120>, <http://dx.doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.hgv.1123>, <http://dx.doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.hgv.1126>, <http://dx.doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.hgv.1129>, <http://dx.doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.hgv.1132>, <http://dx.doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.hgv.1135>, <http://dx.doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.hgv.1138>, <http://dx.doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.hgv.1141>, <http://dx.doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.hgv.1144>, <http://dx.doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.hgv.1147>, <http://dx.doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.hgv.1150>, <http://dx.doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.hgv.1153>, <http://dx.doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.hgv.1156>, <http://dx.doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.hgv.1159>, <http://dx.doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.hgv.1162>, <http://dx.doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.hgv.1165>, <http://dx.doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.hgv.1168>, <http://dx.doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.hgv.1171>, <http://dx.doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.hgv.1174>, <http://dx.doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.hgv.1177>, <http://dx.doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.hgv.1180>, <http://dx.doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.hgv.1183>, <http://dx.doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.hgv.1186>, <http://dx.doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.hgv.1189>, <http://dx.doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.hgv.1192>, <http://dx.doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.hgv.1195>, <http://dx.doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.hgv.1198>, <http://dx.doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.hgv.1201>, <http://dx.doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.hgv.1204>, <http://dx.doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.hgv.1207>, <http://dx.doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.hgv.1210>, <http://dx.doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.hgv.1213>, <http://dx.doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.hgv.1216>, <http://dx.doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.hgv.1219>, <http://dx.doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.hgv.1222>, <http://dx.doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.hgv.1225>, <http://dx.doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.hgv.1228>, <http://dx.doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.hgv.1231>, <http://dx.doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.hgv.1234>, <http://dx.doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.hgv.1237>, <http://dx.doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.hgv.1240>, <http://dx.doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.hgv.1243>, <http://dx.doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.hgv.1246>, <http://dx.doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.hgv.1249>, <http://dx.doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.hgv.1252>, <http://dx.doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.hgv.1256>, <http://dx.doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.hgv.1258>, <http://dx.doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.hgv.1261>, <http://dx.doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.hgv.1264>, <http://dx.doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.hgv.1267>, <http://dx.doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.hgv.1270>, <http://dx.doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.hgv.1273>, <http://dx.doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.hgv.1276>, <http://dx.doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.hgv.1279>, <http://dx.doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.hgv.1282>, <http://dx.doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.hgv.1285>, <http://dx.doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.hgv.1288>, <http://dx.doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.hgv.1291>, <http://dx.doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.hgv.1294>, <http://dx.doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.hgv.1297>, <http://dx.doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.hgv.1300>, <http://dx.doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.hgv.1303>, <http://dx.doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.hgv.1306>, <http://dx.doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.hgv.1309>, <http://dx.doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.hgv.1312>, <http://dx.doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.hgv.1315>, <http://dx.doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.hgv.1318>, <http://dx.doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.hgv.1321>, <http://dx.doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.hgv.1324>, <http://dx.doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.hgv.1327>, <http://dx.doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.hgv.1330>, <http://dx.doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.hgv.1333>, <http://dx.doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.hgv.1336>, <http://dx.doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.hgv.1339>, <http://dx.doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.hgv.1342>.

<http://dx.doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.hgv.1252>, <http://dx.doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.hgv.1256>, <http://dx.doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.hgv.1258>, <http://dx.doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.hgv.1261>, <http://dx.doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.hgv.1264>, <http://dx.doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.hgv.1267>, <http://dx.doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.hgv.1270>, <http://dx.doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.hgv.1273>, <http://dx.doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.hgv.1276>, <http://dx.doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.hgv.1279>, <http://dx.doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.hgv.1282>, <http://dx.doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.hgv.1285>, <http://dx.doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.hgv.1288>, <http://dx.doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.hgv.1291>, <http://dx.doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.hgv.1294>, <http://dx.doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.hgv.1297>, <http://dx.doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.hgv.1300>, <http://dx.doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.hgv.1303>, <http://dx.doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.hgv.1306>, <http://dx.doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.hgv.1309>, <http://dx.doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.hgv.1312>, <http://dx.doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.hgv.1315>, <http://dx.doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.hgv.1318>, <http://dx.doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.hgv.1321>, <http://dx.doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.hgv.1324>, <http://dx.doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.hgv.1327>, <http://dx.doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.hgv.1330>, <http://dx.doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.hgv.1333>, <http://dx.doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.hgv.1336>, <http://dx.doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.hgv.1339>, <http://dx.doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.hgv.1342>.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank the Washington University in St Louis School of Medicine (WUSTL SoM) DSD Clinic and Research team, and Mike Evenson and Jennifer Stamm-Birk of Cytogenetics Lab (WUSTL SoM) for assisting in initial patient data gathering.

COMPETING INTERESTS

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

REFERENCES

- Hughes IA, Houk C, Ahmed SF, Lee PA. Lawson Wilkins Pediatric Endocrine Society/European Society for Paediatric Endocrinology Consensus, G. Consensus statement on management of intersex disorders. *J Pediatr Urol* 2006; **2**: 148–162.
- Baxter RM, Arboleda VA, Lee H, Barseghyan H, Adam MP, Fechner PY *et al*. Exome sequencing for the diagnosis of 46, XY disorders of sex development. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* 2015; **100**: E333–E344.
- Hulten MA, Dhanjal S, Pertl B. Rapid and simple prenatal diagnosis of common chromosome disorders: advantages and disadvantages of the molecular methods FISH and QF-PCR. *Reproduction* 2003; **126**: 279–297.
- Wapner RJ, Levy B. The impact of new genomic technologies in reproductive medicine. *Discov Med* 2014; **17**: 313–318.
- Ornitz DM, Itoh N. The fibroblast growth factor signaling pathway. *Wiley Interdiscip Rev Dev Biol* 2015; **4**: 215–266.
- Colvin JS, Green RP, Schmahl J, Capel B, Ornitz DM. Male-to-female sex reversal in mice lacking fibroblast growth factor 9. *Cell* 2001; **104**: 875–889.
- Beleza-Meireles A, Lundberg F, Lagerstedt K, Zhou X, Omrani D, Frisen L *et al*. FGFR2, FGF8, FGF10 and BMP7 as candidate genes for hypospadias. *Eur J Hum Genet* 2007; **15**: 405–410.
- Wang XL, Wang DD, Gu JQ, Zhang N, Shan ZY. A female patient with normosmic idiopathic hypogonadotropic hypogonadism carrying a novel mutation in FGFR1. *Genet Mol Res* 2014; **13**: 9472–9476.
- Miraoui H, Dwyer AA, Sykiotis GP, Plummer L, Chung W, Feng B *et al*. Mutations in FGF17, IL17RD, DUSP6, SPRY4, and FLRT3 are identified in individuals with congenital hypogonadotropic hypogonadism. *Am J Hum Genet* 2013; **92**: 725–743.
- Bagheri-Fam S, Sim H, Bernard P, Jayakody I, Taketo MM, Scherer G *et al*. Loss of Fgfr2 leads to partial XY sex reversal. *Dev Biol* 2008; **314**: 71–83.
- Amarillo I, Nievera I, Hagan A, Huchagowder V, Heeley J, Hollander A *et al*. Integrated small copy number variations and epigenome maps of disorders of sex development. *Hum Genome Var* 2016; **3**: 16012.
- Krzywinski M, Schein J, Birol I, Connors J, Gascoyne R, Horsman D *et al*. Circos: an information aesthetic for comparative genomics. *Genome Res* 2009; **19**: 1639–1645.
- Firth HV, Richards SM, Bevan AP, Clayton S, Corpes M, Rajan D *et al*. DECIPHER: Database of Chromosomal Imbalance and Phenotype in Humans Using Ensembl Resources. *Am J Hum Genet* 2009; **84**: 524–533.

- 14 Huang N, Lee I, Marcotte EM, Hurler ME. Characterising and predicting haploinsufficiency in the human genome. *PLoS Genet* 2010; **6**: e1001154.
- 15 Zarrei M, MacDonald JR, Merico D, Scherer SW. A copy number variation map of the human genome. *Nat Rev Genet* 2015; **16**: 172–183.
- 16 Kan SH, Elanko N, Johnson D, Cornejo-Roldan L, Cook J, Reich EW *et al*. Genomic screening of fibroblast growth-factor receptor 2 reveals a wide spectrum of mutations in patients with syndromic craniosynostosis. *Am J Hum Genet* 2002; **70**: 472–486.
- 17 Guasti L, Candy Sze WC, McKay T, Grose R, King PJ. FGF signalling through Fgfr2 isoform IIIb regulates adrenal cortex development. *Mol Cell Endocrinol* 2013; **371**: 182–188.
- 18 Tannour-Louet M, Han S, Corbett ST, Louet JF, Yatsenko S, Meyers L *et al*. Identification of de novo copy number variants associated with human disorders of sexual development. *PLoS ONE* 2010; **5**: e15392.
- 19 Kent WJ, Sugnet CW, Furey TS, Roskin KM, Pringle TH, Zahler AM *et al*. The human genome browser at UCSC. *Genome Res* 2002; **12**: 996–1006.
- 20 Finn RD, Coghill P, Eberhardt RY, Eddy SR, Mistry J, Mitchell AL *et al*. The Pfam protein families database: towards a more sustainable future. *Nucleic Acids Res* 2016; **44**: D279–D285.
- 21 Ciralo E, Morello F, Hobbs RM, Wolf F, Marone R, Iezzi M *et al*. Essential role of the p110beta subunit of phosphoinositide 3-OH kinase in male fertility. *Mol Biol Cell* 2010; **21**: 704–711.
- 22 Guillermet-Guibert J, Smith LB, Halet G, Whitehead MA, Pearce W, Rebourcet D *et al*. Novel role for p110beta PI 3-kinase in male fertility through regulation of androgen receptor activity in sertoli cells. *PLoS Genet* 2015; **11**: e1005304.
- 23 Akinleye A, Avvaru P, Furqan M, Song Y, Liu D. Phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase (PI3K) inhibitors as cancer therapeutics. *J Hematol Oncol* 2013; **6**: 88.
- 24 Vogelstein B, Kinzler KW. Cancer genes and the pathways they control. *Nat Med* 2004; **10**: 789–799.
- 25 MacDonald JR, Ziman R, Yuen RK, Feuk L, Scherer SW. The database of genomic variants: a curated collection of structural variation in the human genome. *Nucleic Acids Res* 2014; **42**: D986–D992.

This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs 4.0 International License. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in the credit line; if the material is not included under the Creative Commons license, users will need to obtain permission from the license holder to reproduce the material. To view a copy of this license, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>

© The Author(s) 2017

Supplementary Information for this article can be found on the *Human Genome Variation* website (<http://www.nature.com/hgv>)