- 4 Alió JL, Toffaha BT, Peña-Garcia P, Sádaba LM, Barraquer RI. Phakic intraocular lens explantation: causes in 240 cases. *J Refract Surg* 2015; **31**(1): 30–35.
- 5 Kaur M, Titiyal JS, Sharma N, Chawla R. Successful reimplantation of implantable collamer lens after management of post-ICL methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus epidermidis endophthalmitis. *BMJ Case Rep* 2015; (pii): bcr2015212708. doi: 10.1136/bcr-2015-212708.

M Kaur, JS Titiyal, R Falera, R Sinha and N Sharma

Dr Rajendra Prasad Centre for Ophthalmic Sciences, All India Institute of Medical Sciences, New Delhi, India E-mail: titiyal@gmail.com

Eye (2018) **32**, 838–840; doi:10.1038/eye.2017.307; published online 12 January 2018

Sir,

Fuchs endothelial corneal dystrophy and macular drusen: evidence for coincidence?

The corneal endothelium and the retinal pigment epithelium represent monolayers of postmitotic polygonal cells of neuroectodermal origin with barrier and transport function. Fuchs endothelial corneal dystrophy (FECD) and age-related macular degeneration (AMD) show interesting similarities including cellular degeneration with deposition of PAS-positive extracellular matrix (ECM) in the form of guttae and drusen occurring preferentially centrally in close proximity to the ocular light path (Figures 1a and b). Risk factors for both entities include advanced age, cigarette smoking, and female gender. In this study, we aimed to evaluate if an increased presence of macular drusen may be found in FECD patients to support a hypothetical association between both entities.

Consecutive FECD patients undergoing Descemet membrane endothelial keratoplasty (DMEK) surgery were compared to consecutive control patients without corneal pathology regarding the presence of macular drusen using standardized spectral domainoptical coherence tomography (SD-OCT) and near-infrared reflectance (NIR) analysis (Spectralis HRA +OCT; Heidelberg Engineering GmbH, Heidelberg, Germany; Figures 1c and d) by three masked investigators (AC, EE, MM). OCT imaging specifications: scan area $20^{\circ} \times 15^{\circ}$, centered on fovea, 37 parallel OCT B-scans (distance between B-scans ~ $120 \,\mu$ m), 20 images averaged per B-scan. NIR specifications: $\lambda = 830$ nm; field of view $30^{\circ} \times 30^{\circ}$ centered on fovea, image resolution 768 × 768 pixels. An eye was considered as 'drusen-positive' if at least one druse was detected on at least one OCT B-Scan and confirmed using the NIR image. Owing to the dependency in the data structure, as both eyes per patient were examined, the effect of FECD, age, gender and previous cataract surgery on drusen was modeled with generalized estimating equations (GEE).

Patient demographics are shown in Table 1. SD-OCT/ NIR analysis revealed macular drusen in 66 of 213 FECD patients (31%) (110 of 396 FECD eyes (28%)) and in 51 of 181 normal cornea control patients (28%) (74 of 324 normal cornea control eyes (23%)). There was no significant impact of FECD on the presence of drusen of the macula (OR = 1.441; CI: 0.902–2.302; P = 0.126; Figure 1e). The presence of drusen was age-dependent in both groups (OR = 1.094; 95% CI: 1.064–1.124; P < 0.001; Figure 1f). Gender (OR = 0.729; 95% CI: 0.458–1.160; P = 0.183) and previous cataract surgery (OR = 1.192; 95% CI: 0.751–1.892; P = 0.456) did not show any significant association.

Our data confirm the general age-dependent presence of macular drusen.¹ However, we did not find any correlation between macular drusen and FECD. These results are supported by earlier results from the Reykjavik eye study which also reported no increased prevalence of age-related macular degeneration in citizens of Reykjavik, Iceland, 55 years and older with primary central corneal guttae.² Rao *et al*³ were able to demonstrate a relationship between FECD and AMD using slit lamp biomicroscopy and indirect funduscopy. The diverging outcome between studies may at least in part be related to different patient cohorts and grading methodology. Future validation studies should include a prospective design, simultaneous SD-OCT, funduscopic, and potentially fluorescence angiographic analyses that would facilitate to focus more specifically on distinct stages and on distinct subtypes of drusen such as reticular pseudodrusen or basal laminar drusen.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

- Klein R, Cruickshanks KJ, Nash SD, Krantz EM, Nieto FJ, Huang GH *et al.* The prevalence of age-related macular degeneration and associated risk factors. *Arch Ophthalmol* 2010; **128**(6): 750–758.
- 2 Zoega GM, Fujisawa A, Sasaki H, Kubota A, Sasaki K, Kitagawa K *et al.* Prevalence and risk factors for cornea guttata in the Reykjavik Eye Study. *Ophthalmology* 2006; 113(4): 565–569.
- 3 Rao GP, Kaye SB, Agius-Fernandez A.. Central corneal endothelial guttae and age-related macular degeneration: is there an association? *Ind J Ophthalmol* 1998; **46**(3): 145–147.

M Matthaei^{1,4}, E Elsner^{1,4}, A Caramoy¹, W Adler², S Siebelmann¹, F Schaub¹, C Skevas³, S Liakopoulos¹, B Bachmann¹, C Cursiefen¹ and LM Heindl¹

¹Department of Ophthalmology, University Hospital of Cologne, Cologne, Germany ²Department of Medical Informatics Biometry and Epidemiology, University of Erlangen, Erlangen, Germany

³Department of Ophthalmology, University Hospital of Hamburg-Eppendorf, Hamburg, Germany E-mail: mario.matthaei@uk-koeln.de ⁴These authors contributed equally to this work.



Figure 1 (a, b) Periodic acid-Schiff reaction staining of guttae (black triangle) of a stripped Descemet's membrane in Fuchs endothelial corneal dystrophy (FECD) and drusen (white triangle) in age-related macular degeneration (AMD). (c, d) Near-infrared reflectance (NIR, c) image and simultaneous spectral domain-optical coherence tomography (SD-OCT, d) of an eye with macular drusen. (e, f) Analysis of macular drusen in FECD and control group showed no significant difference between both groups (e). Presence of macular drusen correlated to patient age with higher presence of drusen in older patients (f).

Table 1 Patient demographics

| FECD | Normal cornea control | P-value |
|-------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 213 68.03 ± 9.97 116:97 396 262:134 | 181 68.21±11.76 96:85 324 263:61 | P = 0.870 $P = 0.857$ $$ $P < 0.001$ |
| | FECD 213 68.03±9.97 116:97 396 262:134 | FECD Normal cornea control 213 181 68.03 ± 9.97 68.21 ± 11.76 116:97 96:85 396 324 262:134 263:61 |

Eye (2018) **32**, 840–841; doi:10.1038/eye.2017.301; published online 19 January 2018

Sir,

East of England regional retinopathy of prematurity service: lessons from the first year

Retinopathy of prematurity (ROP) affects 50% of babies screened and, of these, 4% will develop sight threatening