

Ophthalmol Strabismus 2016; e-pub ahead of print 5 July 2016; doi:10.3928/01913913-20160204-01.

- 4 Gogate P, Gilbert C. Clinical and cost impact of a pediatric cataract follow-up program in Western Nepal and adjacent Indian States. *J AAPOS* 2014; **19**(1): 94.

P Gogate^{1,2,3}, D Parbhoo⁴, P Ramson¹, R Budhoo⁴, L Øverland¹, N Mkhize¹, K Naidoo^{2,5}, S Levine⁶, A du Bryn⁴ and L Benjamin⁷

¹Orbis Africa, Cape Town, Republic of South Africa

²African Vision Research Institute, University of KwaZulu Natal, Durban, Republic of South Africa

³Dr Gogate's Eye Clinic, Pune, India

⁴Inkosi Albert Luthuli Central Hospital, Durban, Republic of South Africa

⁵Brien Holden Vision Research Institute, Durban, Republic of South Africa

⁶School of African and Gender studies, Anthropology and Linguistics, University of Cape Town, Cape Town, Republic of South Africa

⁷Consultant Ophthalmic Surgeon, Stoke Mandeville Hospital, Aylesbury, UK
E-mail: parikshitgogate@hotmail.com

Eye (2016) **30**, 1523–1524; doi:10.1038/eye.2016.158; published online 12 August 2016

Sir,
Effectiveness of the present ROP screening protocol

We were pleased to read the correspondence article by Kontos *et al*¹ on screening of premature babies for retinopathy of prematurity (ROP). Our experience concurs entirely with the suggestion put forward in this correspondence to change the UK guideline for screening² in this regard. This was presented in 2009 at the ROP seminar held by the Royal College of Ophthalmologists (Effectiveness of New Screening Protocol—K. Merchant, M. Nassar, A. Shafiq, D. Cottrell).

In that short study, we compared two groups of screened babies at the Royal Victoria Infirmary, Newcastle upon Tyne, UK. The study involved screening of babies born between gestational age (GA) 24–26 weeks. The first group included babies screened before implementation of the new protocol (March 2008–August 2008) and the second group included babies screened as per the new protocol (September 2008–February 2009).

In the second group, 13 babies were screened at 30 weeks GA as per the new protocol. Ten of these babies had a very hazy view of the fundus owing to corneal haze, vitreous haze or persistent tunica vasculosa lentis. Three of the 13 babies eventually required treatment but did not meet the treatment criteria until 33 weeks GA. All three had a poor fundus view at 30 weeks owing to the above-mentioned reasons. According to our study the second group had a 5.3% increase in screening visits as compared with the first group.

Data evaluation from the Swedish National Register for Retinopathy of Prematurity (SWEDROP)³ indicates that screening at 31 weeks GA should always pick up babies at-risk who go on to be treated. In their national database

treatment was never required earlier than 32 weeks GA. The Canadian Paediatric Society⁴ has also revised the age of initial ROP screening examination for premature babies to 31 weeks.

In our opinion, we are subjecting these premature babies to an additional, unhelpful and distressing examination by screening at 30 weeks GA. We agree that delaying the start of screening these babies until 31 weeks GA is appropriate, safe and sensible.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

- 1 Kontos G, Khan A, Fleck B. Do we screen very premature babies too early for retinopathy of prematurity? *Eye* 2016; **30**: 636–637.
- 2 Wilkinson AR, Haines L, K Head LK, Fielder AR. UK retinopathy of prematurity guideline. *Eye* 2009; **23**: 2137–2139.
- 3 Holmström G, Hellström A, Jakobsson P, Lundgren P, Tornqvist K, Wallin A. Evaluation of new guidelines for ROP screening in Sweden using SWEDROP—a national quality register. *Acta Ophthalmol* 2015; **93**(3): 265–268.
- 4 Jeffries A. Retinopathy of prematurity: an update on screening and management. *Paediatr Child Health* 2016; **21**(2): 101–104.

K Merchant¹, M Nassar^{2,3}, A Shafiq⁴ and D Cottrell⁴

¹Department of Ophthalmology, Royal Berkshire Hospital, Reading, UK

²Department of Ophthalmology, Dumfries and Galloway Royal Infirmary, Dumfries, UK

³Department of Ophthalmology, Faculty of Medicine, Minia University, Al-Minia, Egypt

⁴Newcastle Eye Centre, Royal Victoria Infirmary, Newcastle Upon Tyne, UK
E-mail: kmerchant@nhs.net

Eye (2016) **30**, 1524; doi:10.1038/eye.2016.167; published online 29 July 2016

Sir,
Screening for retinopathy of prematurity can be started in postmenstrual week 31 in very premature babies!

We concur with Kontos *et al*,¹ stating that screening for retinopathy of prematurity (ROP) in extremely premature infants can be postponed. Further, and as indicated by the authors, we believe that a change in guidelines should preferably be on the basis of studies of the natural course of ROP in the population *per se*.

In Sweden, a national study of extremely preterm infants, born with a gestational age (GA) of <27 weeks during the years 2004–2007, showed that no infant developed ROP stage 3 before 31 weeks postmenstrual age (PMA) and no infant was treated before 32 weeks PMA.² On the basis of this study, and in alignment with American guidelines, the first ROP examination in infants below a GA of 27 weeks was postponed to PMA 31 weeks in Sweden, 2010.

Guidelines for ROP screening should continuously be evaluated and modified. In Sweden, this is carried out with the help of SWEDROP, a national register for ROP with a coverage of 95% of all children screened in the country. Repeated studies have revealed that no infant during the years 2008–2012 has been treated for ROP before 32 weeks PMA.^{3–5} Hence, our guidelines suggesting the start of examination in very premature infants at 31 weeks PMA, still seem safe in our country. Also, as stated by Kontos *et al*,¹ this saves many tiny babies from stressful examinations and also several ophthalmologists from unnecessary work.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

- 1 Kontos G, Khan A, Fleck BW. Do we screen very premature babies too early for retinopathy of prematurity? *Eye (Lond)* 2016; **30**: 636–637.
- 2 Austeng D, Källen K, Hellström A, Tornqvist K, Holmström G. Natural history of retinopathy of prematurity in a population of extremely preterm infants born before the 27th week of gestation in Sweden. *Arch Ophthalmol* 2010; **128**: 1289–1294.
- 3 Holmström GE, Hellström A, Jakobsson PG, Lundgren P, Tornqvist K, Wallin A. Swedish National Register for Retinopathy of Prematurity (SWEDROP) and the evaluation of screening in Sweden. *Arch Ophthalmol* 2012; **130**(11): 1418–1424.
- 4 Holmström G, Hellström A, Jakobsson P, Lundgren P, Tornqvist K, Wallin A. Evaluation of new guidelines for ROP screening in Sweden using SWEDROP – a national quality register. *Acta Ophthalmol* 2015; **93**(3): 265–268.
- 5 Holmström G, Hellström A, Jakobsson P, Lundgren P, Tornqvist K, Wallin A. Five years of treatment for retinopathy of prematurity in Sweden: results from SWEDROP, a national quality register. *Br J Ophthalmol* 2016; e-pub ahead of print 11 March 2016; doi:10.1136/bjophthalmol-2015-307263.

G Holmström¹, A Hellström², P Jakobsson³, P Lundgren⁴, K Tornqvist⁵ and A Wallin⁶

¹Department of Neuroscience/Ophthalmology, Uppsala University, Uppsala, Sweden

²Section of Pediatric Ophthalmology, The Queen Silvia Children's Hospital, Sahlgrenska Academy, University of Gothenburg, Gothenburg, Sweden

³Department of Clinical and Experimental Medicine, Linköping University, Linköping, Sweden

⁴Department of Clinical Sciences and Ophthalmology, Umeå University, Umeå, Sweden

⁵Department of Ophthalmology, Lund University Hospital, Lund, Sweden

⁶St Erik Eye Hospital, Stockholm, Sweden
E-mail: gerd.holmstrom@neuro.uu.se

Eye (2016) **30**, 1524–1525; doi:10.1038/eye.2016.172; published online 29 July 2016