Conflict of interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

984

- 1 Gualtieri W, Janula J. Topiramate maculopathy. Int Ophthalmol 2013; 33(1): 103–106.
- 2 Beyenburg S, Weyland C, Reuber M. Presumed topiramateinduced maculopathy. *Epilepsy Behav* 2009; **14**(3): 556–559.
- 3 Privitera MD, Brodie MJ, Mattson RH, Chadwick DW, Neto W, Wang S. Topiramate, carbamazepine and valproate monotherapy: double-blind comparison in newly diagnosed epilepsy. *Acta Neurol Scand* 2003; 107(3): 165–175.
- 4 D'Amico D, Grazzi L, Usai S, Moschiano F, Bussone G. Topiramate in migraine prophylaxis. *Neurol Sci* 2005; 26(Suppl 2): s130–s133.
- 5 Watts P. A review of the ocular side effects of topiramate. *RCOphth reference*: 2010/PROF/122.
- PS Severn, R Symes, R Rajendram and B Pal

Department of Medical Retina, Moorfields Eye Hospital, London, UK E-mail: pssevern@hotmail.com

Eye (2015) **29**, 982–984; doi:10.1038/eye.2015.45; published online 1 May 2015

Sir,

Infraocular lens calcification following endothelial keratoplasty: a message for all cataract surgeons

We read with interest the UK case series recently reported in *Eye* detailing four cases of intraocular lens (IOL) opacification following Descemet's stripping automated endothelial keratoplasty (DSAEK).¹ This is a serious complication that causes visual loss and may necessitate IOL exchange that can adversely affect the long-term survival the corneal transplant.

All of the cases in the report involved Rayner (Hove, UK) hydrophilic acrylic IOL's and all involved rebubbling (repeat injection of intracameral air to achieve graft attachment). This series supports previous observations that such opacification appears to be almost unique to hydrophilic acrylic IOL's, and furthermore, having air or gas in the anterior chamber appears to be a risk factor.^{2,3}

¹ However, it is certainly possible for this complication to occur without rebubbling. Although all four cases had rebubbling in this series,¹ in our recently published UK series only two of the five cases had rebubbling.⁴ In our series, all five cases were also hydrophilic acrylic IOL's, although only one was a Rayner implant highlighting that this problem relates to hydrophilic acrylic material regardless of the manufacturer (other IOL's that opacified included Zeiss, STABIBAG; Lenstec, LH 3000; Bausch and Lomb, MI60 and Bausch and Lomb, Akreos).⁴

We agree with the authors that patients requiring cataract surgery who are at risk of corneal endothelial failure (typically those with Fuchs' endothelial dystrophy) should not have a hydrophilic acrylic IOL inserted regardless of the manufacturer, in order to avoid the risk of IOL opacification. Although rebubbling may be a risk factor, our series demonstrates the complication can occur after DSAEK without rebubbling.

The number of corneal transplants in the UK has increased significantly in the last decade (2206 in 2002 rising to 3455 in 2011).⁵ The proportion of endothelial transplants has risen markedly (0% in 2002 to 33% in 2011) and this is now almost as common as penetrating keratoplasty (33% *vs* 38%, respectively).⁵ In the future, a significant number of patients may develop the serious complication of IOL opacification following DSAEK, and this could be reduced if cataract surgeons avoid hydrophilic acrylic IOL insertion in patients at risk of corneal endothelial failure.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

- 1 De Cock R, Fajgenbaum MAP. Calcification of Rayner hydrophilic acrylic intra-ocular lenses after Descemet's stripping automated endothelial keratoplasty. *Eye* 2014; **28**: 1383–1384.
- 2 Neuhann IM, Neuhann TF, Rohrbach JM. Intraocular lens calcification after keratoplasty. *Cornea* 2013; **32**: e6–e10.
- 3 Dhital A, Spalton DJ, Goyal S, Werner L. Calcification in hydrophilic intraocular lenses associated with injection of intraocular gas. *Am J Ophthalmol* 2012; **153**(6): 1154–1160.
- 4 Park JC, Habib NE, Moate RM. Intraocular lens opacification after corneal endothelial keratoplasty: Electron microscopy and x-ray element spectroscopy analysis. J Cataract Refract Surg 2015; **41**: 140–145.
- 5 UK Transplant Registry. NHS Blood and Transplant authority, 2002 to 2011.

JC Park¹, NE Habib^{1,2} and RM Moate³

¹Royal Eye Infirmary, Derriford Hospital, Plymouth, UK ²Peninsula Medical School, Plymouth, UK ³Plymouth Electron Microscope Centre, University of Plymouth, Plymouth, UK E-mail: jonpark777@gmail.com

Eye (2015) **29**, 984; doi:10.1038/eye.2015.49; published online 10 April 2015

Sir,

Reply to 'Intraocular lens calcification following endothelial keratoplasty: a message for all cataract surgeons'

We thank Park *et al* for their interest in our correspondence regarding the calcification of Rayner hydrophilic acrylic intraocular lens (IOL) implants following Descemet's stripping endothelial keratoplasty (DSAEK).¹

We agree that this phenomenon can occur after uncomplicated DSAEK without the need for a rebubble as described in their recent article² as well as that of Werner *et al.*³ We have not seen this in any of our patients but have also heard anecdotal reports (unpublished) of such occurrences.

Ample clinicopathological evidence now exists of postendothelial keratoplasty calcification being confined to the central IOL optic not covered by the iris. A change in ionic concentrations of the aqueous due to blood aqueous barrier (BAB) breakdown is likely to have a role in these cases, and so too is the use of intracameral air. The localization of the IOL opacification suggests air contact with the IOL is germane possibly through dessication or physical disruption of the IOL surface. Although both BAB breakdown and IOL surface change can occur with a single injection of air during standard DSAEK surgery, repeat injections post-operatively (rebubbling) will only intensify these processes and thereby increase the likelihood of calcification.

We also agree that this phenomenon is in no way unique to Rayner IOLs. It has been documented with hydrophilic IOLs from various manufacturers and we fully support Park *et al*² in spreading the important message that patients who may require endothelial keratoplasty at some stage should receive hydrophobic lens implants.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

- 1 De Cock R, Fajgenbaum MAP. Calcification of Rayner hydrophilic acrylic intra-ocular lenses after. *Eye* 2014; **28**: 1383–1384.
- 2 Park JC, Habib NE, Moate RM. Intraocular lens opacification after corneal endothelial keratoplasty: electron microscopy and x-ray element spectroscopy analysis. J Cataract Refract Surg 2015; **41**: 140–145.
- 3 Werner L, Wilbanks G, Nieuwendaal CP, Dhital A, Waite A, Schmidinger G *et al.* Localized opacification of hydrophilic acrylic intraocular lenses after procedures using intracameral injection of air or gas. *J Cataract Refract Surg* 2015; **41**(1) 199–207.

R De Cock and MAP Fajgenbaum

Kent and Canterbury Hospital, East Kent Hospitals University Trust, England, UK E-mail: romain.d@btinternet.com

Eye (2015) **29**, 984–985; doi:10.1038/eye.2015.50; published online 10 April 2015