Comment

Ozurdex release sustained levels of dexamethasone and biological activity for 6 months and very few implants are seen after 270 days. 1 Case reports of migration of the Ozurdex implant into the anterior chamber of pseudophakic patients exist.^{2,3} Patients in whom the posterior capsule of the lens is absent or has a tear are at risk of implant migration into the anterior chamber. The muzzle velocity of the Ozurdex implant is known to have a high initial velocity at 0.8 m/s that decreases exponentially over distance especially in vitreous.^{4,5} In our case, the implant was not immediately seen on the slit lamp examination after injection because the high velocity of the implant caused the implant to be lodged superiorly. However, as the patient did not have a posterior vitreous detachment, the implant gradually migrated into the hyaloid fossa (saucer-shaped depression between the lens and the anterior vitreous) and the implant came into view (Figure 1d). As it had not migrated into the anterior chamber, there was no risk of ocular complications such as corneal oedema. Therefore, the implant was not removed and it eventually dispersed away without causing any complications.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

- 1 Chang-Lin JE, Attar M, Acheampong AA, Robinson MR, Whitcup SM, Kuppermann BD et al. Pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics of a sustained-release dexamethasone intravitreal implant. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci* 2011; 52: 80–86.
- 2 Bansal R, Bansal P, Kulkarni P, Gupta V, Sharma A, Gupta A. Wandering Ozurdex implant. J Ophthalmic Inflamm Infect 2012: 2: 1–5.
- 3 Pardo-López D, Francés-Muñoz E, Gallego-Pinazo R, Díaz-Llopis M. Anterior chamber migration of dexametasone intravitreal implant (Ozurdex). *Graefes Arch Clin Exp* Ophthalmol 2012; 250: 1703–1704.
- 4 Clemens CR, Bertelmann T, Meyer CH. Vitreous traction after ozurdex injection. J Ocul Pharmacol Ther 2013; 29: 3–4.
- 5 Meyer CH, Klein A, Alten F, Liu Z, Stanzel BV, Helb HM et al. Release and velocity of micronized dexamethasone implants with an intravitreal drug delivery system: kinematic analysis with a high-speed camera. Retina 2012; 32: 2133–2140.

S Wai Ch'ng, S Padroni and S Banerjee

Ophthalmology Department, Leicester Royal Infirmary, Leicester, UK E-mail: wcsw81@gmail.com

Eye (2014) **28**, 238–239; doi:10.1038/eye.2013.270; published online 13 December 2013

Sir, Re: Adherence to NICE guidelines for new glaucoma referrals

We read with interest the correspondence by Chaudhary $et\ al\ ^1$

The letter reviews the assessment of new glaucoma referrals and then compares this with NICE guidelines. It was noted that adherence to guidelines is varied and standards identified as requiring improvement included disc assessment with pupillary dilatation, central corneal thickness measurement (CCT), and gonioscopy among others. It was felt that the data may reflect areas of weakness in other centres and highlights areas for future training.

We have collected data over 4 years through audit of our practice compared with NICE guidelines and noted similar weakness to adherence in areas identified by Chaudhary *et al.*¹ To improve practice, we implemented written guidance to junior ophthalmologists before the commencement of their placement and ensured all necessary equipments were made available in the clinics by directives to the nursing staff.

This had a strong impact when we re-audited practice, with the following overall improvements noted: we found documentation of CCT at 27% (2009) vs 100% (2013), gonioscopy 39.4% (2010) vs 100% (2013), and optic disc assessment with dilatation 15.2% (2009) vs 97.2% (2013). Goldmann applanation tonometry was recorded 100% of the time in all audits and visual fields were performed 100% of the time conducted between 2010 and 2013 vs 75.8% in 2009.

Moreover, we identified poor practice in provision of information to patients and/or caregivers. An improvement from 5% (2011) vs 97% in 2013 was noted by initiating regular reordering of patient information leaflets.

In conclusion, our experience showed that regular written guidance to new junior ophthalmologists and better availability of equipments in clinics greatly improved the standard of glaucoma assessment. These measures may address poor adherence to NICE guidelines in other centres.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Reference

 Chaudhary R, Dhillon N, Jones L. Adherence to NICE guidelines for new glaucoma referrals. Eye 2013; 27: 571–572.

L Sullivan, D Burton, C Bong and P Galloway

St James University Hospital, Leeds, UK E-mail: drliamsullivan@gmail.com

Eye (2014) **28**, 239; doi:10.1038/eye.2013.246; published online 29 November 2013