Diabetes being a chronic multisystem disease with end-organ damage, it would be natural to expect raised serum EPO, especially with coexisting nephropathy and anaemia.² Earlier reports show that the correction of anaemia (and also supplementation of EPO) decrease the effects of retinopathy with structural improvement, possibly through the improved oxygenation of the macula.^{2,3} It would be fallacious then to compare the acute imbalance of retinal vein occlusion (RVO) cases, with DME. Also, EPO level in the DME group was found to be 430 mU/ml (41–3000), and in the RVO group, it was 91.3 mU/ml (30–417). It would have been interesting to note the near normal levels of EPO in some of the DME cases despite the presence of DME. This would probably imply the role of other factors in DME. In this regard, the authors may have overlooked important factors for the production of EPO, such as nephropathy status, Hb levels, and coexisting vascular disease.³

The authors have shown ingenuity in their study; however, we reckon that it would have been better served with a comprehensive outlook of the risk factors being studied.

References

- 1 Garcia-Arumi J, Fonollosa A, Macia C, Hernandez C, Martinez-Castillo V, Boixadera A *et al.* Vitreous levels of erythropoietin in patients with macular edema secondary to retinal vein occlusions: a comparative study with diabetic macular edema. *Eye* 2008, advance online 1 August 2008, doi:10.1038/eye.2008.230.
- 2 Friedman EA, L'Esperance FA, Brown CD, Berman DH. Treating azotemia-induced anemia with erythropoietin improves diabetic eye disease. *Kidney Int Suppl* 2003; (87): 57–63.
- 3 Davis MD, Fisher MR, Gangnon RE, Barton F, Aiello LM, Chew EY *et al.* Risk factors for high-risk proliferative diabetic retinopathy and severe visual loss: Early Treatment Diabetic Retinopathy Study Report #18. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci* 1998; 39(2): 233–252.

M Uparkar and S Kaul
Aditya Jyot Eye Hospital, Mumbai, Maharashtra,
India
E-mail: uparkar@gmail.com

Eye (2009) 23, 1747–1748; doi:10.1038/eye.2008.322;
published online 14 November 2008

Sir, Erythropoietin and diabetic macular oedema

We appreciate the comments of Dr Uparkar regarding our article. We agree that serum erythropoietin (EPO) is expected to be raised in diabetic patients. However, we showed that vitreous EPO levels were raised because of intraocular production in our earlier article.¹ Dr Uparkar states that it would be fallacious to compare the acute imbalance or retinal vein occlusions with diabetic macular oedema (DME), a chronic condition. However,

other vitreous factors, such as vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF), are raised in both diseases;^{2,3} hence, we believe our study is not so fallacious. We agree that systemic factors are related with EPO production, but we remind Dr Uparkar that, as mentioned above, intraocular production was responsible for raised vitreous EPO levels.¹

References

- 1 Hernández C, Fonollosa A, García-Ramírez M, Higuera M, Catalán R, Miralles A *et al.* Erythropoietin is expressed in the human retina and it is highly elevated in the vitreous fluid of patients with diabetic macular edema. *Diabetes Care* 2006; 29(9): 2028–2033.
- 2 Nguyen QD, Tatlipinar S, Shah SM, Haller JA, Quinlan E, Sung J *et al.* Vascular endothelial growth factor is a critical stimulus for diabetic macular edema. *Am J Ophthalmol* 2006; 142(6): 961–969.
- 3 Noma H, Funatsu H, Yamasaki M, Tsukamoto H, Mimura T, Sone T *et al.* Aqueous humour levels of cytokines are correlated to vitreous levels and severity of macular oedema in branch retinal vein occlusion. *Eye* 2008; 22(1): 42–48.

A Fonollosa and J Garcia-Arumi

Department of Ophthalmology, Hospital Vall
d'Hebron, Barcelona, Spain
E-mail: 36427afc@comb.es

Eye (2009) 23, 1748; doi:10.1038/eye.2008.323;
published online 17 October 2008

Sir, Tonometer disinfection practice in the United Kingdom: the climate implications

We read with interest the article entitled 'Tonometer disinfection practice in the United Kingdom: a national survey',¹ and agree with the conclusion that guidelines for tonometer disinfection in the United Kingdom are necessary. We would like to comment on the article's surprising finding that 23% of ophthalmology units with training recognition have adopted exclusive use of disposable tonometer prisms despite evidence that there is still a risk of transmission of pathogens when using these,² and that they are less accurate than a Goldmann prism.³ In the absence of concrete evidence of reduced risk of transfer of viral and bacterial pathogens and controversy about the small theoretical risk of transmission of vCJD,⁴ we must consider the financial and environmental costs associated with the use of disposable prisms. If we assume that an average ophthalmologist sees 4000 patients per annum, the cost of two non-disposable Goldmann prisms and 36 l of 0.5% sodium hypochlorite solution would be £244 per annum. The cost for Tonosafe disposable prisms for this number of patients would be £2324 (personal communication, Haag Streit). This amounts to a cost per patient of 58.1 pence for the Tonosafe prism and 6.1 pence for the