

## Effect of diet on aflatoxin B<sub>1</sub>-DNA binding and aflatoxin B<sub>1</sub>-induced glutathione S-transferase placental form positive hepatic foci in the rat

Masatomo Kimura<sup>1,2</sup>, Kiyoko Lehmann<sup>1</sup>,  
Prathima Gopalan-Kriczky<sup>1</sup> and  
Prabhakar D. Lotlikar<sup>1,3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Fels Institute for Cancer Research and Molecular Biology  
Department of Biochemistry  
Temple University School of Medicine  
Philadelphia, PA 19140, USA

<sup>2</sup>Department of Pathology  
Kinki University School of Medicine  
Osaka 589, Japan

<sup>3</sup>Corresponding author: Tel, 215-707-4321;  
Fax, 215-707-2102; E-mail, lotlikar@temple.edu

Accepted 24 July 2004

Abbreviations: AFAR, AFB<sub>1</sub>-aldehyde reductase; AFB<sub>1</sub>, aflatoxin B<sub>1</sub>; CDNB, 1-chloro-2,4-dinitrobenzene; GST, glutathione S-transferase; GST-P, GST-placental form; PB, phenobarbital; PC, Purina Chow

### Abstract

Effects of diets on hepatic aflatoxin B<sub>1</sub> (AFB<sub>1</sub>)-DNA binding and AFB<sub>1</sub>-induced glutathione S-transferase placental (GST-P) form positive hepatic foci have been examined in young male Fischer rats. Animals were fed either AIN-76A or Purina Chow (PC) diet for 1 wk before AFB<sub>1</sub>-DNA binding studies *in vivo* and *in vitro*. Animals were injected *i.p.* with AFB<sub>1</sub> (1 mg/kg body wt) and 3 days later were given either AIN-76A or PC diet with or without 0.1% phenobarbital (PB) in their drinking water. All animals were sacrificed 10 wks after AFB<sub>1</sub> dosing for analysis of AFB<sub>1</sub>-induced GST-P positive hepatic foci by immunochemistry. Two h after *i.p.* injection of AFB<sub>1</sub>, hepatic AFB<sub>1</sub>-DNA binding in AIN-76A fed rats was twice as much as those in PC fed animals without affecting GSH levels. There was no significant effect of diet on either cytochrome P-450 content, GSH levels or microsomal cytochrome P-450 mediated AFB<sub>1</sub>-DNA binding to exogenous DNA. There was a 40% increase in cytosolic GSH S-transferase activity

with 1-chloro-2,4-dinitrobenzene as a substrate in PC fed animals compared to those given AIN-76A diet. The number and area of AFB<sub>1</sub>-induced GST-P positive hepatic foci were twice and fivefold as much in AIN-76A fed compared to those in PC fed rats. The number of AFB<sub>1</sub>-induced GST-P positive foci was increased 5-10 fold in the presence of PB in both groups. In summary, the present data indicate that feeding of PC diet compared to AIN-76A diet inhibits the initiation phase whereas AIN-76A stimulates the promotion phase of AFB<sub>1</sub> hepatocarcinogenesis in rats by inhibiting AFB<sub>1</sub>-DNA binding and increasing AFB<sub>1</sub>-induced hepatic foci respectively.

**Keywords:** AFB<sub>1</sub>; AFB<sub>1</sub>-DNA binding; diet; GST-P positive foci; hepatocarcinogenesis

### Introduction

Epidemiological studies have established that contamination of foods with aflatoxin B<sub>1</sub> (AFB<sub>1</sub>), a mycotoxin, is one of the important risk factors responsible for human liver cancer (Wogan, 1992). Among laboratory animals, rat is the most susceptible to AFB<sub>1</sub> hepatocarcinogenesis (Newberne and Butler, 1969; Eaton and Gallagher, 1994). Metabolic activation of AFB<sub>1</sub> occurs via cytochrome P-450 enzyme system to form an ultimate reactive metabolite, AFB<sub>1</sub>-epoxide, which interacts covalently with cellular macromolecules including DNA. This covalent interaction of DNA with AFB<sub>1</sub> is considered to be the first step responsible for the initiation of AFB<sub>1</sub> carcinogenesis (Miller and Miller, 1981); AFB<sub>1</sub>-DNA adduct is considered to be the only intermediate responsible in the AFB<sub>1</sub> pathogenesis of hepatocarcinogenesis (Eaton and Gallagher, 1994). Various isoforms of glutathione S-transferases (GSTs) and AFB<sub>1</sub>-aldehyde reductase (AFAR) play an important part in modulation of AFB<sub>1</sub>-DNA binding and AFB<sub>1</sub> carcinogenesis in various susceptible and resistant species by inactivation of the reactive AFB<sub>1</sub>-epoxide by conjugating with either GSH or proteins (Eaton and Gallagher, 1994; Manson *et al.*, 1997).

Dietary factors have been shown to modify various stages of chemical carcinogenesis in several animal

models. Thus, low protein diets inhibited initiation and promotion stages whereas high protein diet stimulated the promotion phase of AFB<sub>1</sub> hepatocarcinogenesis in the rat model (Appleton and Campbell, 1983). Earlier studies indicated that high dietary fat content increased both initiation and promotion of AFB<sub>1</sub>-induced hepatic lesions (Rogers and Newberne, 1969) whereas later studies suggested that high fat content was important for initiation but not for promotion (Baldwin and Parker, 1987). Induction of hepatic and colon tumors by several different carcinogens also is enhanced in rats fed a high fat diet (Rogers and Newberne, 1975). Numerous studies have demonstrated that dietary restriction of laboratory animals reduced the incidence of chemically-induced tumors (Pariza and Boutwell, 1987; Sugie *et al.*, 1993), due to inhibition of metabolic activation of chemical carcinogens (Chou *et al.*, 1993).

Commercial diet such as Purina Chow (PC) contains many plant ingredients which induce xenobiotic metabolizing enzymes including GSTs (Salbe and Bjeldanes, 1989; Wattenberg, 1992). These commercial diets also demonstrate a lot of seasonal variability in response to the carcinogenic process. Therefore, a semi-synthetic diet such as AIN-76A diet has been established to minimize variations in the carcinogenic process. This AIN-76A diet has been used in several carcinogenicity studies with many types of chemical carcinogens (Russell *et al.*, 1987; Hendrich *et al.*, 1988; Hecht *et al.*, 1989). In this report, effects of commercial diet, Purina Chow (PC) and a semi-synthetic diet, AIN-76A are compared for their effect on hepatic AFB<sub>1</sub>-DNA binding and AFB<sub>1</sub>-induced GST-placental (GST-P) positive hepatic foci in rats. Marked differences are observed in both of these parameters examined.

## Materials and Methods

### Chemicals

Non-radioactive AFB<sub>1</sub>, DMSO, GSH, calf thymus DNA, NADPH and diaminobenzidine were purchased from Sigma Chemical (St. Louis, MO). 1-Chloro-2,4-dinitrobenzene (CDNB) was a product of Eastman Kodak, Rochester, NY. Phenobarbital (PB) was obtained from Amend Drug and Chemical Co., Irvington, NJ. Radioactive [<sup>3</sup>H] AFB<sub>1</sub> (Sp. Activity, 30 Ci/mmol) purchased from Moravek Biochemicals, Brea, CA was diluted with non-radioactive AFB<sub>1</sub> in DMSO to obtain the required specific activity. Scintillation fluid 'Scintiverse II' was purchased from National Diagnostic Co., Manville, NJ. Vectastain ABC kit for immunohistochemical staining was bought from Vector Laboratories, Burlingame, CA. Rat GST-P antibody in rabbit was kindly provided by Dr. Kiyomi Sato's laboratory, Hiroasaki,

Japan. AIN-76A diet (Second Report, 1980) was purchased from Dyets Inc., Bethlehem, PA. AIN-76A diet contained casein, 20% by wt, cornstarch 15%, sucrose 50%, fiber 5%, corn oil 5%, DL-methionine 0.3%, vitamin mix 1.0% and mineral mix 3.5%. PC diet obtained from Ralston Purina Co., St. Louis, MO, consisted of ground yellow corn, soybean meal, beet pulp, fish meal, ground oats, brewers dried yeast, alfalfa meal, cane molasses, wheat germ meal, dried whey, meat meal, wheat middlings and animal fat. PC diet contained protein 23.0% by wt, fat 5%, fiber 6.0%, starch 40%, sucrose 5%, lactose 2.5% and mineral mix 2.5%.

### Animals and treatment

Sixty-six male Fischer rats (6-7 wk old, 90-100 g body wt, Charles River Breeding Laboratories, Wilmington, MA) were housed in plastic cages with hardwood chips for bedding in an air-conditioned room at 23 ± 2°C with a 12:12-h light-dark cycle.

Where indicated, one set of 18 animals was used for AFB<sub>1</sub>-DNA binding studies *in vivo* and subcellular studies *in vitro* including microsome-mediated AFB<sub>1</sub>-DNA binding to exogenous DNA. The animals were randomly divided in two groups. One group was maintained on PC diet and another group on AIN-76A diet for a wk. Three animals from each group on PC diet or AIN-76A diet were sacrificed by exsanguination for isolation of hepatic microsomes and cytosol. Other six animals from each group were injected *i.p.* with [<sup>3</sup>H] AFB<sub>1</sub> (40 µg AFB<sub>1</sub> containing 10 µCi [<sup>3</sup>H] AFB<sub>1</sub> dissolved in 0.2 ml DMSO/100 g body wt) 2 h before sacrifice for hepatic AFB<sub>1</sub>-DNA binding studies.

Where indicated, another set of 48 animals was on PC diet for a wk before *i.p.* administration of either DMSO or AFB<sub>1</sub> (1 mg/kg body wt) dissolved in DMSO. Three days after either DMSO or AFB<sub>1</sub> administration, each group of six animals was given either PC or AIN-76A diet with or without 0.1% PB in their water. All animals were sacrificed 10 wks after AFB<sub>1</sub> dosing for analysis of AFB<sub>1</sub>-induced GST-P positive hepatocytes and foci.

Liver microsomes and cytosols were prepared as described previously (Lotlikar *et al.*, 1989).

### Microsome-mediated binding of AFB<sub>1</sub> to exogenous DNA

The incubation medium was as described previously (Lotlikar *et al.*, 1989). After isolation of DNA by the published procedure (Daoud and Irving, 1977), isolated DNA was centrifuged, washed twice with cold ethanol and was finally dissolved in 1 ml of 10 mM Tris buffer, pH 7.4 containing 1 mM EDTA. One aliquot was used for UV spectrophotometry for quantifying DNA (Lotlikar *et al.*, 1989). Two aliquots of iso-

lated DNA were taken for radioactivity measurements by using 5 ml of Scintiverse II. Radioactivity in samples was counted in LKB 1219 Racbeta liquid scintillation spectrometer with an efficiency of 45% for [<sup>3</sup>H] counting. Results of [<sup>3</sup>H] AFB<sub>1</sub> binding to DNA were corrected for DNA recovery and are expressed as pmol [<sup>3</sup>H] AFB<sub>1</sub> binding/mg DNA/30 min. Variations in duplicate samples were less than 5%.

#### Hepatic AFB<sub>1</sub>-DNA binding *in vivo*

Animals were sacrificed by exsanguination 2 h after AFB<sub>1</sub> injection and livers were excised, homogenized in 10 mM Tris-HCl buffer, pH 7.4 containing 2 mM CaCl<sub>2</sub> and 0.25 M sucrose. The homogenates (10%) were centrifuged at 600 *g* for 10 min and the nuclear pellet was extracted to isolate DNA according to the published procedure (Daoud and Irving, 1977) and dissolved in Tris-buffer and radioactivity counted as described above. Aliquots of DNA were taken colorimetric determination of DNA (Burton, 1956). Results of [<sup>3</sup>H] AFB<sub>1</sub> binding to nuclear DNA were corrected for DNA recovery and are expressed as pmol [<sup>3</sup>H] AFB<sub>1</sub> bound/mg DNA.

#### AFB<sub>1</sub>-induced GST-P positive hepatocytes and foci

Ten weeks after either DMSO or AFB<sub>1</sub> dosing, all animals were sacrificed by exsanguination under CO<sub>2</sub> euthanasia. Livers were excised, cut into 2- to 3-mm thick sections and were fixed in 10% phosphate-buffered formalin for immunohistochemical staining method of Hsu *et al* (1981). The sites of peroxidase binding were detected by the diaminobenzidine method (Graham and Karnofsky, 1966). By taking one section from each lobe, about 4-6 cm<sup>2</sup> of liver from each animal was examined for immunohistochemical staining. GST-P positive hepatocytes and hepatic foci were counted with the use of a light microscope. Diameters of these foci were determined with an eyepiece mi-

croscoper. Areas of these foci were calculated from diameters of these foci.

#### Other assays

Hepatic GSH levels were determined colorimetrically (Ellman, 1959) using a calibration curve. The values were expressed as μmol GSH/g liver. GSH S-transferase activity in liver cytosols using CDNB as a substrate was assayed spectrophotometrically by the published method (Habig and Jakoby, 1981). Cytochrome P-450 content in liver microsomes was determined from the CO-difference spectra of dithionite reduced samples using an extinction coefficient 91/mM/cm between 450 and 490 nm (Omura and Sato, 1964). Protein in microsomes and cytosols was determined by the Lowry method with bovine serum albumin as the standard (Lowry *et al.*, 1951). The significance of the data was statistically evaluated by using Student's *t*-test; *P* < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

#### Results

Two hours after *i.p.* injection of AFB<sub>1</sub>, hepatic GSH levels and AFB<sub>1</sub>-DNA binding were examined in rats fed either PC or AIN-76A diet for a week (Table 1). AFB<sub>1</sub>-DNA binding in AIN-76A fed rats was twice as much as those in PC fed animals. Hepatic GSH levels in AIN-76A fed rats was decreased by about 10% compared to those in PC fed rats; however, this decrease was not statistically significant.

Effect of diet was examined *in vitro* studies with microsomal cytochrome P-450 mediated AFB<sub>1</sub>-binding to exogenous DNA and other parameters (Table 2). Even though AFB<sub>1</sub>-DNA binding to exogenous DNA was slightly higher (15%) in animals fed AIN-76A diet than those in PC diet, this difference was not statistically significant. Similarly, there were no significant differences either in cytochrome P-450 content or

Table 1. Effect of diet on hepatic GSH levels and AFB<sub>1</sub>-DNA binding in rats.

Diet	AFB <sub>1</sub> -DNA Binding (pmole/mg DNA)	GSH Levels (μmol/g liver)
PC	42.2 ± 6.3	6.5 ± 1.2
AIN-76A	96.2 ± 12.1 <sup>a</sup>	5.8 ± 0.5

Each group consisted of 6 animals that were fed either PC or AIN-76A diet for a wk before AFB<sub>1</sub> administration. All animals were injected *i.p.* with [<sup>3</sup>H] AFB<sub>1</sub> (40 μg AFB<sub>1</sub> containing 10 μCi [<sup>3</sup>H] AFB<sub>1</sub> dissolved in 0.2 ml DMSO/100 g body wt) 2 h before sacrifice. Hepatic GSH levels were assayed colorimetrically (Ellman, 1959). Hepatic nuclear DNA was isolated by the published procedure (Daoud and Irving, 1977) and quantitated by the colorimetric procedure (Burton, 1956). Radioactivity in duplicate samples was counted in a scintillation spectrometer with an efficiency of 45% for [<sup>3</sup>H] counting. Results of [<sup>3</sup>H] AFB<sub>1</sub> binding to DNA were corrected for DNA recovery and are expressed as pmol [<sup>3</sup>H] AFB<sub>1</sub> bound/mg DNA. Results are given as means ± SD of 6 animals in each group. <sup>a</sup>Data are considered significant with value of *P* < 0.05 when compared with respective data of animals on PC diet.

**Table 2.** Effect of diet on hepatic microsomal cytochrome P-450 content, cytochrome P-450 mediated AFB<sub>1</sub>-binding to exogenous DNA, GSH levels and cytosolic GSH S-transferase activity.

Diet	Cytochrome P-Content (nmol/mg protein)	AFB <sub>1</sub> Binding to Exogenous DNA (pmol/mg DNA/30 min)	GSH levels (μmol/g liver)	GSH S-transferase activity with CDNB (nmol/min/mg protein)
PC	0.64 ± 0.08	60 ± 7.0	6.0 ± 0.5	737 ± 75
AIN-76A	0.75 ± 0.12	69 ± 10	5.1 ± 0.7	528 ± 63 <sup>a</sup>

Each group consisted of 3 animals that were fed either PC or AIN-76A diet for a wk before sacrifice. Isolation of liver microsomes, cytosols and incubation medium for microsome-mediated binding of AFB<sub>1</sub> to exogenous calf thymus DNA were as described (Lotlikar *et al.*, 1989). Isolation of DNA was by the published procedure (Daoud and Irving, 1977) and quantitation of DNA by UV spectrophotometry (Lotlikar *et al.*, 1989). Radioactivity in duplicate samples was counted in a scintillation spectrometer. Results of [<sup>3</sup>H]AFB<sub>1</sub> binding to DNA were corrected for DNA recovery and are expressed as pmol [<sup>3</sup>H]AFB<sub>1</sub> binding/mg DNA/30 min. Microsomal cytochrome P-450 content was determined from the CO-difference spectra of dithionite reduced samples using an extinction coefficient 91 ml/cm between 450 and 490 nm (Omura and Sato, 1964). GSH S-transferase activity in liver cytosols using CDNB as a substrate was assayed spectrophotometrically (Habig and Jakoby, 1981). Results are given as means ± SD of 3 animals in each group. <sup>a</sup>Data are considered significant with value of *P* < 0.05 when compared with respective data of animals on PC diet.

**Table 3.** Promoting effects of diet and PB on AFB<sub>1</sub>-induced GST-P positive hepatic foci in rats at 10 weeks.

Diet	AFB <sub>1</sub> (1 mg/kg)	PB	GST-P Positive Hepatocytes			
			Single cells	Mini Foci (2-9 cells) (No/cm <sup>2</sup> )	Foci (100-300 μm)	Area of Focia (mm <sup>2</sup> /cm <sup>2</sup> )
PC	-	-	0.04 ± 0.09	0.03 ± 0.08	0	0
	-	+	0.09 ± 0.22	0.15 ± 0.14	0.21 ± 0.22	0.002 ± 0.002 <sup>a</sup>
	+	-	0.14 ± 0.12	0.11 ± 0.11	0.43 ± 0.32 <sup>b</sup>	0.013 ± 0.010 <sup>b</sup>
	+	+	1.08 ± 0.33 <sup>a</sup>	2.47 ± 1.05 <sup>a</sup>	3.80 ± 1.24 <sup>a</sup>	0.156 ± 0.051 <sup>a</sup>
AIN-76A	-	-	0	0	0	0
	-	+	0.05 ± 0.09	0.08 ± 0.09	0.14 ± 0.19	0.001 ± 0.002
	+	-	0.38 ± 0.18 <sup>b,c</sup>	0.73 ± 0.77	0.91 ± 0.20 <sup>b,c</sup>	0.066 ± 0.014 <sup>b,c</sup>
	+	+	1.05 ± 0.77	4.28 ± 0.85 <sup>a,c</sup>	3.82 ± 0.90 <sup>a</sup>	0.275 ± 0.065 <sup>a,c</sup>

Each group consisted of 6 animals. All animals were on PC diet for 1 wk before *i.p.* administration of either DMSO or AFB<sub>1</sub> (1 mg/kg body wt) dissolved in DMSO. Three days after either DMSO or AFB<sub>1</sub> administration, each group was started on different combination of diet and water with or without 0.01% PB. All animals were sacrificed 10 wks after AFB<sub>1</sub> dosing. Livers were excised, cut into 2-3 mm thick sections and were fixed in 10% phosphate-buffered formalin for immunohistochemical detection of GST-P positive hepatocytes and hepatic foci by immunohistochemical staining method (Hsu *et al.*, 1981). The sites of peroxidase binding were detected by the diaminobenzidine method (Graham and Karnofsky, 1966). About 4-6 cm<sup>2</sup> of liver from each animal was examined for immunohistochemical staining. GST-P positive hepatocytes and hepatic foci were counted with the use of a light microscope. Diameters of these foci were determined with an eyepiece micrometer. Areas of these foci were calculated from diameters of these foci. Results are given as means ± SD of 6 animals in each group. <sup>a</sup>Data are considered significant with value of *P* < 0.05 when considered with respective data of animals without PB treatment. <sup>b</sup>Data are considered significant with value of *P* < 0.05 when compared with respective data of animals without AFB<sub>1</sub> dosing. <sup>c</sup>Data are considered significant with value of *P* < 0.05 when considered with respective data of animals on PC diet.

hepatic GSH levels in these two groups. There was, however, a 40% increase in cytosolic GSH S-transferase activity with CDNB as a substrate in PC fed animals compared to those given AIN-76A diet.

Data on promoting effects of diet and PB on AFB<sub>1</sub>-induced GST-P positive foci in rats are summarized in Table 3. The number and area of AFB<sub>1</sub>-induced GST-P positive foci were twice and fivefold as much in AIN-76A fed rats compared to those in PC fed animals. The number of AFB<sub>1</sub>-induced GST-P positive hepatic foci was increased several fold in the

presence of PB in both groups of rats. However, the area of foci was 75% higher in AIN-76A fed rats than those in PC fed diet.

## Discussion

Data presented in this report indicate that feeding of AIN-76A diet compared to PC diet increased both hepatic AFB<sub>1</sub>-DNA binding and AFB<sub>1</sub>-induced GST-P positive hepatic foci in rats.

Since AFB<sub>1</sub>-DNA binding is considered to be the first step responsible for the initiation of AFB<sub>1</sub> hepatocarcinogenesis (Miller and Miller, 1981; Eaton and Gallagher, 1994), data of increased AFB<sub>1</sub>-DNA binding presented in this report (Table 1) suggest that feeding of AIN-76A diet instead of PC diet during initiation may increase the initiation of AFB<sub>1</sub> hepatocarcinogenesis in the rat.

AFB<sub>1</sub> is metabolized *via* cytochrome P-450 enzyme system not only to active AFB<sub>1</sub>-epoxide but also to noncarcinogenic ring-hydroxylated metabolites (Eaton and Gallagher, 1984). Various diets have been shown to affect the levels and activities of P-450 enzymes (Yang *et al.*, 1992). In the present study, however, data on hepatic P-450 levels and microsome-mediated AFB<sub>1</sub>-DNA binding to exogenous DNA suggest that microsomal P-450 system cannot account for differences in AFB<sub>1</sub>-DNA binding observed *in vivo* (Table 1).

Hepatic cytosolic enzymes such as GSTs and AFAR also play an important role in modulation of AFB<sub>1</sub>-DNA binding (Eaton and Gallagher, 1994; Manson *et al.*, 1997). Various cruciferous vegetables, antioxidants and PC diet have been shown to induce hepatic GSTs and AFAR in rodents (Salbe and Bjeldanes, 1989; Wattenberg, 1992; Manson *et al.*, 1997). In the present study, there was 40% more hepatic GST activity in animals fed PC diet compared to those fed AIN-76A diet (Table 2). This may account for less hepatic AFB<sub>1</sub>-DNA binding observed *in vivo* studies in animals fed PC diet compared to those fed AIN-76A diet (Table 1) and are compatible with less hepatic AFB<sub>1</sub>-DNA binding observed in rats given dietary Brussel sprouts which induce GST activity (Salbe and Bjeldanes, 1989). Concomitant analysis of AFB<sub>1</sub>-GSH conjugate in bile as shown earlier (Jhee *et al.*, 1989), could have provided direct evidence for the involvement of GSTs in modulation of AFB<sub>1</sub>-DNA binding *in vivo* studies. Similarly, analysis of hepatic cytosolic inhibition of microsome-mediated AFB<sub>1</sub>-DNA binding to exogenous DNA with the formation of AFB<sub>1</sub>-GSH conjugate (Raj *et al.*, 1984) or direct assay of AFB<sub>1</sub>-GSH conjugate with hepatic cytosols and synthetic AFB<sub>1</sub>-epoxide as a substrate as reported previously (Gopalan *et al.*, 1992; Chen *et al.*, 1995) may have implicated GSTs in modulation of AFB<sub>1</sub>-DNA binding.

Hepatic GSH plays an essential role for the activity of GSTs. It has been shown earlier that in addition to modulation of GSTs activity, modulation of hepatic GSH levels by GSH depleting agents increased hepatic AFB<sub>1</sub>-DNA binding in rodents (Gopalan *et al.*, 1994). However, in the present study since hepatic GSH levels did not change significantly (Table 1), it appears that hepatic GSH levels in both groups did not play any significant role in modulation of AFB<sub>1</sub>-

DNA binding.

It has been demonstrated that rats fed various antioxidants in their diets induce hepatic AFAR activity that inactivates AFB<sub>1</sub>-epoxide (Manson *et al.*, 1997). Thus, there is a possibility that PC or AIN-76A diet could have shown differences in AFAR activity that may have accounted for differences in AFB<sub>1</sub>-DNA binding *in vivo*.

We and others have shown that acute response of animals toward rather high levels of AFB<sub>1</sub> *via i.p.* administration are relatable to the biological responses of extended cumulative low dose 1.6 ppm AFB<sub>1</sub> that is likely to occur in the contaminated food consumption (Hiruma *et al.*, 1997 and references therein). In addition, such a single high *i.p.* dose used in the present study enables one to study the carcinogenic process in a relatively shorter time (10 wks compared to 50-70 wks). Recent studies from our laboratory with AFB<sub>1</sub> (Gopalan *et al.*, 1993; Hiruma *et al.*, 1997) have lent support to the hypothesis that early GST-P positive hepatocytes induced by diethylnitrosamine are the precursors for neoplastic foci and nodules (Satoh *et al.*, 1989; Dragen *et al.*, 1994). The present data suggest that AIN-76A diet fed during the promotion phase enhanced the promotion of AFB<sub>1</sub> hepatocarcinogenesis in the rat. In the present study, dietary PB induced the number and area of AFB<sub>1</sub>-induced hepatic foci several fold with both AIN-76A and PC diets similar to those observed earlier with diethylnitrosamine-induced hepatic foci in rats (Russell *et al.*, 1987). PB has been shown not only to stimulate the proliferation of phenotypically altered hepatocytes *in vivo* but also to inhibit apoptosis of these altered cells (Schulte-Hermann *et al.*, 1990; Warner and Schrenk, 1996; Pitot, 1998). The higher number and area of AFB<sub>1</sub>-induced hepatic foci in rats fed AIN-76A diet compared to PC diet observed in the present study are in accord with previous studies performed with diethylnitrosamine-induced hepatic foci in rats (Russell *et al.*, 1987; Hendrich *et al.*, 1988). Similar dietary modulations of azaserine-induced pancreatic cancer and nitrosamine-induced lung tumors in rodents have been demonstrated with AIN-76A and PC diets (Longnecker *et al.*, 1981; Hecht *et al.*, 1989).

The presence of high sucrose in AIN-76A diet has been implicated as a promoting factor in altered hepatic foci induction in diethylnitrosamine hepatocarcinogenesis in rats; in those studies, the selective enhancement of proliferation in enzyme altered foci was also observed (Hei and Sudilovsky, 1985). Dietary fructose has been demonstrated to enhance N-nitrosomorpholine-induced preneoplastic hepatic lesions and hepatocarcinogenesis in rats by affecting predominantly carbohydrate metabolism (Enzmann *et al.*, 1989). In the present study, sucrose and possibly its intestinal hydrolysis product, fructose may also be

responsible for the promotion of AFB<sub>1</sub>-induced hepatic foci in rats.

In summary, the present data indicate that feeding of PC diet compared to AIN-76A diet inhibits the initiation phase whereas AIN-76A diet stimulates the promotion phase of AFB<sub>1</sub> hepatocarcinogenesis in rats by inhibiting AFB<sub>1</sub>-DNA binding and increasing AFB<sub>1</sub>-induced hepatic foci respectively.

Molecular mechanisms of dietary effects on initiation and promotion phases of AFB<sub>1</sub> hepatocarcinogenesis in rats need further investigation.

### Acknowledgment

We are indebted to Faye Lotlikar for the excellent assistance in preparing and editing of this manuscript. Rat GST-P antibody in rabbit was kindly provided by Dr. Kiyomi Sato's laboratory in Hirosaki, Japan. This research was supported by USPHS grant R01 CA 31641 awarded to PDL by the National Cancer Institute, Department of Health and Human Services.

### References

Appleton BS, Campbell TC. Effect of high and low dietary protein on the dosing and post dosing periods of aflatoxin B<sub>1</sub>-induced hepatic preneoplastic lesion development in the rat. *Cancer Res* 1983;43:2150-4

Baldwin S, Parker RS. Influence of dietary fat and selenium in initiation and promotion of aflatoxin B<sub>1</sub>-induced preneoplastic foci in rat liver. *Carcinogenesis* 1987;8:101-7

Burton KA. A study of the conditions and mechanism of the diphenylamine reaction for the colorimetric estimation of deoxyribonucleic acid. *Biochem J* 1956;62:315-23

Chen W, Nichols J, Zhou Y, Chung KT, Hart RW, Chou MW. Effect of dietary restriction on glutathione S-transferase activity toward aflatoxin B<sub>1</sub>-8,9-epoxide. *Toxicol Lett* 1995; 78:235-43

Chou MW, Kong J, Chung KT, Hart RW. Effect of caloric restriction on the metabolic activation of xenobiotics. *Mutat Res* 1993;295:223-35

Daoud AH, Irving CC. Methylation of DNA in rat liver and intestine by dimethylnitrosamine and N-methylnitrosourea. *Chem Biol Interact* 1977;167:135-43

Dragen YP, Campbell HA, Baker K, Vaughn J, Mass M, Pitot HP. Focal and non-focal hepatic expression of placental glutathione S-transferase in carcinogen-treated rats. *Carcinogenesis* 1994;15:2587-91

Eaton DL, Gallagher EP. Mechanisms of aflatoxin carcinogenesis. *Annu Rev Pharmacol Toxicol* 1994;34:135-72

Ellman GL. Tissue sulfhydryl groups. *Arch Biochem Biophys* 1959;82:70-7

Enzmann H, Ohlhauser D, Dettler T, Bannasch P. Enhancement of hepatocarcinogenesis in rats by dietary fructose. *Carcinogenesis* 1989;10:1247-52

Gopalan-Kriczky P, Hiruma S, Lotlikar PD. Effect of glutathione levels on aflatoxin B<sub>1</sub>-DNA binding in livers and kidneys of male rats and hamsters pretreated with buthionine sulfoximine and diethylmaleate. *Cancer Lett* 1994;76:25-30

Gopalan P, Jensen DE, Lotlikar PD. Glutathione conjugation of microsome-mediated and synthetic aflatoxin B<sub>1</sub>-8,9-oxide by purified glutathione S-transferases from rats. *Cancer Lett* 1992;64:225-33

Gopalan P, Tsuji K, Lehmann K, Kimura M, Shinozuka H, Sato K, Lotlikar PD. Modulation of aflatoxin B<sub>1</sub>-induced glutathione S-transferase placental form-positive hepatic foci by pretreatment of rats with phenobarbital and buthionine sulfoximine. *Carcinogenesis* 1993;14:1469-70

Graham RC Jr, Karnofsky MJ. The early stage of absorption of injected horseradish peroxidase in the proximal convoluted tubules of mouse kidney: ultrastructural cytochemistry by a new technique. *J Histochem Cytochem* 1966;14:291-302

Habig WH, Jakoby WB. Assays for differentiation of glutathione S-transferases. *Methods Enzymol* 1981;77:398-405

Hecht SS, Morse MA, Amin S, Stoner GD, Jordan KG, Choi CI, Chung FL. Rapid single-dose model for lung tumor induction in A/J mice by 4-(methylnitrosoamino)-1-(3-pyridyl) 1-butanone and the effect of diet. *Carcinogenesis* 1989;10: 1901-4

Hei TK, Sudilovsky O. Effects of high-sucrose diet on the development of enzyme-altered foci in chemical hepatocarcinogenesis in rats. *Cancer Res* 1985;45:2700-5

Hendrich S, Glauert HP, Pitot HC. Dietary effects on initiation and promotion of hepatocarcinogenesis in the rat. *J Cancer Res Clin Oncol* 1988;114:149-57

Hiruma S, Kimura M, Lehmann K, Gopalan-Kriczky P, Qin GZ, Shinozuka H, Sato K, Lotlikar PD. Potentiation of aflatoxin B<sub>1</sub>-induced hepatocarcinogenesis in the rat by pretreatment with buthionine sulfoximine. *Cancer Lett* 1997;113: 103-9

Hsu SM, Raine L, Fanger H. Use of avidin-biotin-peroxidase complex (ABC) in immunoperoxidase techniques: a comparison between ABC and unlabeled antibody procedures. *J Histochem Cytochem* 1981;29:577-80

Jhee EC, Ho LL, Tsuji K, Gopalan P, Lotlikar PD. Effect of butylated hydroxyanisole pretreatment on aflatoxin B<sub>1</sub>-DNA binding and aflatoxin B<sub>1</sub>-glutathione conjugation in isolated hepatocytes from rats. *Cancer Res* 1989;49:1357-60

Longnecker DS, Roebuck BD, Yager JD, Lilja HS, Siegmund B. Pancreatic carcinoma in azaserine treated rats. Induction, classification and dietary modulation of incidence. *Cancer* 1981;47:1562-72

Lotlikar PD, Raj HG, Bohm LS, Ho LL, Jhee, EC, Tsuji K, Gopalan P. A mechanism of inhibition of aflatoxin B<sub>1</sub>-DNA binding in the liver by phenobarbital pretreatment of rats. *Cancer Res* 1989;49:951-7

Lowry OH, Rosebrough NJ, Farr AL, Randall RJ. Protein measurement with Folin phenol reagent. *J Biol Chem* 1951; 193:265-75

Manson MM, Ball HWI, Barrett MC, Clark HL, Judah DJ, Williamson G, Neal GF. Mechanism of action of dietary chemopreventive agents in rat liver: induction of phase I and

- II drug metabolizing enzymes and aflatoxin B<sub>1</sub> metabolism. *Carcinogenesis* 1997;18:1729-38
- Miller EC, Miller JA. Searches for ultimate chemical carcinogens and their reactions with cellular macromolecules. *Cancer* 1981;47:2327-45
- Newberne PM, Butler WH. Acute and chronic effects of aflatoxin on the liver of domestic and laboratory animals: a review. *Cancer Res* 1969;29:236-50
- Omura T, Sato R. The carbon monoxide-binding pigment of liver microsomes. I. Evidence for its hemoprotein. *J Biol Chem* 1964;239:2370-78
- Pariza MW, Boutwell RK. Historical perspective: caloric and energy expenditure in carcinogenesis. *Am J Clin Nutr* 1987;45:151-6
- Pitot HC. Hepatocyte death in hepatocarcinogenesis. *Hepatology* 1998;28:1-5
- Raj HG, Clearfield MS, Lotlikar PD. Comparative kinetic studies on aflatoxin B<sub>1</sub>-DNA binding and aflatoxin B<sub>1</sub>-glutathione conjugation with rat and hamster livers *in vitro*. *Carcinogenesis* 1984;5:879-84
- Rogers AE, Newberne PM. Aflatoxin B<sub>1</sub> carcinogenesis in lipotrope-deficient rats. *Cancer Res* 1969;29:1965-72
- Rogers AE, Newberne PM. Dietary effects on chemical carcinogenesis in animal models for colon and liver tumors. *Cancer Res* 1975;35:3427-31
- Russell JJ, Staffeldt EF, Wright BJ, Prapuolenis A, Carnes BA, Peraino C. Effects of rat strain, diet composition, and phenobarbital on  $\gamma$ -glutamyl transpeptidase histochemistry and on the induction of altered hepatocyte foci and tumors by diethylnitrosamine. *Cancer Res* 1987;47:1130-4
- Salbe AD, Bjeldanes LF. Effect of diet and route of administration on the DNA binding of aflatoxin B<sub>1</sub> in the rat. *Carcinogenesis* 1989;10:629-34
- Satoh K, Hatayama I, Tateoko N, Tamai K, Shimizu T, Tatematsu M, Ito N, Sato K. Transient induction of single GST-P-positive hepatocytes by DEN. *Carcinogenesis* 1989;10:2107-11
- Schulte-Hermann R, Timmermann-Trosiener I, Barthel G, Bursch W. DNA synthesis, apoptosis, and phenotypic expression as determinants of growth of altered foci in rat liver during phenobarbital promotion. *Cancer Res* 1990;50:5127-35
- Second report of the Ad Hoc Committee on Standards for Nutritional Studies. *J Nutr* 1980;100:1726
- Sugie S, Tanaka T, Mori H, Reddy BS. Effect of restricted calorie intake on the development of azoxymethane-induced glutathione S-transferase placental form positive hepatocellular foci in male F344 rats. *Cancer Lett* 1993;68:67-73
- Warner W, Schrenk D. Influence of liver tumor promoters on apoptosis in rat hepatocytes induced by 2-acetylaminofluorene, ultraviolet light or transforming growth factor  $\beta$ . *Cancer Res* 1996;56:1272-8
- Wattenberg LW. Inhibition of carcinogenesis by minor dietary constituents. *Cancer Res Suppl* 1992;52:2085s-91s
- Wogan GN. Aflatoxins as risk factors for hepatocellular carcinoma in humans. *Cancer Res Suppl* 1992;52:2114s-8s
- Yang CS, Brady JF, Hong JY. Dietary effects on cytochromes P-450, xenobiotic metabolism and toxicity. *FASEB J* 1992;6:737-44