Acetyl-CoA carboxylase β expression mediated by MyoD and muscle regulatory factor 4 is differentially affected by retinoic acid receptor and retinoid X receptor

Ju-Youn Kim^{1,2}, Jae-Jung Lee¹ and Kyung-Sup Kim^{1,3}

¹Department of Biochemistry and Molecular Biology ²Brain Korea 21 Project for Medical Science Yonsei University College of Medicine Seoul 120-752, Korea ³Corresponding author: Tel, 82-2-361-5184;

Fax, 82-2-312-5041; E-mail, kyungsup59@yumc.yonsei.ac.kr

Accepted 10 January 2003

Abbreviations: ACC, acetyl-CoA carboxylase; CPT-1, carnitine palmitoyl-CoA transferase-1; MRF, muscle regulatory factors; RAR, retinoic acid receptor; RXR, retinoid X receptor

Abstract

Mammals have two major isoforms of acetyl-CoA carboxvase (ACC). The 275 kDa β-form (ACCβ) is predominantly in heart and skeletal muscle while the 265 kDa α -form (ACC α) is the major isoform in lipogenic tissues such as liver and adipose tissue. ACCB is thought to control fatty acid oxidation by means of the ability of malonyl-CoA to inhibit carnitine palmitoyl-CoA transferase-1 (CPT-1), which is a rate-limiting enzyme of fatty acid oxidation in mitochondria. Previously, it was reported that MyoD and other muscle regulating factors (MRFs) up-regulate the expression of ACCβ by interactions between these factors and several cis-elements of ACCβ promoter. We described here that ACCB expression mediated by MRFs is regulated by retinoic acids. Endogenous expression of ACCB in differentiated H9C2 mvotube was significantly increased by retinoic acid treatment. However, on transient transfection assay in H9C2 myoblast, ACCβ promoter activity was suppressed by RXR α and more severely by RAR α . These effects on ACCβ expression in myoblasts and myotubes by RXR α and RAR α seem to be mediated by their interactions with MRFs because no consensus sequence for RXR α and RAR α has been found in ACCB promoter and retinoic acid receptors did not affect this promoter activities by itself. In transient transfection in NIH3T3 fibroblast, the activation of ACCB promoter by MyoD, main MRF

in myoblast, was significantly suppressed by RAR α and to a less extent by RXR α while the RXR α drastically augmented the activation by MRF4, major MRF in myotube. These results explained that retinoic acids differentially affected the action of MRFs according to their types and RXR α specially elevates the expression of muscle specific genes by stimulating the action of MRF4.

Keywords: acetyl-CoA carboxylase; muscles; MyoD protein; receptors, retinoic acid; retinoids; transcription factors

Introduction

Acetyl-CoA carboxylase (ACC) catalyzes the carboxylation of acetyl-CoA to form malonyl-CoA. Mammals have two major isoforms of ACC. ACC α is expressed in lipogenic tissues such as adipose tissue and liver (Lopez-casillas et al., 1988). Its product malonyl-CoA is two carbon donor for fatty acid synthesis and its availability is rate limiting factor in fatty acid synthesis. In contrast, ACCB is predominantly expressed in skeletal muscle and heart (Thampy, 1989; Bianchi et al., 1990). ACCβ is known to control fatty acid oxidation by regulating malonyl-CoA levels around mitochondria. Compared with α -isoform, ACC β has additional hydrophobic N-terminus which contributes to the interaction with outer membrane of mitochondria. Moreover, there are many specific serine residues to be phosphorylated in response to various hormonal signals. Malonyl-CoA plays a critical role in controlling the activities of carnitine palmitoyl-CoA transferase 1 (CPT-1), the rate-limiting enzyme in fatty acid uptake and oxidation by mitochondria (Cook, 1984; Mcgarry et al., 1989; Winz et al., 1994). This function of ACCβ in muscle contributes to maintaining adult muscle phenotype and controlling energy homeosatsis.

Myogenesis is regulated by a family of four muscle regulatory transcription factors such as MyoD (Davis et al., 1987), myogenin (Wright et al., 1989), Myf-5 (Braun et al., 1989), and muscle regulatory factor 4 (MRF4) (Braun et al., 1990; Jeffrey et al., 1990) that share a common dimerization motif, DNA-binding domain and the basic helix-loop-helix motif (Lassar et al., 1989). Muscle regulatory transcription factors bind to a consensus sequence, E-box, located in target gene promoter and activate the transcription of the

target genes. They affect muscle gene transcriptions in forms of either homodimer or heterodimer with Eprotein (Murre et al., 1989; Lassar et al., 1991). Although these factors contain the common motif, each of these factors activates the specific target genes inducing normal muscle development and maintaining normal muscle phenotype. Therefore, the ordered expression of these factors during myogenesis controls the temporal and spatial expression patterns of muscle specific genes, properly. MyoD and Myf5 can be considered as determining factor of myogenic lineages. Cultured myoblast cell lines express MyoD mRNA, Myf-5 mRNA (Ott et al., 1991) whereas myogenin mRNA is expressed upon myotube fusion and MRF4 plays major role in high expression of muscle gene in fully differentiated myotube (Bober et al., 1991; Smith et al., 1994; Megency and Rudnicki,1995). Previously, it has been shown that MyoD and MRF4 are able to enhance promoter activity of ACCβ (Lee et al., 2001). It was also previously reported that induction of ACCB accompanies the differentiation of H9C2 cells into myocytes and the antisense RNA to ACCB mRNA inhibits muscle differentiation (Lee and Kim, 1999).

Retinoic acids have been known as potential inducer of cell growth arrest and differentiation (De Luca, 1991). These receptors act as transcriptional activators by binding as heterodimer or homodimer to specific nucleotide sequence of target genes. It was reported to interact physically muscle b-HLH protein and retinoic acid receptors (Solanes et al., 2000). The interaction of retinoic acid receptors and myogenic b-HLH proteins is important for the execution of retinoid induced myogenic differentiation (Froeschle et al., 1998).

In this study, we introduced that the transcriptional activities of MyoD or MRF4 which regulates ACC β promoter activity might be regulated by retinoic acids during muscle differentiation.

Materials and Methods

Materials

9-cis-Retinoic acid and all-trans-retinoic acid was purchased from Sigma Aldrich (St. Louis, MO). The concentrations of their stock solutions were adjusted to 1 mM in dimethyl sulfoxide. H9C2 and NIH3T3 cell lines were obtained from the American Type Culture Collection (ATCC, Rockville, MD). DMEM, DMEM-F12 media, FBS, horse serum, antibiotics, and Lipofect-AMINE Plus kit were purchased from Invitrogen (Int. Antwoordnummer, VT Groningen, Nederland). Polyvinylidene fluoride (PVDF) membrane and enhanced chemiluminescence (ECL plus) system was purchased from Amershame (Uppsala, Sweden). Luciferase assay

kit and reporter lysis buffer were purchased from Promega (Medison, WI).

Cell culture

H9C2 Cells were maintained in DMEM-F12 medium supplemented with 10% (v/v) FBS and 100 unit/ml penicillin G sodium, 100 mg/ml streptomycin sulfate and 250 ng/ml amphotericin B in 5% CO2 at 37°C. The differentiation medium for H9C2 cell differentiation was DMEM-F12 supplemented with 2% (v/v) horse serum and 100 unit/ml penicillin G sodium, 100 mg/ml streptomycin sulfate and 250 ng/ml amphotericin B. H9C2 cells at confluent state were differentiated into myotubes by cultivation in differentiation medium for 6 days. NIH3T3 cells were maintained in DMEM medium with 10% (v/v) fetal bovine serum and 100 unit/ml penicillin G sodium, 100 $\mu g/ml$ streptomycin sulfate and 250 ng/ml amphotericin B.

Transient transfection

Cells used in this experiment were maintained as monolayer culture and grown in appropriate media. Plasmid DNAs were prepared using plasmid midi kits (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany). Concentration of all plasmids was adjusted to 100 ng/µl and confirmed in 1% agarose gel before transfection. Cells were plated in six-well tissue culture plate at a density of 2×10^5 cells/well in 2 ml of media. After 24 h attachment period of plated cells, transfections were performed with LipofectAMINE and Plus reagent. According to the manufacturer's protocol, 500 ng of each construct of pPIIβ-1317/+17-Luc, pE-box-tk-Luc, and p6X(+8/+27)tk-Luc, 100 ng of pCMV β-gal, 200 ng of pcDNA3-MyoD or pcDNA3-MRF4, and 100 ng of pCMX-RXRα or pCMX-RAR α were mixed. 4 μ l of plus reagent diluted in 100 µl of media lacking serum and antibiotics was mixed, and then these Plus reagent mixtures were added to DNA mixture. After 15 min, Lipofect-AMINE mixtures which were prepared by mix 2 µl of LipofectAMINE and 100 μ l of media lacking serum and antibiotics were added to Plus reagent mixture following further incubation for 15 min. Also, cells plated in six-well tissue culture plate were washed by phosphate buffered saline (PBS) and replaced by 800 μl media lacking serum and antibiotics. After 15 min, the mixtures of DNA, LipofectAMINE and Plus reagent were added in each well. After 3 h, the medium containing the DNA, LipofectAMINE and Plus reagent complex was removed and replaced by appropriated media containing serum and antibiotics. Cells were then further cultured for 24 h and treated by 9-cisretinoic acid or all-trans-retinoic acid of concentration of 1 μ M for 48 h.

Luciferase and β -galactosidase assay

Transfected cells were harvested in 200 ul of reporter lysis buffer. The lysed cells were centrifuged to remove cell debris and supernatant was collected. Luciferase activities in lysates were measured, using Luciferase assay kit. For β -galactosidase assay, 20 μ l of cell lysates were mixed with 180 µl of assay reagent composed of 3.2 mg of 0-nitrophenol-\(\beta\)-D-galactopyranoside, 4 ml of 0.1 M sodium phosphate buffer adjusting pH 7.5, 40 µl of 100× Mg solution (0.1 M MgCl₂, 4.5 M β -mercaptoethanol) and absorbance change 420 nm for 1 min at 37°C was measured in microplate spectrometer. Luciferase activities were expressed as the values normalized by β -galactosidase activity. Each experiment was performed in triplicate.

Western blotting

Cells in 10-cm plates were washed twice with cold PBS. 400 µl of digitonin buffer 1 M Tris-HCl (pH 7.5), 0.5 M EDTA (pH 8.0), 0.25% sucrose, 1.5 mM PMSF, 100 ma/ml digitonin. 10 mM sodium fluoride were directly added to culture dish on ice. The diffusate was collected and cell debris was removed by centrifugation. The proteins in diffusate were separated on a 5% SDS-PAGE and transferred to a PVDF membrane. ACCB protein band was detected using streptavidine-HRP conjugate and by ECL plus.

Statistical analysis

All transfection studies were performed in three to five separate experiments, where triplicate dishes were used. The data were represented as mean \pm SD. Statistical analysis was carried out using Excel (Mi-




Figure 1. Increased expression of ACCβ by retinoids in H9C2 myotubes. H9C2 cells were almost completely differentiated into myotubes by incubation in differentiation medium for 6 days as described in Materials and Methods. Differentiated myotubes were treated with dimethyl sulfoxide (lane 1), 1 µM all-trans-retinoic acid (lane 2), or 1 μM 9-cis-retinoic acid (lane 3) for 24 h. Thirty μg of protein of cellular diffusate were subjected to Western blot analysis using streptavidin-HRP conjugate. The bands for ACC β and pyruvate carboxylase (PC) were indicated as arrows.

crosoft, Redmond, WA).

Results

ACCB induction by retinoids in H9C2 myotubes

H9C2 cells are rat heart myoblast cells. H9C2 cells do not express ACCB protein in state of myoblast. But when H9C2 myoblast is fully differentiated into myotube, ACCβ is actively expressed (Figure 1, lane 1). When we treated all-trans or 9-cis-retinoic acid to completely differentiated H9C2 myotubes, ACCB expressions were remarkably increased (Figure 1, lane 2 and 3), whereas the levels of pyruvate carboxylase were not changed. These results imply that retinoic acids enhance $ACC\beta$ expression in myotubes.

Suppression of ACCB promoter by retinoid receptors

Even if ACCB is not expressed, ACCB promoter activity is very high in H9C2 myoblast when compared with those in fibroblast or hepatoma cell lines. This is probably due to the presence of MRFs in H9C2 myoblasts. We tested how the retinoid receptors affect the promoter activity in H9C2 myoblasts. Unexpectedly, transient transfection assay shows that ACCB promoter activities were suppressed 38% by RXRa and more severely 65% by RAR α (Figure 2). These results displayed the disagreement of retinoic acid




Figure 2. RXR α or RAR α effects on ACC β promoter in H9C2 cells. ACCβ promoter, pPIIβ-1317/+17-Luc, was transfected into H9C2 myoblast, accompanying with RXR α or RAR α -overexpressing construct. The amount of constructs transfected per each well were as followings: 0.5 μ g of pPII β -1317/+17-Luc, 0.1 μ g of pCMV β -galactosidase and 0.1 µg of overexpression vectors. Twenty four hours after transfection, the media were replaced containing 1 µM of the respective ligand. The luciferase activities were assayed 24 h after ligand treatment and expressed as the values standardized with β -galactosidase activities.


effects on ACCβ promoter in myoblast and myotube.

Effects of retinoids on the induction of ACCβ promoter by MRFs

Sequence analysis could not reveal any retinoid responsive element in ACCB promoter. Overexpresion of RAR α or RXR α with the treatment of their ligands in NIH3T3 fibroblasts did not significantly change the ACCB promoter activities (Figure 3A), suggesting that retinoid receptors affect the ACCB promoter not by their direct DNA-binding but probably by the interactions with MRFs existing in myoblasts or myotubes. The fact that the retinoids and their receptors differentially affected the ACCB promoter activities in myoblasts and myotubes which express different kinds of MRFs, led to test the retinoid actions on transcriptional activities of MyoD and MRF4 which are primary MRF in myoblasts and myotubes, respectively. The activation of ACCβ promoter by MyoD in NIH3T3 cells was significantly suppressed 56% by RAR α but was not affected by RXR α . The overexpression of both RAR α and RXR α also suppressed the MyoD activities to a similar extent as RAR α alone. However, the effects of retinoid receptors were completely different on MRF4-mediated activation of ACCB promoter. The activation of ACCB promoter by MRF4 was significantly increased 332% by RXRa, 95% by RAR α , and 268% by both RAR α and RXR α . The RXR α activated MRF4 activities most effectively. These data conclude that the activities of myogenic determinant MyoD was inhibited by RAR α whereas those RAR α and RXR α markedly increase the activities of MRF4 being expressed in terminally differentiated myotubes.

Retinoid effects on heterologous promoters containing E-boxes or noble MRF-binding elements

It was previously reported that the myogenic regulatory factor-mediated activation of ACCB promoter depends on E-box region from -493 to -403 and one novel cis-element from +17 to +24. MRFs are generally known to bind to E-boxes in a form of heterodimer with E-protein whereas the proximal novel sequence of ACCB promoter was bound by MRF homodimer. To test how the retinoid receptors affect the activation by different quaternary forms of MRF, we used the heterologous promoter containing E-box region or six copy of novel cis-element of ACCβ promoter at upstream of tk minimal promoter. Our data showed that the activation of heterologous promoter through noble cis-element by MyoD is suppressed by $\mathsf{RAR}\alpha$ and activation of heterologous promoter containing E-box region by MRF4 is enhanced by RXR α (Figure 4). However, RAR α did not inhibit activation of E-box containing promoter by MyoD and






Figure 3. Interaction of retinoic acid receptors and muscle specific regulatory factors on ACC β promoter. ACC β promoter construct, pPII β -1317/+17-Luc, was transfected with the constructs expressing β -galactosidase, retinoid receptors, MyoD (A), or MRF4 (B) into NIH3T3 fibroblasts as indicated. Total DNA amounts for transfection were adjusted to 0.9 μg per each well with pcDNA3.0, and the amount of each plasmid is as follows: 0.5 μg of pPII β -1317/+17-Luc construct, 0.1 μg of pCMV β -galactosidase, 0.1 μg of pCMX-RAR α or pCMX-RXR α and 0.2 μg of pcDNA3-MyoD or pcDNA3-MRF4. At 24 h after transfection, the media were replaced, containing 1 μM of the respective ligand. At 48 h after transfection, the luciferase activities were measured and shown as the values.




Figure 4. RXRα enhanced MRF4 effect on E-box region of ACCβ and RARα suppressed MyoD effect on noble cis-element. Reporter constructs, such as pE-box-tk-Luc containing E-box regions (-493/-403) and p6X(+8/+27)-tk-Luc containing six copies of noble cis-element, were transfected with overexpression vectors as indicated into NIH3T3 fibroblasts. Total amounts of DNA for transfection were adjusted to 0.9 μg with pcDNA3.0 and the amount of each plasmid used in transfection is as followings: 0.5 µg of the pE-box-tk-Luc or the p6X(+8/+27)-tk-Luc, 0.1 µg of the pCMV β -galactosidase, 0.2 μ g of the pcDNA3-MRF4 or the pcDNA3-MyoD and 0.1 μ g of the pCMX-RXR α or the pCMX-RAR α . Next day transfection, the cells were treated with 1 µM of the respective ligand for 24 h. The luciferase activities were standardized with β galactosidase

RXRα did not increase transcriptional activation by MRF4 through noble cis-element.

Discussion

Myogenesis is regulated by muscle specific regulating factors such as MyoD, myogenin, Myf5 and MRF4. These regulatory proteins are capable of directly activating expression of skeletal muscle specific genes such as those encoding the contractile proteins. Expression of either Myf5 or MyoD is required for skeletal muscle lineage determination and MRF4 is expressed at high level in adult muscle. MyoD is primary MRF expressed in myoblast stage (Choi et al., 1990; Rudnicki et al., 1993; Atchley et al., 1994), while MRF4 plays a major role in maintaining the high levels of skeletal muscle gene expressions (Hinterberger et al., 1991). Retinoic acids are known as inducer of cell growth arrest and differentiation (De Luca, 1991). Their actions are mediated by two families of ligand-inducible transcriptional factors, the retinoic acid receptor (RAR α , β and γ) and retinoid X receptor (RXR α , β and γ) which are members of the nuclear receptor superfamily (Giguere, 1994; Mangelsdorf and Evans, 1995; Leid et al., 1992). RARs are bound and activated by all-trans retinoic acid (Giguere et al., 1987; Petkovich et al., 1987) whereas RXRs by 9-cis retinoic acid (Heyman et al., 1992; Levin et al., 1992). These retinoic acid receptors also

show the different expressional patterns according to muscle differentiation stages. RAR α is plentiful and $RXR\alpha$ is scarce in myoblast stage while, in contrast, $\mathsf{RXR}\alpha$ is abundant and $\mathsf{RAR}\alpha$ is rare in myotube stage (Downes et al., 1994). In this study, we demonstrated that ACCB transcriptional induction by muscle specific regulating factors is differentially regulated by retinoic acids. When completely differentiated H9C2 myotubes were treated with retinoids, the levels of ACCβ were significantly increased (Figure 1). However, transient transfection assays in myoblasts, where the ACCB promoter is active probably due to the presence of MvoD, showed that ACCB promoter activities were suppressed by RXR α and more severely by RAR α (Figure 2). These data suggested the possibility that retinoid receptors might differentially affect each MRF expressed in stage-specific manner during myogenesis. To check this possibility, the transient transfection assay was performed using NIH3T3 fibroblasts, which do not express any MRF. As expected, the data in NIH3T3 showed that RARα suppressed MyoD-mediated activation of ACCB promoter, but in contrast RXR α rather significantly enhanced MRF4 activities (Figure 3). These effects of retinoid receptors on ACCB promoter were not mediated by their direct interaction with ACCB promoter sequence, because any conserved responsive element for retinoid receptor can not be found in ACCB promoter and retinoic acid receptors by themselves did not activate ACCB promoter at all. The endo-

In summary, the data in present study conclude that RAR α suppressed the transcriptional activities of MyoD homodimer in myoblast, and RXR α elevated the actions of MRF4-E-protein heterodimer in terminally differentiated myotubes.

These data suggest that RXRa preferentially enhan-

ces the activities of heterodimer of MRF4 and E-

protein, and RARa is likely to inhibit the activities of

Acknowledgement

MyoD homodimer.

This work was supported by Korea Reasearch Foundation Grant (KRF-2001-015-FS0003).

References

Atchley WR, Fitch WM, Bronner-Fraser M. Molecular evolution of the MyoD family of transcription factors. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 1994;91:11522-6

Bianchi A, Evans JL, Iverson AJ, Nordlund AC, Watts TD, Witters LA. Identification of an isozymic form of acetyl-CoA carboxylase. J Biol Chem 1990;265:1502-9

Bober E, Lyons GE, Braun T, Cossu G, Buckingham M, Arnold HH. The muscle regulatory gene, Myf-6, has a biphasic pattern of expression during early mouse development. J Cell Biol 1991;113:1255-65

Braun T, Buschhausen-Denker G, Bober E, Tannich E, Arnold HH. A novel human muscle factor related to but distinct from MyoD1 induces myogenic conversion in 10T1/2 fibroblasts. EMBO J 1989;8:701-9

Braun T, Bober E, Winter B, Rosenthal N, Arnold HH. Myf-6, a new member of the human gene family of myogenic determination factors: evidence for a gene cluster on chromosome 12. EMBO J 1990;9:821-31

Choi J, Costa ML, Mermelstein CS, Chagas C, Holtzer S, Holtzer H. MyoD converts primary dermal fibroblasts, chondroblasts, smooth muscle, and retinal pigmented epithelial cells into striated mononucleated myoblasts and multinucleated myotubes. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 1990;87:7988-92

Cook GA. Differences in the sensitivity of carnitine palmitoyltransferase to inhibition by malonyl-CoA are due to difference in Ki values. J Biol Chem 1984;259:12030-3

Davis RL, Weintraub H, Lassar AB. Expression of a single transfected cDNA converts fibroblasts to myoblasts. Cell 1987;51:987-1000

De Luca LM. Retinoids and their receptors in differentiation, embryogenesis and neoplasia. FASEB J 19915:2924-33

Downes M, Mynett-Johnson L, Muscat GEO. The retinoic acid and retinoid X receptors are differentially expressed during myoblast differentiation. Endocrinology 1994;134:2658-61

Froeschle A, Alric S, Kitzmann M, Carnac G, Aurade F, Rochette-Egly C, Bonnieu A. Retinoic acid receptors and muscle b-HLH proteins: partners in retinoid-induced myogenesis. Oncogene 1998;16:3369-78

Giguere V, Ong ES, Segui P, Evans RM. Identification of a receptor for the morphogen retinoic acid. Nature 1987; 330:624-9

Giguere V. Retinoic acid receptors and cellular retinoid binding proteins: complex interplay in retinoid signaling. Endocrine Rev 1994;15:61-79

Heyman RA, Mangelsdorf DJ, Dyck JA, Stein RB, Eichele G, Evans RM, Thaller C. 9-cis retinoic acid is a high affinity ligand for the retinoid X receptor. Cell 1992;68:397-406

Hinterberger TJ, Sassoon DA, Rhodes S, Konieczny S. Expression of the muscle regulatory factor MRF4 during somite and skeletal myofiber development. Dev Biol 1991;147:144-56

Jeffrey H, Wold M, Wold B. Herculin, a fourth member of the MyoD family of myogenic regulatory genes. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 1990;87:1089-93

Lassar AB, Buskin JN, Lockshon D, Davis RL, Apone S, Hauschka SD, Weintraub H. MyoD is a sequence-specific DNA binding protein requiring a region of myc homology to

bind to the muscle creatine kinase enhancer. Cell 1989;58: 823-31

Lassar AB, Davis RL, Wright WE, Kadesch T, Murre C, Voronova A, Baltimore D, Weintraub H. Functional activity of myogenic HLH proteins requires hetero-oligomerization with E12/E47-like proteins in vitro. Cell 1991;66:305-15

Lopez-casillas F, Bai DH, Luo X, Kong IS, Hermodson MA, Kim KH. Structure of the coding sequence and primary amino acid sequence of acetyl-coenzyme A carboxylase. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 1988;85:5784-8

Lee JK, Kim KH. Roles of acetyl CoA carboxylase β in muscle cell differentiation and inmitochondrial fatty acid oxidation. Biochem Biophys Res Commun 1999;254:657-60

Lee JJ, Moon YA, Ha JH, Yoon DJ, Ahn YH, Kim KS. Cloning of human acetyl-CoA carboxylase promoter and its regulation by muscle regulatory factor. J Biol Chem 2001; 276:2576-85

Leid M, Kastner P, Iyons R, Nakshatri H, Saunders M, zacharewski T, Chen JY, Staub A, Garnier JM, Mader S, Chambon P. Purification, cloning and RXR identity of the Hela cell factor with which RAR or TR heterodimerizes to bind target sequences efficiently. Cell 1992;68:377-95

Levin AA. Sturzenbecker LJ. Kazmer S. Bosakowski T. Huselton C, Allenby G, Speck J, Kratzeisen C, Rosenbenger M, Lovey A. 9-cis retinoic acid stereoisomer binds and activates the nuclear receptor RXRa. Nature 1992;355:359-

Mangelsdorf D, Evans RM. The RXR heterodimers and orphan receptors. Cell 1995;83:841-50

Mcgarry JD, Woeltje KF, Kuwajima M, Foster DW. Regulation of ketogenesis and the renaissance of carnitine palmitoyltransferase. Diabetes Metab Rev 1989;5:271-84

Megency LA, Rudnicki MA. Determination versus differentiation and the MyoD family of transcription factors. Biochem Cell Biol 1995;73:723-32

Murre C, McCaw PS, Vaessin H, Caudy M, Jan LY, Jan YN, Cabrera CV, Buskin JN, Hauschka SD, Lassar AB, Weintraub H, Baltimore D. Interactions between heterologous Helix-Loop-Helix proteins generate complexes that bind specifically to a common DNA sequence. Cell 1989;58:537-

Ott MO, Bober E, Lyons G, Arnold H, Buckingham M. Early expression of the myogenic regulatory gene, myf-5, in precursor cells of skeletal muscle in the mouse embryo. Development 1991;111:1097-107

Petkovich M, Brand NJ, Krust A, Chambon P. A human retinoic acid receptor which belongs to the family of nuclear receptors. Nature 1987;330;444-50

Rudnicki MA, Schnegelsberg PNJ, Stead RH, Braun T, Arnold HH, Jaenisch R. MyoD or Myf-5 is required for formation of skeletal muscle. Cell 1993;75:1351-9

Smith TH, Kachinsky AM, Miller JB. Somite subdomains. muscle cell origins, and the four muscle regulatory factor proteins. J Cell Biol 1994;127:95-105

Solanes G, Pedraza N, Iglesias R, Giralt M, Villarroya F. The human uncoupling protein-3 gene promoter requires MyoD and is induced by retinoic acid in muscle cells. FASEB J 2000;14:2141-3

Thampy KG. Formation of malonyl Coenzyme A in rat heart. J Biol Chem 1989;264:17631-4

Winz R, Hess D, Aebersold R, brownsey RW. Unique structural features and diffrential phosphorylation of the 280-kDa component (Isozyme) of rat liver acetyl-CoA carboxylase. J Biol Chem 1994;269:14438-45

Wright WE, Sassoon DA, Lin VK. Myogenin, a factor regulating myogenesis, has a domain homologous to MyoD. Cell 1989;56:607-17