# Differential expression of two stress-inducible *hsp*70 genes by various stressors

### Jae-Seon Lee and Jeong-Sun Seo<sup>1</sup>

Department of Biochemistry and Molecular Biology, and ILCHUN Molecular Medicine Institute MRC, Seoul National University College of Medicine, Seoul 110-799, Korea

<sup>1</sup>corresponding author: Tel, +82-2-740-8246;

Fax, +82-2-741-5423; E-mail, jeongsun@snu.ac.kr

Accepted 6 May 2002

## Abstract

Inducible HSP70s encoded by two almost identical hsp70 genes, known as hsp70.1 and hsp70.3 are located in a tandem array on mouse chromosome 17. Duplication of this gene has been found in various higher organisms. However, the role of two almost identical hsp70 genes is still unclear. To elucidate the ambiguity of expression pattern between hsp70.1 and hsp70.3, we investigated the induction of each hsp70 isoforms by several HSP70-inducible stressors in wild type (hsp70.1+/+ and hsp70.3+/+) and the hsp70.1-/- (hsp70.1-/- and hsp70.3+/+) murine embryo fibroblast (MEF) cells, and the M-1 mouse cortical collecting duct cell line. Each induced hsp70 isoforms by heat shock were very similar at transcriptional and translational levels in wild type and hsp70.1-/- MEF cells. The mRNA stabilities of both hsp70.1 and hsp70.3, even in two kinds of hsp70.3 transcripts, were also very similar. L-azetidine-2-carboxylic acid, sodium arsenite, CdCl<sub>2</sub> and ZnCl<sub>2</sub> caused induction of both isoforms of the hsp70 genes, even though their expression levels were variable. NaCl caused induction of just hsp70.1 gene expression. H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> failed to induce the expression of either hsp70 genes in MEF cells, caused induction of hsp70.1 gene expression in the M-1 cell line. Hsp70 accumulation by H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> and NaCl treatment was mainly due to hsp70.1 expression. Our studies demonstrated that two hsp70 genes respond differentially to types of stress.

Keywords: hsp70.1, hsp70.3, induction, MEF cells, stressor

### Introduction

The 70 kDa heat shock proteins (HSPs) are one of the

most conserved proteins from bacteria to human (Schuh et al., 1985; Tanaka et al., 1988). Whereas HSC70 is constitutively expressed. HSP70 can be induced by various stresses such as hyperthermia, oxidative stress, heavy metals and amino acid analogues (Morimoto et al., 1992; Abe et al., 1998; Fukamachi et al., 1998; Wagner et al., 1999). HSP70 has an important function as a molecular chaperone for the folding, transport and assembly of newly synthesized polypeptides (Hartl, 1996). Moreover, it has been reported to protect cells from a number of apoptotic stimuli (Jäättelä et al., 1992; Mosser and Martin, 1992; Mailhos et al., 1993; Simon et al., 1995; Samali and Cotter, 1996; Ahn et al., 1999; Beere et al., 2000; Li et al., 2000; Saleh et al., 2000). HSP70 is encoded by two major stress-inducible hsp70 genes, hsp70.1 and hsp70.3 which are located in a tandem array on the major histocompatibility complex (MHC) region of the mouse chromosome 17 (Hunt et al., 1993; Snoek et al., 1993). Linkage between MHC and inducible *hsp*70 genes has been shown in many species including rat and human (Walter et al., 1994). Because the two mouse HSP70 isoforms are nearly identical to each other, differing only at two amino acids residues, they cannot be distinguished even by two dimensional SDS-PAGE (Dix et al., 1998), and their expression patterns and distinguishable functions are still unknown.

In this study, to resolve ambiguity of expression pattern between hsp70.1 and hsp70.3, we investigated the induction profile of hsp70.1 and hsp70.3 at the protein level, and monitored their mRNAs with hsp70.1 and hsp70.3 specific probes, in hsp70.1+/+ and hsp70.1-/- MEF cells and in the M-1 mouse cortical collecting duct cell line by various stressors including heat shock, heavy metals, amino acids analogue, hyperosmolarity and oxidative stress. From our results, we conclude that hsp70.1 has a more pivotal role in stress response than hsp70.3 and two hsp70 genes respond differentially to different stress types.

### Materials and Methods

#### Chemicals and reagents

ZnCl<sub>2</sub>, CdCl<sub>2</sub>, NaCl, L-azetidine-2-carboxylic acid, and sodium arsenite were obtained from Sigma (St. Louis, MO, USA). The molecular weight standards for SDS-PAGE were obtained from Bio-Rad Laboratories (Hercules, CA, USA). Enhanced chemiluminescence (ECL) reagent for western blotting detection was purchased from Amersham Pharmacia Biotech (Piscataway, NJ, USA).

Anti-HSP70 antibody which was specific for HSP70 and non cross-reactive with HSC 70 was purchased from Santa Cruz Biotechnology (Santa Cruz, CA, USA). The secondary antibodies, anti-mouse IgG conjugated to horseradish peroxidase (HRP) were obtained from Pierce (Rockford, IL, USA).

#### Cell culture and treatment

MEF cells were prepared from C57BL/6 normal mice embryos and *hsp*70.1 knockout mice embryos in the C57BL/6 genetic background at embryonic day 13.5 postcoitum. Cells were grown in DMEM supplemented with 10% heat-inactivated fetal bovine serum and 50  $\mu$ g/ ml penicillin and streptomycin in a 5% CO<sub>2</sub> incubator. M-1 cells were grown in the same condition except a supplement with 5% heat-inactivated fetal bovine serum.

For heat shock conditions, culture plates containing cells were tightly wrapped with parafilm and immersed in a water bath at the indicated temperature for 30 min, then kept in  $CO_2$  incubator at 37°C for indicated times. Other stress conditions were induced by which cells were kept in media containing zinc chloride, cadmium chloride, sodium chloride, L-azetidine-2-carboxylic acid, sodium arsenite at indicated concentrations for 6 to 24 h.

# Construction of specific probes for *hsp*70.1 and *hsp*70.3 genes

To construct specific probes of *hsp*70.1 and *hsp*70.3 each for Northern blot analysis, the following two specific primer sets were used in the polymerase chain reaction (PCR): the sense and antisense primers for *hsp*70.1 are 5'-TGCACTTGATAGCTGCTTGG and 5'-GCAGTGTAGACATGTATGCA, the sense and antisense primers for *hsp*70.3 are 5'-CTGGCTAGGAGACAGATATG and 5'-GGGCAGTGCTGAATTGAAGA, respectively. The resulting PCR fragments were inserted into the pTBlue(R) vector (Novagen, WI, USA). Both constructs were verified with sequencing reaction and digested with *Hind*III-*Eco*RI for preparing specific probes.

### **RNA** isolation and Northern blot analysis

Total RNA was isolated with RNAgents® Total RNA Isolation System (Promega, WI, USA). For Northern blot analysis, 10  $\mu$ g of total RNA was fractionated on a 1% formaldehyde agarose gel, blotted onto Hybond-N+ nylon membrane (Amersham, NJ, USA). The <sup>32</sup>P-labeled probes by random priming kit (Amersham, NJ, USA) were hybridized to the blots at 62°C in a Modified Church Hybridization Buffer (0.25 M Na<sub>2</sub>HPO<sub>4</sub>, 1 mM EDTA, 1% casein, 7% SDS, adjust pH to 7.4 with H<sub>3</sub>PO4). The blots were washed under high stringency and autoradiographed on the X-ray films (AGFA, Germany).

# Preparation of whole cell extract and Western blot analysis

After washing with phosphate-buffered saline, the cells were scraped and collected in extraction buffer (1% Triton X-100, 1% sodium deoxycholate, 25 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.4, 0.1% SDS, 137 mM NaCl, 1 mM EDTA). The collected cells were incubated on ice for 30 min. The lysate was centrifuged and the protein amount in cleared lysates was quantitated with BCA Protein Assay Reagents (Pierce, IL, USA). The equal amounts of total proteins were separated on a 10% SDS-PAGE gel and transferred to a nitrocellulose membrane. HSP70 band was detected by sequential treatment with an anti-HSP70 antibody, an HRP-conjugated secondary antibody, and an enhanced chemiluminescence substrate kit.

### Results

# Both *hsp*70.1 and *hsp*70.3 genes were induced with similar expression patterns by heat shock in MEF cells

In order to investigate the *in vivo* function of the *hsp*70.1 gene, *hsp*70.1 knockout mice were generated in our laboratory. The successful targeted integration of the knockout construct was confirmed by Southern blot analysis (Lee *et al.*, 2001). To ensure specific disruption



**Figure 1.** Induction pattern of HSP70 in *hsp*70.1+/+ and *hsp*70.1-/- MEF cells. A. Northern analysis of *hsp*70.1-/- MEF cells. Total RNAs were isolated from *hsp*70.1 wild type (+/+), hetrozygote (+/-), and homozygote (-/-) cells untreated (C) or treated with heat shock (43°C, 30 min) followed by 2 h recovery (HS). Hybridization was performed with *hsp*70.1 and *hsp*70.3 specific probes obtained by PCR as described in Materials and Methods. 18s rRNA was used as a control for the equal loading of total RNAs. B. Temperature- and time-dependent expression of HSP70 in *hsp*70.1+/+ and -/- MEF cells by immunoblot assay. Time indicates recovery duration (hours) at 37°C after heat treatment.

of hsp70.1 and not of hsp70.3, we constructed specific probes for hsp70.1 and hsp70.3, as described in Materials and Methods. Since the 3'-untranslated region (UTR) of inducible hsp70 genes shows low similarity, in contrast to the high sequence similarity within the coding regions of hsp70 in both interspecies and intraspecies (Hunt et al., 1993), we amplified part of the 3'-UTR of each inducible hsp70 gene by PCR. The Northern blot analysis with the specific probes for hsp70.1 and hsp70.3 in hsp70.1-/- MEF cells showed that the hsp70.1 gene was specifically interrupted (Figure. 1A). Northern analysis of hsp70.3 shows its two transcripts differing 3'ends, as reported by Perry et al. (1994). Since it has not been clearly reported whether both inducible hsp70 genes contribute equally to HSP70 induction by stress or not, we first investigated the induction level of each hsp70 gene by heat shock, which is known to be the most general stress for HSP70 accumulation, in hsp70.1-/-MEF cells. When cells were exposed to a heat shock condition, both hsp70.1 and hsp70.3 genes were induced rapidly, dramatically and evenly (Figure 1B). However, the level of inducible HSP70 in the unheated hsp70.1-/- MEF cells was undetectable. It means that the basal level of HSP70 is mainly due to hsp70.1 expression. The basal level of inducible HSP70 in almost all organs of hsp70-/mice was also remarkably reduced in comparison with wild type mice (our unpublished data). Therefore, we hypothesized that hsp70.1 may have an important role in overcoming the mild stresses encountered in normal circumstance, which doesn't warrant the induction of HSP70.



**Figure 2.** The stability of *hsp*70.1 and *hsp*70.3 transcripts after heat shock treatment in *hsp*70.1+/+ and -/- MEF cells. MEF cells were exposed to heat shock condition (43°C, 30 min) and then recovered at 37°C for indicated time periods. Northern blot analysis was performed with specific probes for each gene. C denotes untreated MEF cells. Numbers indicate recovery duration after heat shock. 18s rRNA was used as a control for the equal loading of total RNA. Two bands shown in the blot hybridized with an *hsp*70.3 specific probe indicate two transcripts of *hsp*70.3 with different polyadenylation sites.

We next examined the mRNA levels of the two *hsp*70 genes by heat shock. The induction of *hsp*70 genes was observed at the transcript level using Northern blot analysis with a specific probe for each transcript. No significant difference was observed in their inducibilities and stabilities, even in the two transcripts of *hsp*70.3 (Figure 2).

## Two inducible *hsp*70 genes were differentially induced by stress types in MEF cells

Since there was no detectable difference in response of *hsp*70 genes to heat shock at the mRNA and protein



**Figure 3.** Concentration- and time-dependent expression patterns of HSP70 on amino acids analogue, heavy metals, oxidative stress, hyperosmotic stress. A. Concentration-dependent patterns of HSP70 expression. Whole cell lysates were obtained by incubating *hsp*70.1+/+ and -/- MEF cells with various concentrations of each stressor for 14 h and analyzed by immunoblot assay. Each number indicates final concentration of stressors. C denotes untreated MEF cells. Az, Cd, Zn, As, H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>, and Na refer to MEF cells which were treated with L-azetidine-2-carboxylic acid, cadmium chloride, zinc chloride, sodium arsenite, hydrogen peroxide and sodium chloride, respectively. B. Time-dependent patterns of HSP70 expression. Numbers indicate treatment duration of stressors. The final concentration of each stressor used for HSP70 induction were as follows: Az, 5 mM; Cd, 50 μM; Zn, 100 μM; As, 50 μM; H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>, 0.8 mM; and Na, 100 mM.

level, we wanted to know whether the two very similar genes are subject to differential regulation by stress type. Wild type and hsp70-/- MEF cells were treated with various stressors including heavy metals (CdCl<sub>2</sub>, ZnCl<sub>2</sub>, sodium arsenite), amino acids analogue (Lazetidine-2-carboxylic acid), oxidative stress (H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>) and hyperosmotic stress (NaCl). CdCl<sub>2</sub>, ZnCl<sub>2</sub>, sodium arsenite, and L-azetidine-2-carboxylic acid induced HSP70 with respect to the different concentration and treatment duration of the stressors both in the control and in hsp70.1 -/- MEF cells (Figure 3A and 3B). On the contrary, hyperosmolarity specifically induced the hsp70.1 gene and oxidative stress induced neither of the hsp70 genes. The highest concentration of CdCl<sub>2</sub> and NaCl was too high to induce HSP70 accumulation and drove cells into the almost dead state. These results were confirmed by Northern blot analysis as shown in Figure 4. Heat, sodium arsenite, and Lazetidine-2-carboxylic acid induced both mRNAs of hsp70, though there were differences in the levels of mRNA inducibility (Figure 4A). The two transcripts of hsp70.3 responded almost identically under the tested stress conditions. Since mRNA expression by H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> was undetectable and the mRNA induced by NaCl was too low after 2 h of treatment, we decided to observe the mRNA level at 6 h and 12 h after treatment with either H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> or NaCl. Results were consistent with the results of protein induction (Figure 4B). The hsp70.1 level was dramatically increased in cells treated for 6 and 12 h with NaCl, but hsp70.3 induction was not detected. H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> did not induce either hsp70 gene at the transcript or protein levels. When MEF cells were treated with  $H_2O_2$  for longer than 12 h, the same result was obtained (data not shown).



**Figure 4.** Northern blot analysis using *hsp*70.1- and *hsp*70.3-specific probes of MEF cells treated with various stressors. A. Total RNAs were isolated from the MEF cells untreated (C) or treated with each stressor for 2 h. The concentrations of the stressors were detailed in Figure 3B. B. Total RNAs were isolated from MEF cells treated with 0.8 mM  $H_2O_2$  or 100 mM NaCl for 6 or 12 h. HS denotes MEF cells which were heat shock treated, and then allowed to recover at 37°C for 2 h. 18s rRNA was used as the control to show equal loading of total RNA.



**Figure 5.** Northern blot analysis using *hsp*70.1- and *hsp*70.3-specific probes of M-1 cells treated with various stressors. Total RNAs were isolated from M-1 cells untreated (C) or treated with each stressor for 2 h, 6 h or 12 h. The type and the concentrations of stressors were shown in the legend of Figure 3.

# Only *hsp*70.1 gene responded to stresses of hydrogen peroxide and sodium chloride in the M-1 cell line

To identify whether the inducibilities of hsp70 genes show different patterns in different cell types, we performed Northern blot assay using the M-1 mouse cortical collecting duct cell line. The responses to the treatment of heat, sodium arsenite and sodium chloride were similar to that of the MEF cells (Figure 5). H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>, which did not induce HSP70 in MEF cells induced the *hsp*70.1 gene expression in the M-1 cell line. The *hsp*70.1 transcript was detected in all applied stressors. The result of immunoblot assay in M-1 cells was consistent with that of Northern analysis (data not shown). From our results in MEF and M-1 cells, we concluded that the two isoforms of *hsp*70 are differentially induced in different cells and by different stressors.

### Discussion

All organisms face environmental and pathophysiological stresses, and must develop appropriate protective mechanisms. One of the most well known protective mechanisms is to rescue cells through the induction of stress response proteins (Hightower, 1991; Flanagan *et al.*,1995; Schliess *et al.*, 1999). Inducible HSP70 has been known as a molecule that has a pivotal role in the protection of cells from various stresses. It was initially observed as the most prominently induced protein in cells subjected to elevated temperature (Lindquist and Craig, 1988). HSP70 synthesis has been identified in response to a wide range of chemicals and biological signals, including heavy metals, amino acid analogues, inhibitors of energy metabolism, UV- and γ-irradiation, oxidative stress, viral and bacterial infections, and magnetic fields (Morimoto *et al.*, 1990; Sierra-River *et al.*, 1993; Hatayama *et al.*, 1993; Abe *et al.*, 1995; Goodman and Blank, 1998; Wagner *et al.*, 1999). These observations suggest that HSP70 is involved in a general cellular defense mechanism. Recently, its suspected role was strongly supported by the reports that HSP70 acts as an inhibitor of apoptosis (Samali and Cotter, 1996; Ahn *et al.*, 1999; Beere *et al.*, 2000; Li *et al.*, 2000; Saleh *et al.*, 2000). Therefore, HSP70 might be a useful target molecule for the therapeutic treatment of apoptosis-related diseases.

The fact that inducible HSP70 is encoded from both hsp70.1 and hsp70.3 genes, which show high similarity in their coding sequences and a linked tandem array with MHC, caused us to guestion why many higher organisms have almost identical genes in duplicate. The fact that the two inducible hsp70 genes differ from each other in the 5- and 3-untranslated regions (Walter et al., 1994), suggests that they might be under differential regulation. However, the data indicating that hsp70.1 and hsp70.3 can be differentially expressed are very limited to date. Therefore, it remains to be determined whether the expression of hsp70.1 and hsp70.3 occurs concordantly (Dix et al., 1998; Akcetin et al., 1999). This may be determined by measuring mRNA expression levels with probes specific for each gene, and the result of present study using hsp70.1 knockout mice could give a more convincing conclusion.

In this study, we observed the expression pattern of HSP70 by treating MEF from hsp70.1-/- mice with various hsp70-inducible agents. No obvious difference in the expression patterns of the hsp70.1 and hsp70.3 genes was observed when MEF cells were treated with heat shock, heavy metal ions, or amino acid analogue. However, hyperosmolarity induced only hsp70.1 expression. In addition to this, the basal level of HSP70 in untreated MEF cells depended on the existence of the *hsp*70.1 gene, and *hsp*70.1 was found to respond to a broader range of stresses than hsp70.3. We next observed the expression pattern of hsp70 genes in the M-1 cell line. Hyperosmotic and oxidative stresses induced only hsp70.1 in the M-1 cell line. Our result is supported by reports that the hsp70.1 gene mediates the major response of heat shock protein induction after severe injury in the rat kidney (Akcetin et al., 1999) and infarction volume after focal cerebral ischemia was increased in hsp70.1-/- mice (Lee et al., 2001). The result of hyperosmotic stress in M-1 cells was consistant with that in MEF cells. Whereas, oxidative stress did not induce both *hsp*70 genes in MEF cells, but induced the hsp70.1 gene in the M-1 cell line. These results show that some stresses specifically induce one of the hsp70 genes, and some induce the hsp70 genes in a cell-type specific manner. Our study provides strong evidence that *hsp*70.1 and *hsp*70.3 genes respond differentially to different stress types.

It is known that HSP70 accumulation in cells, which are exposed to stress, depends on heat-shock factor (HSF) activation (Abravaya et al., 1991; Morimoto, 1992). Stress-induced rapid activation of HSF is a very common phenomenon. Moreover, it has been suggested that inducible HSP70 synthesis appears to be regulated by an alternative factor, constitutive heat shock element-binding factor (CHBF) (Liu et al., 1995). There is a possibility that different regulatory factors might be involved in specific gene activation events for each inducible hsp70 gene, because two hsp70 genes have different promoter regions. This is supported by a report that a mutant of regulatory factor showed differential activation of hsp70 in response to various stresses (de La Serna et al., 2000). How hsp70 genes are differentially regulated needs further investigation and currently we are experimenting with promoters of each *hsp*70 gene to identify the differential regulatory mechanism.

### Acknowledgements

This work was supported by research fund from the Seoul National University Hospital (01-1998-056-0).

### References

Abe T, Konishi T, Hirano T, Dasai H, Shimizu K, Kashimura M, Higashi K. Possible correlation between DNA damage induced by hydrogen peroxide and translocation of heat shock 70 protein into the nucleus. Biochem Biophys Res Commun 1995;206:548-55

Abe T, Yamamura K, Gotoh S, Kashimura M, Higashi K. Concentration-dependent differential effects of N-acetyl-Lcysteine on the expression of HSP70 and metallothionein genes induced by cadmium in human amniotic cells. Biochim Biophys Acta 1998;1380:123-32

Abravaya K, Phillips B, Morimoto RI. Heat shock-induced interactions of heat shock transcription factor and the human *hsp*70 promoter examined by in vivo footprinting. Mol Cell Biol. 1991;11:586-92

Ahn JH, Ko YG, Park WP, Kang YS, Chung HY, Seo JS. Suppression of ceramide-mediated apoptosis by HSP70. Mol Cells 1999;9:200-6

Akçetin Z, Pregla R, Darmer D, Heynemann H, Haerting J, Brömme H-J, Holtz J. Differntial expression of heat shock proteins 70-1 and 70-2 mRNA after ischemia-reperfusion injury of rat kidney. Urol Res 1999;27:306-11

Beere HM, Wolf BB, Cain K, Mosser DD, Mahboubi A, Kuwana T, Tailor P, Morimoto RI, Cohen FM, Green DR. Heatshock protein 70 inhibits apoptosis by preventing recruitment of procaspase-9 to the Apaf-1 apoptosome. Nature Cell Biol

#### 2000;2:469-75

de La Serna IL, Carlson KA, Hill DA, Guidi GJ, Stephenson RO, Sif S, Kingston RE, Imbalzano AN. Mammalian SWI-SNF compleses contribute to activation of the *hsp*70 gene. Mol Cell Biol 2000;20:2839-51

Dix DJ, Garges JB, Hong RL. Inhibition of *hsp*70-1 and *hsp*70-3 expression disrupts preimplantation embryogenesis and heightens embryo sensitivity to arsenic. Mol Repro Dev 1998;51:373-80

Flanagan SW, Ryan AJ, Gisolfi CV, Moseley PL. Tissuespecific HSP70 response in animals undergoing heat stress. Am J Physiol 1995;268:R28-R32

Fukamachi Y, Karasaki Y, Sugiura T, Itoh H, Abe T, Yamamura K, Higashi K. Zinc suppresses apoptosis of U937 cells induced by hydrogen peroxide through an increase of the Bcl-2/Bax ratio. Biochem Biophys Res Com 1998;246:364-69

Goodman R, Blank M. Magnetic field stress induces expression of *hsp*70. Cell Stress Chaperones 1998;3:79-88

Hartl FU. Molecular chaperones in cellular protein folding. Nature 1996;381:571-79

Hatayama T, Asai Y, Wakatsuki T, Kitamura T, Imahara H. Regulation of *hsp*70 synthesis induced by cupric sulfate and zinc sulfate in thermotolerant HeLa cells. J Biol. Chem 1993;114:592-97

Hightower LE. Heat shock, stress proteins, chaperons and proteotoxicity. Cell 1991;66:191-97

Hunt CR, Gasser DL, Chaplin DD, Pierce JC, Kozak CA. Chromosomal localization of five murine HSP70 gene family members: Hsp70-1, Hsp70-2, Hsp70-3, Hsc70t, and Grp78. Genomics 1993;16:193-98

Jäättelä M, Wissing D, Bauer PA, Li GC. Major heat shock protein HSP70 protects tumor cells from tumor necrosis factor cytotoxicity. EMBO J 1992;11:3507-12

Lee S-H, Kim M, Yoon B-W, Kim Y-J, Ma S-J, Roh J-K, Lee J-S, J-S Seo. Targeted *hsp*70.1 disruption increases infarction volume after focal cerebral ischemia in mice. Stroke 2001;32:2905-12

Li C-Y, Lee J-S, Ko Y-G, Kim J-I, Seo J-S. Heat shock protein 70 inhibits apoptosis downstream of cytochrome c release and upstream of caspase-3 activation. J Biol. Chem 2000;275:25665-71

Lindquist S. Craig EA. The heat shock proteins. Annu Rev Genet 1988;22:631-77

Liu RY, Corry PM, Lee YJ. Potential involvement of a constitutive heat shock element binding factor in the regulation of chemical stress-induced *hsp*70 gene expression. Biochem 1995;144:27-36

Mailhos C, Howard MK, Latchman DS. Heat shock protects neuronal cells from programmed cell death by apoptosis. Neuroscience 1993;55:621-27

Morimoto RI. Transcriptional regulation of heat shock genes:

a paradigm for inducible genomic responses. J Biol Chem 1992;267:21987-90.

Morimoto RI, Sarge KD, Abravaya K. Transcriptional regulation of heat shock genes. A paradigm for inducible genomic responses. J Biol Chem 1992;267:21987-90

Morimoto RI, Tissieres A, Georgopoulos C. The stress response, function of proteins, and oersoectives; in Stress Proteins in Biology and Medicine, Morimoto, R.I., Tissieres, A., and Georgopoulos D. (eds.), 1990, pp. 1-36, Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory, NY

Mosser DD, Martin LH. Induced thermotolerance to apoptosis in a human T lymphocyte cell line. J Cell Physiol. 1992;151:561-70

Perry MD, Aujame L, Shtang S, Moran LA. Structure and expression of an inducible HSP70-encoding gene from Mus musculus. Gene 1994;146:273-78

Saleh A, Srinivasula SM, Balkirt L, Robbins PD, Alnemri ES. Negative regulation of the Apaf-1 aoptosome by Hsp70. Nature Cell Biol 2000;2:476-83

Samali A, Cotter TG. Heat shock proteins increase resistance to apoptosis. Exp Cell Res 1996;223:163-70

Schliess F, Wiese S, Häussinger D. Osmotic regulation of the heat shock response in H4IIE rat hepatoma cells. FASEB J 1999;13:1557-64

Schuh S, Yonemoto W, Brugge J, Bauer VJ, Riehl RM, Sullivan WP, Toft DO A 90,000-dalton binding protein common to both steroid receptors and the Rous sarcoma virus transforming protein, pp60v-src. J Biol Chem 1985;260:14292-96

Sierra-River E, Voorhees GJ, Freeman ML. Gamma irradiation increases *hsp*-70 in chinese hamster ovary cells. Rad Res 1993;135:40-45

Simon MM, Krone C, Schwarz A, Luger TA, Jaattela M, Schwarz T. Heat shock protein 70 overexpression affects the response to ultraviolet light in murine fibroblasts. Evidence for increased cell viability and suppression of cytokine release. J Clin Invest 1995;95:926-33

Snoek M, Jansen M, Olavesen MG, Campbell D, Teuscher C, Vugt H. Three *hsp*70 genes are located in the C4-H-2D regionL possible candidates for the Orch-1 locus. Genomics 1993;15:350-56

Tanaka K, Jay G, Isselbacher KJ. Expression of heat-shock and glucose-regulated genes: differential effects of glucose starvation and hypertonicity. Biochim Biophys Acta 1988;950: 138-46

Wagner M, Hermanns I, Bittinger F, Kirkpatrick CJ. Induction of stress proteins in human endothelial cells by heavy metal ions and heat shock. Am J Physiol. 1999;277:L1026-33

Walter L, Rauh F, Günther E. Comparative analysis of the three major histocompatibility complex-linked heat shock protein 70 (Hsp70) genes of the rat. Immunogenetics 1994;40: 325-30