

CORRIGENDA

Preimplantation genetic diagnosis (PGD) for Huntington's disease: the experience of three European centres

Maartje C Van Rij, Marjan De Rademaeker, Céline Moutou, Jos CFM Dreesen, Martine De Rycke, Inge Liebaers, Joep PM Geraedts, Christine EM De Die-Smulders and Stéphane Viville on behalf of the BruMaStra PGD working group

European Journal of Human Genetics (2012) 20, 1010; doi:10.1038/ejhg.2012.122

Correction to: *European Journal of Human Genetics* (2012) 20, 368–375; doi:10.1038/ejhg.2011.202

Post publication, the authors noticed that there was a miscalculation in table 6, which also had implications within the text of their article. The corrected table and text are published here.

The authors would like to apologise for their errors.

Page 5: PGD uptake

The sentence 'Over a period of 10 years, the uptake of PGD for HD in Belgium was 8.5%, in the Netherlands the uptake was 5.8% and in France 3.7%.' should be replaced by 'Over a period of 10 years, the

uptake of PGD for HD in Belgium was 1.7%; in the Netherlands, it was 1.2%; and in France, it was 0.7%.'

Page 7: Uptake

The sentence 'The 10-year uptake of PGD for HD in Belgium in the at-risk population in the reproductive age was 8.5%, in the Netherlands it was 5.8% and in France 3.7%.' should be replaced by 'The 10-year uptake of PGD for HD in Belgium, in the at-risk population in the reproductive age, was 1.7%; in the Netherlands, it was 1.2%; and in France, it was 0.7%.'

Additional text correction:

Page 4, upper line, right column: The word 'either' should be erased.

Table 6 Uptake PGD for HD in the three countries

	Population size	Reproductive population at risk for HD ^a	PGD Intakes	Years	PGD intakes/ at risk population	PGD intakes/ year/at risk population	PGD Started/ at risk population	PGD Started/ at risk population	10 Year uptake: PGD started/10 yrs/at risk population
Belgium	11 000 000	2062.5	71 ^b	14 (1995–2008)	3.44%	0.25%	49 ^c	2.38%	1.70%
The Netherlands	16 000 000	3000	100	14 (1995–2008)	3.34%	0.24%	49 ^d	1.63%	1.16%
France	63 000 000	11812.5	142 ^e	9 (2000–2008)	1.20%	0.13%	79 ^f	0.67%	0.74%

^aReproductive population was estimated approximately half of the at risk population; at risk population according to Conneally: five times the number of affected persons with a mean prevalence of 7.5 per 100,000 citizens.

^bIn Brussels 71 of all 116 intakes were Belgian couples.

^cIn Brussels 49 of all 86 couples (82 including the 4 pending couples) who started PGD were Belgian couples.

^dAll of the 6 pending couples in the Netherlands continued to start PGD after data collection; making a total of 49 started couples.

^eTwo PGD centres in France perform PGD for HD: 115 intakes in Strasbourg and 27 intakes in Montpellier.

^fIn France 61 couples (49 including some of the pending couples) started PGD for HD in Strasbourg plus 18 couples in Montpellier.

Identification and characterization of two novel *JARID1C* mutations: suggestion of an emerging genotype-phenotype correlation

Sinitdhorn Rujirabanjerd, John Nelson, Patrick S Tarpey, Anna Hackett, Sarah Edkins, F Lucy Raymond, Charles E Schwartz, Gillian Turner, Shigeki Iwase, Yang Shi, P Andrew Futreal, Michael R Stratton and Jozef Gecz

European Journal of Human Genetics (2012) 20, 1010; doi:10.1038/ejhg.2012.114

Correction to: *European Journal of Human Genetics* (2010) 18, 330–335; doi: 10.1038/ejhg.2009.175; published online 14 October 2009

The correct annotation is p.P554T, as described in Figures 4 and 5 and Table 2.

The authors would like to apologise for their error.

In the above article, the missense mutation p.P544T was incorrectly annotated in the abstract, text and legends of Figures 2 and 4.