

CORRIGENDA

Linkage and association analysis of CACNG3 in childhood absence epilepsy

Kate Everett, Barry Chioza, Jean Aicardi, Harald Aschauer, Oebele Brouwer, Petra Callenbach, Athanasios Covanis, Olivier Dulac, Orvar Eeg-Olofsson, Martha Feucht, Mogens Friis, Françoise Goutieres, Renzo Guerrini, Armin Heils, Marianne Kjeldsen, Anna-Elina Lehesjoki, Andrew Makoff, Rima Nabbout, Ingrid Olsson, Thomas Sander, Auli Sirén, Paul McKeigue, Robert Robinson, Nichole Taske, Michele Rees and Mark Gardiner

European Journal of Human Genetics (2008) 16, 659–660; doi:10.1038/ejhg.2008.1

Correction to: European Journal of Human Genetics (2007) 15, 463–472; doi:10.1038/sj.ejhg.5201783; published online 31 January 2007

Due to minor changes in the clinical information, which have come to our attention, the data have been re-analysed. The corrected results for the linkage analysis are as follows: $HLOD_{max} = 3.14$ ($\alpha = 0.6$); $NPL_{max} = 2.68$ ($P < 0.003$).

Twenty-three tag SNPs were used for initial association analysis. Of these, SNPs 3, 7 and 8 showed evidence for transmission disequilibrium. These three SNPs still show significant evidence for transmission disequilibrium upon re-analysis although the values are slightly altered (Table 1).

A ‘sliding window’ approach was used for haplotype association analysis of SNPs 2–8 which were in linkage disequilibrium (LD). Table 2 shows the corrected data

Table 1 SNPs showing statistically significant disease association ($P \leq 0.01$) in at least one PDT test statistic in the entire resource

SNP	Minor allele	Trans	Not trans	Sum PDT		Ave PDT	
				Z	P-value	Z	P-value
3	2 (G)	291	250	2.45	0.014	2.00	0.046
7	2 (G)	261	219	2.56	0.011	2.24	0.025
8	2 (A)	279	232	2.81	0.005	2.46	0.014

Table 2 SNP-based sliding-window analysis of Block 1 showing windows which demonstrated significant ($P < 0.05$) global transmission disequilibrium in the entire resource when analysed using the PDT

SNP	Frequency in							Not		Sum PDT		Global		AVE PDT		Global	
	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	Transmitted	transmitted	Z	P-value	$\chi^2_{(d.f.)}$	P-value	Z	P-value	$\chi^2_{(d.f.)}$	P-value
2	2							202	157	2.34	0.020	9.49 ₍₃₎	0.024	2.69	0.007	9.39 ₍₃₎	0.025
2	2	1						180	140	2.16	0.031	16.51 ₍₇₎	0.021	2.50	0.013	14.59 ₍₇₎	0.042
	2	1						255	214	2.51	0.012	9.39 ₍₃₎	0.025	2.27	0.023	7.13 ₍₃₎	0.068
	2	1	1					236	192	2.68	0.007	12.66 ₍₆₎	0.049	2.46	0.014	9.74 ₍₆₎	0.136
		1	1					270	228	2.53	0.011	9.93 ₍₃₎	0.019	2.22	0.026	7.24 ₍₃₎	0.065
		1	1	1				215	170	2.86	0.004	14.24 ₍₆₎	0.027	2.78	0.006	13.58 ₍₆₎	0.035
			1	1	2			203	155	3.07	0.002	20.95 ₍₇₎	0.004	3.02	0.003	20.79 ₍₇₎	0.004
			1	1	2	2		199	148	3.29	0.001	27.69 ₍₁₂₎	0.006	3.33	0.001	27.62 ₍₁₂₎	0.006
				1	2			218	171	3.02	0.003	15.07 ₍₃₎	0.002	2.95	0.003	14.86 ₍₃₎	0.002
				1	2	2		215	159	3.74	0.000	27.77 ₍₆₎	0.000	3.49	0.001	25.24 ₍₆₎	0.000
					2	2		270	218	3.06	0.002	16.16 ₍₃₎	0.001	2.95	0.003	14.88 ₍₃₎	0.002

Only haplotypes showing significant ($P < 0.05$) overtransmission are shown.

for this analysis. As in the original analysis, no single complete haplotype within the LD block was sufficiently common to allow demonstration of disease association on the global level. However, using the sliding window approach, associated haplotypes were identified composed of combinations of SNPs 2–8. The individual

haplotypes which are overtransmitted within each window together form a larger haplotype composed of the alleles 2211122.

While subtle differences have been found in this re-analysis, this was not found to alter the conclusions drawn previously.

Unexpected genetic heterogeneity in a large consanguineous Brazilian pedigree presenting deafness

Karina Lezirovitz, Eliete Pardono, Maria TB de Mello Auricchio, Fernando L de Carvalho e Silva, Juliana J Lopes, Ronaldo S Abreu-Silva, Jihane Romanos, Ana C Batisso and Regina C Mingroni-Netto

European Journal of Human Genetics (2008) **16**, 660; doi:10.1038/ejhg.2008.2

Correction to: *European Journal of Human Genetics* (2008) **16**, 89–96; doi:10.1038/sj.ejhg.5201917; published online 12 September 2007

Since the publication of the above paper, the authors have identified three typographical errors regarding Table 1. The amended table is shown below.

Table 1 Summary description of the genotypic data

<i>Genotypic data</i>	<i>Number of individuals</i>	<i>Pedigree position</i>
<i>MYO15A</i> mutations in both alleles	20	
c.10573delA homozygotes	15	V:8, V:12, V:18, V:22, V:23, V:24, V:25, V:27,V:34, VI:2, VI:3, VI:4, VI:8, VI:9 and VI:11
c.10573delA/c.9957_9960delTGAC compound heterozygotes	5	V:1, V:2, V:3, V:4 and VII:2
Unsolved cases	6	
One <i>MYO15A</i> mutation detected	1	V:17
No <i>MYO15A</i> mutations	5	VI:17, VI:19, VII:4, VII:3 and VIII:1
Total	26	