Pro-crossover factors regulate damage-dependent apoptosis in the *Caenorhabditis elegans* germ line

N Silva^{1,2}, A Adamo¹, P Santonicola¹, E Martinez-Perez² and A La Volpe^{*1}

During meiosis, DNA double-strand breaks (DSBs) are physiologically induced to start the recombination process and promote the formation of interhomologue crossovers (COs), which are required to ensure faithful chromosome segregation into the gametes. The timely repair of DSBs is an essential part of the meiotic programme, as accumulation of unprocessed DSBs during the pachytene stage of meiotic prophase triggers a DNA damage checkpoint response that induces apoptosis of damaged cells. We show that CO-promoting factors MSH-4, MSH-5, and ZHP-3, but not COSA-1, are required for the apoptotic response of the meiotic DNA damage checkpoint. Lack of MSH-4 or MSH-5 suppresses the apoptotic response observed in some DNA repair-defective mutants such as *fcd-2* and *brc-1* (orthologues of FANCD2 and BRCA1), irrespectively of the amount of DSBs present in pachytene nuclei. Although ionizing radiation fails to induce apoptosis in *msh-4/5*-mutant backgrounds, it induces transcriptional activation of the apoptosis-activator *egl-1*, which is controlled by the *Caenorhabditis elegans* p53 orthologue CEP-1. This finding suggests that MSH-4/5 involvement in the apoptotic response occurs downstream or independently of damage sensing and checkpoint activation. This study establishes a role for pro-CO factors MSH-4/5 and ZHP-3 in the execution of apoptosis at late meiotic prophase following the accumulation of exogenous or endogenous DNA damage.

Cell Death and Differentiation (2013) 20, 1209–1218; doi:10.1038/cdd.2013.68; published online 5 July 2013

Eukaryotes execute meiosis to ensure the proper partition of chromosomes into the gametes. Crossing-overs (COs) between homologous chromosomes are essential, along with sister chromatid cohesion, to ensure proper chromosome segregation at meiosis I. All studied organisms exhibit an excess of double-strand breaks (DSBs), generated by type II topoisomerase-like SPO-11, with just a few being ultimately repaired as interhomologue COs. Once DSBs arise, they undergo resection to produce single-stranded DNA, a substrate for the loading of the recombinase RAD-51. This protein, homologous to the *Escherichia coli* recombinase RecA, promotes strand exchange and invasion of the homologous DNA template, allowing homologous DNA repair to take place.¹ Faithful repair of DSBs during meiosis is crucial to maintain genomic integrity and to allow formation of functional gametes, as unrepaired DSBs trigger activation of the DNA damage checkpoint, which results in a block to cell cycle progression or removal of damaged cells by apoptosis.²

The *Caenorhabditis elegans* germ line exhibits a complete time course of meiotic prophase in which nuclei at the different stages of oogenesis can be easily identified based on their position and appearance, and it has been shown that DSB repair is differently modulated along the germ line. For example, loading of RAD-51, the only RecA-like protein responsible for the strand exchange step in *C. elegans* meiosis,^{3,4} is RAD-50 independent in the premeiotic region of

the germ line and in late-pachytene nuclei,⁵ but it is RAD-50 dependent during early meiotic prophase (leptotene to mid-pachytene). During this period, there is a clear bias towards repair using the homologous chromosome as a template, thus promoting CO formation.⁶ Later, residual DSBs can also be repaired using the sister chromatid.⁵ During meiotic prophase, a number of proteins cooperate to ensure CO formation: some are involved in promoting pairing and synapsis of homologous chromosomes,⁷ whereas others, known as pro-CO factors, seem to be involved in stabilizing recombination intermediates between homologous chromosomes.^{8,9}

MSH-4 and MSH-5 are known to form heterodimers in all eukaryotes and bind as clamps to stabilize recombination intermediates. The interdependence relationships of four different pro-CO factors (MSH-4, MSH-5, ZHP-3, and COSA-1) have been recently described in *C. elegans*.¹⁰ High numbers of MSH-5 foci start to appear in mid-pachytene, probably labelling most recombination intermediates, but by late pachytene, MSH-5 foci diminish in number to one focus per homologue pair, forming a complex that also contains COSA-1 and ZHP-3. These foci are thought to mark the single CO that is formed in each homologue pair during *C. elegans* meiosis. Before becoming limited to the 'pro-CO focus', ZHP-3 is first observed forming stretches along chromosomes during pachytene,^{11,12} whereas COSA-1 appears directly at the transition from mid-pachytene to late pachytene forming a single focus per chromosome pair. The function of COSA-1 is

¹CNR, Institute of Genetics and Biophysics, Adriano Buzzati-Traverso, Via Pietro Castellino 111, Naples 80131, Italy and ²MRC Clinical Sciences Centre, Imperial College, Du Cane Road, London W12 0NN, UK

*Corresponding author: A La Volpe, CNR, Institute of Genetics and Biophysics, Adriano Buzzati-Traverso, Via Pietro Castellino 111, Naples 80131, Italy. Tel: +39 081 6132366; Fax: +39 081 6132706; E-mail: adriana.lavolpe@cnr.it

Keywords: apoptosis; crossover; DNA damage; genome stability; meiosis

Abbreviations: CO, crossover; DSBs, double-strand breaks; SC, synaptonemal complex; IR, ionizing radiation; CDDP, *cis*-diamminedichloroplatinum(II); GFP, green fluorescent protein; NCO, non-crossover; DAPI, 4', 6'-diamidino-2-phenylindole hydrochloride

Received 04.12.12; revised 17.5.13; accepted 20.5.13; Edited by RA Knight; published online 05.7.2013

required for recruiting MSH-5 and ZHP-3 to designated CO sites and, correspondingly, COSA-1 foci are absent in *msh-5* mutants.

By the end of pachytene, about half of the oocytes in the *C. elegans* germ line undergo physiological cell death.¹³ In addition, two different checkpoints enhance apoptosis during pachytene in response to either DNA damage or defects in synaptonemal complex (SC) assembly.^{14,15} The DNA damage checkpoint induces cell cycle arrest at the G2 phase when DNA damage occurs in the premeiotic proliferative region of the germ line.¹⁶ The DNA damage checkpoint requires the 9-1-1 complex, a DNA damage-sensor complex that contains the conserved proteins RAD-9, RAD-1, and HUS-1.¹⁷ Following 9-1-1 loading, activation of the p53 orthologue CEP-1 is required to elicit apoptosis.¹⁸ It is important to note that apoptosis occurs in cells only in the late pachytene stage of meiosis, suggesting that there must be specific signals that make late-pachytene nuclei competent for apoptosis and that prevent apoptosis at earlier meiotic stages. Restricting DNA damage-induced apoptosis to late pachytene stage could prevent the inappropriate loss of nuclei undergoing the earlier stages of meiotic recombination, during which DSBs are present.^{3,19} It has been reported that GLD-1 represses the translation of CEP-1 in the early stages of meiosis,²⁰ and that MPK-1 is required for CEP-1 activation.²¹ Once CEP-1 is activated, it triggers EGL-1 expression, which disrupts the interaction between CED-4, the nematode orthologue of mammalian Apaf1, and the Bcl2 family member CED-9, causing a relocation of CED-4 to the nuclear periphery and activation of the caspase protein CED-3, which leads to apoptosis execution.^{22–24} Finally, SIR-2.1, a member of the sirtuin family, besides being implicated in aging and stress response, is also required for the execution of apoptosis in response to DNA damage.^{25,26}

Mutants of DNA repair genes, such as *rad-51*, *brc-1* (orthologue of human BRCA1), or *fcd-2* (orthologue of human FANCD2), show elevated levels of apoptosis that are *spo-11* and *cep-1* dependent.^{14,27,28} Similarly, mutants deficient in structural components of the SC, such as *syp-2*,¹⁹ show an increase in germ-nuclei apoptosis that is in part dependent on the DNA damage checkpoint and in part on the synapsis checkpoint.¹⁵ Worms carrying mutations in CO-promoting factors, such as *him-14* (the *C. elegans* orthologue of *Msh4*; called *msh-4* hereafter), *msh-5*, and *zhp-3*, show an abnormal accumulation of RAD-51 foci that are interpreted as unresolved recombination intermediates.^{11,19} Surprisingly, despite the accumulation of recombination intermediates, *msh-5* mutants do not exhibit increased apoptosis above the basal level observed in wild-type worms⁸ (also shown in this study). Similarly, mice lacking Mlh1, a protein that is also required for CO formation and localizes to CO sites in late pachytene, do not exhibit apoptosis induction during meiotic prophase.²⁹ These observations provide an indication that pro-CO factors may be necessary for inducing apoptosis in response to recombination defects. In this study, we investigate the crosstalk and interdependence between pro-CO factors and the DNA damage-dependent apoptosis pathway, and the roles of MSH-4/5 and ZHP-3 in germ-line apoptosis when exogenous DSBs are introduced.

Results

MSH-4 and MSH-5 are required to induce germ-nuclei apoptosis after exogenously induced DNA damage. As previously mentioned, mutants lacking CO-promoting factors MSH-4 or MSH-5 show an increase in RAD-51 foci,¹⁹ demonstrating a defect in the timely processing of recombination intermediates. We quantified the number of apoptotic corpses in germ lines of *msh-4* and *msh-5* mutants and confirmed, as previously described for *msh-5*,⁸ that neither mutant shows an increase in the average number of apoptotic corpses compared with wild-type worms (Figure 1a). To assess whether exogenously induced DNA damage was able to enhance apoptosis in these mutants, we induced DSBs by exposing the worms to increasing doses of ionizing radiation (IR) and quantified the number of apoptotic corpses 24 h post irradiation. Although the levels of apoptosis were significantly increased in wild-type oocytes after 60 and 120 Gy, no enhancement was observed in irradiated *msh-4* or *msh-5* mutants (Figure 1a and Supplementary Table S1). A very similar result was obtained treating *msh-4*-mutant nematodes for 48 h with 180 μ M *cis*-diamminedichloroplatinum(II) (CDDP) that induces interstrand crosslinking (ICL, Figure 1b). We conclude that both MSH-4 and MSH-5 proteins are required for eliciting apoptosis in pachytene cells after genotoxic insults.

Ionizing radiation triggers activation of the DNA damage checkpoint in the mitotic and meiotic region of *msh-4* and *msh-5*-mutant germ lines. Although the DNA damage checkpoint exerts its action in pachytene by removing cells carrying DNA damage through apoptosis execution, nuclei that are engaged in mitotic proliferation, such as those residing in the tip of the *C. elegans* germ line, respond to DNA damage triggering a temporary block in cell cycle progression to allow DNA repair. As a consequence of the cell cycle arrest, nuclei in the mitotic region of the germ line of irradiated worms appear enlarged and their overall number greatly reduced. To assess whether MSH-4 was also involved in the damage response in the proliferative region of the germ line, we irradiated wild-type and *msh-4*-mutant worms and then quantified the number of nuclei in the mitotic tip of the germ line. As shown in Figure 2, *msh-4* mutants are proficient in activating the DNA damage response following IR, with the average number of mitotic nuclei dropping to the same levels as those seen in wild-type controls. Given the functionality of the DNA damage response in the proliferative region of *msh-4* mutants, we next investigated if the DNA damage pathway, that leads to CEP-1 activation and EGL-1 expression to induce apoptosis in late pachytene, was active in *msh-4* and *msh-5* mutants. To this end, we used a transgene carrying a transcriptional reporter that drives green fluorescent protein (GFP) expression under the control of the *egl-1* promoter and shows nuclear accumulation of GFP in mitotic and late-pachytene nuclei of wild-type worms following IR.¹⁷ As expected, GFP expression was never detected in the mitotic region of wild-type control worms and only rarely (and weakly) in late-pachytene nuclei; however, irradiation of these worms induced robust GFP accumulation in the nuclei of germ cells in the mitotic tip and late pachytene regions of most observed germ lines

(Figure 3a and Supplementary Table S2). Similar to wild-type controls, GFP expression was not detected in the mitotic region of *msh-4* or *msh-5* mutants and only rarely in late-pachytene nuclei, suggesting that the recombination intermediates that

Figure 1 (a) Average apoptosis levels scored before and 24 h after irradiation with IR at 60 and 120 Gy. The y axis shows average number of SYTO-12-labelled nuclei per gonad arm. Genotypes are indicated in the colour legend at the bottom of the chart. Unlike what occurs in wild type, gamma irradiation does not induce germ-line apoptosis in pachytene nuclei of *cep-1*, *msh-4*, and *msh-5* mutants. Number of observed gonads: wt 0 Gy: 185, *cep-1* 0 Gy: 155, *msh-4* 0 Gy: 160, *msh-5* 0 Gy: 183, wt 60 Gy: 156, *cep-1* 60 Gy: 185, *msh-4* 60 Gy: 167, *msh-5* 60 Gy: 184, wt 120 Gy: 153, *cep-1* 120 Gy: 198, *msh-4* 120 Gy: 180, *msh-5* 120 Gy: 183. (b) Average apoptosis levels scored in untreated nematodes and 48 h after treatment with 180 μM CDDP. Unlike what occurs in wild type, CDDP, an ICL agent, does not induce germ-line apoptosis in pachytene nuclei of the *cep-1* and *msh-4* mutants. Number of observed gonads: wt untreated: 92, *cep-1* untreated: 60, *msh-4* untreated: 74, wt 180 μM CDDP: 54, *cep-1* 180 μM CDDP: 67, *msh-4* 180 μM CDDP: 59. Error bars correspond to standard error of the means (S.E.M.) calculated from at least three independent experiments. Student's *t*-tests are shown in Supplementary Table S1

accumulate in these mutants fail to elicit a response leading to the transcriptional activation of *egl-1* (Figure 3a and Supplementary Table S2). However, irradiation of *msh-4* and *msh-5* mutants induced clear GFP expression in both mitotic and late-pachytene nuclei, demonstrating that these mutants are competent in the damage-dependent transcriptional activation of *egl-1* in response to surplus exogenously induced DSBs (Figure 3a and Supplementary Table S2).

SIR-2.1 is a member of the sirtuin family implicated in aging, stress response, and execution of apoptosis in response to DNA damage. In wild-type nematodes, SIR-2.1 accumulates in germ-line nuclei, and, in the few nuclei undergoing physiological apoptosis, it translocates from the nucleus to the cytoplasm.²⁵ As displayed in Figure 3b, SIR-2.1 shows a robust signal in the nucleoplasm of cells in both *msh-4* and *msh-5* mutants, suggesting that the localization of this protein is not MSH-4/5 dependent. Furthermore, in the few nuclei undergoing physiological apoptosis in *msh-4* and *msh-5* mutants, as well as in wild-type worms, the staining for SIR-2.1 is absent, demonstrating normal nucleus to cytoplasm translocation of SIR-2.1 in dying cells (Figure 3b).

As the DNA damage checkpoint seems to be activated in irradiated *msh-4* and *msh-5* nematodes, we wondered whether failure in apoptosis execution might depend on defective expression/localization of the apoptosis effectors placed downstream of EGL-1. We therefore performed immunolocalization experiments with antisera against the CED-3 and CED-4 proteins (Figure 4). Both CED-3 and CED-4 proteins properly localize in the pachytene region of *msh-4*- and *msh-5*-mutant germ lines, as well as in wild-type controls, suggesting that neither the expression nor the localization of CED-3 or CED-4 requires MSH-4/5.

Lack of *msh-4* or *msh-5* suppresses activation of damage-dependent apoptosis in some but not all DNA repair-defective mutants. Mutants defective in DNA repair show high levels of germ-nuclei apoptosis due to the activation of the pachytene DNA damage checkpoint. For example, *brc-1* and *fcd-2* mutants are competent in CO formation but are defective in the non-crossover (NCO) repair pathway that normally deals with the excess DSBs

Figure 2 Left: average number of mitotic nuclei before and after treatment with IR (75 Gy). Error bars correspond to standard error of the means (S.E.M.) calculated from at least three independent experiments. Student's *t*-tests: $P(\text{wt (0 Gy)} = \text{msh-4 (0 Gy)}) = 0.08$; $P(\text{wt (75 Gy)} = \text{msh-4 (75 Gy)}) = 0.54$; $P(\text{wt (0 Gy)} = \text{wt (75 Gy)}) < 0.0001$; $P(\text{msh-4 (0 Gy)} = \text{msh-4 (75 Gy)}) < 0.0001$. Right: representative images of DAPI-stained nuclei in the distal portion of the germ line (mitotic area) before and 15 h after irradiation with IR (75 Gy), scale bar 10 μm. As in wild type, IR induces cell cycle arrest in the premeiotic proliferating area of the gonad of *msh-4* mutants

Figure 3 (a) Analysis of the expression of the *Pegl-1::GFP* reporter in the indicated genotypes, under physiological conditions and upon exposure to IR (120 Gy). Both *msh-4* and *msh-5* mutants are competent for the induction of the *Pegl-1::GFP* reporter after IR in the premeiotic tip and in late pachytene. Scale bar 15 μ m. (b) Immunolocalization of the SIR-2.1 protein in pachytene nuclei of the indicated genotypes under physiological conditions. Scale bar 10 μ m

generated during meiotic recombination. As a consequence, mutants of either gene have a significant increase of germ-nuclei apoptosis that is *cep-1* and *spo-11* dependent.^{27,28} We investigated whether apoptosis in these mutants also required MSH-4. Both *brc-1;msh-4* and *fcd-2;msh-4* double mutants display apoptotic levels lower than the *brc-1* and *fcd-2* single mutants (Figure 5a). RAD-51 foci levels are higher in both *brc-1;msh-4* and *fcd-2;msh-4* double mutants compared with single *brc-1* and *fcd-2* mutants (although accumulation is not additive) (Figures 5b and c). Therefore, MSH-4 appears to be required for inducing apoptosis in response to the DNA damage that accumulates in *fcd-2* and *brc-1* mutants.

We have shown that the SPO-11-dependent recombination intermediates that accumulate in *msh-4* and *msh-5* mutants

fail to trigger *egl-1* expression (Figure 3). These data suggest the possibility that MSH-4/5 functions upstream of checkpoint activation for endogenous DSBs. We therefore tested whether expression of *egl-1* was triggered in the double mutant *fcd-2;msh-4*. Both *fcd-2* single and *fcd-2;msh-4* double mutants showed expression of the *egl-1* transcriptional reporter in late-pachytene nuclei (Figure 6 and Supplementary Table S1). Thus, the DNA damage checkpoint is activated in an *fcd-2* background, even in the absence of the MSH-4 protein, but despite this, the apoptotic response in *fcd-2* mutants is MSH-4 dependent.

The SYP-2 protein is an essential component of the central region of the SC, and therefore *syp-2*-mutant nematodes lack synapsis between homologous chromosomes, impairing DSB

Figure 4 Immunolocalization of (a) the CED-3 protein and (b) the CED-4 protein in pachytene nuclei of the indicated genotypes under physiological conditions. Scale bar 10 μ m

repair and inducing an accumulation of RAD-51 foci until late pachytene, when DSBs are likely to be repaired using the sister chromatid as a template.¹⁹ In this genetic background, two different checkpoints are activated: the synapsis checkpoint¹⁵ and the pachytene DNA damage checkpoint,¹⁴ causing a dramatic enhancement of apoptosis. We measured the number of apoptotic corpses in *syp-2;msh-5* double mutants and found that the level of apoptosis in these worms was significantly reduced compared with that in *syp-2* single mutants (Figure 7a). Furthermore, the level of apoptosis detected in *syp-2;msh-5* double mutants was similar to that seen in *syp-2;cep-1* and *syp-2;spo-11* double mutants, in which just the synapsis checkpoint is activated (Figure 7a). These data suggest that DNA damage-induced apoptosis, but not apoptosis due to synapsis defects, is *msh-5* dependent in *syp-2* mutants.

Taken together, the experiments described above show that the apoptotic response of the DNA damage checkpoint observed in mutants that accumulate recombination intermediates during meiosis depends on the presence of the pro-CO factors MSH-4/5.

We next investigated whether MSH-4 and MSH-5 are also required for the apoptotic response seen in mutants lacking the RAD-51 recombinase, which are unable to undergo any

form of homologous recombination/repair. As a consequence, oocytes that are not eliminated by apoptosis in *rad-51* mutants display chromatin diffusion and fragmented DNA in diakinesis.^{3,30} Surprisingly, *rad-51;msh-4* double mutants maintain the same high level of germ-nuclei apoptosis seen in the *rad-51* single mutant (Figure 7b), suggesting that the MSH-4/5 dependency of the apoptotic response requires the presence of RAD-51-dependent recombination intermediates.

Crossover-promoting factor ZHP-3, but not COSA-1, is required for DNA damage-dependent apoptosis in pachytene cells.

Given the requirement of MSH-4/5 in the apoptotic response of the pachytene DNA damage checkpoint, we asked whether other pro-COs factors that colocalize with them at late pachytene, such as ZHP-3 and COSA-1, might also be required for an efficient apoptotic response.

zhp-3 mutants show delayed removal of RAD-51 foci from chromosomes and an impairment in chiasma formation, as shown by the presence of 12 univalents in diakinesis oocytes, suggesting that this protein is crucial for CO formation.¹² We exposed *zhp-3* mutants to increasing doses of IR and, as shown in Figure 8a, no increase in apoptosis was detectable,

Figure 5 (a) Average apoptosis levels scored in various genetic combinations. The y axis shows average number of SYTO-12-labelled nuclei per gonad arm. Genotypes are indicated in the colour legend next to the chart. *brc-1* or *fcd-2* mutants show high levels of damage-induced (*cep-1*/p53 dependent) apoptosis. In the double mutant *brc-1;msh-4* or *fcd-2;msh-4*, apoptosis decreases. Number of observed gonads: wt: 92, *cep-1*: 89, *msh-4*: 74, *brc-1*: 73, *brc-1;cep-1*: 94, *brc-1;msh-4*: 73, *fcd-2*: 109, *fcd-2;cep-1*: 76, *fcd-2;msh-4*: 82. Error bars correspond to standard error of the means (S.E.M.) calculated from at least three independent experiments. Student's *t*-tests are shown in Supplementary Table S1. (b and c) Quantitative analysis of RAD-51 foci. The y axis refers to the average number of RAD-51 foci/nucleus in the corresponding region of the *C. elegans* gonad. Genotypes are indicated in the colour legend on the top left of each chart. An average of 100 nuclei for each gonad zone was scored for each genotype. Note that although in the double mutant *brc-1;msh-4* there is a relative decrease of RAD-51 foci compared with that in the single *msh-4* mutant, removing MSH-4 from *brc-1* or *fcd-2* single mutants induces an increase in the levels of RAD-51 foci compared with either single mutant, demonstrating that removal of MSH-4 induces an extra accumulation of DNA damage in *brc-1* and *fcd-2* mutants. Moreover, although the single *msh-4* mutant shows diakinesis oocytes with an asset of 12 properly repaired univalents,⁹ the *brc-1;msh-4* double mutant shows high levels of unrepaired chromosome fragments in diakinesis oocytes, further demonstrating that *brc-1;msh-4* mutants accumulate DNA damage²⁸

indicating that, as MSH-4 and MSH-5, ZHP-3 is also required for the apoptotic response to DNA damage. We next investigated the transcriptional activation of *egl-1* in *zhp-3* mutants to determine if, similar to *msh-4* and *msh-5* mutants, they displayed a differential activation of the DNA damage checkpoint depending on whether SPO-11-dependent or IR-induced damage was present. Non-irradiated *zhp-3* mutants rarely displayed accumulation of the GFP reporter in any germ-line region, showing that SPO-11-dependent damage failed to efficiently induce *egl-1* expression (Figure 8b). However, 24 h after irradiation, GFP accumulated in mitotic and late-pachytene nuclei of *zhp-3*-mutant germ lines (Figure 8b and Supplementary Table S2). Although we and others^{17,31} have observed that IR does not induce robust GFP expression in all irradiated germ lines, we noticed that the number on GFP-negative germ lines following IR was higher in *zhp-3* mutants than in other genetic backgrounds

(Supplementary Table S2). This could be due to differences in the number of copies of the reporter transgene or partial silencing of the transgene in the population. In either case, the fact that we can only see robust GFP expression of the *egl-1* transcriptional reporter following IR treatment is consistent with MSH-4/5 and ZHP-3 being required for activation of the DNA damage checkpoint in response to accumulated SPO-11-dependent intermediates.

COSA-1 has been recently shown to be required for CO formation. COSA-1 is loaded onto chromosomes at late pachytene forming six foci where it colocalizes with MSH-5 and ZHP-3.¹⁰ Therefore, we assayed the apoptotic response of *cosa-1* mutants following IR. Unlike *msh-4/5* and *zhp-3* mutants, the apoptotic response of *cosa-1* mutants followed the same trend observed in wild-type worms (Figure 8a), suggesting that COSA-1 is not required for apoptosis execution after DNA damage.

Figure 6 Analysis of the expression of the *Pegl-1::GFP* reporter in *fcd-2*- and *fcd-2;msh-4*-mutant backgrounds under physiological conditions. The *fcd-2* mutants express the *Pegl-1::GFP* reporter in late-pachytene nuclei irrespectively of the presence of the MSH-4 protein. Scale bar 15 μ m

Discussion

We have shown that the pro-CO factors MSH-4, MSH-5, and ZHP-3 are required for executing apoptosis of germ-line nuclei in response to the presence of DNA damage originated by defective meiotic recombination/repair, IR, and CDDP treatment. These three proteins are required at a late step of the recombination process to promote the formation of interhomologue COs, and their absence results in the accumulation of SPO-11-dependent recombination intermediates. Despite this accumulation of DNA damage, single mutants lacking any of the three proteins show impaired activation of the late pachytene DNA damage checkpoint, as evidenced by their failure to induce transcriptional activation of the BH3-only gene *egl-1*. Although this defect could in principle explain the absence of apoptotic corpses in *msh-4*, *msh-5*, or *zhp-3* mutants, irradiation of these mutants, or removal of FCD-2 in a *msh-4* mutant background, restores the expression of *egl-1* without inducing apoptosis. Therefore, the apoptotic failure of *msh-4*, *msh-5*, or *zhp-3* mutants cannot be simply attributed to deficient checkpoint activation. Furthermore, CEP-1-dependent apoptosis is triggered when silencing by RNAi or cosuppression is activated in the germ line,³² and we have observed that MSH-4 is also required for this kind of selective culling of germ-line nuclei (data not shown). We propose that pro-CO factors are required for apoptosis execution in a pathway that must act downstream or independently of checkpoint activation.

The apoptotic culling of meiotic nuclei carrying DNA damage is executed by a well-conserved pathway that includes the damage sensors ATM, ATR, and the 9-1-1

Figure 7 Average apoptosis levels scored in various genetic combinations. (a) In the double mutants *syp-2;msh-5*, apoptosis is reduced at the same level of *syp-2*, *spo-11*, and *syp-2;cep-1* double mutants, suggesting that DNA damage-induced apoptosis, but not apoptosis due to synapsis defects, is *msh-5* dependent. Number of observed gonads: *syp-2*: 91, *syp-2;msh-5*: 59, *syp-2;cep-1*: 53, *syp-2;spo-11*: 58. (b) In the double mutants *rad-51;msh-4*, apoptosis remains at very high levels, as observed in *rad-51* single mutants. Number of observed gonads: *msh-4*: 74, *rad-51*: 41, *rad-51;msh-4*: 42. Error bars correspond to standard error of the means (S.E.M.) calculated from at least three independent experiments. Student's *t*-tests are shown in Supplementary Table S1

complex; the kinases CHK-1 and CHK-2; the p53 homologue CEP-1; and the core apoptotic machinery composed of EGL-1, CED-9, CED-4, and the caspase CED-3. Although all the components of this pathway are required for the apoptotic response of the pachytene DNA damage checkpoint, recent investigations have revealed the existence of other pathways that appear to act in parallel or downstream of the CEP-1/EGL-1 pathway to promote apoptosis execution. These pathways are defined by the following proteins: the sirtuin

Figure 8 (a) Average apoptosis levels scored in mutants of the pro-CO factors *zhp-3* and *cosa-1* before and 24 h after irradiation with IR at 60 and 120 Gy. *zhp-3* mutants do not respond to genotoxic insult as the apoptosis levels remain unperturbed at different doses of IR, suggesting that the ZHP-3 protein is required for apoptosis execution along with MSH-4/5. COSA-1, on the other hand, is not required for execution of apoptosis in pachytene cells after DNA damage. Number of observed gonads: wt 0 Gy: 185, *cep-1* 0 Gy: 155, *zhp-3* 0 Gy: 149, *cosa-1* 0 Gy: 74, wt 60 Gy: 156, *cep-1* 60 Gy: 185, *zhp-3* 60 Gy: 139, *cosa-1* 60 Gy: 48, wt 120 Gy: 153, *cep-1* 120 Gy: 198, *zhp-3* 120 Gy: 110, *cosa-1* 120 Gy: 48. Error bars correspond to standard error of the means (S.E.M.) calculated from at least three independent experiments. Student's *t*-tests are shown in Supplementary Table S1. (b) Analysis of the expression of the *Pegl-1::GFP* reporter in a *zhp-3*-mutant background under physiological conditions and upon exposure to IRs. The *zhp-3* mutants are competent for the induction of the *Pegl-1::GFP* reporter after IR in the premeiotic tip and in late pachytene cells. Scale bar 15 μ m

SIR-2.1, which is exported from the nucleus to the cytoplasm in apoptotic cells;²⁵ HYL-1 and LAGR-1,³³ which are part of ceramide biogenesis and may be involved in reorganization of the mitochondrial outer membrane in apoptotic cells; the KRI-1 protein, which regulates apoptosis in a cell-nonautonomous manner;³⁴ a worm homologue of the Holliday junction resolvase Gen1;³⁵ and the EEL-1 ubiquitin ligase.³⁶ Irradiation of mutants lacking the activity of any of these proteins induces increased *egl-1* transcription, demonstrating activation of the canonical CEP-1-dependent DNA damage pathway, but fails to induce apoptosis. Our investigations have revealed that irradiation of *msh-4/5* and *zhp-3* mutants results in a similar phenotype, *egl-1* expression without apoptosis, revealing that pro-CO factors must also act in parallel or downstream of checkpoint activation to promote apoptosis. As CED-3, CED-4, and SIR-2.1 are properly localized in the germ lines of *msh-4/5* mutants, we rule out a direct effect of MSH-4/5 on the expression or localization of these proteins. Future studies should address if MSH-4/5 and ZHP-3 act in a common pathway with any of the apoptosis-licensing proteins listed above or if they define an independent proapoptotic pathway.

A fourth pro-CO factor, COSA-1, is normally recruited onto late pachytene chromosomes where it colocalizes with MSH-4/5 and ZHP-3, probably labelling the sites where COs have occurred. Interestingly, the absence of COSA-1 does not perturb the execution of the apoptotic programme. The

apoptotic response of *cosa-1* mutants followed the same trend observed in wild type after irradiation. However, in wild-type untreated nematodes, COSA-1 is normally loaded on chromosomes at a later stage than MSH-4/5 and ZHP-3. Furthermore, *msh-5* and *zhp-3* mutants do not show any COSA-1 loading, whereas both MSH-5 and ZHP-3 are present on the pachytene chromosomes of *cosa-1* mutants.¹⁰ These observations suggest that the requirement for MSH-4/5 and ZHP-3 in the apoptotic response to DNA damage dwells in a spatial/temporal interval that precedes COSA-1 licensing/loading, implying that MSH-4/5 and ZHP-3 may play an important role in fine-tuning the timing of apoptosis licensing.

Controlling the timing of apoptosis licensing is essential during meiotic prophase, where premature licensing could lead to the elimination of most meiocytes undergoing the early steps of meiotic recombination. Interestingly, we have observed that the absence of RAD-51 bypasses the requirement of MSH-4/5 in the execution of apoptosis, suggesting that MSH-4 and MSH-5 are involved in licensing apoptosis only when recombination intermediates downstream of RAD-51-mediated strand invasion are present. When too many unrepaired recombination events persist in the presence of MSH-4/5-labelled recombination intermediates at late pachytene, the nucleus is doomed to die.

How may MSH-4/5 participate in apoptosis licensing during meiosis? In human cells, hMSH5 has been described to

induce apoptosis via a complex interaction with the cAbl protein that results in autophosphorylation of cAbl and the cAbl-dependent phosphorylation of hMSH5 in response to IR, which in turn triggers p73 accumulation and phosphorylation.³⁷ However, in contrast to what we have observed in *C. elegans* meiosis, hMSH5 has a protective effect to cisplatin treatment in human cells.³⁸ Therefore, further studies will be required in *C. elegans* to identify the specific partners and steps of the apoptotic response during meiosis at which MSH-4/5 and ZHP-3 are required. Elucidating the proapoptotic roles of MSH-4/5 and ZHP-3 will increase our understanding of how these repair proteins contribute to preserve genome integrity during gametogenesis.

Materials and Methods

C. elegans strain maintenance. Maintenance and cultivation of worms were based on a standard protocol.^{39,40} The following strains were used in this study:

N2: *C. elegans* wild isolate (Bristol)
AV308: *him-14(it21)/mnC1 dpy-10(e128) unc-52(e444) II*
AV115: *msh-5(me23) IV/nT1[unc-?(n754) let-?] (IV;V)*
UV1: *zhp-3(jf61::unc-119 +)/+ I; unc-119(ed3) III*
AV596: *cosa-1(tm3298)/qC1[qIs26] III*
AV106: *spo-11(ok79) IV/nT1[unc-?(n754) let-?] (IV;V)*
TG9: *dpy-13(e184) rad-51(lg8701) IV/nT1[let-?(m435)] (IV;V)*
DW102: *brc-1(tm1145) III*
NB105: *fcd-2(tm1298) IV*
AV276: *syp-2(ok307) V/nT1[unc-?(n754) let-?(m435)] (IV;V)*
VC172: *cep-1(gk138) I*
WS1973: *egl-1 V; unc-119(ed3) III; opl56*

Quantitative analysis of DAPI-stained germ lines. Adult hermaphrodites were suspended in 15 μ l of M9 solution on glass slides, fixed in absolute ethanol, and stained in 4', 6'-diamidino-2-phenylindole hydrochloride (DAPI) (2 ng/ μ l) diluted in M9. Mitotic nuclei were scored 15 h after IR. The quantitative analysis was performed on z series of images acquired using a Leica DM6000 fluorescence microscope and Leica DC 350 FX camera under the control of Leica LAS AF 6000 software. Optical sections were collected at 0.50 μ m increments.

Apoptosis quantification. Adult worms were collected into M9 solution containing 33 μ M SYTO-12 (Molecular Probes, Eugene, OR, USA) for 90 min in the dark. Worms were transferred to seeded plates for 30 min and then mounted on 2% agarose pads in 2 mM levamisole. The quantitative analysis was performed using a Leica DM6000 fluorescence microscope and Leica DC 350 FX camera under the control of Leica LAS AF 6000 software.

Immunolocalization. The α -RAD-51 antibody was described in a previous study.¹⁹ Immunostaining was performed using whole-mount preparations of dissected gonads as described by Colaiacovo *et al.*¹⁹ Quantitative analysis of RAD-51 foci was performed on z series of images acquired using a Leica DM5000 fluorescence microscope and a Leica DC 350 FX camera under the control of Leica LAS AF 6000 software. Optical sections were collected at 0.25 μ m increments. Goat anti-SIR-2.1 was used at a dilution of 1:50, and the immunostaining was performed as described by Greiss *et al.*²⁵ Goat anti-CED-4 and goat anti-CED-3 (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Santa Cruz, CA, USA; catalogue no. sc-9193 and sc-9192, respectively) were both used at a dilution of 1:100, and the immunostaining was performed as described by Colaiacovo *et al.*¹⁹

Treatment with ICL-inducing agent. Young adult worms were treated with 180 μ M CDDP (Sigma-Aldrich, St Louis, MO, USA) and were scored for germline apoptosis after 48 h.

Expression of the *Pegl-1::GFP* transcriptional reporter. Hermaphrodites were exposed to ionizing irradiation from a ¹³⁷Cs source, and GFP localization was observed 24 h postirradiation in dissected germ lines.

Conflict of Interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Acknowledgements. We thank Aurora Storlazzi, Stefania Crispi, and John Pulitzer for useful discussions and critical reading of the paper and Sarah Testori for helping with irradiation experiments. Some nematode strains used in this study were provided by the *Caenorhabditis* Genetics Center, which is funded by the NIH National Center for Research Resources (NCRR). We thank Anton Gartner, Verena Jantsch, and Anne Villeneuve for strains and reagents and the IGB-ABT for the use of the integrated microscopy facility. This study was started as part of the doctoral thesis of N Silva, who was the recipient of a predoctoral fellowship from MIUR (PhD, School of Molecular Genetics and Medicine, Naples). A La Volpe's laboratory is funded by CNR Progetto Merit RBNE08YFN3, Associazione Italiana Ricerca sul Cancro Progetto IG11422, and Telethon Grant GGP11076, and E Martinez-Perez's laboratory is funded by an MRC core-funded grant.

1. La Volpe A, Barchi M. Meiotic double strand breaks repair in sexually reproducing eukaryotes: we are not all equal. *Exp Cell Res* 2012; **318**: 1333–1339.
2. Barchi M, Mahadevaiah S, Di Giacomo M, Baudat F, de Rooij DG *et al*. Surveillance of different recombination defects in mouse spermatocytes yields distinct responses despite elimination at an identical developmental stage. *Mol Cell Biol* 2005; **25**: 7203–7215.
3. Rinaldo C, Bazzicalupo P, Ederle S, Hilliard M, La Volpe A. Roles for *Caenorhabditis elegans* rad-51 in meiosis and in resistance to ionizing radiation during development. *Genetics* 2002; **160**: 471–479.
4. Rinaldo C, Ederle S, Rocco V, La Volpe A. The *Caenorhabditis elegans* RAD51 homolog is transcribed into two alternative mRNAs potentially encoding proteins of different sizes. *Mol Gen Genet* 1998; **260**: 289–294.
5. Hayashi M, Chin GM, Villeneuve AM. *C. elegans* germ cells switch between distinct modes of double-strand break repair during meiotic prophase progression. *PLoS Genet* 2007; **3**: e191.
6. Rosu S, Libuda DE, Villeneuve AM. Robust crossover assurance and regulated interhomolog access maintain meiotic crossover number. *Science* 2011; **334**: 1286–1289.
7. Colaiacovo MP. The many facets of SC function during *C. elegans* meiosis. *Chromosoma* 2006; **115**: 195–211.
8. Kelly KO, Dernburg AF, Stanfield GM, Villeneuve AM. *Caenorhabditis elegans* msh-5 is required for both normal and radiation-induced meiotic crossing over but not for completion of meiosis. *Genetics* 2000; **156**: 617–630.
9. Zalevsky J, MacQueen AJ, Duffy JB, Kempfues KJ, Villeneuve AM. Crossing over during *Caenorhabditis elegans* meiosis requires a conserved MutS-based pathway that is partially dispensable in budding yeast. *Genetics* 1999; **153**: 1271–1283.
10. Yokoo R, Zawadzki KA, Nabeshima K, Drake M, Arur S *et al*. COSA-1 reveals robust homeostasis and separable licensing and reinforcement steps governing meiotic crossovers. *Cell* 2012; **149**: 75–87.
11. Bhalla N, Wynne DJ, Jantsch V, Dernburg AF. ZHP-3 acts at crossovers to couple meiotic recombination with synaptonemal complex disassembly and bivalent formation in *C. elegans*. *PLoS Genet* 2008; **4**: e1000235.
12. Jantsch V, Pasierbek P, Mueller MM, Schweizer D, Jantsch M *et al*. Targeted gene knockout reveals a role in meiotic recombination for ZHP-3, a Zip3-related protein in *Caenorhabditis elegans*. *Mol Cell Biol* 2004; **24**: 7998–8006.
13. Gumienny TL, Lambie E, Hartwig E, Horvitz HR, Hengartner MO. Genetic control of programmed cell death in the *Caenorhabditis elegans* hermaphrodite germline. *Development* 1999; **126**: 1011–1022.
14. Gartner A, Milstein S, Ahmed S, Hodgkin J, Hengartner MO. A conserved checkpoint pathway mediates DNA damage-induced apoptosis and cell cycle arrest in *C. elegans*. *Mol Cell* 2000; **5**: 435–443.
15. Bhalla N, Dernburg AF. A conserved checkpoint monitors meiotic chromosome synapsis in *Caenorhabditis elegans*. *Science* 2005; **310**: 1683–1686.
16. Moser SC, von Elsner S, Bussing I, Alpi A, Schnabel R *et al*. Functional dissection of *Caenorhabditis elegans* CLK-2/TEL2 cell cycle defects during embryogenesis and germline development. *PLoS Genet* 2009; **5**: e1000451.
17. Hofmann ER, Milstein S, Boulton SJ, Ye M, Hofmann JJ *et al*. *Caenorhabditis elegans* HUS-1 is a DNA damage checkpoint protein required for genome stability and EGL-1-mediated apoptosis. *Curr Biol* 2002; **12**: 1908–1918.
18. Schumacher B, Hofmann K, Boulton S, Gartner A. The *C. elegans* homolog of the p53 tumor suppressor is required for DNA damage-induced apoptosis. *Curr Biol* 2001; **11**: 1722–1727.
19. Colaiacovo MP, MacQueen AJ, Martinez-Perez E, McDonald K, Adamo A *et al*. Synaptonemal complex assembly in *C. elegans* is dispensable for loading strand-exchange proteins but critical for proper completion of recombination. *Dev Cell* 2003; **5**: 463–474.
20. Schumacher B, Hanazawa M, Lee MH, Nayak S, Volkman K *et al*. Translational repression of *C. elegans* p53 by GLD-1 regulates DNA damage-induced apoptosis. *Cell* 2005; **120**: 357–368.

21. Rutkowski R, Dickinson R, Stewart G, Craig A, Schimpl M *et al*. Regulation of *Caenorhabditis elegans* p53/CEP-1-dependent germ cell apoptosis by Ras/MAPK signaling. *PLoS Genet* 2011; **7**: e1002238.
22. Chen F, Hersh BM, Conradt B, Zhou Z, Riemer D *et al*. Translocation of *C. elegans* CED-4 to nuclear membranes during programmed cell death. *Science* 2000; **287**: 1485–1489.
23. Conradt B, Horvitz HR. The *C. elegans* protein EGL-1 is required for programmed cell death and interacts with the Bcl-2-like protein CED-9. *Cell* 1998; **93**: 519–529.
24. Yan N, Gu L, Kokel D, Chai J, Li W *et al*. Structural, biochemical, and functional analyses of CED-9 recognition by the proapoptotic proteins EGL-1 and CED-4. *Mol Cell* 2004; **15**: 999–1006.
25. Greiss S, Hall J, Ahmed S, Gartner A. *C. elegans* SIR-2.1 translocation is linked to a proapoptotic pathway parallel to cep-1/p53 during DNA damage-induced apoptosis. *Genes Dev* 2008; **22**: 2831–2842.
26. Gartner A, Boag PR, Blackwell TK. Germline survival and apoptosis. *WormBook* 2008; **4**: 1–20.
27. Adamo A, Collis SJ, Adelman CA, Silva N, Horejsi Z *et al*. Preventing nonhomologous end joining suppresses DNA repair defects of Fanconi anemia. *Mol Cell* 2010; **39**: 25–35.
28. Adamo A, Montemauri P, Silva N, Ward JD, Boulton SJ *et al*. BRC-1 acts in the inter-sister pathway of meiotic double-strand break repair. *EMBO Rep* 2008; **9**: 287–292.
29. Eaker S, Cobb J, Pyle A, Handel MA. Meiotic prophase abnormalities and metaphase cell death in MLH1-deficient mouse spermatocytes: insights into regulation of spermatogenic progress. *Dev Biol* 2002; **249**: 85–95.
30. Alpi A, Pasierbek P, Gartner A, Loidl J. Genetic and cytological characterization of the recombination protein RAD-51 in *Caenorhabditis elegans*. *Chromosoma* 2003; **112**: 6–16.
31. Garcia-Muse T, Boulton SJ. Distinct modes of ATR activation after replication stress and DNA double-strand breaks in *Caenorhabditis elegans*. *EMBO J* 2005; **24**: 4345–4355.
32. Adamo A, Woglar A, Silva N, Penkner A, Jantsch V *et al*. Transgene-mediated cosuppression and RNA interference enhance germ-line apoptosis in *Caenorhabditis elegans*. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 2012; **109**: 3440–3445.
33. Deng X, Yin X, Allan R, Lu DD, Maurer CW *et al*. Ceramide biogenesis is required for radiation-induced apoptosis in the germ line of *C. elegans*. *Science* 2008; **322**: 110–115.
34. Ito S, Greiss S, Gartner A, Derry WB. Cell-nonautonomous regulation of *C. elegans* germ cell death by kri-1. *Curr Biol* 2010; **20**: 333–338.
35. Bailly AP, Freeman A, Hall J, Declais AC, Alpi A *et al*. The *Caenorhabditis elegans* homolog of Gen1/Yen1 resolves links DNA damage signaling to DNA double-strand break repair. *PLoS Genet* 2010; **6**: e1001025.
36. Ross AJ, Li M, Yu B, Gao MX, Derry WB. The EEL-1 ubiquitin ligase promotes DNA damage-induced germ cell apoptosis in *C. elegans*. *Cell Death Differ* 2011; **18**: 1140–1149.
37. Tompkins JD, Wu X, Chu YL, Her C. Evidence for a direct involvement of hMSH5 in promoting ionizing radiation induced apoptosis. *Exp Cell Res* 2009; **315**: 2420–2432.
38. Tompkins JD, Wu X, Her C. MutS homologue hMSH5: role in cisplatin-induced DNA damage response. *Mol Cancer* 2012; **11**: 10.
39. Brenner S. The genetics of *Caenorhabditis elegans*. *Genetics* 1974; **77**: 71–94.
40. Sulston JE, Hodgkin J. Methods. In: Wood WB (ed.) *The Nematode Caenorhabditis elegans*. Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press: Cold Spring Harbor, NY, USA, 1988. pp 588–589.

Supplementary Information accompanies this paper on Cell Death and Differentiation website (<http://www.nature.com/cdd>)