

British Journal of Cancer (2015) 113, 1460–1466 | doi: 10.1038/bjc.2015.362

Keywords: pancreatic cancer; desmosomes; desmogleins; prognostic biomarker; immunohistochemistry; RNA-Seq; microarray

# Desmogleins as prognostic biomarkers in resected pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma

Steffen Ormanns<sup>1</sup>, Annelore Altendorf-Hofmann<sup>2</sup>, Rene Jackstadt<sup>3</sup>, David Horst<sup>1</sup>, Gerald Assmann<sup>1</sup>, Yue Zhao<sup>4</sup>, Christiane Bruns<sup>4</sup>, Thomas Kirchner<sup>1</sup> and Thomas Knösel<sup>\*,1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Institute of Pathology, Ludwig-Maximilians-University, Thalkirchner Strasse 36, Munich 80337, Germany; <sup>2</sup>Department of General, Visceral and Vascular Surgery, Jena University Hospital, Erlanger Allee 101, Jena 07747, Germany; <sup>3</sup>Cancer Research UK, Beatson Institute, Glasgow G61 1BD, UK and <sup>4</sup>Department of Surgery, University Hospital Magdeburg, Leipziger Strasse 44, Magdeburg 39120, Germany

**Background:** Frequent disease relapse and a lack of effective therapies result in a very poor outcome in pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma (PDAC) patients. Thus, identification of prognostic biomarkers and possible therapeutic targets is essential. Besides their function in cell-cell adhesion, desmogleins may play a role in tumour progression and invasion that has not been investigated in PDAC to date. This study evaluated desmoglein expression as a biomarker in PDAC.

**Methods:** Using immunohistochemistry, we examined desmoglein 1 (DSG1), desmoglein 2 (DSG2) and desmoglein 3 (DSG3) expression in the tumour tissue of 165 resected PDAC cases. Expression levels were correlated to the patients' clinicopathological parameters and postoperative survival times. We confirmed these results in two independent gene expression data sets.

**Results:** A total of 36% of the tumours showed high DSG3 expression that correlated significantly with shorter patient survival (P = 0.011) and poor tumour differentiation (P < 0.001), whereas no such association was detected for DSG1 or DSG2. In RNA-Seq data and in microarray expression data, high DSG3 expression correlated significantly with poor survival (P = 0.000356 and P = 0.00499).

**Conclusions:** We identify DSG3 as a negative prognostic biomarker in resected PDAC, as high DSG3 expression is associated with poor overall survival and poor tumour-specific survival. These findings suggest DSG3 and its downstream signalling pathways as possible therapeutic targets in DSG3-expressing PDAC.

Despite significant efforts during the past decades in basic and clinical research, pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma (PDAC) remains a devastating disease with ~46000 estimated cases and 40000 estimated deaths in 2014 in the United States alone (DeSantis *et al*, 2014). In contrast to other solid malignancies, these efforts did not result in a substantial increase in overall survival times of PDAC patients. Still, the overall 5-year survival rate is 6% only (Siegel *et al*, 2014). The majority of PDAC cases are diagnosed with advanced, that is, metastatic disease, with palliative chemotherapy being the only treatment option in these cases (Ryan *et al*, 2014). A minority of 10–20% of cases present with primarily resectable disease or resectability can be achieved by downstaging through neoadjuvant chemotherapy (Ghaneh *et al*, 2007). Resection with curative intent and additional adjuvant chemotherapy results in an increase in 5-year survival rates up to 20% (Ryan *et al*, 2014). Nevertheless, disease recurrence rates approach 80% because of local recurrence or development of distant metastases (Arvold *et al*, 2012). In addition, PDAC incidence rates are rising in western societies (Hidalgo *et al*, 2015), stressing the socioeconomic impact of the disease in the future. Thus, there is an urgent need to identify prognostic factors and potential therapeutic targets to improve the outcome of PDAC patients.

The desmoglein (DSG) glycoproteins (DSG1, DSG2, DSG3 and DSG4) are a group of essential cadherins in desmosomal intercellular junctions that establish a link between adjacent cells by both homophilic interactions with desmoglein molecules and

\*Correspondence: Professor Dr T Knösel; E-mail: Thomas.Knoesel@med.uni-muenchen.de

Received 9 May 2015; revised 10 September 2015; accepted 18 September 2015; published online 15 October 2015

© 2015 Cancer Research UK. All rights reserved 0007–0920/15

heterophilic interactions with desmocollin molecules (DSC1, DSC2 and DSC3) on opposing cells in the extracellular space (Desai et al, 2009; Berika and Garrod, 2014). Their intracellular ends then bind via several intermediate proteins to the filaments of the cytoskeleton, thus helping to maintain the integrity of epithelial tissues (Desai et al, 2009). Hence, desmogleins are widely expressed throughout stratified epithelia and a variety of desmosomeforming tissues (Holthofer et al, 2007). Besides their pivotal function in cell-cell adhesion, desmosomes have been discovered to be involved in extracellular to cytoplasmic signal transduction processes that may play a role in the development of malignancy (Chidgey and Dawson, 2007; Kolegraff et al, 2011). Accordingly, abnormal expression of desmosomal proteins has been detected in several types of cancer, for example, downregulation of DSC2 in colorectal cancer or downregulation of DSC3 in breast and oral cavity cancer (Oshiro et al, 2005; Khan et al, 2006; Wang et al, 2007). In contrast, other desmosomal proteins have been found to be upregulated in other tumours, for example, DSG2 and DSG3 in oesophageal squamous carcinoma, prostate, lung as well as head and neck cancer (Kurzen et al, 2003; Biedermann et al, 2005; Trojan et al, 2005; Yashiro et al, 2006; Fukuoka et al, 2007). These findings indicate that a dysregulation of desmosomal proteins plays a role in carcinogenesis. Particularly, desmogleins have been reported to be involved in cancer progression by contributing to tumour cell growth and tissue invasion through activation of oncogenic signalling pathways (Brennan et al, 2007; Mannan et al, 2011). For example, DSG3 expression is the most sensitive parameter for lymph node metastasis in head and neck cancer (Ferris et al, 2011; Patel et al, 2013). Recent data indicate that especially DSG3 is directly or indirectly involved in the activation of the canonical WNT signalling cascade and the Ezrin/protein kinase C (PKC) pathway, thus conferring tumourigenic properties and an invasive phenotype (Chen et al, 2013; Brown et al, 2014).

Despite their obvious importance in many types of cancer, expression profiles and functional data on desmogleins in PDAC are limited to date and their role in PDAC tumourigenesis and progression remains poorly understood. In the present study, we therefore examined desmoglein expression in resected PDAC tissue and a potential link to the clinical outcome of PDAC patients. We show that high DSG3 expression in PDAC tumour tissue is significantly associated with poor patient survival and establish DSG3 as a prognostic biomarker in pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma.

# MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study population and tumour samples. The study population consisted of 165 PDAC patients who underwent resection with curative intent at the Department of Surgery at the Friedrich-Schiller University Jena (Jena, Germany; n = 102) and the Department of Surgery at the University of Munich (Munich, Germany; n = 63) between 1995 and 2012. Median age was 66 years (range 33-87 years; female n = 82, male n = 83). Study exclusion criteria were perioperative mortality within 90 days, distant metastases at the time of surgery and the presence of microscopic or macroscopic residual disease after surgical resection (R1 or R2 status). Patients with ampullary carcinomas or carcinomas of the distal bile duct were excluded from analysis. Data on clinical parameters, including survival time, sex, age and adjuvant chemotherapy, were obtained from the prospective tumour registry of the Department of Surgery at the Friedrich-Schiller University Jena and the Munich Cancer Registry (MKR). Histopathological findings (tumour location, T-classification and lymph node status) were obtained from the pathologists' original reports. In addition, histopathological parameters such as tumour type and degree of differentiation (grading)

were reassessed by two pathologists (SO and TK) on whole mount tissue sections.

Formalin-fixed, paraffin-embedded tumour tissue of the 165 PDAC cases was acquired from the archives of each University's Institute of Pathology. A tissue microarray (TMA) consisting of two cores of PDAC tumour tissue, each 0.6 mm diameter, was constructed using a semiautomatic tissue arrayer (Beecher Instruments, Sun Prairie, WI, USA). Exemplary samples of normal pancreatic epithelium were included into the staining runs.

This retrospective study was carried out according to the recommendations of the local ethics committee of the Medical Faculty of the University of Munich.

**Immunohistochemistry.** Immunohistochemical staining of  $4 \mu m$ sections was done strictly following the manufacturer's instructions on a Ventana Benchmark XT autostainer (Ventana Medical Systems, Oro Valley, AZ, USA) using an anti-DSG1 rabbit monoclonal primary antibody (clone EPR6766(B), Abcam, Cambridge, UK; dilution 1:400), an anti-DSG2 rabbit polyclonal primary antibody (Atlas Antibodies, Stockholm, Sweden; dilution 1:350) and an anti-DSG3 mouse monoclonal primary antibody (clone 7B9, Abcam; dilution 1:150). For signal detection, the ultraView diaminobenzidine kit (Ventana Medical Systems) was employed. Appropriate positive controls (normal skin for DSG1, normal colon mucosa for DSG2 and normal squamous esophageal mucosa for DSG3) and negative control tissue (skeletal muscle) were included in the staining runs (Supplementary Figure S1). The expression level of each factor examined was scored semiquantitatively using a four-tier scale (0 = negative; 1 = weak; 2 = moderate; 3 = strong). Finally, staining intensities were analysed as dichotomous variables, defining scores 0-1 as low and 2-3 as high expression levels. The staining intensities of each marker were independently evaluated by two experienced pathologists (SO and TK), blinded to the patient's clinical outcome and clinicopathological parameters. Concordance between the two pathologists was as follows (all P < 0.001): for DSG1: 0.83, for DSG2; 0.82 and for DSG3: 0.90. Discrepant cases were discussed until agreement was reached.

Pictures of immunohistochemically stained slides were acquired on a camera-equipped Zeiss Axioskop microscope (Zeiss, Wetzlar, Germany) at 200-fold magnification using proprietary Zeiss Axiovision software.

**Statistical analysis.** Overall survival time was calculated from the date of pancreatic surgery to death, irrespective of its cause. All statistical analyses were performed using SPSS 18.0 software (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). Survival curves were calculated by the Kaplan–Meier method and the log-rank test was used to assess differences in survival. Significant and independent predictors of disease-specific survival and recurrence were identified by Cox proportional hazard analysis. The stepwise procedure was set to a threshold of 0.05. Statistical significance was defined as *P*-value <0.05.

TCGA data set analysis. Publicly available RNA-Seq expression data and corresponding clinical data of 135 PDAC samples were retrieved from The Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA) database (https://tcga-data.nci.nih.gov/tcga/). Tumour-specific survival (TSS) was defined as patient death with tumour. For TSS prediction, receiver operator curve analyses (ROC) were used to determine optimal cutoff values for DSG1, DSG2 and DSG3. The expression of each biomarker was then correlated with patient survival times in univariate analyses and Kaplan–Meier curves were plotted. Significant differences in survival were detected by the log-rank test.

**Computational expression data analysis.** *SurvExpress* (Aguirre-Gamboa *et al*, 2013) and *Oncomine* (Rhodes *et al*, 2007) online tools, both analysing publically available microarray gene expression data, were used to explore potential alterations of DSG1, DSG2 and DSG3 in normal and disease states. *SurvExpress* was utilised to compare

survival profiles for individuals segregated based on high and low DSG1, DSG2 and DSG3 expression with risk groups maximised and censored for survival in months ((Aguirre-Gamboa *et al*, 2013) GSE21501, (Stratford *et al*, 2010)). *Oncomine* was used to compare studies in which both cancer and adjacent normal samples were present to determine whether patterns in DSG1, DSG2 and DSG3 expression existed (Logsdon *et al*, 2003).

# RESULTS

**Patient characteristics and survival data.** The age of the patients ranged from 33 to 87 years (median age 66 years, female n = 82, male n = 83). At 5 years after surgery, 138 patients (84%) had deceased and 27 patients (16%) had survived. The median survival time for all 165 patients was 19 months (95% CI 16–22 months) with a 3- and 5-year survival rate of 25% and 8%, respectively. A total of 46 patients (27.9%) showed no lymph node metastasis at the time of surgery and were thus classified as UICC stage I or IIA, whereas in surgical specimens of 119 patients (72.1%) lymph node metastases were detected and thus were classified as UICC stage IIB. For statistical reasons, patients were grouped according to age (<70 years and  $\ge$ 70 years), differentiation grade (G1/G2 = low grade, G3 = high grade), stage (stage I or stage IIA, stage IIB or stage III) and pT category (pT1/pT2 or pT3/pT4).

As expected, positive lymph node status and corresponding UICC stage had a marked, although not statistically significant, effect on overall survival in this patient cohort (25 months vs 18 months, P = 0.071, Table 1 and Figure 1A). No statistically significant differences between age groups (22 months vs 19

Table 1. Correlation of clinicopathological parameters and median survival times								
	N	Median survival (months)	P, log rank (Mantel–Cox)					
Total patients	165	19						
Age		-						
<70 Years ≥70 Years	111 54	19 22	0.506					
Sex								
Female Male	82 83	20 19	0.559					
pT category								
pT1/2 pT3/4	38 127	20 19	0.258					
UICC stage								
I/IIA IIB/III	46 119	25 18	0.071					
Grade								
Low High	59 106	28 16	0.001					
DSG1								
Low High	82 83	21 19	0.339					
DSG2								
Low High	57 108	21 19	0.880					
DSG3								
Low High	106 59	23 15	0.011					
Abbreviations: DSG1 = desmoglein 1; DSG2 = desmoglein; DSG3 = desmoglein 3; UICC = $% \left( \frac{1}{2} \right) = \left( \frac{1}$								

Union for International Cancer Control. Frequency of clinicopathological patient characteristics (age, sex, pT category, UICC stage, tumour differentiation grade) and expression of DSG1, DSG2 and DSG3 in the pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma (PDAC) patient collection. months, P = 0.506, Table 1 and Supplementary Figure S2), sexes (20 months *vs* 19 months, P = 0.559) or pT category (20 months *vs* 19 months, P = 0.258) could be detected (Table 1). Of note, the majority of patients had high-grade tumours (n = 106; 64.2%) with significantly shorter survival than patients with low-grade tumours (28 months *vs* 16 months, P = 0.001, Table 1 and Figure 1B).

Desmoglein expression and clinicopathological parameters. In immunohistochemical stainings of representative tumour and normal pancreatic tissue as well as in Oncomine analysis (Rhodes et al, 2007) (Supplementary Figure S3), DSG3 was significantly overexpressed in PDAC tissue as compared with normal pancreatic epithelium, where we found very low or absent expression (Figure 2). In contrast, we found no such clear differences for DSG1 and DSG2, where we detected varying degrees of expression in normal tissue already (Figure 2 and Supplementary Figure S3). In PDAC tumour tissue, we observed variable expression of the three desmogleins, showing a strong membranous staining reaction for all three desmogleins in the positive cases (score  $\geq 1$ ). To preclude sampling errors because of intratumoural expression heterogeneity, we additionally examined the expression pattern of each marker in an exemplary subset on whole mount tumour tissue sections, showing no significant differences between central



Figure 1. Poor tumour differentiation grade correlates with inferior survival of PDAC patients. Univariate analysis (Kaplan–Meier curves and log-rank tests) of (A) UICC stage and (B) tumour differentiation grade as prognostic parameters in resected PDAC patients. Crossed lines indicate censored cases.



Figure 2. Variable expression of DSG1, DSG2 and DSG3 in normal pancreatic and PDAC tissue. Immunohistochemical staining of DSG1, DSG2 and DSG3 in exemplary normal pancreatic tissue as well as in exemplary PDAC cases classified as high or low expression, according to the staining intensity. It shows a variable expression pattern of DSG1 and DSG2 in normal pancreatic and PDAC tissue, whereas strong DSG3 expression is confined to PDAC tissue. 200-fold magnification. Scale bars, 50  $\mu$ m.

and marginal tumour areas (Supplementary Figure S4). High expression was observed in 50.3% for DSG1, in 65.5% for DSG2 and in 35.7% for DSG3 of all cases. Subsequently, we analysed the correlation of DSG1, DSG2 and DSG3 expression levels with patients' clinicopathological parameters age, sex, tumour grade, tumour stage and lymph node metastasis as well as lymphatic and blood vessel invasion or perineural invasion. High DSG2 expression was significantly associated with patient age (P = 0.003, Table 2). Interestingly, high DSG3 expression was associated with poor tumour differentiation (P < 0.001), whereas no such association was detected for DSG1 and DSG2. We found no further statistically significant association of tumoural desmoglein expression to other clinicopathological traits that is, lymph node metastasis, lymphatic or blood vessel invasion or perineural invasion (Table 2).

High DSG3 expression is a negative prognostic factor in PDAC. We conducted a univariate analysis to correlate the outcome of patients monitored during the 5-year period with the expression of the desmogleins examined within the tumour tissue. No statistically significant differences in survival times of patients were observed for DSG1 or DSG2 expression levels (21 months vs 19 months, P = 0.339 and 21 months vs 19 months, P = 0.880, Figure 3A and B and Table 2). Interestingly, high DSG3 expression within the tumour tissue significantly correlated with a shorter post-interventional survival time (23 months vs 15 months, P = 0.011, Figure 3C and Table 2). As we found a significant correlation between DSG3 expression and tumour differentiation (Table 2), we additionally calculated the postoperative patient survival times according to tumoural DSG3 expression in the low-grade tumour and high-grade tumour subgroups. Here, the prognostic impact of tumoural DSG3 expression was still detectable, although not statistically significant because of the small subgroup sample size (low-grade group 33.2 vs 24.5 months, P = 0.192; high-grade group 22.2 vs 18.8 months; P = 0.233,

 Table 2. Correlation of clinicopathological parameters and

 DSG1, DSG2 and DSG3 expression

DSG1, DSG	2 and DSG3 expression							
	N	DSG1 high	Р	DSG2 high	Р	DSG3 high	Р	
Age								
<70 Years	111	49%	NS	58%	0.003	37%	NS	
≥70 Years	54	54%		82%		33%		
Sex								
Male	83	49%	NS	63%	NS	36%	NS	
Female	82	51%		68%		35%		
Grade								
Low grade	59	44%	NS	71%	NS	15%	< 0.001	
High grade	106	54%		62%		47%		
pT category								
pT1/2	38	63%	NS	63%	NS	24%	NS	
pT2/3	127	47%		66%		39%		
pN category								
pN 0	46	54%	NS	61%	NS	39%	NS	
pN 1	119	49%		67%		35%		
UICC stage								
Stage I/IIA	46	54%	NS	61%	NS	39%	NS	
Stage IIB/III	119	49%		67%		35%		
L								
LO	71	55%	NS	68%	NS	35%	NS	
L1	91	47%		65%		36%		
V								
VO	137	50%	NS	68%	NS	35%	NS	
V1	21	52%		52%		38%		
Pn								
Pn0	58	59%	NS	62%	NS	28%	NS	
Pn1	82	44%		66%		37%		
Abbreviations: DSG1 = desmogle in 1; DSG2 = desmogle in; DSG3 = desmogle in 3; NS = not								

Abbreviations: DSG1 = desmoglein 1; DSG2 = desmoglein; DSG3 = desmoglein 3; NS = not significant; UICC = Union for International Cancer Control. Correlation of patients' clinicopathological characteristics (age, sex, differentiation grade, pT category, pN category, UICC stage, lymphatic vessel invasion (L -status), blood vessel invasion (V status), perineural invasion (Pn status)) with DSG1, DSG2 and DSG3 expression.

Supplementary Figure S5A and B). To finally test whether DSG3 expression could serve as independent prognostic biomarker, we subsequently examined the previously identified factors in a multivariate analysis. In a Cox regression model, which included age, stage, tumour grade, lymphatic and blood vessel invasion, perineural invasion and expression level of DSG1, DSG2 and DSG3, high tumour grade and tumour stage were the only statistically independent variables (hazard ratio 2.040 (1.305–3.188), P = 0.002 and 1.878 (1.167–3.024), P = 0.009, respectively, Supplementary Table S1).

To test whether DSG expression has an effect on TSS and to verify our findings, we examined the association of DSG1, DSG2 and DSG3 expression and patient TSS in an independent, publicly available data set of the TCGA database, containing 135 PDAC samples. After defining a cutoff value for each biomarker using ROC analysis, cases were divided into low and high expression for all three markers, and patient survival was analysed by Kaplan-Meier plots. For DSG1, 72 tumours were classified as high expression and 63 as low expression. The ratio (high vs low expression) for DSG2 was 39 to 96. In all, 81 tumours showed a high DSG3 expression, whereas 54 tumour samples were classified as low DSG3 expression. Using the log-rank test to calculate statistical differences between the patient groups (high vs low expression for each desmoglein), no effect of DSG1 expression on patient survival was detected (median survival time 22.7 months vs 19.8 months; P = 0.366, Supplementary Figure S6). Surprisingly, we also detected a significantly shorter survival for patients with a



Figure 3. The DSG3 expression correlates with inferior survival of PDAC patients. Univariate correlation analysis (Kaplan–Meier curves and log-rank tests) of (A) DSG1, (B) DSG2 and (C) DSG3 expression and postoperative survival in resected PDAC patients. Crossed lines indicate censored cases.

high DSG2 expressing tumour (median survival time 19.7 months vs 22.5 months; P = 0.029, Figure 4A). However, the statistically strongest inverse association between desmoglein expression and patient survival was found for DSG3 (median survival time 12.9 months vs 22.8 months; P = 0.000356, Figure 4B and Supplementary Table S2). For additional external validation of



Figure 4. DSG2 and DSG3 expression correlate with inferior survival of PDAC patients. Univariate analysis (Kaplan–Meier curve and log-rank test) in a TCGA RNA-Seq data set of PDAC tissue samples examining (A) DSG2 and (B) DSG3 expression levels as dichotomous variable after defining a cutoff via ROC analysis. Crossed lines indicate censored cases.

these findings, we compared the survival profiles of 102 PDAC patients based on high or low expression of DSG1, DSG2 and DSG3 using publically available microarray expression data (GSE21501 (Stratford *et al*, 2010)) applying the online analysis tool *SurvExpress* (Aguirre-Gamboa *et al*, 2013). Again, high DSG3 expression was significantly associated with poor patient survival (median survival time 21 *vs* 15 months; P=0.00499, Supplementary Figure S7A), whereas no such association was detected for DSG1 (median survival time 17 *vs* 19 months; P=0.607, Supplementary Figure S7B) or DSG2 (median survival time 19 *vs* 17 months; P=0.592, Supplementary Figure S7C).

# DISCUSSION

In this study, we investigated the value of desmoglein expression as prognostic biomarkers in resected PDAC. Using a well-defined collection of R0-resected PDAC specimens, we show that high DSG3 expression is significantly associated with shorter postoperative patient survival, whereas no such association was detected for DSG1 or DSG2 expression. Moreover, we tested these findings in two independent, publicly available gene expression data sets. In the RNA-Seq gene expression data from the TCGA

consortium, as well as in microarray gene expression data, DSG3 expression was confirmed as strong negative prognostic factor, adding further evidence to our data. In addition, these findings revealed the significance of DSG3 expression not only for overall survival of patients but also for TSS. Surprisingly, in the TCGA data set also high DSG2 expression correlated with poor patient survival, although with a much lower statistical power. As we readily detected variable DSG1 and DSG2 expression in normal pancreatic tissue but no prognostic effect of their expression, neither in our patient collection nor in the microarray data set, the prognostic role of DSG2 expression in PDAC remains at least questionable. In contrast, high DSG3 levels were detected in tumour tissue only and correlated with poor tumour differentiation grade, though not with lymphatic or blood vessel invasion or perineural invasion. However, the association with poor clinical outcome reflects a more aggressive and invasive tumour biology. The poor prognosis in PDAC is mainly due to disease recurrence as distant metastasis or local recurrence after curative resection (Iacobuzio-Donahue et al, 2009), in which invasive growth and tumour cell motility play a major role (Hanahan and Weinberg, 2011). This could be mediated by an increased activation of oncogenic and invasiveness promoting signal transduction pathways in which DSG3 is involved (Brown and Wan, 2015). In fact, DSG3 expression has been reported to activate the transcriptional factor activator protein 1 (AP-1) and the PKC/Ezrin pathway, inducing migratory and invasive properties in tumour cells and thus increasing their metastatic potential (Brown et al, 2014). Another possible mechanism through which DSG3 could mediate the development of an invasive phenotype is the upregulation of the WNT-target genes c-myc, cyclin-D1 and matrix metalloproteinase 7 (MMP7) via plakoglobin that has been shown to increase cell migration and tissue invasion (Chen et al, 2013). In line with these findings, high DSG3 expression has been associated with increased metastasis formation (Trojan et al, 2005; Wang et al, 2007). The fact that DSG3 is overexpressed in PDAC, whereas DSG1 and DSG2 are not, may explain its prognostic impact in PDAC and supports its possible role in oncogenic and invasiveness promoting signalling. Moreover, these previously published data on DSG3 function together with our findings offer potential therapeutic strategies by targeting DSG3 or inhibiting downstream pathways in tumours with high DSG3 expression (Brown et al, 2014). As DSG3-targeted therapy has been shown to be feasible and effective in DSG3-expressing tumours (Kawai et al, 2009), this could prove to be especially valuable in PDAC where effective therapies are still desperately searched for (Hidalgo et al, 2015). Further research is necessary to elucidate the mechanisms of DSG3 function in PDAC progression and to validate its significance as a possible therapeutic target.

### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The work of SO is supported by a grant from the Friedrich-Baur-Stiftung, Munich. RJ is supported by an Intra-European Fellowship from the Marie Skłodowska-Curie European commission.

# CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

### REFERENCES

Aguirre-Gamboa R, Gomez-Rueda H, Martínez-Ledesma E, Martínez-Torteya A, Chacolla-Huaringa R, Rodriguez-Barrientos A, Tamez-Pena JG, Trevino V

(2013) SurvExpress: an online biomarker validation tool and database for cancer gene expression data using survival analysis. *PloS One* **8**(9): e74250.

- Arvold ND, Ryan DP, Niemierko A, Blaszkowsky LS, Kwak EL, Wo JY, Allen JN, Clark JW, Wadlow RC, Zhu AX, Fernandez-Del Castillo C, Hong TS (2012) Long-term outcomes of neoadjuvant chemotherapy before chemoradiation for locally advanced pancreatic cancer. *Cancer* 118(12): 3026–3035.
- Berika M, Garrod D (2014) Desmosomal adhesion in vivo. *Cell Commun Adhes* **21**(1): 65–75.
- Biedermann K, Vogelsang H, Becker I, Plaschke S, Siewert JR, Höfler H, Keller G (2005) Desmoglein 2 is expressed abnormally rather than mutated in familial and sporadic gastric cancer. J Pathol 207(2): 199–206.
- Brennan D, Hu Y, Joubeh S, Choi YW, Whitaker-Menezes D, O'Brien T, Uitto J, Rodeck U, Mahoney MG (2007) Suprabasal Dsg2 expression in transgenic mouse skin confers a hyperproliferative and apoptosis-resistant phenotype to keratinocytes. J Cell Sci 120(Pt 5): 758–771.
- Brown L, Wan H (2015) Desmoglein 3: a help or a hindrance in cancer progression? *Cancers* 7(1): 266–286.
- Brown L, Waseem A, Cruz I, Szary J, Gunic E, Mannan T, Unadkat M, Yang M, Valderrama F, Wan H (2014) Desmoglein 3 promotes cancer cell migration and invasion by regulating activator protein 1 and protein kinase C-dependent-Ezrin activation. Oncogene 33(18): 2363–2374.
- Chen Y-J, Lee L-Y, Chao Y-K, Chang JT, Lu Y-C, Li H-F, Chiu C-C, Li Y-C, Li Y-L, Chiou J-F (2013) DSG3 facilitates cancer cell growth and invasion through the DSG3-plakoglobin-TCF/LEF-Myc/cyclin D1/MMP signaling pathway. *PLoS One* 8(5): e64088.
- Chidgey M, Dawson C (2007) Desmosomes: a role in cancer? *Br J Cancer* **96**(12): 1783–1787.
- Desai BV, Harmon RM, Green KJ (2009) Desmosomes at a glance. J Cell Sci 122(24): 4401-4407.
- DeSantis CE, Lin CC, Mariotto AB, Siegel RL, Stein KD, Kramer JL, Alteri R, Robbins AS, Jemal A (2014) Cancer treatment and survivorship statistics, 2014. *CA Cancer J Clin* **64**(4): 252–271.
- Ferris RL, Xi L, Seethala RR, Chan J, Desai S, Hoch B, Gooding W, Godfrey TE (2011) Intraoperative qRT-PCR for detection of lymph node metastasis in head and neck cancer. *Clin Cancer Res* 17(7): 1858–1866.
- Fukuoka J, Dracheva T, Shih JH, Hewitt SM, Fujii T, Kishor A, Mann F, Shilo K, Franks TJ, Travis WD (2007) Desmoglein 3 as a prognostic factor in lung cancer. *Hum Pathol* 38(2): 276–283.
- Ghaneh P, Costello E, Neoptolemos JP (2007) Biology and management of pancreatic cancer. *Gut* **56**(8): 1134–1152.
- Hanahan D, Weinberg RA (2011) Hallmarks of cancer: the next generation. *cell* **144**(5): 646–674.
- Hidalgo M, Cascinu S, Kleeff J, Labianca R, Lohr JM, Neoptolemos J, Real FX, Van Laethem JL, Heinemann V (2015) Addressing the challenges of pancreatic cancer: future directions for improving outcomes. *Pancreatology* 15(1): 8–18.
- Holthofer B, Windoffer R, Troyanovsky S, Leube RE (2007) Structure and function of desmosomes. *Int Rev Cytol* 264: 65–163.
- Iacobuzio-Donahue CA, Fu B, Yachida S, Luo M, Abe H, Henderson CM, Vilardell F, Wang Z, Keller JW, Banerjee P (2009) DPC4 gene status of the primary carcinoma correlates with patterns of failure in patients with pancreatic cancer. *J Clin Oncol* **27**(11): 1806–1813.
- Kawai S, Nakano K, Ito H, Funahashi S-I, Yoshida K, Kimura N, Sakumoto H, Nishizawa T, Adachi K, Fujii E (2009) Abstract# 1238: Monoclonal antibodies to desmoglein 3 exhibit in vivo anti-tumor efficacy. *Cancer Res* 69(9 Supplement): 1238–1238.
- Khan K, Hardy R, Haq A, Ogunbiyi O, Morton D, Chidgey M (2006) Desmocollin switching in colorectal cancer. Br J Cancer 95(10): 1367–1370.
- Kolegraff K, Nava P, Helms MN, Parkos CA, Nusrat A (2011) Loss of desmocollin-2 confers a tumorigenic phenotype to colonic epithelial cells through activation of Akt/beta-catenin signaling. *Mol Biol Cell* 22(8): 1121–1134.
- Kurzen H, Münzing I, Hartschuh W (2003) Expression of desmosomal proteins in squamous cell carcinomas of the skin. J Cutan Pathol 30(10): 621–630.
- Logsdon CD, Simeone DM, Binkley C, Arumugam T, Greenson JK, Giordano TJ, Misek DE, Hanash S (2003) Molecular profiling of pancreatic adenocarcinoma and chronic pancreatitis identifies multiple genes differentially regulated in pancreatic cancer. *Cancer Res* 63(10): 2649–2657.

- Mannan T, Jing S, Foroushania SH, Fortune F, Wan H (2011) RNAi-mediated inhibition of the desmosomal cadherin (desmoglein 3) impairs epithelial cell proliferation. *Cell Prolif* **44**(4): 301–310.
- Oshiro MM, Kim CJ, Wozniak RJ, Junk DJ, Muñoz-Rodríguez JL, Burr JA, Fitzgerald M, Pawar SC, Cress AE, Domann FE (2005) Epigenetic silencing of DSC3 is a common event in human breast cancer. *Breast Cancer Res* 7(5): R669.
- Patel V, Martin D, Malhotra R, Marsh CA, Doçi CL, Veenstra TD, Nathan C-AO, Sinha UK, Singh B, Molinolo AA (2013) DSG3 as a biomarker for the ultrasensitive detection of occult lymph node metastasis in oral cancer using nanostructured immunoarrays. Oral Oncol 49(2): 93–101.
- Rhodes DR, Kalyana-Sundaram S, Mahavisno V, Varambally R, Yu J, Briggs BB, Barrette TR, Anstet MJ, Kincead-Beal C, Kulkarni P (2007) Oncomine 3.0: genes, pathways, and networks in a collection of 18,000 cancer gene expression profiles. *Neoplasia* 9(2): 166–180.
- Ryan DP, Hong TS, Bardeesy N (2014) Pancreatic adenocarcinoma. N Engl J Med 371(11): 1039–1049.
- Siegel R, Ma J, Zou Z, Jemal A (2014) Cancer statistics, 2014. CA Cancer J Clin 64(1): 9–29.
- Stratford JK, Bentrem DJ, Anderson JM, Fan C, Volmar KA, Marron J, Routh ED, Caskey LS, Samuel JC, Der CJ (2010) A six-gene signature

predicts survival of patients with localized pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma. *PLoS Med* 7(7): e1000307.

- Trojan L, Schaaf A, Steidler A, Haak M, Thalmann G, Knoll T, Gretz N, Alken P, Michel MS (2005) Identification of metastasis-associated genes in prostate cancer by genetic profiling of human prostate cancer cell lines. *Anticancer Res* 25(1A): 183–191.
- Wang L, Liu T, Wang Y, Cao L, Nishioka M, Aguirre RL, Ishikawa A, Geng L, Okada N (2007) Altered expression of desmocollin 3, desmoglein 3, and β-catenin in oral squamous cell carcinoma: correlation with lymph node metastasis and cell proliferation. *Virchows Arch* 451(5): 959–966.
- Yashiro M, Nishioka N, Hirakawa K (2006) Decreased expression of the adhesion molecule desmoglein-2 is associated with diffuse-type gastric carcinoma. *Eur J Cancer* **42**(14): 2397–2403.

This work is published under the standard license to publish agreement. After 12 months the work will become freely available and the license terms will switch to a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-Share Alike 4.0 Unported License.

Supplementary Information accompanies this paper on British Journal of Cancer website (http://www.nature.com/bjc)