

# Luminal membrane expression of mesothelin is a prominent poor prognostic factor for gastric cancer

T Einama<sup>1,2</sup>, S Homma<sup>1</sup>, H Kamachi<sup>1</sup>, F Kawamata<sup>1</sup>, K Takahashi<sup>3</sup>, N Takahashi<sup>1</sup>, M Taniguchi<sup>2</sup>, T Kamiyama<sup>1</sup>, H Furukawa<sup>2</sup>, Y Matsuno<sup>4</sup>, S Tanaka<sup>3</sup>, H Nishihara<sup>\*,5</sup>, A Taketomi<sup>1</sup> and S Todo<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of General Surgery, Graduate School of Medicine, Hokkaido University, Sapporo, Japan; <sup>2</sup>Division of Gastroenterological and General Surgery, Department of Surgery, Asahikawa Medical University, Asahikawa, Japan; <sup>3</sup>Department of Cancer Pathology, Hokkaido University School of Medicine, Sapporo, Japan; <sup>4</sup>Department of Surgical Pathology, Hokkaido University Hospital, Sapporo, Japan; <sup>5</sup>Department of Translational Pathology, Hokkaido University, Graduate School of Medicine, Kita-Ku, Kita 14, Nishi 7, Sapporo 060-8638, Japan

**BACKGROUND:** Mesothelin is expressed in various types of malignant tumour, and we recently reported that expression of mesothelin was related to an unfavourable patient outcome in pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma. In this study, we examined the clinicopathological significance of the mesothelin expression in gastric cancer, especially in terms of its association with the staining pattern.

**METHODS:** Tissue specimens from 110 gastric cancer patients were immunohistochemically examined. The staining proportion and intensity of mesothelin expression in tumour cells were analysed, and the localisation of mesothelin was classified into luminal membrane and/or cytoplasmic expression.

**RESULTS:** Mesothelin was positive in 49 cases, and the incidence of mesothelin expression was correlated with lymph-node metastasis. Furthermore, luminal membrane staining of mesothelin was identified in 16 cases, and the incidence of luminal membrane expression was also correlated with pT factor, pStage, lymphatic permeation, blood vessel permeation, recurrence, and poor patient outcome. Multivariate analysis showed that luminal membrane expression of mesothelin was an independent predictor of overall patient survival.

**CONCLUSION:** We described that the luminal membrane expression of mesothelin was a reliable prognostic factor in gastric cancer, suggesting the functional significance of membrane-localised mesothelin in the aggressive behaviour of gastric cancer cells.

*British Journal of Cancer* (2012) **107**, 137–142. doi:10.1038/bjc.2012.235 www.bjcancer.com

Published online 29 May 2012

© 2012 Cancer Research UK

**Keywords:** mesothelin; luminal membrane expression; gastric cancer

Mesothelin is a 40-kDa cell surface glycoprotein and is expressed on normal mesothelial cells lining the pleura, pericardium, and peritoneum (Chang *et al*, 1992; Chang and Pastan, 1996). Moreover, mesothelin is overexpressed in various types of malignant tumour, including malignant mesothelioma, ovarian cancer, and pancreatic cancer (Argani *et al*, 2001; Ordonez, 2003a, b; Hassan *et al*, 2005a; Einama *et al*, 2011). The full length of human *mesothelin* gene codes the primary product being a 71-kDa precursor protein. It can be physiologically cleaved by some furin-like proteases into a 40-kDa C-terminal fragment that remains membrane bound, and a 31-kDa N-terminal fragment, which is secreted into the blood (Chang and Pastan, 1996). The C-terminal 40-kDa fragment is named mesothelin and is attached to the cell membrane through a glycosyl-phosphatidylinositol (GPI) anchor (Chang and Pastan, 1996; Hassan *et al*, 2004).

The biological functions of mesothelin are not clearly understood, although recent studies have suggested that overexpression of mesothelin increases cell proliferation and migration (Li *et al*, 2008). In ovarian cancers, diffuse mesothelin staining correlated significantly with prolonged survival in patients who had advanced-stage disease (Yen *et al*, 2006), and another report

indicated that a higher mesothelin expression is associated with chemoresistance and shorter patient survival (Cheng *et al*, 2009). In pancreatic cancer, mesothelin expression was immunohistochemically observed in all cases, while its absence was noted in non-cancerous pancreatic ductal epithelium, with or without pancreatitis (Argani *et al*, 2001; Swierczynski *et al*, 2004; Hassan *et al*, 2005b; Einama *et al*, 2011). Furthermore, we recently explored that the expression of mesothelin was related to an unfavourable patient outcome in pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma. However, in gastric cancer, which is one of the representative gastrointestinal cancers, mesothelin expression seems to correlate with prolonged patient survival (Baba *et al*, 2011); this is a paradoxical result for the other types of carcinomas. In this study, we investigated the immunohistochemical analysis of mesothelin in 110 primary gastric cancers, especially focussing in the localisation of mesothelin, that is, luminal membrane and/or cytoplasm, and its clinicopathological significance associated with patient's outcome.

## PATIENTS AND METHODS

### Patients' demography and tumour specimens

This study was performed with the approval of the Internal Review Board on ethical issues of Hokkaido University Hospital, Sapporo,

\*Correspondence: Dr H Nishihara; E-mail: hnishihara@s5.dion.ne.jp

Received 6 February 2012; revised 25 April 2012; accepted 29 April 2012; published online 29 May 2012

Japan. The subjects of this study were 110 patients who underwent radical surgery for primary gastric cancer between 2002 and 2004 at the Department of General Surgery, Hokkaido University, Graduate School of Medicine, Sapporo, Japan. The clinicopathological characteristics of these cases are summarised in Supplementary Table 1.

Mean patient age was 62.1 years ( $\pm 2.4$  standard deviation (s.d.)). Seventy patients (63.6%) were men, and the remaining 40 (36.4%) were women. The location of the tumour was the upper third of the stomach in 38 (34.5%) patients and the middle and lower third in 72 (65.5%). Tumour stages comprising T factor, N factor, M factor, clinical stage were assigned according to the TNM classification of the Union Internationale Contre le Cancer (Sobin and Wittekind, 2002). Lymphatic permeation and blood vessel invasion were evaluated as either positive or negative. The median survival time of the patients was 54.8 months ( $\pm 5.2$  s.d.).

Formalin-fixed paraffin-embedded tissue blocks were prepared from patient's tumour specimens, and sections were cut and stained with haematoxylin and eosin (HE) for routine histopathological examination. All specimens were diagnosed as gastric adenocarcinomas, and lymphatic permeation and blood vessel invasion were evaluated using Elastica van Gieson staining and immunostaining with anti-podoplanin (D2-40) antibody, if necessary, as a routine operation for pathological diagnosis. A representative tissue block including metastatic lymph node was selected from each case to perform immunohistochemical studies.

### Immunohistochemistry


Four-micrometre-thick sections were mounted on charged glass slides, deparaffinised, and rehydrated through a graded ethanol series. For antigen retrieval, Dako Target Retrieval Solution pH 9.0 (Catalogue number S2368) was used, and the slides were boiled in a pressure cooker (Pascal Pressure Cooker, Model: S2800; DAKO JAPAN, Tokyo, Japan) to a temperature of 125 °C for 3 min. Endogenous peroxidase was blocked with 0.3% hydrogen peroxidase. The slides were incubated with a 1:50 dilution of a mouse monoclonal antibody to mesothelin (clone 5B2 diluted 1:50; Novocastra, Newcastle Upon Tyne, UK) at room temperature for 30 min and then reacted with a dextran polymer reagent combined with secondary antibodies and peroxidase (Envision/HRP; Dako) for 30 min at room temperature. Specific antigen-antibody reactions were visualised with 0.2% diaminobenzine tetrahydrochloride and hydrogen peroxide. Slides were counterstained with haematoxylin for 10 min, then rinsed gently in reagent quality water.

### Immunohistochemical evaluation

All assessments were made on the tumour region of the specimen ( $\times 400$ ). Each slide was evaluated independently by two pathologists (TE, KT) who did not know the clinical outcomes.

Immunostaining for mesothelin was evaluated for both the proportion and staining intensity of tumour cells in each case. The proportion of mesothelin expression was assessed according to the percentage of mesothelin-positive cells as follows: +1, 1–10%; +2, 10–50%; and +3, >50%. The staining intensity of mesothelin was evaluated as weak (+1), moderate to strong (+2) in addition to the staining localisation in the luminal membrane or in cytoplasm. The final evaluation of mesothelin expression was assessed using the following scoring system according to the previous study for the pancreas cancer (Einama *et al*, 2011): 'mesothelin positive' was defined as greater than or equal to +4 of proportion score and/or +2 of intensity score, while 'mesothelin negative' was given when the total score was less than +3 except in the cases of proportion score +1 and intensity score +2 (Supplementary Figure 1).

Furthermore, among the 'mesothelin-positive' cases, the staining localisation of mesothelin was evaluated as luminal membrane and/or cytoplasm. In cases in which the entire circumference of the luminal membrane was explicitly stained even in partial throughout the section, 'luminal membrane positive' was given. When the luminal membrane was stained discontinuously and/or faintly, or in cases in which no membrane staining and only cytoplasmic staining was observed in any intensity level throughout the section, 'luminal membrane negative' was given (Figure 1; Supplementary Figure 1). Meanwhile, the mesothelin cytoplasmic expression was



**Figure 1** The expression variations of mesothelin and its cellular localisation in gastric cancer. (A, C, E, G, and I) HE stain. (B, D, F, H, and J) Immunohistochemical stain for mesothelin. (A and B) A case of 'mesothelin negative'. (C and D) A case of 'luminal membrane negative', although there was incomplete membrane staining in the cancer cells. (E and F) A case of 'luminal membrane positive'. The entire circumference staining of the cell membrane was stained. (G and H) A case of 'cytoplasmic positive' that represented the scant cytoplasmic staining of mesothelin. (I and J) A case of 'cytoplasmic positive' with granular staining in cancer cells. Scale bars: 100  $\mu$ m.

evaluated as follows: in a case in which the cytoplasmic staining was clearly observed in the constituent cancer cells, including the cytoplasmic granular staining, we judged it as 'cytoplasmic positive' (Figure 1).

**Statistical analysis**


We used  $\chi^2$  test or Fisher's exact test to determine the correlation between mesothelin and clinicopathological data. Survival curves of patients were drawn by the Kaplan–Meier method. Differences in survival curves were analysed by the log-rank test. Prognostic implications of mesothelin expression and clinicopathological

parameters were analysed by Cox univariate and multivariate proportional hazards models. All differences were considered significant at a *P*-value of <0.05. All statistical analyses were performed using Statview 5.0 software (SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC, USA).

**RESULTS**

**Clinicopathological analysis for mesothelin expression**

In the 110 gastric cancers, mesothelin expression was detected in 49 cases (44.5%), and the luminal membrane expression of



**Figure 2** Flow chart of evaluation of mesothelin expression.

**Table 1** Association between expression pattern of mesothelin and clinicopathological parameters

Parameter	Total	Mesothelin expression			Luminal membrane expression			Cytoplasmic expression		
		Positive (n = 49)	Negative (n = 61)	P-value	Positive (n = 16)	Negative (n = 94)	P-value	Positive (n = 42)	Negative (n = 68)	P-value
1. Histological classification										
por2-sig	62	25	37	> 0.99	8	54	0.60	22	40	0.56
Others	48	24	24		8	40		20	28	
2. pT factor										
pT1	62	23	39	0.085	3	59	0.0019	21	41	0.33
pT2–4	48	26	22		13	35		21	27	
3. pN factor										
Positive	37	22	15	0.028	11	26	0.0029	17	20	0.30
Negative	73	27	46		5	68		25	48	
4. pStage										
I, II	80	34	46	0.52	5	75	0.0002	35	48	0.66
III, IV	30	15	15		11	19		10	20	
5. Lymphatic permeation										
Positive	48	25	23	0.18	13	35	0.0019	20	28	0.56
Negative	62	24	38		3	59		22	40	
6. Blood vessel permeation										
Positive	41	21	20	0.32	11	30	0.0098	16	25	> 0.99
Negative	69	28	41		5	64		26	43	
7. Recurrence										
Yes	26	14	12	0.37	11	15	< 0.0001	9	17	0.82
No	84	35	49		5	79		33	51	

mesothelin was observed in 16 cases, while the cytoplasmic expression was detected in 42 tumours, which included the 9 cases of 'positive for both luminal membrane and cytoplasm' (Figure 2). The detailed clinicopathological information of 16 cases with mesothelin luminal membrane expression was summarised in Supplementary Table 2. We never detected the mesothelin expression in the non-cancerous lesions (data not shown). The statistical analysis revealed that the incidence of mesothelin expression was only correlated with lymph-node metastasis ( $P=0.028$ ), while the incidence of luminal membrane expression of mesothelin was correlated with pT factor ( $P=0.0019$ ), lymph-node metastasis ( $P=0.0029$ ), clinical stage ( $P=0.0002$ ), lymphatic permeation ( $P=0.0019$ ), blood vessel invasion ( $P=0.0098$ ), and recurrence ( $P<0.0001$ ). There were no significant correlations between mesothelin cytoplasmic expression and clinicopathological parameters (Table 1).

### Survival analysis associated with mesothelin expression


The analysis for patients' overall survival denoted that the group of 'luminal membrane positive' for mesothelin indicated a significantly unfavourable outcome compared with the group of 'luminal membrane negative' ( $P<0.001$ ). On the other hand, the pure mesothelin expression regardless of the localisation, and also 'cytoplasmic expression' were not correlated with the overall survival of the patients (Figure 3). To confirm the mesothelin expression as an independent prognostic factor, we performed the univariate analysis of the 110 gastric cancers using the Cox proportional hazards model, and obtained the result that pT factor, pN factor, clinical stage, lymphatic permeation, blood vessel invasion, and mesothelin luminal membrane expression were significantly correlated with the risk of cancer death (Table 2). Furthermore, to exclude the possible interference of any other factors, the multivariate analysis was performed including pT factor, pN factor, clinical stage, lymphatic permeation, blood vessel invasion, and mesothelin luminal membrane expression. Interestingly, the luminal membrane expression of mesothelin was an independent predictor of overall survival for gastric cancer patients as well as clinical stage and lymphatic permeation (Table 3).

### Mesothelin expression in metastatic lymph nodes

As shown above, luminal membrane expression of mesothelin was correlated with lymphatic permeation and lymph-node metastasis; thus, we analysed the expression pattern of mesothelin in 35 out of 37 cases of lymph-node metastasis by immunohistochemistry, in which the tissue blocks of metastatic lymph node were available (Supplementary Figure 2). Interestingly, the incidence of luminal membrane positive including expression in both membrane and cytoplasm was increased in metastatic lymph nodes (51.4%; 18 out of 35) compared with primary lesions (31.4%; 11 out of 35). Moreover, in 4 cases out of 14 mesothelin-negative cases in primary lesion, luminal membrane expression of mesothelin was observed. These results support our idea that luminal membrane expression of mesothelin is associated with the malignant behaviour of tumour cells.

## DISCUSSION

In this study, we demonstrated that the luminal membrane expression of mesothelin in gastric cancer was associated with unfavourable clinical outcome in patients after surgery. The univariate analysis indicated that the luminal membrane expression of mesothelin was also correlated with lymph-node metastasis, clinical stage, lymphatic permeation, blood vessel invasion, residual tumour, and recurrence, although a luminal



**Figure 3** Overall survival for patients with gastric cancer after surgical therapy stratified by the status of mesothelin expression (A), mesothelin luminal membrane expression (B), and mesothelin cytoplasmic expression (C), respectively. The group of 'luminal membrane positive' represented a statistically significantly unfavourable outcome compared with the group of 'luminal membrane negative' (B:  $P<0.001$ ). On the other hand, both total expression (A) and cytoplasmic expression of mesothelin (C) were not correlated with overall survival of the patients.

membrane expression of mesothelin remained a statistically independent factor for favourable patient outcome after the multivariate analysis. Our result that total mesothelin expression including the case of exclusive cytoplasmic expression did not correlate with patients' prognosis will explain the discrepant previous report in which mesothelin expression correlates with prolonged patient survival in gastric cancer (Baba *et al*, 2011). We therefore emphasise that membrane-localised mesothelin might have an important role in the development of gastric cancer.

The full length of human *mesothelin* gene codes the primary product being a 71-kDa precursor protein. It can be

**Table 2** Univariate analysis for clinicopathological parameters and mesothelin expression on overall survival of patients with gastric carcinoma

Factor	N	P	RR (95% CI)
1. <i>Histological classification</i>			
por2-sig	62	0.89	1
Others	48		
2. <i>pT factor</i>			
pT1	62	<0.0001	1
pT2-4	48		
3. <i>pN factor</i>			
Positive	73	<0.0001	1
Negative	37		
4. <i>pStage</i>			
I, II	80	<0.0001	1
III, IV	30		
5. <i>Lymphatic permeation</i>			
Positive	62	<0.0001	1
Negative	48		
6. <i>Blood vessel permeation</i>			
Positive	69	<0.0001	1
Negative	41		
7. <i>Mesothelin expression</i>			
No	61	<0.0001	1
Yes	49		
8. <i>Luminal membrane expression</i>			
No	94	<0.0001	1
Yes	16		
9. <i>Cytoplasmic expression</i>			
No	68	0.98	1
Yes	42		

Abbreviation: CI = confidence interval. RR indicates relative risk/hazard ratio.

physiologically cleaved by some furin-like proteases into a 40-kDa C-terminal fragment that remains membrane bound, and a 31-kDa N-terminal fragment, which is secreted into the blood (Chang and Pastan, 1996). The C-terminal 40-kDa fragment is referred to as mesothelin, which is attached to the cell membrane by a GPI anchor (Chang and Pastan, 1996; Hassan *et al*, 2004). The 5B2 anti-mesothelin antibody (Novocastra Laboratory Vision BioSystems, Boston, MA, USA), which we employed here for IHC, can detect the 71-kDa precursor protein and also the 40-kDa C-terminal fragment (Inami *et al*, 2008); therefore, we could not decide which form of mesothelin has a pivotal role in malignant behaviour of gastric cancer cells. Recent studies reported that mesothelin is not only associated with increased cell proliferation and with the migration of pancreatic cancer cells *in vitro* (Bharadwaj *et al*, 2008; Li *et al*, 2008), but also contributes to tumour progression *in vivo* (Li *et al*, 2008). Mesothelin inhibits paclitaxel-induced apoptosis through concomitant activation of phosphoinositide-3-kinase (PI3K) signalling in the regulation of Bcl-2 family expression (Chang *et al*, 2009), and induces the activation of signal transducer and activator of transcription (Stat) 3, which leads to increased expression of cyclin E and makes pancreatic cancer cells proliferate faster (Bharadwaj *et al*, 2008). In addition, mesothelin-activated nuclear factor-kappaB (NF- $\kappa$ B) induces elevated interleukin (IL)-6 expression, which acts as a growth factor to support pancreatic cancer cell survival/proliferation through a novel auto/paracrine IL-6/soluble IL-6R trans-signalling

**Table 3** Multivariate analysis for clinicopathological parameters and mesothelin expression on overall survival of patients with gastric carcinoma

Factor	P	RR (95% CI)
1. <i>pT factor</i>		
pT1 vs pT2-4	0.35	2.497 (0.374–16.660)
2. <i>pN factor</i>		
Positive vs Negative	0.060	3.532 (0.946–13.181)
3. <i>pStage</i>		
I, II vs III, IV	0.0003	12.336 (2.533–60.069)
4. <i>Lymphatic permeation</i>		
Positive vs Negative	0.0043	11.996 (2.180–65.996)
5. <i>Blood vessel permeation</i>		
Positive vs Negative	0.29	2.091 (0.533–8.195)
6. <i>Luminal membrane expression</i>		
No vs Yes	0.0073	2.969 (1.341–6.573)

Abbreviation: CI = confidence interval. RR indicates relative risk/hazard ratio.

(Bharadwaj *et al*, 2011a, b). Our study provided a new aspect that luminal membrane expression of mesothelin is associated with the malignant behaviour of tumour cells, such as depth of tumour invasion and vascular invasion, although it remains necessary to clarify the biological function of the 71-kDa mesothelin precursor and/or 40-kDa mesothelin protein in *in-vitro* and *in-vivo* studies, including the processing system by furin-like proteases.

In terms of discovering the clinicopathological parameters for gastric cancer, there are many previous studies demonstrating the prognostic significance of various molecules, such as epidermal growth factor receptor and c-erbB-2 (HER-2). These molecules also could be of unique significance as the indicators of eligibility to specific molecular targeting therapies, because most of them are located in the cell membrane as the useful targets for the molecular targeted drugs such as antibody drugs. We believe that the immunohistochemical evaluation for luminal membrane expression of mesothelin in gastric cancer would be of clinical benefit not only as a prognostic factor but also as a predictive factor for the eligibility to mesothelin-targeting therapies in the future (Hassan *et al*, 2004, 2007a, b, c, 2010; Hassan and Ho, 2008; Li *et al*, 2008; Inami *et al*, 2009).

In conclusion, we demonstrated the clinicopathological significance of the luminal membrane expression of mesothelin in gastric cancer as an independent prognostic factor, although additional studies to increase the number of the cases for luminal membrane expression ( $n=16$ ) might be required for further confirmation. The immunohistochemical examination of mesothelin expression in surgically resected tumour specimens should be clinically useful for prognostication and for decision making about further treatment procedures after surgical therapy in patients with gastric cancer.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This work was supported in part by a grant-in-aid from the foundation for the Department of General Surgery, Hokkaido University Alumni Association.

Supplementary Information accompanies the paper on British Journal of Cancer website (<http://www.nature.com/bjc>)

## REFERENCES

- Argani P, Iacobuzio-Donahue C, Ryu B, Rosty C, Goggins M, Wilentz RE, Murugesan SR, Leach SD, Jaffee E, Yeo CJ, Cameron JL, Kern SE, Hruban RH (2001) Mesothelin is overexpressed in the vast majority of ductal adenocarcinomas of the pancreas: identification of a new pancreatic cancer marker by serial analysis of gene expression (SAGE). *Clin Cancer Res* 7: 3862–3868
- Baba K, Ishigami S, Arigami T, Uenosono Y, Okumura H, Matsumoto M, Kurahara H, Uchikado Y, Kita Y, Kijima Y, Kitazono M, Shinchi H, Ueno S, Natsugoe S (2011) Mesothelin expression correlates with prolonged patient survival in gastric cancer. *J Surg Oncol* 105: 195–199
- Bharadwaj U, Li M, Chen C, Yao Q (2008) Mesothelin-induced pancreatic cancer cell proliferation involves alteration of cyclin E via activation of signal transducer and activator of transcription protein 3. *Mol Cancer Res* 6: 1755–1765
- Bharadwaj U, Marin-Muller C, Li M, Chen C, Yao Q (2011a) Mesothelin overexpression promotes resistance to TNF- $\alpha$ -induced apoptosis through Akt/PI3K/NF- $\kappa$ B activation and IL-6/Mcl-1 overexpression. *Mol Cancer* 10: 106
- Bharadwaj U, Marin-Muller C, Li M, Chen C, Yao Q (2011b) Mesothelin overexpression promotes autocrine IL-6/sIL-6R trans-signaling to stimulate pancreatic cancer cell proliferation. *Carcinogenesis* 32: 1013–1024
- Chang K, Pastan I (1996) Molecular cloning of mesothelin, a differentiation antigen present on mesothelium, mesotheliomas, and ovarian cancers. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 93: 136–140
- Chang K, Pastan I, Willingham MC (1992) Isolation and characterization of a monoclonal antibody, K1, reactive with ovarian cancers and normal mesothelium. *Int J Cancer* 50: 373–381
- Chang MC, Chen CA, Hsieh CY, Lee CN, Su YN, Hu YH, Cheng WF (2009) Mesothelin inhibits paclitaxel-induced apoptosis through the PI3K pathway. *Biochem J* 424: 449–458
- Cheng WF, Huang CY, Chang MC, Hu YH, Chiang YC, Chen YL, Hsieh CY, Chen CA (2009) High mesothelin correlates with chemoresistance and poor survival in epithelial ovarian carcinoma. *Br J Cancer* 100: 1144–1153
- Einama T, Kamachi H, Nishihara H, Homma S, Kanno H, Takahashi K, Sasaki A, Tahara M, Okada K, Muraoka S, Kamiyama T, Matsuno Y, Ozaki M, Todo S (2011) Co-expression of mesothelin and CA125 correlates with unfavorable patient outcome in pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma. *Pancreas* 40: 1276–1282
- Hassan R, Bera T, Pastan I (2004) Mesothelin: a new target for immunotherapy. *Clin Cancer Res* 10: 3937–3942
- Hassan R, Broaddus VC, Wilson S, Liewehr DJ, Zhang J (2007a) Anti-mesothelin immunotoxin SS1P in combination with gemcitabine results in increased activity against mesothelin-expressing tumor xenografts. *Clin Cancer Res* 13: 7166–7171
- Hassan R, Bullock S, Premkumar A, Kreitman RJ, Kindler H, Willingham MC, Pastan I (2007b) Phase I study of SS1P, a recombinant anti-mesothelin immunotoxin given as a bolus I.V. infusion to patients with mesothelin-expressing mesothelioma, ovarian, and pancreatic cancers. *Clin Cancer Res* 13: 5144–5149
- Hassan R, Ebel W, Routhier EL, Patel R, Kline JB, Zhang J, Chao Q, Jacob S, Turchin H, Gibbs L, Phillips MD, Mudali S, Iacobuzio-Donahue C, Jaffee EM, Moreno M, Pastan I, Sass PM, Nicolaidis NC, Grasso L (2007c) Preclinical evaluation of MORAb-009, a chimeric antibody targeting tumor-associated mesothelin. *Cancer Immun* 7: 20
- Hassan R, Ho M (2008) Mesothelin targeted cancer immunotherapy. *Eur J Cancer* 44: 46–53
- Hassan R, Kreitman RJ, Pastan I, Willingham MC (2005a) Localization of mesothelin in epithelial ovarian cancer. *Appl Immunohistochem Mol Morphol* 13: 243–247
- Hassan R, Laszik ZG, Lerner M, Raffeld M, Postier R, Brackett D (2005b) Mesothelin is overexpressed in pancreaticobiliary adenocarcinomas but not in normal pancreas and chronic pancreatitis. *Am J Clin Pathol* 124: 838–845
- Hassan R, Schweizer C, Lu KF, Schuler B, Remaley AT, Weil SC, Pastan I (2010) Inhibition of mesothelin-CA-125 interaction in patients with mesothelioma by the anti-mesothelin monoclonal antibody MORAb-009: implications for cancer therapy. *Lung Cancer* 68: 455–459
- Inami K, Abe M, Takeda K, Hagiwara Y, Maeda M, Segawa T, Suyama M, Watanabe S, Hino O (2009) Antitumor activity of anti-C-ERC/mesothelin monoclonal antibody *in vivo*. *Cancer Sci* 101: 969–974
- Inami K, Kajino K, Abe M, Hagiwara Y, Maeda M, Suyama M, Watanabe S, Hino O (2008) Secretion of N-ERC/mesothelin and expression of C-ERC/mesothelin in human pancreatic ductal carcinoma. *Oncol Rep* 20: 1375–1380
- Sobin LH, Wittekind CW (ed) (2002) *TNM Classification of Malignant Tumors*. Wiley-Liss: New York
- Li M, Bharadwaj U, Zhang R, Zhang S, Mu H, Fisher WE, Brunicardi FC, Chen C, Yao Q (2008) Mesothelin is a malignant factor and therapeutic vaccine target for pancreatic cancer. *Mol Cancer Ther* 7: 286–296
- Ordenez NG (2003a) Application of mesothelin immunostaining in tumor diagnosis. *Am J Surg Pathol* 27: 1418–1428
- Ordenez NG (2003b) Value of mesothelin immunostaining in the diagnosis of mesothelioma. *Mod Pathol* 16: 192–197
- Swierczynski SL, Maitra A, Abraham SC, Iacobuzio-Donahue CA, Ashfaq R, Cameron JL, Schulick RD, Yeo CJ, Rahman A, Hinkle DA, Hruban RH, Argani P (2004) Analysis of novel tumor markers in pancreatic and biliary carcinomas using tissue microarrays. *Hum Pathol* 35: 357–366
- Yen MJ, Hsu CY, Mao TL, Wu TC, Roden R, Wang TL, Shih Ie M (2006) Diffuse mesothelin expression correlates with prolonged patient survival in ovarian serous carcinoma. *Clin Cancer Res* 12: 827–831

This work is published under the standard license to publish agreement. After 12 months the work will become freely available and the license terms will switch to a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-Share Alike 3.0 Unported License.