Incidence and possible pathogenesis of sentinel node micrometastases in ductal carcinoma *in situ* of the breast detected using molecular whole lymph node assay

T Osako^{*,1,2}, T Iwase³, K Kimura³, K Masumura^{3,4}, R Horii² and F Akiyama¹

¹Division of Pathology, the Cancer Institute of the Japanese Foundation for Cancer Research, 3-8-31, Ariake, Koto-ku, Tokyo 135-8550, Japan;

²Department of Pathology, the Cancer Institute Hospital of the Japanese Foundation for Cancer Research, 3-8-31, Ariake, Koto-ku, Tokyo 135-8550, Japan; ³Breast Oncology Center, the Cancer Institute Hospital of the Japanese Foundation for Cancer Research, 3-8-31, Ariake, Koto-ku, Tokyo 135-8550, Japan; ⁴Department of Gastroenterological Surgery, Okayama University Graduate School of Medicine, Dentistry and Pharmaceutical Sciences, 2-5-1 Shikata-cho, Okayama 700-8558, Japan

BACKGROUND: The pathogenesis of lymph node metastases in preinvasive breast cancer – ductal carcinoma *in situ* (DCIS) – remains controversial. The one-step nucleic acid amplification (OSNA) assay is a novel molecular method that can assess a whole node and detect clinically relevant metastases. In this retrospective cohort study, we determined the performance of the OSNA assay in DCIS and the pathogenesis of node-positive DCIS.

METHODS: The subjects consisted of 623 patients with DCIS who underwent sentinel lymph node (SN) biopsy. Of these, 2-mm-sectioned nodes were examined using frozen-section (FS) histology in 338 patients between 2007 and 2009, while 285 underwent OSNA whole node assays between 2009 and 2011. The SN-positivity rate was compared between cohorts, and the characteristics of OSNA-positive DCIS were investigated.

RESULTS: The OSNA detected more cases of SN metastases than FS histology (12 out of 285, 4.2% vs 1 out of 338, 0.3%). Most of the metastases were micrometastases. The characteristics of high-risk DCIS (i.e., mass formation, size, grade, and comedo) and preoperative breast biopsy (i.e., methods or time to surgery) were not valid for OSNA assay-positive DCIS.

CONCLUSION: The OSNA detects more SN metastases in DCIS than FS histology. Further examination of the primary tumours and follow-up of node-positive DCIS are needed to elucidate the pathogenesis.

British Journal of Cancer (2012) 106, 1675–1681. doi:10.1038/bjc.2012.168 www.bjcancer.com

Published online 24 April 2012

© 2012 Cancer Research UK

Keywords: breast cancer; ductal carcinoma *in situ*; sentinel lymph node; micrometastasis; one-step nucleic acid amplification assay

Ductal carcinoma *in situ* (DCIS), the most common type of pre-invasive breast cancer, consists of clonal proliferation of cells that appear malignant and accumulate within the lumen of mammary ducts (Burstein *et al*, 2004). By definition, DCIS does not metastasise to the lymph nodes as the tumour is limited to the epithelial layer and does not reach lymphatic vessels.

Axillary surgery in patients with DCIS has changed dramatically over the years (Shapiro-Wright and Julian, 2010). In the early 1980s, axillary dissection was the standard procedure, but metastases were rarely detected using conventional histology (<1%; Silverstein *et al*, 1987). In the 1990s, the sentinel lymph node (SN) concept was introduced for the clinical management of breast cancer (Krag *et al*, 1993; Giuliano *et al*, 1994). Among patients with a pre-operative diagnosis of DCIS, SN biopsy is used for patients with a higher likelihood of developing occult invasive lesions (high-risk DCIS: i.e., large, high-grade, or comedo-type tumours; or palpable or mammographic masses) and those undergoing mastectomy (McMasters *et al*, 2002; Ansari *et al*, 2008). To prevent false-negative diagnoses, pathologists began to concentrate on the evaluation of

SNs by adopting a step-sectioning procedure (Giuliano *et al*, 1995). Intensive examination of SNs resulted in an increase in the detection of metastases in patients with DCIS. A meta-analysis showed that the incidence of SN metastases is 3.7% in patients with a postoperative diagnosis of DCIS (Ansari *et al*, 2008).

The diagnostic accuracy of both DCIS and lymph node metastases is dependent on the rigour of the examination. If fewer sections from the primary tumour or lymph node are examined, more microinvasions or micrometastases may not be identified. In most of the previous reports on DCIS with nodal metastasis, histological examination procedures for primary tumours are not mentioned in detail or do not cover the entire tumour spread, particularly in large tumours. Thus, these previous reports do not rigorously exclude microinvasive cancers.

Similarly, conventional histopathological examinations of lymph nodes are non-standardised and have limited ability to detect metastases accurately due to the partial evaluation of nodes. The one-step nucleic acid amplification (OSNA) assay (Sysmex, Kobe, Japan) was developed to overcome these limitations of the histopathological examination of lymph nodes. This assay is approved and commercialised for clinical use throughout Europe and Japan. It can be used to assess whole lymph nodes, and yields semi-quantitative results for the detection of clinically relevant nodal metastases

*Correspondence: Dr T Osako; E-mail: tomo.osako@jfcrr.or.jp

Revised 21 February 2012; accepted 29 March 2012; published online 24 April 2012

>0.2 mm in size by the detection and amplification of cytokeratin 19 (CK19) mRNA (Tsujimoto *et al*, 2007; Visser *et al*, 2008; Schem *et al*, 2009; Tamaki *et al*, 2009; Feldman *et al*, 2011; Snook *et al*, 2011). The OSNA assay can distinguish macrometastases (>2 mm in size) and micrometastases (>0.2 mm to ≤2 mm in size) from low-volume metastases corresponding to isolated tumour cells (≤0.2 mm in size) according to the Cancer Staging Manual of the Union International Contre le Cancer (UICC; Sobin *et al*, 2009). Previously, we showed that when the OSNA whole node assay is applied to invasive breast cancers, more metastases, particularly micrometastases, are detected than those detected by routine histopathological examinations (Osako *et al*, 2011a,b).

Therefore, we hypothesise that a combination of detailed histological examination of primary breast tumours and the OSNA whole lymph node assay can accurately elucidate the incidence and characteristics of node-positive DCIS and suggest the pathogenesis. In this single-centre retrospective cohort study, we compared the performance of the detection of SN metastases using the OSNA whole node assay and routine frozen-section (FS) histology using a 2-mm-sectioned lymph node in patients with DCIS examined in detail; this was done to reveal the non-sentinel node (nonSN) status as well as the clinicopathological characteristics of OSNA assay-positive patients.

PATIENTS AND METHODS

Patients and tumours

The study subjects consisted of consecutive patients with pure DCIS who underwent SN biopsy between January 2007 and March 2011 at the Cancer Institute Hospital, Tokyo, Japan. The exclusion criteria were as follows: (1) SN mapping without the use of a radioisotope tracer, (2) metastasis detected only in nonSNs, (3) previous excision of a primary tumour, (4) heterochronous ipsilateral breast cancer recurrence, (5) neoadjuvant drug therapy, and (6) male gender. Patients who underwent FS histology for detection of SN metastases after the introduction of the OSNA assay were also excluded. From 21 April 2009, onward, the OSNA assay, instead of the FS histology method, was used for the detection of SN metastases in our institute. Therefore, this study involves two distinct cohorts categorised on the basis of the method used for the detection of SN metastases: patients who were earlier assessed using FS histology examination (FS cohort) and patients who were later assessed by the OSNA assay (OSNA cohort). Furthermore, in September 2009, we switched the method of detection of further nonSN metastases from a permanent histological method to the OSNA assay.

When a patient had several mammographic findings, the priority for the determination of the findings was mass, calcification, architectural distortion, and focal asymmetric density. When a patient had several presurgical breast biopsies, the priority for the determination of the biopsy method was incisional biopsy, vacuum-assisted needle biopsy, needle biopsy without vacuum assistance, ductoscopic biopsy, and fine-needle aspiration cytology. The immunohistochemical cutoff for oestrogen and progesterone receptor positivity was 10% cell positivity irrespective of the intensity.

Histological examination of primary tumours

Partial mastectomy materials were sectioned continuously from the nipple side to the periphery at 5-mm intervals. All sections were histologically examined with haematoxylin and eosin staining. Total mastectomy materials were sectioned continuously from the nipple to the periphery at 5- to 7-mm intervals. The sectioning was performed to cover the entire tumour spread using macroscopic and radiologic findings as references. Most of the sections within the tumour spread were histologically confirmed with haematoxylin and eosin staining.

Sentinel lymph node biopsy procedure

The radioisotope tracer used was 1.5 mCi/ml ^{99m}Tc-phytate. One day prior to surgery, the tracer was injected into the intradermal and subdermal space in the tumour area and retro-tumoural space. In all cases, lymphoscintigraphy was performed 1 h after the injection. In addition, 2- to 3-ml indigo carmine (a vital dye; Daiichi Sankyo, Tokyo, Japan) was injected in the peri-tumoural space or areola at surgery. Before surgery for the primary tumour, SNs were identified using a hand-held gamma-probe with guidance from the staining of the vessels and nodes. Radioactive and/or blue nodes were considered SNs and excised. When one or more SNs were positive, complete axillary lymph node dissection was performed immediately.

Frozen-section histology for SNs

All SNs were step sectioned at 2-mm intervals by surgeons. Two serial sections were taken for each 2-mm lymph node section. Each section was intraoperatively assessed by FS histology with haematoxylin and eosin staining. Immunohistochemistry was not routinely used for the evaluation of SNs. The FS specimens were reviewed and classified into three categories – macrometastasis, micrometastasis, or negative – according to the seventh edition of the UICC Staging Manual (Sobin *et al*, 2009).

Permanent histology for nonSNs

All nonSNs in axillary dissection materials were cut in half along the long axis after formalin fixation. One of the cut surfaces was examined after haematoxylin and eosin staining. Approximately 5–7 nodes were embedded in paraffin in a single cassette. Immunohistochemical staining was not used to evaluate nonSNs.

One-step nucleic acid amplification assay for SNs and nonSNs

The OSNA assay for lymph nodes has been described previously in detail (Tsujimoto *et al*, 2007). Briefly, after the removal of extranodal tissue, whole lymph nodes were homogenised with 4-ml lysis buffer solution (Lynorhag; Sysmex, Kobe, Japan) and centrifuged at 10 000 g at room temperature. A total of 2-μl supernatant was analysed with the RD-100i System (Sysmex), an automated molecular detection system that uses a reverse transcription loop-mediated isothermal amplification method (Notomi *et al*, 2000), and the LinoampBC Kit (Sysmex). The degree of amplification was detected on the basis of a reaction by-product, pyrophosphate (Mori *et al*, 2001). The resultant change in turbidity on precipitation of magnesium pyrophosphate in turn correlated with the CK19 mRNA copy number per microlitre of the original lysate via a standard curve established beforehand with three calibrators containing different CK19 mRNA copy numbers. A standard positive control sample containing 5000 copies/μl CK19 mRNA and a negative control sample containing no CK19 mRNA were used for quality assurance in every assay run. Lymph nodes that exceeded the specified maximum weight of 600 mg were cut into two or more pieces and processed as separate nodes. Thus, up to four lymph nodes were analysed in a single run.

The numbers of CK19 mRNA copies per microlitre in the measurement sample as well as the 1:10 diluted sample were calculated; based on these copy numbers, the result (i.e., positive or negative) was assessed in accordance with the cutoff level determined in the study of Tsujimoto *et al* (2007). Positive nodes were categorised as ++, +, or +I (positive with inhibited reaction), according to the criteria shown in Table 1. Positive (++) and (+) were considered equivalent to UICC macrometastasis and micrometastasis, respectively (Tsujimoto *et al*, 2007). Positive (+I) was considered to include both UICC macrometastasis and micrometastasis.

All SNs and a small number of nonSNs surrounding SNs were assessed intraoperatively. Almost all nonSNs in axillary dissection materials were assessed postoperatively. The nonSNs were placed in tubes and immediately frozen at -80°C in a deep freezer (My Bio Cube; Nihon Freezer, Tokyo, Japan). The frozen nonSNs were assessed at a later date, using the same protocol, as fresh nodes.

Statistical analyses

To compare the patient characteristics of the FS and OSNA cohorts, Student's *t*-test (for age), the Mann-Whitney *U*-test (for the period from breast biopsy to surgery, number of SNs removed, and number of specimens examined), and the χ^2 -test with Yates' continuity correction (for other characteristics) were performed. To compare the detection rates of SN metastases between the FS and OSNA cohorts, a two-population *Z*-test with Yates' continuity correction was performed for SN metastases (positive vs negative). To reveal the characteristics of patients with OSNA assay-positive DCIS, Student's *t*-test, the Mann-Whitney *U*-test, and the χ^2 -test with Yates' continuity correction were performed for the above-mentioned characteristics in the OSNA cohort. *P*-values <0.05 were considered statistically significant, and confidence intervals were set at the 95% level. All statistical analyses were performed with the statistical software R (version 2.10.1, <http://www.r-project.org/>).

RESULTS

Patient characteristics

Between January 2007 and March 2011, 828 patients were diagnosed with pure DCIS of the breast, and 695 (83.9%) of them underwent SN biopsy. Of these 695 patients, 623 were eligible for this study. The FS and OSNA cohorts contained 338 and 285 patients, respectively. The demographic characteristics of both cohorts are presented in Table 2. All patients were Asian women. Almost all the characteristics of the two cohorts, except presurgical biopsy method (needle biopsy vs others), were similar; the proportion of patients in the OSNA cohort who received needle biopsy was higher than that in the FS cohort (OSNA 209 out of 285, 73.3% vs FS 219 out of 338, 64.8%; $P=0.022$). The histological characteristics of the primary tumour were similar between both cohorts. The median number of specimens examined from surgically removed breast tissue was more than 20 blocks for both cohorts.

Detection of SN metastases

Sentinel lymph nodes were positive for metastasis more frequently in the OSNA cohort than in the FS cohort (OSNA 12 out of 285, 4.2%; 95% confidence interval 2.3–7.4% vs FS 1 out of 339, 0.3%; 95% confidence interval 0.0–1.9%, $P=0.0018$; Table 3).

Table 1 Definition of positive lymph nodes using the one-step nucleic acid amplification assay and correspondence of the results to macrometastases (>2 mm in size) and micrometastases (>0.2 mm to ≤ 2 mm in size)

CK19 mRNA (copy/ μl) in diluted sample	
≥ 250	< 250
CK19 mRNA (copy/ μl) in measurement sample	
≥ 5000	Positive (+ +)
	Equivalent to macrometastasis
250–5000	Positive (+)
	Equivalent to micrometastasis
< 250	Positive (+ I) ^a
	Negative

Abbreviations: CK19 = cytokeratin 19; (+ I) = positive with inhibited reaction. ^aPositive (+ I) includes both macro- and micrometastases.

Sentinel lymph node and nonSN status in SN-positive patients

All SN-positive patients underwent axillary lymph node dissection. In the FS cohort, only one patient had SN metastasis. In this patient, two nodes involved macrometastases (case #1, Table 4);

Table 2 Patient and tumour characteristics between the frozen-section histology and OSNA cohorts

Characteristics	Frozen section		OSNA		P-value
	No.	%	No.	%	
No. of patients	338	100	285	100	
Age (years)					0.89
Median (range)	50 (20–80)		50 (26–83)		
Palpability					0.81
Palpable	131	38.8	114	40.0	
Non-palpable	207	61.2	171	60.0	
Mammographic findings					0.55
Mass	40	11.8	38	13.3	
Calcification	200	59.2	176	61.8	
Others	31	9.2	27	9.5	
None	67	19.8	44	15.4	
Breast biopsy method					0.022**
Incisional	1	0.3	1	0.4	
Needle with vacuum assistance	168	49.7	176	61.8	
Needle without vacuum assistance	51	15.1	33	11.6	
Ductoscopic	19	5.6	9	3.2	
Cytology only	99	29.3	66	23.2	
Period from breast biopsy to surgery (days)					0.64
Median (range)	62 (6–765)		67 (7–887)		
SN identification					0.36
Radioisotope alone	105	31.1	78	27.4	
Radioisotope plus dye	233	68.9	207	72.6	
No. of SN removed					0.060
Median (range)	2 (1–6)		2 (1–7)		
Breast surgery					0.63
Partial mastectomy	194	57.4	170	59.6	
Total mastectomy	144	42.6	115	40.4	
No. of specimen examined (blocks)					0.060
Median (range)	21 (5–93)		22 (6–85)		
Pathological size (cm)					0.81
≤ 2.0	76	22.5	68	23.9	
2.1–4.0	113	33.4	94	33.0	
4.1–6.0	83	24.6	60	21.1	
> 6.0	66	19.5	63	22.1	
Subtype					0.26
Comedo	131	38.8	97	34.0	
Non-comedo	207	61.2	188	66.0	
Nuclear grade					0.22
1	192	56.8	160	56.1	
2	88	26.0	88	30.9	
3	58	17.2	37	13.0	
Oestrogen receptor					0.36
+	274	81.1	240	84.2	
–	64	18.9	45	15.8	
Progesterone receptor					0.88
+	227	67.2	194	68.1	
–	111	32.8	91	31.9	

Abbreviations: OSNA = one-step nucleic acid amplification; SN = sentinel lymph node. **P*-value for needle vs others. ** $P < 0.05$.

however, there were no metastases in nonSNs. In the OSNA cohort, 12 patients had SN metastases (cases #2–13). In all 12 patients, SN metastasis was confined to one node, and the CK19 mRNA copy number was low (median, 430 copies/ μ l; mean, 652 copies/ μ l; range, 250–1400 copies/ μ l). Of these 12 patients, 4 underwent permanent histology examination (cases #2–5) and 8 underwent the OSNA assay (cases #6–13) for the detection of nonSN metastases. Of the latter eight patients, three had nonSN metastases (cases #8, #11, and #12) and two had low CK19 mRNA expression in nonSNs (cases #6 and #10).

Characteristics of patients with OSNA assay-positive DCIS

In the OSNA cohort, 12 patients had SN metastases and 273 did not have metastases. The number of SNs removed in the SN-positive patients was larger than that in the SN-negative patients (median, 3; range, 1–6 vs median, 2; range, 1–7, respectively, $P=0.045$; Table 5). Other characteristics including pathological size, grade, subtype, palpability, mammographic finding, breast biopsy method, and the period from biopsy to surgery were not significantly different between cohorts.

DISCUSSION

This study features a combination of detailed histological examination of primary breast tumours to exclude microinvasive cancers and whole lymph node analysis using the OSNA assay to detect micrometastases >0.2 mm in size. To our knowledge, this is the first report in which all whole SNs in DCIS were evaluated using a molecular assay. The incidence of SN-positive DCIS in the FS cohort was very low compared with the results of previous studies (Ansari *et al*, 2008), even considering that FS histology is a less-

precise examination than permanent histology (Layfield *et al*, 2011). Thus, the histological examination procedure for primary tumours adapted in this study appears to exclude patients with microinvasive cancers more thoroughly than procedures used in previous studies. In the patients with DCIS examined in detail, the OSNA whole node assay detected more cases of SN metastases than routine FS histology. Moreover, 4% of DCIS patients were found to have SN metastases.

One of the possible pathogeneses of nodal metastasis in DCIS is true metastases from occult invasive lesions of primary tumours. Occult invasive lesions can manifest in two forms: (1) occult invasion between the specimen surfaces of the surgical materials and (2) multicentric occult cancer in the conserved breast (i.e., in partial mastectomy cases) or in materials not examined (i.e., in total mastectomy cases). In terms of occult invasion between the specimen surfaces, although the present histological examination procedure for primary tumours is extensive, invasive lesions of <5 mm in size can be missed by chance. Ductal carcinoma *in situ* patients who have large, high-grade or comedo-type tumours, or palpable or mammographic masses are more likely to have occult invasion (Ansari *et al*, 2008). However, none of these characteristics of high-risk DCIS were significantly correlated with OSNA-positive tumours. Furthermore, the possibility of multicentric cancers appears to be low, because almost all patients in this study had preoperative mammography, ultrasonography, and magnetic resonance imaging.

Another possible pathogenesis is false-positive results from the OSNA assay. Lymph nodes with contaminating epithelial cells, benign intranodal inclusions, or iatrogenic dissemination of benign epithelial or tumour cells can cause false-positive diagnoses. However, as we will discuss later, the incidences of such events appear to be low. Several clinical trials demonstrate a high specificity (96–98%) for the OSNA assay (Visser *et al*, 2008; Schem *et al*, 2009; Tamaki *et al*, 2009; Feldman *et al*, 2011; Snook *et al*, 2011).

The incidence of false-positive diagnoses appears to be low for several reasons. First, the rigorous SN biopsy with radioisotope tracer before breast surgery and the removal of extranodal tissue before homogenisation minimises contamination. Second, although benign intranodal epithelial inclusions such as heterotopic mammary glands, benign glandular inclusions, and benign Mullerian inclusions are inevitable (Maiorano *et al*, 2003; Peng *et al*, 2008; Corben *et al*, 2010; Fellegara *et al*, 2011), their presence is very rare in axillary lymph nodes. In a large series with more than 3500 specimens, only 7 occurrences ($<0.2\%$) of ectopic breast tissue in SNs

Table 3 Detection of sentinel lymph node metastases between the frozen-section histology and OSNA cohorts

	Frozen section				OSNA			P-value
	No.	%	95% CI		No.	%	95% CI	
Positive	1	0.3	0.0–1.9	Positive	12	4.2	2.3–7.4	0.0018
Negative	337	99.7		Negative	273	95.8		

Abbreviations: CI = confidence intervals; OSNA = one-step nucleic acid amplification.

Table 4 Sentinel and non-sentinel node status in patients with ductal carcinoma *in situ* with sentinel node metastasis

Case no.	Sentinel node status				Non-sentinel node status			
	Method	No. of positive/removed nodes	Results	CK19 mRNA (copy/μl)	Method	No. of positive/removed nodes	Results	CK19 mRNA (copy/μl)
1	Histology ^a	2/2	Macro	NA	Histology ^b	0/14	(−)	NA
2	OSNA	1/1	(+)	1400	Histology ^b	0/20	(−)	NA
3	OSNA	1/3	(+)	620	Histology ^b	0/15	(−)	NA
4	OSNA	1/1	(+)	260	Histology ^b	0/12	(−)	NA
5	OSNA	1/2	(+)	280	Histology ^b	0/11	(−)	NA
6	OSNA	1/4	(+)	780	OSNA	0/13	(−)	150
7	OSNA	1/3	(+)	460	OSNA	0/9	(−)	2
8	OSNA	1/2	(+I)	250 ^c	OSNA	1/6	(+I)	330 ^c
9	OSNA	1/4	(+)	270	OSNA	0/14	(−)	0
10	OSNA	1/5	(+)	400	OSNA	0/26	(−)	240
11	OSNA	1/1	(+)	1400	OSNA	1/15	(+)	780
12	OSNA	1/3	(+I)	1300 ^c	OSNA	2/22	(+ +)	7800
13	OSNA	1/6	(+)	400	OSNA	0/14	(−)	0

Abbreviations: CK19 = cytokeratin 19; NA = not available; OSNA = one-step nucleic acid amplification assay; (+I) = positive with inhibited reaction. ^aFrozen-section histology using a 2-mm-sectioned lymph node. ^bPermanent histology using a single-sectioned lymph node. ^cCK19 mRNA copy numbers in the diluted samples.

Table 5 Patient and tumour characteristics between SN-negative and SN-positive groups in the OSNA cohort

Characteristics	SN negative		SN positive		P-value
	No.	%	No.	%	
No. of patients	273	100	12	100	
Age (years)					0.71
Median (range)	50 (26–83)		54 (37–75)		
Palpability					0.86
Palpable	110	40.3	4	33.3	
Non-palpable	163	59.7	8	66.7	
Mammographic findings					0.75
Mass	36	13.2	2	16.7	
Calcification	169	61.9	7	58.3	
Others	25	9.2	2	16.7	
None	43	15.8	1	8.3	
Breast biopsy method					0.42 ^a
Incisional	1	0.4	0	0.0	
Needle with vacuum assistance	169	61.9	7	58.3	
Needle without vacuum assistance	30	11.0	3	25.0	
Ductoscopic	7	2.6	2	16.7	
Cytology only	66	24.2	0	0.0	
Period from breast biopsy to surgery (days)					0.22
Median (range)	65 (7–887)		82 (13–281)		
SN identification					0.60
Radioisotope alone	76	27.8	2	16.7	
Radioisotope plus dye	197	72.2	10	83.3	
No. of SN removed					0.045 [*]
Median (range)	2 (1–7)		3 (1–6)		
Breast surgery					0.84
Partial mastectomy	163	59.7	7	58.3	
Total mastectomy	110	40.3	5	41.7	
No. of specimen examined (blocks)					0.12
Median (range)	22 (6–85)		30 (12–54)		
Pathological size (cm)					0.41
≤2.0	67	24.5	1	8.3	
2.1–4.0	90	33.0	4	33.3	
4.1–6.0	56	20.5	4	33.3	
>6.0	60	22.0	3	25.0	
Subtype					0.72
Comedo	94	34.4	3	25.0	
Non-comedo	179	65.6	9	75.0	
Nuclear grade					0.89
1	154	56.4	6	50.0	
2	84	30.8	4	33.3	
3	35	12.8	2	16.7	
Oestrogen receptor					0.75
+	229	83.9	11	91.7	
–	44	16.1	1	8.3	
Progesterone receptor					0.83
+	185	67.8	9	75.0	
–	88	32.2	3	25.0	

Abbreviations: OSNA = one-step nucleic acid amplification; SN = sentinel lymph node. ^aP-value for needle vs others. *P < 0.05.

were identified (Maiorano *et al*, 2003). Moreover, the malignant transformation of benign epithelial inclusions is hypothesised in the genesis of nodal metastases in association with DCIS. However, only few cases of pelvic or para-aortic lymph nodes have been reported to date (Prade *et al*, 1995). Third, a prior invasive diagnostic biopsy can iatrogenically displace benign epithelial or

tumour cells into the lymphatic system; these dislocated cells can be passively transported to the SN (King *et al*, 2004; Moore *et al*, 2004; Bleiweiss *et al*, 2006; Tvedskov *et al*, 2012). The results of a large cohort study show that surgical excision biopsy increases isolated tumour cells and micrometastases in SNs (Tvedskov *et al*, 2012). In this study, the number of patients who had preoperative needle biopsy of the primary breast lesion in the OSNA cohort was higher than that in the FS cohort. This supports the hypothesis that a mechanical displacement of epithelial cells rather than true metastatic deposition explains the higher detection rate of positive SNs with the OSNA assay. However, we did not find any significant differences in breast biopsy methods or the period from biopsy to surgery between the OSNA-positive and OSNA-negative patients. Moreover, an iatrogenic dissemination usually does not spread to nonSNs (Tvedskov *et al*, 2012). The OSNA assay can evaluate nonSN status more accurately than routine single-section permanent histology (Osako *et al*, 2011a). In this study, five of eight patients whose nonSNs were assessed by the OSNA assay had metastasis or low CK19 mRNA expression in their nonSNs. Thus, true metastases could have occurred in at least 60% of the patients with SN-positive DCIS.

Therefore, true metastases from occult invasion between the specimen surfaces or iatrogenic dissemination of benign epithelial or tumour cells can be considered the pathogenesis of nodal metastases in DCIS. However, the clinicopathological characteristics and nonSN status of SN-positive DCIS do not clearly support either pathogenesis. These results might be related to the low number of OSNA assay-positive patients. Further deeper-cut examination of the primary tumours and follow-up of the node-positive DCIS patients are needed to elucidate their pathogenesis. Deeper-cut examinations will reveal whether node-positive DCIS has occult invasion. In addition, the follow-up of node-positive DCIS patients will elucidate the prognostic impact of nodal metastases, as iatrogenic dissemination does not have any prognostic impact.

A potential limitation of the use of the OSNA whole node assay is the inability to store materials for other analyses. This could be a critical limitation when planning therapeutic strategies for DCIS patients with nodal metastasis. In fact, in a scenario of tumour heterogeneity, it is not always the case that the *in situ* component should have the same hormone receptor status and HER2 profile of the nodal metastases. Another potential limitation in the use of the OSNA whole node assay for the analysis of nodes is its inability to find other possible coexisting pathologies such as lymphomas.

The management of SN-positive DCIS through further axillary lymph node dissection and adjuvant systemic therapy remains controversial (Ansari *et al*, 2008). Regarding axillary dissection, it is difficult to manage patients who have metastases with DCIS differently from those with invasive cancer, because surgeons cannot know the final pathology of the primary tumour intraoperatively. For invasive cancers, current guidelines recommend further axillary dissection for patients with SN metastases (Lyman *et al*, 2005; NCCN, 2011). Therefore, in this study, all patients with node-positive DCIS underwent further axillary dissection. According to the results of the American College of Surgeons Oncology Group Z-0011 trial, further axillary dissection is unnecessary for patients with only one or two positive SNs who have undergone partial mastectomy with radiation therapy and systemic chemotherapy (Giuliano *et al*, 2010, 2011). However, systemic chemotherapy is sometimes merely overtreatment. Furthermore, there is no evidence supporting the omission of safe axillary dissection in patients who have undergone total mastectomy.

Adjuvant systemic therapy for patients with node-positive DCIS is also controversial. Considering possible occult microinvasion in primary tumours and the low tumour burden in SNs, node-positive DCIS might be treated as pT1miN1miM0 according to the seventh UICC staging. For patients with pT1miN1miM0 tumours, the current guidelines recommend considering endocrine therapy for hormone receptor-positive tumours and chemotherapy for

hormone receptor-negative tumours (NCCN, 2011). However, adjuvant systemic therapy, particularly chemotherapy, can be overtreatment for node-positive DCIS as the 10-year survival rate of pure DCIS approaches 98% (Ernster *et al*, 2000), and the possibility of iatrogenic epithelial dissemination cannot be ruled out.

In conclusion, whole lymph node analysis using the OSNA assay detected more SN metastases than FS histology with 2-mm-sectioned nodes in DCIS patients examined in detail. The true metastases from occult invasion of the primary tumour or iatrogenic dissemination of benign epithelial or tumour cells by the preoperative breast biopsy can be cited as the pathogenesis of nodal metastases in DCIS. However, the clinicopathological characteristics and nonSN status of SN-positive DCIS do not clearly support either pathogenesis. Further deeper-cut examination of the primary tumours and follow-up of node-positive DCIS patients are needed to clearly elucidate the pathogenesis.

REFERENCES

- Ansari B, Ogston SA, Purdie CA, Adamson DJ, Brown DC, Thompson AM (2008) Meta-analysis of sentinel node biopsy in ductal carcinoma *in situ* of the breast. *Br J Surg* 95(5): 547–554
- Bleiwiss IJ, Nagi CS, Jaffer S (2006) Axillary sentinel lymph nodes can be falsely positive due to iatrogenic displacement and transport of benign epithelial cells in patients with breast carcinoma. *J Clin Oncol* 24(13): 2013–2018
- Burstein HJ, Polyak K, Wong JS, Lester SC, Kaelin CM (2004) Ductal carcinoma *in situ* of the breast. *N Engl J Med* 350(14): 1430–1441
- Corben AD, Nehhazina T, Garg K, Vallejo CE, Brogi E (2010) Endosialpingiosis in axillary lymph nodes: a possible pitfall in the staging of patients with breast carcinoma. *Am J Surg Pathol* 34(8): 1211–1216
- Ernster VL, Barclay J, Kerlikowske K, Wilkie H, Ballard-Barbash R (2000) Mortality among women with ductal carcinoma *in situ* of the breast in the population-based surveillance, epidemiology and end results program. *Arch Intern Med* 160(7): 953–958
- Feldman S, Krishnamurthy S, Gillanders W, Gittleman M, Beitsch PD, Young PR, Streck CJ, Whitworth PW, Levine EA, Boolbol S, Han LK, Hermann R, Hoon DS, Giuliano AE, Meric-Bernstam F (2011) A novel automated assay for the rapid identification of metastatic breast carcinoma in sentinel lymph nodes. *Cancer* 117(12): 2599–2607
- Fellegara G, Carcangiu ML, Rosai J (2011) Benign epithelial inclusions in axillary lymph nodes: report of 18 cases and review of the literature. *Am J Surg Pathol* 35(8): 1123–1133
- Giuliano AE, Dale PS, Turner RR, Morton DL, Evans SW, Krasne DL (1995) Improved axillary staging of breast cancer with sentinel lymphadenectomy. *Ann Surg* 222(3): 394–399; discussion 399–401
- Giuliano AE, Hunt KK, Ballman KV, Beitsch PD, Whitworth PW, Blumencranz PW, Leitch AM, Saha S, McCall LM, Morrow M (2011) Axillary dissection vs no axillary dissection in women with invasive breast cancer and sentinel node metastasis: a randomized clinical trial. *JAMA* 305(6): 569–575
- Giuliano AE, Kirgan DM, Guenther JM, Morton DL (1994) Lymphatic mapping and sentinel lymphadenectomy for breast cancer. *Ann Surg* 220(3): 391–398; discussion 398–401
- Giuliano AE, McCall L, Beitsch P, Whitworth PW, Blumencranz P, Leitch AM, Saha S, Hunt KK, Morrow M, Ballman K (2010) Locoregional recurrence after sentinel lymph node dissection with or without axillary dissection in patients with sentinel lymph node metastases: the American College of Surgeons Oncology Group Z0011 randomized trial. *Ann Surg* 252(3): 426–432; discussion 432–33
- King TA, Ganaraj A, Fey JV, Tan LK, Hudis C, Norton L, Cody 3rd HS, Borgen PI (2004) Cytokeratin-positive cells in sentinel lymph nodes in breast cancer are not random events: experience in patients undergoing prophylactic mastectomy. *Cancer* 101(5): 926–933
- Krag DN, Weaver DL, Alex JC, Fairbank JT (1993) Surgical resection and radiolocalization of the sentinel lymph node in breast cancer using a gamma probe. *Surg Oncol* 2(6): 335–339; discussion 340
- Layfield DM, Agrawal A, Roche H, Cutress RI (2011) Intraoperative assessment of sentinel lymph nodes in breast cancer. *Br J Surg* 98(1): 4–17
- Lyman GH, Giuliano AE, Somerfield MR, Benson III AB, Bodurka DC, Burstein HJ, Cochran AJ, Cody III HS, Edge SB, Galper S, Hayman JA, Kim TY, Perkins CL, Podoloff DA, Sivasubramaniam VH, Turner RR, Wahl R, Weaver DL, Wolff AC, Winer EP (2005) American Society of Clinical Oncology guideline recommendations for sentinel lymph node biopsy in early-stage breast cancer. *J Clin Oncol* 23(30): 7703–7720
- Maiorano E, Mazzarol GM, Pruneri G, Mastropasqua MG, Zurrida S, Orvieto E, Viale G (2003) Ectopic breast tissue as a possible cause of false-positive axillary sentinel lymph node biopsies. *Am J Surg Pathol* 27(4): 513–518
- McMasters KM, Chao C, Wong SL, Martin III RC, Edwards MJ (2002) Sentinel lymph node biopsy in patients with ductal carcinoma *in situ*: a proposal. *Cancer* 95(1): 15–20
- Moore KH, Thaler HT, Tan LK, Borgen PI, Cody III HS (2004) Immunohistochemically detected tumor cells in the sentinel lymph nodes of patients with breast carcinoma: biologic metastasis or procedural artifact? *Cancer* 100(5): 929–934
- Mori Y, Nagamine K, Tomita N, Notomi T (2001) Detection of loop-mediated isothermal amplification reaction by turbidity derived from magnesium pyrophosphate formation. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun* 289(1): 150–154
- NCCN (2011) National Comprehensive Cancer Network clinical practice guidelines in oncology. Breast cancer ver. 2, 2011. http://www.nccn.org/professionals/physician_gls/PDF/breast.pdf
- Notomi T, Okayama H, Masubuchi H, Yonekawa T, Watanabe K, Amino N, Hase T (2000) Loop-mediated isothermal amplification of DNA. *Nucleic Acids Res* 28(12): E63
- Osaka T, Iwase T, Kimura K, Yamashita K, Horii R, Akiyama F (2011a) Accurate staging of axillary lymph nodes from breast cancer patients using a novel molecular method. *Br J Cancer* 105(8): 1197–1202
- Osaka T, Iwase T, Kimura K, Yamashita K, Horii R, Yanagisawa A, Akiyama F (2011b) Intraoperative molecular assay for sentinel lymph node metastases in early stage breast cancer: a comparative analysis between one-step nucleic acid amplification whole node assay and routine frozen section histology. *Cancer* 117(19): 4365–4374
- Peng Y, Ashfaq R, Ewing G, Leitch AM, Molberg KH (2008) False-positive sentinel lymph nodes in breast cancer patients caused by benign glandular inclusions: report of three cases and review of the literature. *Am J Clin Pathol* 130(1): 21–27; quiz 146
- Prade M, Spatz A, Bentley R, Duvillard P, Bognel C, Robboy SJ (1995) Borderline and malignant serous tumor arising in pelvic lymph nodes: evidence of origin in benign glandular inclusions. *Int J Gynecol Pathol* 14(1): 87–91
- Schem C, Maass N, Bauerschlag DO, Carstensen MH, Loning T, Roder C, Batic O, Jonat W, Tiemann K (2009) One-step nucleic acid amplification—a molecular method for the detection of lymph node metastases in breast cancer patients; results of the German study group. *Virchows Arch* 454(2): 203–210
- Shapiro-Wright HM, Julian TB (2010) Sentinel lymph node biopsy and management of the axilla in ductal carcinoma *in situ*. *J Natl Cancer Inst Monogr* 2010(41): 145–149
- Silverstein MJ, Rosser RJ, Giersen ED, Waisman JR, Gamagami P, Hoffman RS, Fingerhut AG, Lewinsky BS, Colburn W, Handel N (1987) Axillary lymph node dissection for intraductal breast carcinoma—is it indicated? *Cancer* 59(10): 1819–1824

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank all staff working in the Breast Oncology Center of the Cancer Institute Hospital of the Japanese Foundation for Cancer Research, including Masujiro Makita, Seiichiro Nishimura, Yumi Miyagi, Kotaro Iijima, Hidetomo Morizono, Takehiko Sakai, Masahiko Tanabe, and Rie Gokan. In addition, we also thank all staff working in the Division of Pathology of the Cancer Institute of the Japanese Foundation for Cancer Research, including Yuichi Ishikawa, Kengo Takeuchi, Kyoko Yamashita, Tsu Miyagi, and Yuki Takano. Finally, we are grateful to Tadashi Kiniwa, Kazuki Kishi, and Kenji Iwakabe (Sysmex Corporation) for their helpful advice. This work was supported by a Grant-in-Aid for Young Scientists (B) (no. 21791264) from the Japanese Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science, and Technology, and a Research Grant from the Foundation for Promotion of Cancer Research in Japan.

- Snook KL, Layer GT, Jackson PA, de Vries CS, Shousha S, Sinnett HD, Nigar E, Singhal H, Chia Y, Cunnick G, Kissin MW (2011) Multicentre evaluation of intraoperative molecular analysis of sentinel lymph nodes in breast carcinoma. *Br J Surg* **98**(4): 527–535
- Sobin LH, Gospodarowicz MK, Wittekind C (2009) *UICC International Union Against Cancer. TNM Classification of Malignant Tumours*, 7th edn. Wiley-Blackwell: New York, NY
- Tamaki Y, Akiyama F, Iwase T, Kaneko T, Tsuda H, Sato K, Ueda S, Mano M, Masuda N, Takeda M, Tsujimoto M, Yoshidome K, Inaji H, Nakajima H, Komoike Y, Kataoka TR, Nakamura S, Suzuki K, Tsugawa K, Wakasa K, Okino T, Kato Y, Noguchi S, Matsuura N (2009) Molecular detection of lymph node metastases in breast cancer patients: results of a multicenter trial using the one-step nucleic acid amplification assay. *Clin Cancer Res* **15**(8): 2879–2884
- Tsujimoto M, Nakabayashi K, Yoshidome K, Kaneko T, Iwase T, Akiyama F, Kato Y, Tsuda H, Ueda S, Sato K, Tamaki Y, Noguchi S, Kataoka TR, Nakajima H, Komoike Y, Inaji H, Tsugawa K, Suzuki K, Nakamura S, Daitoh M, Otomo Y, Matsuura N (2007) One-step nucleic acid amplification for intraoperative detection of lymph node metastasis in breast cancer patients. *Clin Cancer Res* **13**(16): 4807–4816
- Tvedskov TF, Jensen MB, Kroman N, Balslev E (2012) Iatrogenic displacement of tumor cells to the sentinel node after surgical excision in primary breast cancer. *Breast Cancer Res Treat* **131**(1): 223–229
- Visser M, Jiwa M, Horstman A, Brink AA, Pol RP, van Diest P, Snijders PJ, Meijer CJ (2008) Intra-operative rapid diagnostic method based on CK19 mRNA expression for the detection of lymph node metastases in breast cancer. *Int J Cancer* **122**(11): 2562–2567

This work is published under the standard license to publish agreement. After 12 months the work will become freely available and the license terms will switch to a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-Share Alike 3.0 Unported License.