Fluid intake and incidence of renal cell carcinoma in UK women

NE Allen^{*,1}, A Balkwill¹, V Beral¹, J Green¹ and G Reeves¹ for the Million Women Study Collaborators

¹Cancer Epidemiology Unit, Nuffield Department of Clinical Medicine, University of Oxford, Richard Doll Building, Roosevelt Drive, Oxford OX3 7LF, UK

BACKGROUND: It has been suggested that the apparent protective effect of alcohol intake on renal cell carcinoma may be due to the diluting effect of carcinogens by a high total fluid intake. We assessed the association between intakes of total fluids and of specific beverages on the risk of renal cell carcinoma in a large prospective cohort of UK women.

METHODS: Information on beverage consumption was obtained from a questionnaire sent ~3 years after recruitment into the Million Women Study. Cox proportional hazards models were used to estimate relative risks (RRs) and 95% confidence intervals (CIs) for renal cell carcinoma associated with beverage consumption adjusted for age, region of residence, socioeconomic status, smoking, and body mass index.

RESULTS: After an average of 5.2 years of follow-up, 588 cases of renal cell carcinoma were identified among 779 369 women. While alcohol intake was associated with a reduced risk of renal cell carcinoma (RR for ≥ 2 vs < 1 drink per day: 0.76; 95% CI: 0.61–0.96; P for trend = 0.02), there was no association with total fluid intake (RR for ≥ 12 vs < 7 drinks per day: 1.15; 95% CI: 0.91–1.45; P for trend = 0.3) or with intakes of specific beverages.

CONCLUSIONS: The apparent protective effect of alcohol on the risk of renal cell carcinoma is unlikely to be related to a high fluid intake.

British Journal of Cancer (2011) **104**, 1487–1492. doi:10.1038/bjc.2011.90 www.bjcancer.com

Published online 15 March 2011

© 2011 Cancer Research UK

Keywords: fluid; alcoholic beverages; renal cell carcinoma; prospective

Kidney cancer is relatively rare, accounting for about 2% of cancers in women. The most important risk factors identified to date are obesity, smoking, and hypertension (Chow *et al*, 2000; IARC, 2004; Reeves *et al*, 2007). Although the role of other lifestyle factors in the aetiology of kidney cancer remain unclear, there is growing epidemiological evidence that alcohol consumption may lower the risk of renal cell carcinoma, which comprises about 80–90% of all kidney cancers. We have previously shown that, among middle-aged women in the United Kingdom, each additional alcoholic drink regularly consumed per day is associated with a significant reduction in risk of about 12% (Allen *et al*, 2009), and which is consistent with findings from a pooled analysis of data from 12 other prospective studies (Lee *et al*, 2007a). However, the mechanisms through which alcohol may reduce risk are unclear. It has been suggested that a high fluid intake, rather than alcohol consumption *per se*, may reduce the risk of renal cell carcinoma by increasing urine volume and thereby diluting the concentration of carcinogens within the kidney. However, only two case–control studies (Kreiger *et al*, 1993; Wolk *et al*, 1996) and one cohort study (Lee *et al*, 2006) have examined whether total fluid intake is associated with the risk of renal cell carcinoma.

The aim of this study is to examine the association between the consumption of total fluid and of specific beverages in relation to the risk of renal cell carcinoma in a large cohort of middle-aged women in the United Kingdom.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The Million Women Study has been described in detail elsewhere (Allen *et al*, 2009). Briefly, between 1996 and 2001 a total of 1.3 million women aged 50–64 years attending breast cancer screening clinics in the United Kingdom completed a questionnaire asking about their lifestyle and other personal factors. All women were mailed a self-administered second questionnaire, on average 3.1 (s.d., 1.9) years after recruitment, that updated information on a range of measures, including new questions on diet, based on a food-frequency questionnaire, and intakes of various types of beverages. Of the 1.3 million women recruited into the study, ~65% responded to the second questionnaire, which can be viewed on the study website (<http://www.millionwomenstudy.org>). All analyses presented here are based on responses to this second questionnaire. All participants have given written informed consent to take part in the study, and ethics approval was provided by Oxford and Anglia Multi-Centre Research and Ethics Committee.

Every study participant is routinely followed-up for death, emigration, and cancer registration, by being flagged on the National Health Service Central Registers using their unique National Health Service number and other personal details. The registers regularly provide study investigators with information on the date of each event in participants, and code the underlying cause of death and cancer site according to the 10th revision of the International Classification of Diseases (ICD10) (WHO, 1992). For the present analysis, we examined incident renal cell carcinoma (ICD10 C64).

*Correspondence: Dr NE Allen; E-mail: naomi.allen@ceu.ox.ac.uk

Received 8 November 2010; revised 2 February 2011; accepted 21 February 2011; published online 15 March 2011

Statistical analyses

Of the 864 381 women who were potentially eligible for these analyses, we excluded women who were registered with any cancer (except non-melanoma skin cancer (ICD10 C44)) before completing the second questionnaire (5.4%), and women who had missing information on alcohol intake or total fluid intake (1.0%). We also excluded a small proportion of women with unfeasibly high fluid intakes (>30 drinks per day; 0.3%) and women who were being treated for diabetes (as both their fluid consumption and cancer rates may be different from that of women without diabetes (3.2%)), leaving 779 369 women included in this analysis.

The questionnaire asked women to record how many non-alcoholic drinks (one drink being defined as one glass or cup) they typically consumed per day, for each of tea, coffee, milk/hot chocolate, water, carbonated/fizzy drinks, and fruit squash. Separate questions asked women to record the number of glasses per week of fruit juice and alcoholic beverages they consumed, which was converted to glasses per day to ensure comparability with the other variables. One alcoholic drink was defined on the questionnaire as one glass of wine, one half-pint of lager or one tot (i.e., a single measure) of spirits. As we had also asked about alcohol consumption at recruitment we used that information for the women who had missing values on the follow-up questionnaire.

Woman-years were calculated from the date of returning the second questionnaire that included questions about intakes of various fluids to the date of any cancer registration (other than non-melanoma skin cancer), death, or the last date of follow-up, whichever came first. The end of follow-up for cancer incidence was 31 December 2007 for East Anglia, South West, and North West (Mersey); 30 June 2007 for Oxford, Thames, West Midlands, and Trent; and 31 December 2006 for Scotland, North West (Manchester/Lancashire), and North Yorkshire. Follow-up was complete for over 99% of the cohort population.

Cox proportional hazards models were applied to estimate relative risks (RRs) of renal cell carcinoma associated with fluid consumption, with attained age as the underlying time variable, using the STATA computing package (STATA Corp., 2007, release 9.2 College Station, TX, USA). Analyses were stratified according to geographical region (10 regions) and socioeconomic status (quintiles of deprivation index,

based on postcode of residence) (Townsend *et al*, 1988), and adjusted for self-reported body mass index (in six categories with cut points at 22.5, 25.0, 27.5, 30.0, and 35.0 kg m⁻²) and smoking (never, past, current smokers <10, 10–19, ≥20 cigarettes per day), assigning missing values to a separate category, where necessary. Additional adjustment for use of menopausal hormone therapy and current treatment for high blood pressure changed the risk estimates by <10% and these covariates were not included in the final model. In all analyses, the reference group for total fluid intake was 1–7 drinks per day, and for specific beverages was zero or <1 drink per day. The test for trend across categories of intake was based on the mean intake within each group.

The association of total fluid intake on the risk of renal cell carcinoma was examined in relation to the main known risk factors, including current smoking status (yes, no), body mass index (<25.3, ≥25.4 kg m⁻², divided at the median), and time between completing the second questionnaire and diagnosis (<2, ≥2 years); evidence of heterogeneity was assessed using χ^2 -tests.

RESULTS

A total of 779 369 women were followed-up for an average of 5.2 years, during which time 588 incident cases of renal cell carcinoma were identified. The average age at the time the information on fluid consumption was reported was 59 years (5–95th percentile: 53–67 years), and the mean age at renal cell carcinoma diagnosis was 64 years. The relative contribution of specific beverages to overall fluid consumption is shown in Table 1. Overall, tea, water, and coffee contributed the most to total fluid consumption (35, 25, and 21%, respectively) with alcohol, fruit squash, fruit juice, milk, and carbonated drinks each contributing ≤5% to total fluid intake. Figure 1 shows the distribution of total fluid intake among all women, with a median of 10 drinks per day (5–95th percentile range: 5–16 drinks per day). Water contributed most to the variation in total fluid intake, although women with a high intake of total fluid (i.e., ≥12 drinks per day) also drank proportionally more alcohol and fruit squash and less tea and coffee than women with a low overall intake (<7 drinks per day). Women who drank ≥12 drinks each day tended to be younger, have a slightly higher body mass index, were more likely to be current smokers, and less

Table 1 Characteristics of the study population by total fluid consumption

	Total fluid consumption (drinks per day)				All women
	1–7	8–9	10–11	≥12	
Numbers of women	179 966	208 710	181 014	209 679	779 369
Number of drinks per day (mean, s.d.)					
Total	6.1 (1.1)	8.5 (0.5)	10.4 (0.5)	14.2 (2.7)	9.9 (3.4)
Tea	2.4 (1.8)	3.1 (2.1)	3.5 (2.3)	4.4 (3.2)	3.4 (2.5)
Water	1.2 (1.4)	2.1 (1.7)	2.8 (2.0)	4.2 (2.8)	2.6 (2.3)
Coffee	1.5 (1.5)	1.8 (1.7)	2.0 (1.9)	2.4 (2.4)	2.0 (1.9)
Alcohol	0.2 (0.6)	0.4 (0.8)	0.7 (1.0)	1.0 (1.3)	0.6 (1.0)
Fruit squash	0.2 (0.5)	0.3 (0.7)	0.4 (0.8)	0.7 (1.4)	0.4 (0.9)
Fruit juice	0.2 (0.5)	0.3 (0.6)	0.5 (0.7)	0.7 (0.9)	0.4 (0.7)
Carbonated drinks	0.2 (0.6)	0.2 (0.6)	0.3 (0.7)	0.5 (1.2)	0.3 (0.8)
Milk	0.2 (0.4)	0.2 (0.5)	0.3 (0.6)	0.4 (1.0)	0.3 (0.7)
Lifestyle characteristics ^a					
Age at the time of reporting (mean, s.d.)	59.5 (5.0)	59.5 (5.0)	59.4 (4.9)	59.1 (4.9)	59.4 (4.9)
Body mass index (kg m ⁻² ; mean, s.d.)	25.9 (4.5)	26.0 (4.4)	26.0 (4.4)	26.1 (4.5)	26.0 (4.5)
Current smokers (%)	9.8	11.0	12.4	15.5	12.3
Lowest socioeconomic quintile (%)	17.1	15.5	15.6	17.3	16.4
Current use of hormone-replacement therapy (%)	28.6	28.0	28.1	28.3	28.3
Treatment for hypertension (%)	21.3	21.1	20.9	20.7	21.0

^aExcludes a small proportion of women with missing values.

likely to be treated for hypertension than women with a low intake of total fluids (<7 drinks per day; Table 1).

Table 2 shows the risk of renal cell carcinoma associated with consumption of total fluids. Overall, consumption of total fluids was not associated with risk; compared with women who drank <7 drinks per day, the RRs for women who drank

Figure 1 Distribution of total fluid intake among 779 369 women in the Million Women Study.

8–9, 10–11, and ≥ 12 drinks per day were 1.13 (95% confidence interval (CI): 0.89–1.42), 1.06 (95% CI: 0.83–1.35), and 1.15 (95% CI: 0.91–1.45), respectively (P for trend = 0.3). Figure 2 shows the association of total fluid intake and risk of renal cell carcinoma by smoking status, body mass index, and time between completing the questionnaire and diagnosis, none of which modified the overall association.

Table 3 shows the risk of renal cell carcinoma associated with consumption of tea, water, and coffee, and Table 4 shows the association with less frequently consumed beverages, including alcohol, fruit squash, fruit juice, milk, and carbonated drinks. There was a significant inverse association with increasing alcohol intake, with an RR of 0.76 (95% CI: 0.61–0.96) for women drinking two or more drinks per day compared with women drinking none or <1 drink per day (P for trend = 0.02). Additional adjustment for total fluid intake made little difference to the association with alcohol intake, with an RR of 0.73 (95% CI: 0.58–0.92) for women drinking two or more drinks per day compared with women drinking none or <1 drink per day (P for trend = 0.01). There was no association between intake of any individual non-alcoholic beverage and risk of renal cell carcinoma.

DISCUSSION

In this large prospective cohort of middle-aged British women, total fluid intake was not associated with a reduced risk of renal

Table 2 Multivariate relative risks (RRs)^a and 95% confidence intervals (CIs) for renal cell carcinoma by total fluid consumption

	Total fluid consumption (drinks per day)				P for trend ^b	RR (95% CI) per drink per day
	1–7	8–9	10–11	≥ 12		
No. of cases/population	126/179 966	164/208 710	132/181 014	166/209 679		
Woman-years (thousands)	945	1091	939	1075		
RR (95% CI)	1.00 (Ref)	1.13 (0.89–1.42)	1.06 (0.83–1.35)	1.15 (0.91–1.45)	0.3	1.01 (0.99–1.04)

^aRRs are stratified by region of residence and adjusted for socioeconomic status, body mass index, and smoking. ^bTest for trend was calculated by scoring the categories according to the mean intake in each category.

^a Stratified by region of residence and adjusted for socioeconomic status, body mass index, and smoking.

^b Excludes 19 784 women with missing data.

^c Excludes 57 394 women with missing data.

^d Test for heterogeneity was calculated using χ^2 -square tests.

Figure 2 Multivariate relative risks (RRs) and 95% confidence intervals (CIs) for renal cell carcinoma per drink per day increase according to various characteristics of the women studied.

Table 3 Multivariate relative risks (RRs)^a and 95% confidence intervals (CIs) for renal cell carcinoma by consumption of tea, water, and coffee

	Drinks per day				P for trend ^b	RR (95% CI) per drink per day
	1–7	8–9	10–11	≥12		
<i>Tea</i>						
No. of cases/population	97/119 259	116/179 955	190/258 006	185/221 561		
RR (95% CI)	1.00 (Ref)	0.83 (0.63, 1.09)	0.91 (0.71, 1.17)	0.98 (0.76, 1.25)	0.6	1.01 (0.97, 1.04)
<i>Water</i>						
No. of cases/population	126/159 835	198/280 977	170/205 556	94/132 413		
RR (95% CI)	1.00 (Ref)	0.99 (0.79, 1.23)	1.20 (0.95, 1.52)	1.10 (0.84, 1.43)	0.2	1.02 (0.99, 1.06)
<i>Coffee</i>						
No. of cases/population	143/198 367	286/342 811	95/162 614	64/74 989		
RR (95% CI)	1.00 (Ref)	1.13 (0.92, 1.38)	0.78 (0.60, 1.02)	1.05 (0.78, 1.42)	0.4	0.98 (0.94, 1.02)

^aRRs are stratified by region of residence and adjusted for socioeconomic status, body mass index, and smoking. ^bTest for trend was calculated by scoring the categories according to the mean intake in each category.

Table 4 Multivariate relative risks (RRs)^a and 95% confidence intervals (CIs) for renal cell carcinoma by consumption of other drinks

	Drinks per day			P for trend ^b	RR (95% CI) per drink per day
	0 or <1	1	≥2		
<i>Alcohol</i>					
No. of cases/population	461/567 918	35/45 030	92/165 833		
RR (95% CI)	1.00 (Ref)	1.02 (0.72–1.43)	0.76 (0.61–0.96)	0.02	0.90 (0.81–0.99)
<i>Fruit squash</i>					
No. of cases/population	437/586 672	93/122 112	58/69 997		
RR (95% CI)	1.00 (Ref)	1.03 (0.82–1.28)	1.10 (0.84–1.45)	0.5	1.03 (0.94–1.14)
<i>Fruit juice</i>					
No. of cases/population	419/529 063	118/183 018	51/66 700		
RR (95% CI)	1.00 (Ref)	0.84 (0.68–1.03)	1.01 (0.76–1.36)	0.5	0.96 (0.84–1.08)
<i>Milk</i>					
No. of cases/population	453/610 605	116/140 880	19/27 296		
RR (95% CI)	1.00 (Ref)	1.09 (0.89–1.34)	0.97 (0.61–1.54)	0.7	1.02 (0.90–1.16)
<i>Carbonated drinks</i>					
No. of cases/population	474/641 379	62/83 502	52/53 900		
RR (95% CI)	1.00 (Ref)	0.96 (0.73–1.25)	1.18 (0.89–1.58)	0.3	1.05 (0.95–1.17)

^aRRs are stratified by region of residence and adjusted for socioeconomic status, body mass index, and smoking. ^bTest for trend was calculated by scoring the categories according to the mean intake in each category.

cell carcinoma. For specific beverages, only alcohol consumption was associated with a significant reduction in risk, with no association between intakes of any other beverage on the risk of renal cell carcinoma.

We previously reported that alcohol intake, as measured at recruitment, was associated with a reduced risk of renal cell carcinoma in this study population (Allen *et al*, 2009); with updated information provided about 3 years after recruitment, the association persists. However, women who drink more alcohol also tend to drink more fluid overall (Table 1), and it has been suggested that this apparent protective effect may be due to a diluting effect of carcinogens related to a high fluid intake rather than to an effect of alcohol *per se*. Our finding that total fluid intake is not associated with risk of renal cell carcinoma refutes this hypothesis, and is consistent with the results from the two case–control studies (Kreiger *et al*, 1993; Wolk *et al*, 1996) and one prospective study (Lee *et al*, 2006) that have examined this association.

We found little to suggest that consumption of tea or coffee is associated with risk of renal cell carcinoma, which is consistent with the findings from most other studies (Armstrong *et al*, 1976; Jacobsen *et al*, 1986; Yu *et al*, 1986; Asal *et al*, 1988; McCredie *et al*, 1988; Maclure and Willett, 1990; Talamini *et al*, 1990; Benhamou

et al, 1993; Kreiger *et al*, 1993; Wolk *et al*, 1996; Yuan *et al*, 1998; Bianchi *et al*, 2000; Bravi *et al*, 2007; Hu *et al*, 2009; Montella *et al*, 2009). Results from cohort studies are mixed: a pooled analysis of 13 prospective studies reported a small reduction in renal cell carcinoma risk with coffee consumption among women and with tea among men (Lee *et al*, 2007b); other cohort studies not included in the pooled analysis that have examined coffee intake in relation to renal cell carcinoma have reported inconsistent findings (Stensvold and Jacobsen, 1994; Washio *et al*, 2005; Nilsson *et al*, 2010). Taken together, the evidence suggests little or no effect of tea or coffee consumption on renal cell carcinoma risk.

To our knowledge, only three studies have examined water intake in relation to the risk of renal cell carcinoma (Wolk *et al*, 1996; Lee *et al*, 2006; Hu *et al*, 2009), all of which found no association and is consistent with our findings. Few individual studies have examined the association of other beverages on the risk of renal cell carcinoma. One multi-centre case–control study in Canada reported a significant positive association with intake of juice in men but not in women (Hu *et al*, 2009). Our finding of no association with fruit juice or other beverages including squash, milk, or carbonated drinks on the risk of renal cell carcinoma is

consistent with data from a pooled analysis of 13 prospective studies (Lee *et al*, 2007b).

In this study, women who drank relatively high amounts of total fluid were younger, slightly heavier, and smoked more cigarettes than women who drank lower amounts of total fluid. Nonetheless, all analyses were adjusted for these factors and are therefore unlikely to have influenced the associations found between fluid intake and risk of renal cell carcinoma. Additional adjustment for other lifestyle factors (use of hormone-replacement therapy and current treatment for high blood pressure) made little difference to the risk estimates, suggesting that confounding by these other factors is unlikely. We did not have information on other factors such as family history of renal cell carcinoma or total energy intake, although these factors would have to be related to both fluid intake and renal cell carcinoma risk to have strongly confounded any association.

The strengths of this study include its prospective design and detailed data on specific beverage consumption. The large study size and the relatively wide distribution of intake for most beverages also meant that there was sufficient power to detect an association, if one existed, with the possible exception of milk intake that contained few cases in the highest category of intake. The average intake of tea, coffee, water, squash, fruit juice, milk, and carbonated drinks among this study population is comparable with a national survey of middle-aged British women conducted in 2000–2001 (Office of National Statistics, 2003), and has also been shown to be broadly similar in other respects with that of the general population (Banks *et al*, 2002). Our measure of total fluid intake does not include the water component of foods. In addition, women will use different glass and cup sizes to represent a measure of one drink, resulting in some misclassification of the volume of fluid consumed. These analyses are also based on the assumption that beverage consumption at the time of completing the questionnaire is a suitable marker of long-term intake. We did ask the same questions 2 years later in a random sample of 1271 women and all beverages, except carbonated drinks, were reported with high consistency (i.e., changed <15%) (Roddam *et al*, 2005). The average intake of carbonated drinks declined from 0.7 to 0.6 drinks per day and it is difficult to know if this reflects a real change in intake over time or is a consequence of the low overall intake of carbonated drinks. Although it is possible that women with preclinical symptoms of kidney disease may have changed their fluid intake, our finding that the risk estimates were similar between those who were diagnosed <2 years following completion of the questionnaire and those diagnosed later, suggests that reverse causation bias did not unduly influence the overall results.

The results from this study suggest that a high fluid intake does not explain the potential protective effect of alcohol on the risk of renal cell carcinoma. It is possible that moderate alcohol consumption may protect against the risk of renal cell carcinoma through its effect on the immune system (Romeo *et al*, 2007) or on lipid peroxidation (Gago-Dominguez *et al*, 2002), although perhaps a more likely explanation is via alcohol's reported beneficial effect on insulin sensitivity (Kiechl *et al*, 1996; Davies *et al*, 2002), given that obesity and diabetes are risk factors for renal cell carcinoma. More work is needed to examine whether these alternative mechanisms can explain the apparent protective association of moderate alcohol intake on the risk of renal cell carcinoma.

REFERENCES

- Allen NE, Beral V, Casabonne D, Kan SW, Reeves GK, Brown A, Green J (2009) Moderate alcohol intake and cancer incidence in women. *J Natl Cancer Inst* **101**: 296–305
- Armstrong B, Garrod A, Doll R (1976) A retrospective study of renal cancer with special reference to coffee and animal protein consumption. *Br J Cancer* **33**: 127–136

Conclusion

The finding that total fluid consumption and consumption of specific individual beverages was not associated with risk of renal cell carcinoma suggests that any potential protective effect of alcohol on risk of renal cell carcinoma is not a consequence of a high overall fluid intake.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank all of the women who participated in the Million Women Study. Million Women Study Steering Committee: Joan Austoker, Emily Banks, Valerie Beral, Judith Church, Ruth English, Julietta Patnick, Richard Peto, Gillian Reeves, Martin Vessey, Matthew Wallis. Million Women Study Coordinating Centre staff: Simon Abbott, Krys Baker, Angela Balkwill, Sue Bellenger, Valerie Beral, Judith Black, Anna Brown, Diana Bull, Delphine Casabonne, Barbara Crossley, Dave Ewart, Sarah Ewart, Lee Fletcher, Toral Gathani, Laura Gerrard, Adrian Goodill, Jane Green, Winifred Gray, Joy Hooley, Sau Wan Kan, Carol Keene, Nicky Langston, Bette Liu, Sarit Nehushtan, Lynn Pank, Kirstin Pirie, Gillian Reeves, Andrew Roddam, Emma Sherman, Moya Simmonds, Elizabeth Spencer, Richard Stevens, Helena Strange, Sian Sweetland, Alike Taylor, Alison Timadger, Sarah Tipper, Joanna Watson, Stephen Williams. Collaborating UK NHS breast screening centres: Avon, Aylesbury, Barnsley, Basingstoke, Bedfordshire and Hertfordshire, Cambridge and Huntingdon, Chelmsford and Colchester, Chester, Cornwall, Crewe, Cumbria, Doncaster, Dorset, East Berkshire, East Cheshire, East Devon, East of Scotland, East Suffolk, East Sussex, Gateshead, Gloucestershire, Great Yarmouth, Hereford and Worcester, Kent (Canterbury, Rochester, Maidstone), King's Lynn, Leicestershire, Liverpool, Manchester, Milton Keynes, Newcastle, North Birmingham, North East Scotland, North Lancashire, North Middlesex, North Nottingham, North of Scotland, North Tees, North Yorkshire, Nottingham, Oxford, Portsmouth, Rotherham, Sheffield, Shropshire, Somerset, South Birmingham, South East Scotland, South East Staffordshire, South Derbyshire, South Essex, South Lancashire, South West Scotland, Surrey, Warrington Halton St Helens and Knowsley, Warwickshire Solihull and Coventry, West Berkshire, West Devon, West London, West Suffolk, West Sussex, Wiltshire, Winchester, Wirral, and Wycombe.

Author contribution

All the authors participated in the design and conduct of the study and read and approved the final manuscript. NEA and VB are the guarantors. The Million Women Study is supported by Cancer Research UK, the UK Medical Research Council, and the UK National Health Service breast screening programme. The funders did not influence the conduct of the study, the preparation of this report, or the decision to publish. The authors had full access to all the data in the study and had final responsibility for the decision to submit for publication.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

- Asal NR, Risser DR, Kadamani S, Geyer JR, Lee ET, Cherrn N (1988) Risk factors in renal cell carcinoma: I. Methodology, demographics, tobacco, beverage use, and obesity. *Cancer Detect Prev* **11**: 359–377
- Banks E, Beral V, Cameron R, Hogg A, Langley N, Barnes I, Bull D, Reeves G, English R, Taylor S, Elliman J, Lole HC (2002) Comparison of

- various characteristics of women who do and do not attend for breast cancer screening. *Breast Cancer Res* 4: R1
- Benhamou S, Lenfant MH, Ory-Paoletti C, Flamant R (1993) Risk factors for renal-cell carcinoma in a French case-control study. *Int J Cancer* 55: 32–36
- Bianchi GD, Cerhan JR, Parker AS, Putnam SD, See WA, Lynch CF, Cantor KP (2000) Tea consumption and risk of bladder and kidney cancers in a population-based case-control study. *Am J Epidemiol* 151: 377–383
- Bravi F, Bosetti C, Scotti L, Talamini R, Montella M, Ramazzotti V, Negri E, Franceschi S, La Vecchia C (2007) Food groups and renal cell carcinoma: a case-control study from Italy. *Int J Cancer* 120: 681–685
- Chow WH, Gridley G, Fraumeni Jr JF, Jarvholm B (2000) Obesity, hypertension, and the risk of kidney cancer in men. *N Engl J Med* 343: 1305–1311
- Davies MJ, Baer DJ, Judd JT, Brown ED, Campbell WS, Taylor PR (2002) Effects of moderate alcohol intake on fasting insulin and glucose concentrations and insulin sensitivity in postmenopausal women: a randomized controlled trial. *JAMA* 287: 2559–2562
- Gago-Dominguez M, Castela JE, Yuan JM, Ross RK, Yu MC (2002) Lipid peroxidation: a novel and unifying concept of the etiology of renal cell carcinoma (United States). *Cancer Causes Control* 13: 287–293
- Hu J, Mao Y, DesMeules M, Csiszadi I, Friedenreich C, Mery L (2009) Total fluid and specific beverage intake and risk of renal cell carcinoma in Canada. *Cancer Epidemiol* 33: 355–362
- IARC (2004) *IARC Monographs on the Evaluation of Carcinogenic Risks to Humans*, Vol. 83. *Tobacco Smoke and Involuntary Smoking*. International Agency for Research on Cancer: Lyon, France
- Jacobsen BK, Bjelke E, Kvale G, Heuch I (1986) Coffee drinking, mortality, and cancer incidence: results from a Norwegian prospective study. *J Natl Cancer Inst* 76: 823–831
- Kiehl S, Willeit J, Poewe W, Egger G, Oberhollenzer F, Muggeo M, Bonora E (1996) Insulin sensitivity and regular alcohol consumption: large, prospective, cross sectional population study (Bruneck study). *BMJ* 313: 1040–1044
- Kreiger N, Marrett LD, Dodds L, Hilditch S, Darlington GA (1993) Risk factors for renal cell carcinoma: results of a population-based case-control study. *Cancer Causes Control* 4: 101–110
- Lee JE, Giovannucci E, Smith-Warner SA, Spiegelman D, Willett WC, Curhan GC (2006) Total fluid intake and use of individual beverages and risk of renal cell cancer in two large cohorts. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev* 15: 1204–1211
- Lee JE, Hunter DJ, Spiegelman D, Adami HO, Albanes D, Bernstein L, van den Brandt PA, Buring JE, Cho E, Folsom AR, Freudenheim JL, Giovannucci E, Graham S, Horn-Ross PL, Leitzmann MF, McCullough ML, Miller AB, Parker AS, Rodriguez C, Rohan TE, Schatzkin A, Schouten LJ, Virtanen M, Willett WC, Wolk A, Zhang SM, Smith-Warner SA (2007a) Alcohol intake and renal cell cancer in a pooled analysis of 12 prospective studies. *J Natl Cancer Inst* 99: 801–810
- Lee JE, Hunter DJ, Spiegelman D, Adami HO, Bernstein L, van den Brandt PA, Buring JE, Cho E, English D, Folsom AR, Freudenheim JL, Gile GG, Giovannucci E, Horn-Ross PL, Leitzmann M, Marshall JR, Mannisto S, McCullough ML, Miller AB, Parker AS, Pietinen P, Rodriguez C, Rohan TE, Schatzkin A, Schouten LJ, Willett WC, Wolk A, Zhang SM, Smith-Warner SA (2007b) Intakes of coffee, tea, milk, soda and juice and renal cell cancer in a pooled analysis of 13 prospective studies. *Int J Cancer* 121: 2246–2253
- Maclure M, Willett W (1990) A case-control study of diet and risk of renal adenocarcinoma. *Epidemiology* 1: 430–440
- McCredie M, Ford JM, Stewart JH (1988) Risk factors for cancer of the renal parenchyma. *Int J Cancer* 42: 13–16
- Montella M, Tramacere I, Tavani A, Gallus S, Crispo A, Talamini R, Dal Maso L, Ramazzotti V, Galeone C, Franceschi S, La Vecchia C (2009) Coffee, decaffeinated coffee, tea intake, and risk of renal cell cancer. *Nutr Cancer* 61: 76–80
- Nilsson LM, Johansson I, Lenner P, Lindahl B, Van Guelpen B (2010) Consumption of filtered and boiled coffee and the risk of incident cancer: a prospective cohort study. *Cancer Causes Control* 21: 1533–1544
- Office of National Statistics (2003) *The National Diet & Nutrition Survey: Adults Aged 19 to 64 years: Types and Quantities of Foods Consumed*, Vol. 1, HMSO: London
- Reeves GK, Pirie K, Beral V, Green J, Spencer E, Bull D (2007) Cancer incidence and mortality in relation to body mass index in the Million Women Study: cohort study. *BMJ* 335: 1134
- Roddam AW, Spencer E, Banks E, Beral V, Reeves G, Appleby P, Barnes I, Whiteman DC, Key TJ (2005) Reproducibility of a short semi-quantitative food group questionnaire and its performance in estimating nutrient intake compared with a 7-day diet diary in the Million Women Study. *Public Health Nutr* 8: 201–213
- Romeo J, Warnberg J, Nova E, Diaz LE, Gomez-Martinez S, Marcos A (2007) Moderate alcohol consumption and the immune system: a review. *Br J Nutr* 98(Suppl 1): S111–S115
- Stensvold I, Jacobsen BK (1994) Coffee and cancer: a prospective study of 43,000 Norwegian men and women. *Cancer Causes Control* 5: 401–408
- Talamini R, Baron AE, Barra S, Bidoli E, La Vecchia C, Negri E, Serraino D, Franceschi S (1990) A case-control study of risk factor for renal cell cancer in northern Italy. *Cancer Causes Control* 1: 125–131
- Townsend P, Phillimore P, Beattie A (1988) *Health and Deprivation: Inequality and the North*. Croon Helm: London
- Washio M, Mori M, Sakauchi F, Watanabe Y, Ozasa K, Hayashi K, Miki T, Nakao M, Mikami K, Ito Y, Wakai K, Tamakoshi A (2005) Risk factors for kidney cancer in a Japanese population: findings from the JACC Study. *J Epidemiol* 15(Suppl 2): S203–S211
- WHO (1992) *International Statistical Classification of Diseases and Related Health Problems*. 10th Revision, Vol. 1, World Health Organization: Geneva, Switzerland
- Wolk A, Gridley G, Niwa S, Lindblad P, McCredie M, Mellemgaard A, Mandel JS, Wahrendorf J, McLaughlin JK, Adami HO (1996) International renal cell cancer study. VII. Role of diet. *Int J Cancer* 65: 67–73
- Yu MC, Mack TM, Hanisch R, Cicioni C, Henderson BE (1986) Cigarette smoking, obesity, diuretic use, and coffee consumption as risk factors for renal cell carcinoma. *J Natl Cancer Inst* 77: 351–356
- Yuan JM, Gago-Dominguez M, Castela JE, Hankin JH, Ross RK, Yu MC (1998) Cruciferous vegetables in relation to renal cell carcinoma. *Int J Cancer* 77: 211–216

This work is licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-Share Alike 3.0 Unported License. To view a copy of this license, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-sa/3.0/>