www.bjcancer.com

Letter to the Editor

Reply: *EGFR* alterations and response to anti-EGFR therapy: is it a matter of gene amplification or gene copy number gain?

A Ålgars^{*,1,2}, M Lintunen^{3,4}, O Carpén^{3,4}, R Ristamäki¹ and J Sundström^{3,4}

¹Department of Oncology and Radiotherapy, Turku University Hospital, Hämeentie 11, Turku PO Box 52, FIN-20521, Finland; ²MediCity Research Laboratory, University of Turku, Tykistökatu 6 A, Turku FIN-20520, Finland; ³Department of Pathology, University of Turku, Kiinamyllynkatu 10, Turku FIN-20520, Finland; ⁴Department of Pathology, Turku University Hospital, Kiinamyllynkatu 10, Turku FIN-20520, Finland

British Journal of Cancer (2012) **106**, 428. doi:10.1038/bjc.2011.571 www.bjcancer.com Published online 20 December 2011 © 2012 Cancer Research UK

Sir,

We wish to thank Sesboüé et al (2012) for their interest in our study on the prediction of anti-EGFR therapy in colorectal cancer (CRC) (Ålgars et al, 2011). While, as they discuss, the mechanism of gene copy number (GCN) alterations may affect the response to targeted treatments, this question was beyond the focus of our work. Our aim was to test a simple hypothesis: does EGFR immunohistochemistry (IHC)-guided silver in situ hybridisation analysis predict treatment response better than previously used methods. Our hypothesis was based on the fact that EGFR expression in CRC, as examined by IHC, is heterogenous within tumours (Moroni et al, 2005; Ålgars et al, 2011). Thus, EGFR GCN (or Chr-7 polysomy) analysis from areas with highest IHC intensity might reflect the tumour's sensitivity to anti-EGFR Abs better than unguided fluorescence in situ hybridisation analysis. We correlated the results with three different clinical parameters; clinical benefit, as evaluated by RECIST criteria, progression-free survival (PFS), and overall survival (OS). The results showed that in unselected or KRAS wildtype (WT) tumours, EGFR GCN increase (cutoff 4.0) significantly predicts outcome by all three parameters. The mean PFS in the KRAS WT/EGFR GCN high group was three times longer than in the KRAS WT/EGFR GCN low group, and the OS of the KRAS WT/EGFR GCN high patients was four times longer than OS of the KRAS WT/ EGFR GCN low patients.

REFERENCES

- Ålgars A, Lintunen M, Carpén O, Ristamäki R, Sundström J (2011) EGFR gene copy number assessment from areas with highest EGFR expression predicts response to anti-EGFR therapy in colorectal cancer. Br J Cancer 105: 255 – 262
- Cascinu S, Berardi R, Salvagni S, Beretta GD, Catalano V, Pucci F, Sobrero A, Tagliaferri P, Labianca R, Scartozzi M, Crocicchio F, Mari E, Ardizzoni A (2008) A combination of gefitinib and FOLFOX-4 as first-line treatment in advanced colorectal cancer patients. A GISCAD multicentre phase II study including a biological analysis of EGFR overexpression, amplification and NF-kB activation. Br J Cancer 98: 71–76
- Frattini M, Saletti P, Romagnani E, Martin V, Molinari F, Ghisletta M, Camponovo A, Etienne LL, Cavalli F, Mazzucchelli L (2007) PTEN loss of expression predicts cetuximab efficacy in metastatic colorectal cancer patients. Br J Cancer 97: 1139-1145

*Correspondence: Dr A Ålgars; E-mail: annial@utu.fi Published online 20 December 2011

The EGFR/Chr-7 ratio did not in our analysis have any predictive value. While 51 out of 78 tumours had an EGFR GCN over the cutoff value 4.0, only two of them had an *EGFR*/Chr-7 ratio > 2 indicating that pure EGFR amplification in CRC is a rare event (at least when evaluated by our methods). Thus, EGFR GCN increase in association with Chr-7 polysomy appears to be the prevalent pattern, which is associated with responsiveness to anti-EGFR treatment. Sesboüé et al (2012) site four studies to support their claim that only 'true' EGFR amplification would be meaningful for the treatment response. Of these studies, Cascinu et al. correlated EGFR GCN changes to response with EGFR small molecular inhibitor, gefitinib, which is not used in CRC (Cascinu et al, 2008). One of the other three studies lacks information of the KRAS status of the tumours (Razis et al, 2008), and only one of these three studies correlated EGFR alterations with time to progression (Razis et al, 2008), whereas the other two studies assessed merely the response rates to anti-EGFR therapy (Moroni et al, 2005; Frattini et al, 2007). In our understanding, none of the studies therefore support the conclusion of Sesboüé et al (2012). As a final note, the dogma of the importance of the gene/chromosome ratio seems to be falling apart also in the case of HER2. A recent study of 1888 breast cancer patients treated with or without trastuzumab demonstrated that trastuzumab benefit was independent of HER2/centromere 17 ratio and Chr-17 copy number (Perez et al, 2010).

- Moroni M, Veronese S, Benvenuti S, Marrapese G, Sartore-Bianchi A, Di Nicolantonio F, Gambacorta M, Siena S, Bardelli A (2005) Gene copy number for epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) and clinical response to antiEGFR treatment in colorectal cancer: a cohort study. *Lancet Oncol* 6: 279–286
- Perez EA, Reinholz MM, Hillman DW, Tenner KS, Schroeder MJ, Davidson NE, Martino S, Sledge GW, Harris LN, Gralow JR, Dueck AC, Ketterling RP, Ingle JN, Lingle WL, Kaufman PA, Visscher DW, Jenkins RB (2010) HER2 and chromosome 17 effect on patient outcome in the N9831 adjuvant trastuzumab trial. J Clin Oncol 28: 4307-4315
- Razis E, Briasoulis E, Vrettou E, Skarlos DV, Papamichael D, Kostopoulos I, Samantas E, Xanthakis I, Bobos M, Galanidi E, Bai M, Gikonti I, Koukouma A, Kafiri G, Papakostas P, Kalogeras KT, Kosmidis P, Fountzilas G (2008) Potential value of PTEN in predicting cetuximab response in colorectal cancer: an exploratory study. BMC Cancer 8: 234
- Sesboüé R, Le Pessot F, Di Fiore F, Frebourg T (2012) EGFR alterations and response to anti-EGFR therapy: is it a matter of gene amplification or gene copy number gain? Br J Cancer 106: 426-427