ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Thermoelectric performance of CuFeS_{2+2x} composites prepared by rapid thermal explosion

Hongyao Xie¹, Xianli Su¹, Yonggao Yan¹, Wei Liu¹, Liangjun Chen¹, Jiefei Fu¹, Jihui Yang², Ctirad Uher³ and Xinfeng Tang¹

Although many thermoelectric materials, such as Bi_2Te_3 , PbTe and $CoSb_3$, possess excellent thermoelectric properties, they often contain toxic and expensive elements. Moreover, most of them are synthesized by processes such as vacuum melting, mechanical alloying or solid-state reactions, which are highly energy and time intensive. All these factors limit commercial applications of the thermoelectric materials. Therefore, it is imperative to develop efficient, inexpensive and non-toxic materials and explore rapid and low-cost synthesis methods. Herein we demonstrated a rapid, facile and low-cost synthesis route that combines thermal explosion (TE) with plasma-activated sintering and used it to prepare environmentally benign $CuFeS_{2+2x}$. The phase transformation that occurred during the TE and correlations between the microstructure and transport properties were investigated. In a TE process, single-phase $CuFeS_2$ was obtained in a short time and the thermoelectric performance of the bulk samples was better than that of the samples that were synthesized using traditional methods. Furthermore, the effect of phase boundaries on the transport properties was investigated and the underlying physical mechanisms that led to an improvement in the thermoelectric performance were revealed. This work provides several new ideas regarding the TE process and its utilization in the synthesis of thermoelectric materials.

NPG Asia Materials (2017) 9, e390; doi:10.1038/am.2017.80; published online 23 June 2017

INTRODUCTION

In the past few decades, increased concerns regarding environmental degradation and rising energy costs have sparked vigorous research activities to identify alternative energy sources and develop novel energy materials. One of the most exciting clean energy conversion technologies is thermoelectricity that can be used to harvest waste industrial heat via the Seebeck effect and convert it into electricity using a purely solid-state means without moving parts¹⁻⁵. The efficiency of the conversion process is determined by the dimensionless figure of merit $ZT = \alpha^2 \sigma T / (\kappa_e + \kappa_L)$, where α is the Seebeck coefficient, σ is the electrical conductivity, T is the absolute temperature, and κ_e and κ_I are the electronic and lattice contributions, respectively, to the thermal conductivity^{6–11}. Basically, a good thermoelectric material should have both a high Seebeck coefficient and electrical conductivity, and possess as low a thermal conductivity as possible. Currently, there are several families of excellent thermoelectric materials available, such as Bi₂Te₃¹²⁻¹⁵, PbTe¹⁶⁻¹⁸, CoSb₃¹⁹⁻²¹, GeTe²²⁻²⁴, SnSe^{25,26} and SnTe²⁷⁻²⁹. Although they all possess a highenergy conversion efficiency, most of them contain toxic and expensive elements. Moreover, the synthesis processes that are used consume considerable energy and are time intensive. The above limitations constrain their large-scale industrial applications. Thus, it is important to develop efficient, inexpensive and environmentally friendly thermoelectric materials that can be synthesized by simple, rapid and low-cost routes.

In the past few years, a series of diamond-like structure chalcopyrite compounds including CuInTe₂³⁰, CuGaTe₂³¹, Cu₂SnX₃ (X = Se, S)³² and Cu₃SbX₄ $(X = Se, S)^{33}$ have drawn significant attention due to their unique transport properties. A canonical example is the non-toxic and earth-abundant chalcopyrite compound CuFeS₂, which possesses a high Seebeck coefficient (-480 μ V K⁻¹ at room temperature)^{34,35} and is considered a promising *n*-type thermoelectric material. Because of the presence of intrinsic sulfur vacancies, CuFeS₂ exhibits an *n*-type semiconducting behavior with a band gap of approximately 0.53 eV³⁶. The chemical states of Cu and Fe in CuFeS₂ are monovalent and trivalent, respectively. Owing to the presence of Fe³⁺, CuFeS₂ shows an antiferromagnetic behavior at a Neel temperature of 823 K^{37,38}. Because of its low electrical conductivity combined with a high lattice thermal conductivity, pure CuFeS₂ exhibits a low thermoelectric performance. To improve its thermoelectric performance, most research efforts have been focused on adjusting the carrier concentration by introducing elemental doping,³⁹⁻⁴³ controlling the chemical composition^{34,44} and introducing sulfur defects³⁶. As the melting points of Cu and Fe are high, the main synthesis approach to obtain CuFeS₂ relies on high-temperature melting combined with annealing and this approach requires considerable time and high

E-mail: suxianli@whut.edu.cn or tangxf@whut.edu.cn

¹State Key Laboratory of Advanced Technology for Materials Synthesis and Processing, Wuhan University of Technology, Wuhan, China; ²Materials Science and Engineering Department, University of Washington, Seattle, WA, USA and ³Department of Physics, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI, USA

Correspondence: Professor X Su or Professor X Tang, State Key Laboratory of Advanced Technology for Materials Synthesis and Processing, Wuhan University of Technology, 122 Luoshi Road, Wuchang, Wuhan 430070, China.

Received 18 February 2017; revised 29 March 2017; accepted 29 March 2017



Figure 1 (a) DSC curve of Cu-Fe-S=1:1:2 mixed powders that were pellet heated to 823 K; (b) XRD pattern of the product after heating to 823 K using DSC.

energy costs. Alternative synthesis methods such as mechanical alloying³⁶, solid-state reactions^{43,44} and solution-phase reactions⁴⁵ also require extensive time and energy. Low thermoelectric performance combined with high fabrication costs have limited commercial applications of this environmentally friendly thermoelectric material.

Recently, researchers at the Wuhan University of Technology⁴⁶ have developed a combustion synthesis technology that is suitable for the rapid fabrication of many thermoelectric materials, including Bi₂Te₃⁴⁷, CoSb₃⁴⁸, Cu₂Se⁴⁶ and MnSi_{1.75}⁴⁹, and proposed a new criterion for the applicability of this technology. The latter greatly expands the scope of materials that can be synthesized using the combustion synthesis approach. The benefits of combustion synthesis are dramatically reduced synthesis times and low energy costs. In addition, the process is easily implemented and readily scalable. Inspired by these beneficial attributes, we attempted to employ the combustion synthesis method for the preparation of CuFeS₂ compounds.

According to the ignition modes, the combustion synthesis technique can be divided into a self-propagating high-temperature synthesis, where the ignition is initiated at one end of a cold-pressed pellet of elemental powders, and a thermal explosion (TE) process, where the entire pellet reaches the ignition temperature at the same time. In this work, we used the latter process, TE, to prepare a series of CuFeS_{2+2x} ($x=0 \sim 0.15$) compounds, which were subsequently consolidated and densified via plasma-activated sintering (PAS).

A single-phase CuFeS₂ compound was synthesized within 60 s using the TE process and its ZT value was superior to other traditional processing methods for CuFeS₂. In addition, the differential scanning calorimetry (DSC) results revealed that the phase transformation of CuFeS₂ during the TE synthesis proceeded in two steps: (1) $Cu+S \rightarrow CuS$ followed by (2) $CuS+Fe+S \rightarrow CuFeS_2$. However, the phase composition and transport properties of the resulting compound were strongly dependent on the S content, which was considered by expressing the compound as CuFeS_{2+x}. When the actual sulfur content increased, the compound transformed from a pure Cu₁₁Fe₁₁S₂, two-phased region to pure CuFeS₂ during the TE and induced changes in the transport properties. As CuFeS2 and Cu_{1.1}Fe_{1.1}S₂ are incompatible, the presence of both phases produced a phase boundary which, in turn, had a significant impact on the transport properties. To investigate the effect of the phase boundary on the transport properties, the Bergman-Fel model^{50,51} was used to analyze the thermal conductivity, electrical conductivity and Seebeck coefficient, and in the process we demonstrated the critical role of the phase boundary in improving the thermoelectric properties of the composite structure. In addition, we investigated the correlations between the structure, microstructure and transport properties.

EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES

Compounds with the nominal composition of CuFeS_{2+2x} (x=0, 0.05, 0.07, 0.10, 0.12, 0.15 and 0.20) were synthesized by TE combined with the PAS process. High-purity powders of Cu (99.99%, 75 µm), Fe (99.99%, 75 µm) and S (99.99%, 60 µm) were weighed and mixed in stoichiometric proportions to achieve the desired composition (5 g). The mixtures were ground into uniformly fine powders by hand milling, loaded into a steel die and then pressed with a pressure of 10 MPa for 5 min to obtain the pellets. Next, the pellets were sealed in an evacuated quartz tube (diameter of 20 mm) and the tube was subsequently placed into a furnace at a temperature range from 1173 to 1373 K, as shown in Figure 3b. After being held in the furnace at 1173–1373 K for 30–90 s, the quartz tube was taken out and naturally cooled down to room temperature. The obtained products were ground into fine powders and sintered using the PAS apparatus (PAS-III-Ed, Elenix, Japan) under a pressure of 40 MPa at 873 K for 5 min in a vacuum to obtain the densified bulk samples (16 mm diameter × 3.5 mm).

The purity and phase composition of the bulk samples were identified using powder X-ray diffraction analysis (XRD; PANalytical-Empyrean, Almelo, The Netherlands: X'Pert PRO, Cu $K\alpha$). The morphology of the bulk samples was studied using a field-emission scanning electron microscopy (Hitachi SU8020, Tokyo, Japan) and the chemical compositions were obtained with an energy-dispersive spectrometer (JXA-8230/INCAX-ACT, Tokyo, Japan). The heat flow during the TE process was measured by power compensation DSC (DSC Q20; TA Instrument, Delaware, PA, USA). The apparatus was calibrated before testing. The electrical conductivity and Seebeck coefficient were measured using a ZEM-3 apparatus (Ulvac Riko, Inc., Kanagawa, Japan) under a helium atmosphere from 300 to 625 K. The thermal conductivity was calculated from the relationship $\kappa = DC_p\rho$, where D, C_p and ρ are the thermal diffusivity, the heat capacity and the density of bulk samples, respectively. The thermal diffusivity was measured using a laser-flash system (LFA 457; Netzsch, Selb, Germany) in an argon atmosphere. The heat capacity and the heat flow were determined by power compensation DSC (DSC Q20; TA Instrument) and the sample density was specified by the Archimedes' method. The room-temperature Hall coefficient $(R_{\rm H})$ was measured using a physical property measurement system (PPMS-9: Quantum Design, San Diego, CA, USA). The carrier concentration (n) and carrier mobility ($\mu_{\rm H}$) were calculated according to $n = 1/eR_{\rm H}$ and $\mu_{\rm H} = \sigma/ne$, respectively. We estimated the overall accuracy of the measured ZT values to be approximately $\pm 10\%$.



Figure 2 (a) Step 1: DSC curve of Cu-Fe-S=1:1:2 mixed powders that were pellet heated to 423 K; (b) Step 2 DSC curve of Cu-Fe-S=1:1:2 mixed powders that were pellet heated to 758 K; (c) XRD pattern of the product after Step 1 and (d) XRD pattern of the product after Step 2.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The phase transformation mechanism of CuFeS₂ during TE synthesis

The TE synthesis reaction is a type of combustion synthesis where the whole sample reaches the ignition temperature at the same time and the reaction simultaneously begins within the entire sample. To determine whether CuFeS₂ can be synthesized by TE and how the formation of CuFeS₂ proceeded during the TE synthesis, DSC was employed to track the heat flow during the process. High-purity powders of Cu (99.99%), Fe (99.99%) and S (99.99%) were weighed, mixed in stoichiometric proportions and ground into uniformly fine powders by hand milling and then cold-pressed to obtain the raw pellets. The pellets were loaded into the DSC apparatus, heated to high temperatures of 423, 758 and 823 K under a nitrogen atmosphere at a heating rate of 30 K min⁻¹. Subsequently, the phase compositions of the products were identified using powder XRD analysis. The results are shown in Figures 1 and 2. First, we tested whether CuFeS₂ can be synthesized by TE by heating the pellet in the DSC apparatus to 823 K. The heat flow curve and XRD pattern of the resulting product are shown in Figure 1. In this case, two intense exothermic signatures at 394 and 758 K, and two endothermic markers at 378 and 781 K were observed. The XRD pattern of the product revealed that, after heating to 823 K, the pellet reacted and its phase composition was CuFeS₂ with FeS₂ and Cu₅FeS₄ secondary phases. This result confirms that CuFeS₂ can be synthesized by TE and the DSC scan is an effective method to trace the phase transformation process during TE.

To investigate the exothermic phenomena at 394 and 758 K, two experiments were performed as follows.

In Step 1, the DSC apparatus was used to heat a new raw pellet to 423 K at a rate of 30 K min⁻¹ and then the pellet was quickly cooled to room temperature. The results of this procedure are shown in Figures 2a and c. As the pellet's temperature reached 379 K, an endothermic peak was observed in the DSC curve, which corresponds to the melting point of sulfur. When the pellet's temperature reached 392 K, an intense exothermic peak was detected, which signals that an intense exothermic reaction occurred at 392 K. The corresponding XRD pattern indicates that the pellet consists of CuS, Fe and a small amount of S. Combining the DSC data with the XRD pattern, we concluded that when a raw pellet is heated to 392 K, Cu reacts with S to form CuS, whereas unreacted Fe and elemental S remained. We interpreted this as the first step in the formation of CuFeS₂ during the TE process.

In Step 2, another new raw pellet was heated in the DSC apparatus from room temperature to 758 K with a heating rate of 30 K min⁻¹. The corresponding DSC curve is shown in Figure 2b. Apart from the endothermic peak (the melting of sulfur at temperature $T_1 = 379$ K) and the exothermic peak (the formation of CuS at temperature $T_2 = 392 \text{ K}$) discussed above, another intense exothermic peak was observed on the DSC curve at 758 K. Figure 2d shows the powder XRD pattern of the pellet after heating to 758 K. Evidently, the pellet had fully reacted and turned into CuFeS₂. Thus, we conclude that after heating to 758 K, the CuS compound that formed at 393 K reacted with S and Fe to produce the CuFeS2 compound. The formation of CuFeS₂ in the TE process is therefore a two-step transformation. Finally, according to the DSC results and literature data⁵², the endothermic signal observed at 781 K in Figure 1 represents an oxidation-reduction reaction between CuFeS2 and excess S, as shown in equation (4). The sequence of reactions that occurred during the TE synthesis of CuFeS₂ is summarized by the following equations.

$$S(s) \xrightarrow{\sim} S(l)$$
 (1)

$$Cu + S \xrightarrow{\sim} CuS$$
(2)

$$CuS + Fe + S \xrightarrow{^{-756K}} CuFeS_2$$
(3)

$$5CuFeS_2 + 2S \xrightarrow{\sim} Cu_5FeS_4 + 4FeS_2$$
(4)

As reactions (2) and (3) are intense exothermic reactions, they enable the synthesis of $CuFeS_2$ via a TE.

TE parameters for CuFeS₂

According to the DSC data, the formation of the CuFeS₂ compound via a TE requires the heating of a pellet to at least 758 K, but not much higher, because the temperature of the oxidation-reduction reaction occurs at temperatures above 781 K. Therefore, the synthesis of CuFeS₂ is sensitive to the TE parameters. The TE temperature should be high enough to ensure that the raw pellet is ignited and high heating rate is maintained; however, the duration should be short so that the pellet is not overheated. To determine the appropriate TE parameters for CuFeS₂, a series of compounds were synthesized at various temperatures (1173, 1273 and 1373 K) and holding times (20, 30, 40, 60 and 90 s) via the TE method using a furnace. The synthesis Thermoelectric performance of $CuFeS_{2+2x}$ composites H Xie *et al*



Figure 3 (a) XRD patterns of the CuFeS₂ samples that were synthesized using TE at various temperatures and different holding times and (b) schematic description of the TE process.



Figure 4 Powder XRD patterns of CuFeS_{2+2x} (x=0-0.20) prepared using (a) TE and (b) TE combined with PAS sintering, and (c) the main XRD peak as a function of composition x.

method of TE, as discussed in the experiment section and the respective XRD patterns of the products are shown in Figure 3a.

When the TE temperature was 1173 K and the holding time was 20 s, an XRD scan indicated the presence of only CuS. The reason is because of a short holding time during which the pellet was unable to reach temperatures above 758 K, even though the temperature of the furnace was 1173 K. As the holding time was increased to 40 and 60 s, the presence of CuFeS₂ was detected on the XRD scan together with a few secondary phases (see Figure 3a). Thus, the temperature of 1173 K is too low to achieve a sufficiently high heating rate and what was to be the TE process turned into a solid-state reaction, which requires a long annealing time to form a homogeneous product on an atomic scale.

When the furnace temperature was increased to 1273 K and the holding time was either 30 or 60 s, a single-phase CuFeS₂ compound was obtained (Figure 3a). When the holding time was increased to 90 s, a second phase of Cu₅FeS₄ appeared in the XRD pattern. This phase was interpreted as an overheated pellet that began to decompose because of an extensive holding time. In addition, with an increase in the furnace temperature to 1373 K and the use of 20 and 60 s holding times, the content of Cu_5FeS_4 and S increased in the pellet, which means that the TE temperature was too high and the pellet was severely decomposing. After this series of experiments, we concluded that a temperature of 1273 K and a holding time of 60 s promoted the TE synthesis of CuFeS₂ (without decomposing) in a typical 5 g pellet.

Phase composition and thermoelectric property

Owing to low melting and boiling points, S readily volatilizes during TE, which can lead to deviations from the nominal stoichiometric compositions. Such variations in S content can influence the transport properties of the materials. In this section, we attempt to adjust the content of sulfur in CuFeS₂ and correlate the thermoelectric properties with the phase composition.

Phase composition and microstructure characterization

A series of $CuFeS_{2+2x}$ (x=0, 0.05, 0.07, 0.10, 0.12, 0.15 and 0.20) compounds were synthesized using TE combined with the PAS



Figure 5 Field-emission scanning electron microscopy (FESEM) images of the free fracture surface of PAS-sintered CuFeS_{2+x} (x=0 and 0.15).

process under the optimal conditions that were described in the previous section. Powder XRD patterns of the respective final products are shown in Figure 4a. When the excess sulfur exceeded $x \leq 0.15$, then pure CuFeS₂ was obtained. When $x \ge 0.20$, secondary phases of Cu₅FeS₄ and FeS₂ were present in the final products. This result indicates that when $x \ge 0.20$, there is excessive sulfur in the starting compositions, which leads either to an oxidation-reduction reaction of CuFeS₂ or the preferential formation of the Cu₅FeS₄ and FeS₂ phases. Figure 4b shows powder XRD patterns of samples prepared using TE followed by PAS sintering. There are no substantive changes compared with the patterns in Figure 4a, which depicts XRD patterns of the same compounds before PAS sintering. Again, when x = 0 - 0.15, pure CuFeS₂ was obtained, whereas for the x = 0.20 sample, the intensities of the Cu₅FeS₄ and FeS₂ peaks increased. These data suggest that excessive S can exist in the TE product and lead to an oxidationreduction reaction between CuFeS2 and excess S during PAS sintering. Moreover, the loss of sulfur during the reaction should not be compensated for with greater than x = 0.15 nominal excess S in the starting material. Figure 4c shows the main XRD peak $(2\theta = 29^\circ)$ as a function of composition x. As the content of sulfur is varied, two phases, Cu_{1.1}Fe_{1.1}S₂ and CuFeS₂, are detected in the compounds. As sulfur easily evaporates during TE, the nominal x=0 sample was a sulfur-deficient material. These data in Figure 4c suggest that its composition was Cu_{1.1}Fe_{1.1}S₂. As the content of sulfur increased (x = 0.05 - 0.12), the actual deficiency of S was diminished and lead to a gradual phase transformation from Cu_{1,1}Fe_{1,1}S₂ to CuFeS₂, which was represented by the appearance of a double-peak structure. This structure suggests that the material phase separated into Cu_{1.1}Fe_{1.1}S₂ and CuFeS₂ phases rather than forming a solid solution. Finally, when x = 0.15, a single pure CuFeS₂ phase was obtained.

Microstructures of freshly fractured surfaces of all sintered samples were examined using field-emission scanning electron microscopy. As the morphology of all samples was similar, the x=0 and x=0.15 compounds were chosen as examples and shown in Figure 5. The PAS-sintered compounds were fully dense with relative densities above 98%. The fracture mechanism was a trans-crystalline rupture. No obvious grains or grain boundaries were observed; however, there were numerous 100-300 nm nanoholes, which were uniformly distributed on the smooth surfaces of the matrix grains. Figure 6 shows back-scattered electron images of a polished surface of CuFeS2 $_{\pm 2x}$. No distinct impurity phases were detected when x=0. When x = 0.05, numerous stripe-type secondary-phase regions emerged that were uniformly distributed in the matrix. As described above, the compound was in a two-phase composition region. When the sulfur content x increased, the volume of the secondary phase increased, whereas that of the matrix decreased. When x = 0.15, the compound was a pure-phase (CuFeS₂) and no trace of the impurity phase was detected. The actual chemical composition of all samples that was determined using energy-dispersive spectrometer measurements is presented in Table 1. From the energy-dispersive spectrometer data and XRD results, as the S content increased, the phase composition evolved from Cu_{1,1}Fe_{1,1}S₂ to CuFeS₂ and the phase boundaries between the two compounds were present. DSC heat-flow curves that were obtained on the PAS-sintered samples are shown in Figure 7. A reversible phase transition near 490 K was observed for the x=0compound $(Cu_{1,1}Fe_{1,1}S_2)$. As the sulfur content x increased, the intensity and peak area, which characterized this phase transition, decreased. When x = 0.15, the peak completely disappeared, which indicates that the phase transition from Cu_{1.1}Fe_{1.1}S₂ to CuFeS₂ was complete. The DSC approach is more sensitive than the XRD or field-emission scanning electron microscopy methods and using the DSC peak area to determine the phase content in a mixture is a very reliable and mature technique. The relative amount of Cu_{1.1}Fe_{1.1}S₂ and CuFeS₂ phases in the compounds can be assessed from the area of the characteristic peak and the results are presented in Table 1.



Figure 6 BSE images of the polished surfaces for PAS-sintered CuFeS_{2+x} (x=0, 0.05, 0.07, 0.10, 0.12 and 0.15).

Table 1 The actual phase and chemical compositions of $CuFeS_{2+x}$ (x = 0, 0.05, 0.07, 0.10, 0.12 and 0.15)

Sample	Phase A	Phase B	Chemical composition A	Chemical composition B	Content A	Content B
x=0	Cu _{1.1} Fe _{1.1} S ₂	\	Cu _{26.30} Fe _{26.47} S _{47.23}	/	100%	\
x=0.05	Cu _{1.1} Fe _{1.1} S ₂	CuFeS ₂	Cu _{26.23} Fe _{26.55} S _{47.22}	Cu _{25.64} Fe _{25.52} S _{48.84}	68.9%	31.1%
x=0.07	Cu _{1.1} Fe _{1.1} S ₂	CuFeS ₂	Cu _{26.44} Fe _{26.21} S _{47.35}	Cu _{25.41} Fe _{25.85} S _{48.74}	59.7%	40.3%
x=0.10	Cu _{1.1} Fe _{1.1} S ₂	CuFeS ₂	Cu _{26,26} Fe _{26,43} S _{47,31}	Cu _{25,33} Fe _{25,90} S _{48,77}	45.3%	54.7%
x=0.12	Cu _{1.1} Fe _{1.1} S ₂	CuFeS ₂	Cu _{26.02} Fe _{26.59} S _{47.39}	Cu _{25,37} Fe _{25,76} S _{48,87}	24.7%	75.3%
x=0.15	١	CuFeS ₂	/	Cu _{25.43} Fe _{25.66} S _{48.91}	١	100%

According to the XRD, energy-dispersive spectrometer and DSC results that are presented in this section, the following conclusions can be drawn. There are two phases in the CuFeS_{2+2x} compound, which was prepared using TE. The nominal stoichiometric CuFeS₂ compound (x=0) is a sulfur-poor structure of composition Cu_{1.1}Fe_{1.1}S₂ due to the loss of sulfur during the thermal-explosion reaction. As the S content increased, a two-phase region, which consists of a mixture of Cu_{1.1}Fe_{1.1}S₂ and CuFeS₂ phases, was entered. The phase transformation from Cu_{1.1}Fe_{1.1}S₂ to CuFeS₂ was completed when the excess sulfur attained x=0.15. Attempts to impose a greater excess of sulfur

into the structure led to the decomposition of \mbox{CuFeS}_2 into \mbox{FeS}_2 and $\mbox{Cu}_5\mbox{FeS}_4.$

Electrical transport properties

The room temperature transport properties of all compounds are summarized in Table 2. As previously stated, the nominally stoichiometric CuFeS₂ (x=0) was S deficient because of S loss during the TE synthesis. To compensate for this loss by increasing the S content x, the deficiency diminished and this was reflected in the decreasing carrier concentration and electrical conductivity, whereas the Seebeck



Figure 7 DSC curves of PAS-sintered CuFeS_{2+x} (x=0, 0.05, 0.07, 0.10, 0.12 and 0.15).

Fable 2 Room temperature transport para	meters of CuFeS _{2+x} ($x = 0$,	0.05, 0.07, 0.10,	0.12 and 0.15)
--	--	-------------------	----------------

Sample	Composition	$\kappa_L (Wm^{-1} K^{-1})$	$\sigma (10^4 S m^{-1})$	$\alpha \ (\mu V K^{-1})$	n _H (10 ¹⁹ cm ⁻³)	$\mu_H \ (cm^2 \ V^{-1} \ s^{-1})$
x=0	Cu _{1.1} Fe _{1.1} S ₂	1.7	1.92	- 147	98	1.2
x=0.05	$Cu_{1.1}Fe_{1.1}S_2$ + $CuFeS_2$	2.3	1.96	- 163	62	2.0
x=0.07	$Cu_{1.1}Fe_{1.1}S_2+CuFeS_2$	3.2	1.72	- 177	40	2.7
x = 0.10	Cu _{1.1} Fe _{1.1} S ₂ +CuFeS ₂	3.5	1.69	- 184	32	3.4
x=0.12	Cu _{1.1} Fe _{1.1} S ₂ +CuFeS ₂	4.4	1.32	-218	17	4.7
x=0.15	CuFeS ₂	6.4	0.29	- 373	1.8	10.0



Figure 8 The temperature dependence of the (a) electrical conductivity, (b) Seebeck coefficients and (c) power factor for $CuFeS_{2+x}$ (x=0, 0.05, 0.07, 0.10, 0.12 and 0.15).



Figure 9 Temperature dependence of the (a) total thermal conductivity and (b) lattice thermal conductivity for $CuFeS_{2+x}$ (x=0, 0.05, 0.07, 0.10, 0.12 and 0.15).

coefficient and carrier mobility increased. The temperature dependence of the electrical and thermal transport properties is discussed below.

The temperature dependence of the electrical conductivity of all samples is shown in Figure 8a. Because of the decreasing carrier concentration, electrical conductivity at room temperature markedly decreased from 1.9×10^4 Sm⁻¹ in Cu_{1.1}Fe_{1.1}S₂ to 2.9×10^3 Sm⁻¹ in CuFeS₂. For pure CuFeS₂ (x = 0.15), the electrical conductivity exhibited a semiconductor behavior, whereas the samples with a S content of x = 0-0.12 (a mixture of Cu_{1.1}Fe_{1.1}S₂ and CuFeS₂ phases), the electrical conductivity initially (300-475 K) increased with increasing temperature and then reversed at ~475 K due to the phase transition of Cu_{1.1}Fe_{1.1}S₂. Figure 8b shows that all samples exhibited negative Seebeck coefficients over the entire temperature range, which documents the *n*-type character of the transport with electrons as the dominant charge carrier. With an increase in S content that was accompanied by a decreasing electron density, the absolute value of the Seebeck coefficient increased. In pure CuFeS₂ (x=0.15), the absolute value of the Seebeck coefficient monotonically decreased with an increase in temperature, whereas in samples with x = 0 - 0.12, the absolute value of the Seebeck coefficient increased to ~ 450 K and then decreased. Combining the good electrical conductivity of Cu₁₁Fe₁₁S₂ with the high Seebeck coefficient of CuFeS₂, the power factor $\alpha^2 \sigma$ of the mixtures of the phases (x=0.05-0.12) was higher than that of each of the pure $Cu_{1,1}Fe_{1,1}S_2$ (x=0) and $CuFeS_2$ (x=0.15) phases. The highest power factor in the covered temperature range was obtained when x = 0.12, as shown in Figure 8c.

Thermal conductivity

Figure 9a shows the temperature dependence of the total thermal conductivity for all samples. As the temperature increased, the total thermal conductivity significantly decreased because of the enhanced contribution of the Umklapp processes. In addition, due to the phase transition of $Cu_{1.1}Fe_{1.1}S_2$ at 490 K, all transport properties behave an abnormal change in the phase transition region, as shown in Supplementary Figure S1 in the Supplementary Information. As the S excess *x* increased, the total thermal conductivity systematically and dramatically increased due to the high thermal conductivity of the progressively abundant $CuFeS_2$ phase. Figure 9b shows the temperature dependence of the lattice thermal conductivity. As contributions from the bipolar thermal conductivity are small within the investigated temperature range, we can estimate the lattice thermal conductivity from the

measured total thermal conductivity,8

$$\kappa_{\rm L} = \kappa - \kappa_{\rm e} = \kappa - L\sigma T,\tag{5}$$

where κ_L , κ and κ_e are the lattice thermal conductivity, total thermal conductivity and electronic thermal conductivity, respectively. The latter can be estimated using the Wiedemann–Franz relation: $\kappa_e = L\sigma T$, where σ is the electrical conductivity and L the Lorenz number, which can be extracted by the fitting of the respective Seebeck coefficient values. Although the obtained samples were a mixture when x = 0.05-0.12, there is not an effective model for composites to calculate the Lorenz number; therefore, equations (6) and (7) were used to approximately determine the its value. Assuming a single parabolic band model and acoustic phonon scattering as the main carrier-scattering mechanism, the Lorenz number L is calculated by^{53,54}

$$L = \left(\frac{k_{\rm B}}{e}\right)^2 \left(\frac{(r+7/2)F_{r+5/2}(\eta)}{(r+3/2)F_{r+1/2}(\eta)} - \left[\frac{(r+5/2)F_{r+3/2}(\eta)}{(r+3/2)F_{r+1/2}(\eta)}\right]^2\right) \quad (6)$$

Here, the reduced Fermi energy η can be obtained from the value of the Seebeck coefficient³⁴

$$\alpha = \pm \frac{k_{\rm B}}{e} \left(\frac{(r+5/2)F_{r+3/2}(\eta)}{(r+3/2)F_{r+1/2}(\eta)} - \eta \right),\tag{7}$$

$$F_n(\eta) = \int_0^\infty \frac{\chi^n}{1 + e^{\chi - \eta}} \, d\chi \tag{8}$$

Here, $k_{\rm B}$, e and α are the Boltzmann constant, the charge of an electron and the Seebeck coefficient, respectively, and r is the scattering factor. Here, $r = -1/2^{39}$. Thus, the obtained lattice thermal conductivity is shown in Figure 9b. Because of the small magnitude of the electrical conductivity, the carrier contribution to the total thermal conductivity was small. The room temperature lattice thermal conductivity increased with increasing S content from 1.7 Wm⁻¹ K⁻¹ for pristine $Cu_{1.1}Fe_{1.1}S_2$ (x=0) to $6.4 \text{ Wm}^{-1}\text{ K}^{-1}$ for $CuFeS_2$ (x=0.15). This change was primarily because the crystal structure of the Cu_{1.1}Fe_{1.1}S₂ compound (Cubic, I-43m, a=b=c=10.603 Å) is more complex than that of the CuFeS2 compound (Tetragonal, I-42d, a = b = 5.2854 Å), which possesses a diamond-like crystal structure (the crystal structure iss hown in Supplementary Figure S2 in the Supplementary Information). The complex crystal structure of the Cu_{1.1}Fe_{1.1}S₂ compound increased the bond length and introduced diverse chemical bonding in the structure and the diverse chemical bonding led to a low thermal conductivity. In addition, in the



Figure 10 The calculated results based on the Bergman-Fel model: The temperature dependence of the (a) electrical conductivity, (b) Seebeck coefficients and (c) thermal conductivity for $CuFeS_{2+x}$ (x=0, 0.05, 0.07, 0.10, 0.12 and 0.15).

structure of Cu_{1.1}Fe_{1.1}S₂, there were several voids (Site: 6b, 24 g) and some of the voids were partially filled by Cu and Fe. It is possible that those partially filled atoms rattled in the void and caused intense phonon scattering. All these factors contributed to the low thermal conductivity and led to the different lattice thermal conductivities of the two compounds. As the S content increased from x=0 to x=0.15, the phase composition varied from pure Cu_{1.1}Fe_{1.1}S₂ to a two-phase mixture and to pure CuFeS₂, which resulted in a progressively increasing lattice thermal conductivity.

The effect of the phase boundary on transport properties

To study the effect of the phase boundary between the Cu_{1.1}Fe_{1.1}S₂ and CuFeS₂ phases on the transport properties, an effective medium approximation model proposed by Bergman and Fel⁵⁰ was applied to analyze the electrical and thermal transport properties. Furthermore, the theoretical and experimental results were compared with gain insight into the influence of temperature on the transport properties of the two-phase structures. Based on the Bergman-Fel model^{50,51,55} for a random dispersion of component B embedded in a matrix A, the effective electrical conductivity σ_E , the effective thermal conductivity κ_E and the effective Seebeck coefficient α_E were obtained by fitting the electrical conductivities σ_A and σ_B , thermal conductivities κ_A and κ_B and Seebeck coefficients α_A and α_B of the two components A and B using the following expressions.

$$\sigma_{\rm E} = \sigma_A + \frac{\Phi}{D} \left(\frac{\delta_\sigma}{d_{BA}} + \frac{1 - \Phi}{3} \frac{\sigma_A}{d_A} \right) \tag{9}$$

$$\kappa_{\rm E} = \kappa_A + \frac{\Phi}{D} \left(\frac{\delta_{\kappa}}{d_{BA}} + \frac{1 - \Phi}{3} \frac{\kappa_A}{d_A} \right) \tag{10}$$

$$\alpha_{\rm E} = \left[\alpha_A \sigma_A + \frac{\Phi}{D} \left(\frac{\delta_{\alpha\sigma}}{d_{BA}} + \frac{1 - \Phi}{3} \frac{\alpha_A \sigma_A}{d_A} \right) \right] \frac{1}{\sigma_{\rm E}}$$
(11)

Here, Φ is the volume fraction of component *B* and the other

parameters are given by equations (12)-(14), as follows.

$$\delta_{\sigma} = \sigma_B - \sigma_A; \delta_{\kappa} = \kappa_B - \kappa_A; \delta_{\alpha\sigma} = \alpha_B \sigma_B - \alpha_A \sigma_A \tag{12}$$

$$d_A = \frac{\sigma_A \kappa_A}{T} - (\alpha_A \sigma_A)^2; d_{BA} = \frac{\delta_\sigma \delta_\kappa}{T} - \delta_{\alpha\sigma}^2$$
(13)

$$D = \left(\frac{\delta_{\sigma}}{d_{BA}} + \frac{1-\Phi}{3}\frac{\sigma_A}{d_A}\right) \left(\frac{\delta_{\kappa}}{Td_{BA}} + \frac{1-\Phi}{3}\frac{\kappa_A}{Td_A}\right) - \left(\frac{\delta_{\alpha\sigma}}{d_{BA}} + \frac{1-\Phi}{3}\frac{\alpha_A\sigma_A}{d_A}\right)^2$$
(14)

From the transport measurements and DSC analysis, the thermoelectric transport properties and phase contents of components A (Cu_{1.1}Fe_{1.1}S₂) and B (CuFeS₂) were obtained, which allow predictions regarding the relationship between the effective transport property and temperature that are based on the above calculations. The results are displayed in Figure 10. The overall trend and magnitude of the calculated data were comparable to the experimental results, which confirms that the transport properties of the samples are consistent with their composite character. Moreover, the results verify that the Cu_{1.1}Fe_{1.1}S₂ and CuFeS₂ component compounds were phase separated and independent.

Although the Bergman–Fel model can estimate the transport properties of a composite medium, the model does not take into account the phase boundary scattering and electron redistribution between different components that may arise and lead to deviations between the calculated and experimental values. Here, we define a deviation parameter Δ_x ($x = \sigma$, α , κ) to calculate the discrepancies between the theory and experiments, and obtain an estimate of the influence of phase boundaries on the transport properties. The deviation parameter Δ_x ($x = \sigma$, α , κ) is defined as:

$$\Delta_{x} = \frac{x_{\text{measure}} - x_{\text{model}}}{x_{\text{measure}}} (x = \sigma, \alpha, \kappa)$$
(15)

The respective deviation parameters for the electrical conductivity, Seebeck coefficient and thermal conductivity are shown in Figure 11a. A positive number means that the experimental value overestimates the theory, whereas a negative number implies that the experimental data are smaller than the theoretical estimates. First, we observed that the experimental data for the thermal conductivity of all samples were lower than the theoretical estimates. However, as the temperature increased, the deviation between the experiment and theory significantly decreased. As already noted, the Bergman–Fel model does not take into account phonon scattering at the phase boundaries

Thermoelectric performance of CuFeS_{2+2x} composites H Xie *et al*



Figure 11 (a) Deviations between the experimental and calculated data based on the Bergman–Fel model; (b) schematic description of phonon scattering at the phase boundaries at low and high temperatures and (c) schematic description of the electron redistribution at the phase boundary.

in the thermal conductivity calculations. At room temperature, the mean phonon energy was low and these low-frequency phonons provide a significant contribution to the heat transport. However, the presence of phase boundaries can efficiently scatter these low-frequency phonons, as illustrated in Figure 11b, and this can lead to the additional suppression of the thermal conductivity, which causes a large (negative) deviation with respect to the calculated values. As the temperature increased, the mean phonon energy increased and these higher frequency phonons were less effectively scattered by the phase boundaries, which decreased the deviation between the experimental results and theoretical estimates. This result is consistent with a general understanding that phase-boundary scattering more effectively reduces the thermal conductivity at low rather than high temperatures.

Although electrons are also expected to be scattered by the phase boundaries, which would lead to a decreased electrical conductivity, the actual experimental values of the electrical conductivity and Seebeck coefficient are larger than the respective theoretical predictions (positive Δ_{σ} and Δ_{α}). The reason may be because the Bergman– Fel model does not take into account the carrier redistribution in calculating the composite transport properties and regards them as a mixture of phases. From the Hall effect measurements, we know that the carrier concentration of $Cu_{1.1}Fe_{1.1}S_2$ ($n=9.8 \times 10^{20} \text{ cm}^{-3}$) was much higher than that of CuFeS₂ $(n = 1.8 \times 10^{19} \text{ cm}^{-3})$, which indicates that the Fermi levels of CuFeS2 and Cu1.1Fe1.1S2 are vastly different. Consequently, upon the contact of the two phases, a significant electron transfer is expected from Cu_{1.1}Fe_{1.1}S₂ to CuFeS₂, which results in a major redistribution of charge carriers in the proximity of the interface, as schematically depicted in Figure 11c. In this case, the Fermi level imbalance prompted electron diffusion from the Cu_{1.1}Fe_{1.1}S₂ phase to the CuFeS₂ phase with the latter possessing a higher carrier concentration than the concentration of its ionized donor impurity centers would imply. Consequently, the higher carrier concentration with a lower density of ionized donors (in comparison to a uniformly doped structure) demonstrated effects that are similar to that of modulation doping56-58 and the CuFeS2 matrix phase should have a higher carrier mobility. Thus, the overall electrical conductivity of the composite should be enhanced.

With an increasing S content, the difference between the actual and theoretical electrical conductivity values increased, as shown in Figure 11a. This effect is due to the increasing S content that promotes the formation of the $CuFeS_2$ phase, which effectively enhances the



Figure 12 Temperature dependence of the figure of merit *ZT* for $CuFeS_{2+x}$ (*x*=0, 0.05, 0.07, 0.10, 0.12 and 0.15) and the samples synthesized by other methods. MA denotes mechanical alloying and solid-state reaction represents the compounds that were synthesized by solid-state reactions.

modulation-like doping because the carrier mobility of CuFeS₂ $(\mu = 10 \text{ cm}^2 \text{ V}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1})$ is much larger than that of Cu_{1.1}Fe_{1.1}S₂ $(\mu = 1.2 \text{ cm}^2 \text{ V}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1})$. However, the actual electrical conductivity as a function of temperature became closer to the theoretical value at elevated temperatures. This is likely to be due to the increasing dominance of acoustic phonon scattering at higher temperatures, which will particularly affect the mobility of the higher mobility phase (CuFeS₂), weaken the modulation-like doping and reduce the deviation parameter Δ_{σ} . The carrier concentration redistribution may also alter the band structure and band alignment of the two phases and give rise to deviations in the Seebeck coefficient between the experiments and theory.

Finally, we considered the effect of the phase boundaries on the thermoelectric figure of merit. Figure 12 depicts the temperature dependence of the figure of merit *ZT* of our TE-synthesized $CuFeS_{2+2x}$ compounds and, for comparison, we also included the literature data for samples that were fabricated by different synthesis routes. By combining the good electrical conductivity of the $Cu_{1.1}Fe_{1.1}S_2$ phase with the high Seebeck coefficient of the CuFeS₂ phase, the x=0.05 compound possesses a high-power factor coupled with a low

thermal conductivity, which results in an improved *ZT* value of 0.23 that was achieved at 625 K. This represents an ~130% enhancement over the value for the nominally pristine CuFeS₂ sample (x=0). Compared with the CuFeS₂ compound that was synthesized by other methods, notably vacuum melting³⁴, mechanical alloying³⁶ or a solid-state reaction⁴³, the thermoelectric performance of the compound that was synthesized by TE followed by PAS sintering was vastly superior. Equally important, the TE synthesis consumed substantially less energy and required a short period to implement.

CONCLUSIONS

CuFeS₂ compounds have been successfully synthesized using TE combined with the PAS-sintering process. The formation of the CuFeS₂ phase during the TE synthesis proceeded in two steps: (1) $Cu+S \rightarrow CuS$ (at ~ 392 K) followed by (2) $CuS+Fe+S \rightarrow CuFeS_2$ (at ~758 K). As sulfur readily volatilizes during the TE process, a series of CuFeS_{2+2x} ($x = 0 \sim 0.15$) compounds were synthesized to compensate for the loss of sulfur and investigate the correlations between the structure, microstructure and transport properties. The results revealed that two phases form during the TE synthesis, which depend on the exact S content, reaction temperature and holding time. When the final reaction product attained an elemental composition ratio of Cu:Fe:S = 1:1:2 (that required an excess of sulfur in the starting mixture of the elemental powders of x = 0.15), pure-phase CuFeS₂ was obtained. When the excess sulfur in the starting mixture was reduced, $0.05 \leq \times \leq 0.12$, there was not enough sulfur to compensate for its evaporation during the TE and hence the resulting product contained a mixture of CuFeS₂ and Cu_{1.1}Fe_{1.1}S₂ phases with a progressively higher fraction of the latter. Eventually, with no excess sulfur in the starting elemental powder mixture (x=0), only the sulfur-deficient Cu₁₁Fe₁₁S₂ phase was formed. The two CuFeS₂ and Cu₁₁Fe₁₁S₂ phases were incompatible, which produced phase boundaries between the two phase components. The presence of phase boundaries affected the transport properties by scattering low-frequency phonons and reducing the thermal conductivity of the composite structure. Moreover, the large differences in the carrier concentration (electrons) in CuFeS₂ and Cu_{1.1}Fe_{1.1}S₂ facilitated a redistribution of electrons in the composite and led to an enhancement in the electronic transport properties. Combining the good electrical conductivity of the Cu_{1.1}Fe_{1.1}S₂ phase with the high Seebeck coefficient of the CuFeS₂ phase, the compound with x = 0.05 possessed the highest ZT value of 0.23, which was achieved at 625 K. This value represents an ~130% enhancement over the value for the pristine CuFeS₂ compound (x=0.15) and is superior to the values for the CuFeS₂ compounds that were prepared using other synthesis routes. Furthermore, TE is a rapid synthesis process that consumes significantly less energy than alternative fabrication processes and is easily implemented. By demonstrating several attributes of a TE synthesis reaction, we hope that it may be adopted as an efficient, low-cost and rapid processing technique for other environmentally friendly and inexpensive thermoelectric materials.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We acknowledge support from the National Basic Research Program of China (973 program) under project 2013CB632502, the Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant Number 51402222, 51172174, 51521001 and 51632006) and the 111 Project of China (Grant Number B07040). CU and XT also

acknowledge support provided by the US-China CERC-CVC program under Award Number DE-PI0000012.

- Sootsman, J. R., Chung, D. Y. & Kanatzidis, M. G. New and old concepts in thermoelectric materials. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 48, 8616–8639 (2009).
- 2 Bell, L. E. Cooling, heating, generating power, and recovering waste heat with thermoelectric systems. *Science* **321**, 1457–1461 (2008).
- 3 Dresselhaus, M. S., Chen, G., Tang, M. Y., Yang, R. G., Lee, H., Wang, D. Z., Ren, Z. F., Fleurial, J. P. & Gogna, P. New directions for low-dimensional thermoelectric materials. *Adv. Mater.* **19**, 1043–1053 (2007).
- 4 Fu, C., Bai, S., Liu, Y., Tang, Y., Chen, L., Zhao, X. & Zhu, T. Realizing high figure of merit in heavy-band p-type half-Heusler thermoelectric materials. *Nat. Commun.* 6, 8144 (2015).
- 5 Xie, H., Wang, H., Pei, Y., Fu, C., Liu, X., Snyder, G. J., Zhao, X. & Zhu, T. Beneficial contribution of alloy disorder to electron and phonon transport in half-Heusler thermoelectric materials. *Adv. Funct. Mater.* **23**, 5123–5130 (2013).
- 6 Lin, S., Li, W., Chen, Z., Shen, J., Ge, B. & Pei, Y. Tellurium as a high-performance elemental thermoelectric. *Nat. Commun.* 7, 10287 (2016).
- 7 He, J., Kanatzidis, M. G. & Dravid, V. P. High performance bulk thermoelectrics via a panoscopic approach. *Mater. Today* 16, 166–176 (2013).
- 8 Snyder, G. J. & Toberer, E. S. Complex thermoelectric materials. Nat. Mater. 7, 105–114 (2008).
- 9 Tritt, T. M. & Subramanian, M. A. Thermoelectric materials, phenomena, and applications: a bird's eye view. MRS Bull. 31, 188–198 (2006).
- 10 Zhu, T., Gao, H., Chen, Y. & Zhao, X. loffe–Regel limit and lattice thermal conductivity reduction of high performance (AgSbTe₂)₁₅(GeTe)₈₅ thermoelectric materials. *J. Mater. Chem. A* 2, 3251–3256 (2014).
- 11 Su, X., Wei, P., Li, H., Liu, W., Yan, Y., Li, P., Su, C., Xie, C., Zhao, W., Zhai, P., Zhang, Q., Tang, X. & Uher, C. Multi-scale microstructural thermoelectric materials: transport behavior, non-equilibrium preparation, and applications. *Adv. Mater.* **1602013** (2017).
- 12 Zheng, Y., Zhang, Q., Su, X., Xie, H., Shu, S., Chen, T., Tan, G., Yan, Y., Tang, X., Uher, C. & Snyder, G. J. Mechanically robust BiSbTe alloys with superior thermoelectric performance: a case study of stable hierarchical nanostructured thermoelectric materials. Adv. Energy Mater. 5, 1401391 (2015).
- 13 Xie, W., He, J., Kang, H. J., Tang, X., Zhu, S., Laver, M., Wang, S., Copley, J. R., Brown, C. M., Zhang, Q. & Tritt, T. M. Identifying the specific nanostructures responsible for the high thermoelectric performance of (Bi,Sb)₂Te₃ nanocomposites. *Nano Lett.* **10**, 3283–3289 (2010).
- 14 Xu, Z., Wu, H., Zhu, T., Fu, C., Liu, X., Hu, L., He, J., He, J. & Zhao, X. Attaining high mid-temperature performance in (Bi,Sb)₂Te₃ thermoelectric materials via synergistic optimization. NPG Asia Mater. 8, e302 (2016).
- 15 Hu, L.-P., Zhu, T.-J., Wang, Y.-G., Xie, H.-H., Xu, Z.-J. & Zhao, X.-B. Shifting up the optimum figure of merit of p-type bismuth telluride-based thermoelectric materials for power generation by suppressing intrinsic conduction. *NPG Asia Mater.* 6, e88 (2014).
- 16 Biswas, K., He, J., Blum, I. D., Wu, C. I., Hogan, T. P., Seidman, D. N., Dravid, V. P. & Kanatzidis, M. G. High-performance bulk thermoelectrics with all-scale hierarchical architectures. *Nature* **489**, 414–418 (2012).
- 17 Pei, Y., Shi, X., LaLonde, A., Wang, H., Chen, L. & Snyder, G. J. Convergence of electronic bands for high performance bulk thermoelectrics. *Nature* 473, 66–69 (2011).
- 18 Pei, Y., Wang, H., Gibbs, Z. M., LaLonde, A. D. & Snyder, G. J. Thermopower enhancement in $Pb_{1-x}Mn_xTe$ alloys and its effect on thermoelectric efficiency. *NPG Asia Mater.* **4**, e28 (2012).
- 19 Su, X., Li, H., Yan, Y., Chi, H., Tang, X., Zhang, Q. & Uher, C. The role of Ga in Ba_{0.30}Ga_xCo₄Sb_{12+x} filled skutterudites. *J. Mater. Chem.* **22**, 15628 (2012).
- 20 Su, X., Li, H., Wang, G., Chi, H., Zhou, X., Tang, X., Zhang, Q. & Uher, C. Structure and transport properties of double-doped CoSb_{2.75}Ge_{0.25-x}Te_x (x = 0.125–0.20) with *in situ* nanostructure. *Chem. Mater.* 23, 2948–2955 (2011).
- 21 Liang, T., Su, X., Yan, Y., Zheng, G., She, X., You, Y., Uher, C., Kanatzidis, M. G. & Tang, X. Panoscopic approach for high-performance Te-doped skutterudite. *NPG Asia Mater.* **9**, e352 (2017).
- 22 Perumal, S., Roychowdhury, S., Negi, D. S., Datta, R. & Biswas, K. High thermoelectric performance and enhanced mechanical stability of p-type Ge_{1-x}Sb_xTe. *Chem. Mater.* 27, 7171–7178 (2015).
- 23 Wu, D., Zhao, L. D., Hao, S., Jiang, Q., Zheng, F., Doak, J. W., Wu, H., Chi, H., Gelbstein, Y., Uher, C., Wolverton, C., Kanatzidis, M. & He, J. Origin of the high performance in GeTe-based thermoelectric materials upon Bi₂Te₃ doping. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **136**, 11412–11419 (2014).
- 24 Wu, L., Li, X., Wang, S., Zhang, T., Yang, J., Zhang, W., Chen, L. & Yang, J. Resonant level-induced high thermoelectric response in indium-doped GeTe. *NPG Asia Mater.* 9, e343 (2017).
- 25 Zhao, L.-D., Tan, G., Hao, S., He, J., Pei, Y., Chi, H., Wang, H., Gong, S., Xu, H., Dravid, V. P., Uher, C., Snyder, G. J., Wolverton, C. & Kanatzidis, M. G. Ultrahigh power factor and thermoelectric performance in hole-doped single-crystal SnSe. *Science* **351**, 141–144 (2015).
- 26 Zhao, L. D., Lo, S. H., Zhang, Y., Sun, H., Tan, G., Uher, C., Wolverton, C., Dravid, V. P. & Kanatzidis, M. G. Ultralow thermal conductivity and high thermoelectric figure of merit in SnSe crystals. *Nature* **508**, 373–377 (2014).

- 27 Banik, A., Vishal, B., Perumal, S., Datta, R. & Biswas, K. The origin of low thermal conductivity in Sn_{1-x}Sb_xTe: phonon scattering via layered intergrowth nanostructures. *Energy Environ. Sci.* 9, 2011–2019 (2016).
- 28 Tan, G., Shi, F., Doak, J. W., Sun, H., Zhao, L.-D., Wang, P., Uher, C., Wolverton, C., Dravid, V. P. & Kanatzidis, M. G. Extraordinary role of Hg in enhancing the thermoelectric performance of p-type SnTe. *Energy Environ. Sci.* 8, 267–277 (2015).
- 29 Zhang, Q., Liao, B., Lan, Y., Lukas, K., Liu, W., Esfarjani, K., Opeil, C., Broido, D., Chen, G. & Ren, Z. High thermoelectric performance by resonant dopant indium in nanostructured SnTe. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* **110**, 13261–13266 (2013).
- 30 Liu, R., Xi, L., Liu, H., Shi, X., Zhang, W. & Chen, L. Ternary compound CuInTe₂: a promising thermoelectric material with diamond-like structure. *Chem. Commun.* 48, 3818–3820 (2012).
- 31 Plirdpring, T., Kurosaki, K., Kosuga, A., Day, T., Firdosy, S., Ravi, V., Snyder, G. J., Harnwunggmoung, A., Sugahara, T., Ohishi, Y., Muta, H. & Yamanaka, S. Chalcopyrite CuGaTe₂: a high-efficiency bulk thermoelectric material. *Adv. Mater.* 24, 3622–3626 (2012).
- 32 Ibáñez, M., Cadavid, D., Anselmi-Tamburini, U., Zamani, R., Gorsse, S., Li, W., López, A. M., Morante, J. R., Arbiol, J. & Cabot, A. Colloidal synthesis and thermoelectric properties of Cu₂SnSe₃ nanocrystals. *J. Mater. Chem. A* 1, 1421–1426 (2013).
- 33 Skoug, E. J., Cain, J. D. & Morelli, D. T. High thermoelectric figure of merit in the Cu₃SbSe₄-Cu₃SbS₄ solid solution. *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **98**, 261911 (2011).
- 34 Li, Y., Zhang, T., Qin, Y., Day, T., Jeffrey Snyder, G., Shi, X. & Chen, L. Thermoelectric transport properties of diamond-like Cu_{1-x}Fe_{1+x}S₂ tetrahedral compounds. *J. Appl. Phys.* **116**, 203705 (2014).
- 35 Ang, R., Khan, A. U., Tsujii, N., Takai, K., Nakamura, R. & Mori, T. Thermoelectricity generation and electron–magnon scattering in a natural chalcopyrite mineral from a deep-sea hydrothermal vent. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **54**, 12909–12913 (2015).
- 36 Li, J., Tan, Q. & Li, J.-F. Synthesis and property evaluation of CuFeS_{2-x} as earth-abundant and environmentally-friendly thermoelectric materials. *J. Alloy Compd.* 551, 143–149 (2013).
- 37 Berthebaud, D., Lebedev, O. I. & Maignan, A. Thermoelectric properties of n-type cobalt doped chalcopyrite Cu_{1-x}Co_xFeS₂ and p-type eskebornite CuFeSe₂. *J. Materiomics* 1, 68–74 (2015).
- 38 Sato, K., Harada, Y., Taguchi, M., Shin, S. & Fujimori, A. Characterization of Fe 3d states in CuFeS₂ by resonant X-ray emission spectroscopy. *Phys. Stat. Solid. A* 206, 1096–1100 (2009).
- 39 Xie, H., Su, X., Zheng, G., Zhu, T., Yin, K., Yan, Y., Uher, C., Kanatzidis, M. G. & Tang, X. The role of Zn in chalcopyrite CuFeS₂: enhanced thermoelectric properties of Cu_{1-x}Zn_xFeS₂ with *in situ* nanoprecipitates. *Adv. Energy Mater.* 7, 1601299 (2016).
- 40 Xie, H., Su, X., Zheng, G., Yan, Y., Liu, W., Tang, H., Kanatzidis, M. G., Uher, C. & Tang, X. Nonmagnetic in substituted CuFe_{1-x}In_xS₂ solid solution thermoelectric. *J. Phys. Chem. C* **120**, 27895–27902 (2016).
- 41 Carr, W. D. & Morelli, D. T. The thermoelectric properties and solubility limit of CuFeS₂ (1-x)Se_{2x}. J. Electron Mater 45, 1346–1350 (2015).
- 42 Tsujii, N., Mori, T. & Isoda, Y. Phase stability and thermoelectric properties of CuFeS₂based magnetic semiconductor. J. Electron Mater. 43, 2371–2375 (2014).
- 43 Tsujii, N. & Mori, T. High thermoelectric power factor in a carrier-doped magnetic semiconductor CuFeS₂. Appl. Phys. Express 6, 043001 (2013).
- 44 Tsujii, N. Possible enhancement of thermoelectric properties by use of a magnetic semiconductor: carrier-doped chalcopyrite Cu_{1-x}Fe_{1+x}S₂. J. Electron Mater 42, 1974–1977 (2013).
- 45 Liang, D., Ma, R., Jiao, S., Pang, G. & Feng, S. A facile synthetic approach for copper iron sulfide nanocrystals with enhanced thermoelectric performance. *Nanoscale* 4, 6265–6268 (2012).

- 46 Su, X., Fu, F., Yan, Y., Zheng, G., Liang, T., Zhang, Q., Cheng, X., Yang, D., Chi, H., Tang, X., Zhang, Q. & Uher, C. Self-propagating high-temperature synthesis for compound thermoelectrics and new criterion for combustion processing. *Nat. Commun.* 5, 4908 (2014).
- 47 Zheng, G., Su, X., Liang, T., Lu, Q., Yan, Y., Uher, C. & Tang, X. High thermoelectric performance of mechanically robust n-type Bi₂Te_{3-x}Se_x prepared by combustion synthesis. J. Mater. Chem. A 3, 6603–6613 (2015).
- 48 Liang, T., Su, X., Yan, Y., Zheng, G., Zhang, Q., Chi, H., Tang, X. & Uher, C. Ultra-fast synthesis and thermoelectric properties of Te doped skutterudites. *J. Mater Chem. A* 2, 17914–17918 (2014).
- 49 She, X., Su, X., Du, H., Liang, T., Zheng, G., Yan, Y., Akram, R., Uher, C. & Tang, X. High thermoelectric performance of higher manganese silicides prepared by ultra-fast thermal explosion. J. Mater Chem. C 3, 12116–12122 (2015).
- 50 Bergman, D. J. & Fel, L. G. Enhancement of thermoelectric power factor in composite thermoelectrics. J. Appl. Phys. 85, 8205–8216 (1999).
- 51 Bergman, D. J. & Levy, O. Thermoelectric properties of a composite medium. J. Appl. Phys. 70, 6821–6833 (1991).
- 52 Padilla, R., Rodriguez, M. & Ruiz, M. C. Sulfidation of chalcopyrite with elemental sulfur. *Metall. Mater. Trans. B.* 34, 15–23 (2003).
- 53 Zhao, L. D., Lo, S. H., He, J., Li, H., Biswas, K., Androulakis, J., Wu, C. I., Hogan, T. P., Chung, D. Y., Dravid, V. P. & Kanatzidis, M. G. High performance thermoelectrics from earth-abundant materials: enhanced figure of merit in PbS by second phase nanostructures. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 133, 20476–20487 (2011).
- 54 Liu, W.-S., Zhang, Q., Lan, Y., Chen, S., Yan, X., Zhang, Q., Wang, H., Wang, D., Chen, G. & Ren, Z. Thermoelectric property studies on Cu-doped n-type Cu_xBi₂Te_{2.7}Se_{0.3} nanocomposites. *Adv. Energy Mater.* **1**, 577–587 (2011).
- 55 Xie, W. J., He, J., Zhu, S., Su, X. L., Wang, S. Y., Holgate, T., Graff, J. W., Ponnambalam, V., Poon, S. J. & Tang, X. F. Simultaneously optimizing the independent thermoelectric properties in (Ti,Zr,Hf)(Co,Ni)Sb alloy by in situ forming InSb nanoinclusions. Acta Mater. 58, 4705–4713 (2010).
- 56 Pei, Y. L., Wu, H., Wu, D., Zheng, F. & He, J. High thermoelectric performance realized in a BiCuSeO system by improving carrier mobility through 3D modulation doping. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 136, 13902–13908 (2014).
- 57 Yu, B., Zebarjadi, M., Wang, H., Lukas, K., Wang, H., Wang, D., Opeil, C., Dresselhaus, M., Chen, G. & Ren, Z. Enhancement of thermoelectric properties by modulation-doping in silicon germanium alloy nanocomposites. *Nano Lett.* **12**, 2077–2082 (2012).
- 58 Zebarjadi, M., Joshi, G., Zhu, G., Yu, B., Minnich, A., Lan, Y., Wang, X., Dresselhaus, M., Ren, Z. & Chen, G. Power factor enhancement by modulation doping in bulk nanocomposites. *Nano Lett.* **11**, 2225–2230 (2011).

This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in the credit line; if the material is not included under the Creative Commons license, users will need to obtain permission from the license holder to reproduce the material. To view a copy of this license, visit http:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/

© The Author(s) 2017

Supplementary Information accompanies the paper on the NPG Asia Materials website (http://www.nature.com/am)