Inhibition of the aquaporin 3 water channel increases the sensitivity of prostate cancer cells to cryotherapy

M Ismail^{*1}, S Bokaei¹, J Davies², KJ Harrington³ and H Pandha¹

¹Department of Oncology, Postgraduate Medical School, University of Surrey, Guildford GU2 7WG, UK; ²Department of Urology, The Royal Surrey County Hospital, Guildford GU2 7XX UK; ³Targeted Therapy Laboratory, The Institute of Cancer Research, 237 Fulham Road, London SW3 6JB, UK

Aquaporins (AQPs) are intrinsic membrane proteins that facilitate selective water and small solute movement across the plasma membrane. In this study, we investigate the role of inhibiting AQPs in sensitising prostate cancer cells to cryotherapy. PC-3 and DU145 prostate cancer cells were cooled to 0, –5 and –10°C. The expression of AQP3 in response to freezing was determined using real-time quantitative polymerase chain reaction (RT-qPCR) and western blot analysis. Aquaporins were inhibited using mercuric chloride (HgCl₂) and small interfering RNA (siRNA) duplex, and cell survival was assessed using a colorimetric assay. There was a significant increase in AQP3 expression in response to freezing. Cells treated with AQP3 siRNA were more sensitive to cryoinjury compared with control cells ($P < 0.001$). Inhibition of the AQPs by HgCl₂ also increased the sensitivity of both cell lines to cryoinjury and there was a complete loss of cell viability at –10°C ($P < 0.01$). In conclusion, we have shown that AQP3 is involved directly in cryoinjury. Inhibition of AQP3 increases the sensitivity of prostate cancer cells to freezing. This strategy may be exploited in the clinic to improve the efficacy of prostate cryotherapy.

British Journal of Cancer (2009) 100, 1889–1895; doi:10.1038/sj.bjc.6605093 www.bjcancer.com
© 2009 Cancer Research UK

Keywords: AQP3; cryotherapy; prostate cancer

Prostate cancer is recognised as one of the most common non-dermatological male cancers in the United Kingdom, accounting for almost one in four of all new male cancers (Cancer research UK, 2007). It represents the second most common cause of cancer death (Jemal *et al*, 2007). Radical prostatectomy and external beam radiotherapy remain the two main active treatment modalities for prostate cancer with acceptable results, although they may be associated with varying degrees of morbidity (Lim *et al*, 1995; Kupelian *et al*, 1997; Aus *et al*, 2005). Prostate cryotherapy is the localised application of a freezing temperature resulting in *in situ* tissue ablation. Presently, cryotherapy represents a minimally invasive alternative treatment for localised or locally advanced prostate cancer. Clinical case series studies confirmed the feasibility of cryotherapy as a primary and salvage treatment for patients with localised or locally advanced prostate cancer (Ismail *et al*, 2007; Cohen *et al*, 2008).

The aim of prostate cryotherapy is to selectively destroy neoplastic tissue and preserve vital structures around the prostate, such as rectum and urinary bladder, and a precise freezing process is required to achieve this goal. The targeted tissue has to be exposed to a temperature lower than –40°C to ensure a complete eradication of the cancer tissue (Larson *et al*, 2000). It is technically challenging to achieve the lethal critical temperature in all the prostatic tissues, especially at the periphery of the ice ball, as this will result in a high percentage of complications. Therefore, complete ablation of prostate cancer tissue often fails and results in local disease recurrence.

Cold injury starts when temperature falls to subzero levels and extracellular ice starts to form at a temperature range between –7 and –20°C. Ice formation will create a hyperosmolar extracellular environment and expose cells to osmotic stress. Lower temperatures (<–15°C) are associated with intracellular ice formation, which is almost always lethal to the cells (Gage and Baust, 1998).

The aquaporin (AQP) family of water channels are intrinsic membrane proteins that facilitate selective water and small solute movement across the plasma membrane (Verkman and Mitra, 2000). They were initially characterised in human red blood and renal cells (Preston *et al*, 1992; Nielsen *et al*, 1993). Subsequently, they were identified in mammals, plants, yeasts and arthropods. To date, 13 members of the AQP family have been identified in mammals (AQP0–12). Mammalian AQPs were stratified into two subgroups. Members of the first subgroup, including AQP1, AQP2, AQP4, AQP5, AQP6 and AQP8, are highly selective for the passage of water across the plasma membrane. The second group is the aquaglyceroporins, which includes AQP3, AQP7, AQP9 and AQP10; they permit the transport of small non-ionic molecules, such as glycerol, in addition to water (Ishibashi *et al*, 1994).

Only recently, the role of AQP in tumour pathogenesis has been identified. Aquaporin 3 was found to be expressed in normal and malignant prostate tissue and may be involved in tumour initiation and development (Wang *et al*, 2007). The expression of AQP1 is confined to the capillary endothelium of prostate cancer cells and it may be involved in microvascular alteration during tumour angiogenesis (Mobasheri *et al*, 2005). There has been increasing evidence that AQPs may play a role in carcinogenesis. The oncogenic properties of AQP5 in lung and colorectal cancer were examined recently (Woo *et al*, 2008). It was shown that AQP5 phosphorylation at the PKA substrate consensus site plays a key

*Correspondence: Dr M Ismail; E-mail: ms18273@gmail.com
Received 2 April 2009; revised 20 April 2009; accepted 28 April 2009

role in cell proliferation. A recent study by the same group showed that AQP5 expression in colorectal cancer is significantly associated with lung metastasis that is possibly mediated by the activation of Ras, mitogen activated protein kinase (MAPK) and Rb signalling pathways (Kang *et al*, 2008).

In this study, we investigate the effects of inhibiting the AQP in sensitising human prostate cancer cells to cryotherapy using an *in vitro* model. The expression of the AQP by PC-3 and DU145 cells before and after cryoinjury was determined using qPCR. We have assessed the potential use of RNA interference (RNAi) technology as an adjunctive therapy to cryotherapy to enhance anti-tumour efficacy. To our knowledge, this is the first study that evaluates the role of AQPs in the tolerance of human prostate cancer cells to cryoinjury.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Prostate cancer cell culture

The human DU145 and PC-3 cell lines were obtained from the ATCC (American Type Culture Collection). Cells were grown in a complete culture medium (RPMI 1640 with 10% foetal calf serum, 1% L-glutamine and 1% penicillin/streptomycin, all from Sigma-Aldrich, Poole, UK) and incubated at 37°C with 5% CO₂. Cells were suspended in 5 ml of fresh culture medium. A final cell density of 1×10^5 cells per ml were placed in 1.5 ml Eppendorf tubes and centrifuged at 600 r.p.m. for 1 min.

Freezing protocol

Cells were treated using the Cryocare system (Endocare Inc., Irvine, CA, USA). Briefly, Eppendorf tubes containing prostate cancer cells were placed 6 mm from the centre of a single cryoprobe and held in place by a temperature probe to monitor the sample temperature. The cells were cooled to 0, -5 and -10°C for 10 min, and then thawed in a 50°C water bath to room temperature. The apparatus allows cells to be treated at a range of temperatures between 0 and -40°C and to be held reproducibly at specific temperatures for as long as required.

Inhibition of AQPs by HgCl₂

Prostate cancer cells were treated with the AQP inhibitor, mercuric chloride (HgCl₂) (Sigma-Aldrich), at a concentration of 0.075 mM for 15 min. Cells were washed twice and cooled to -10°C for 10 min. Cell survival was compared with the untreated cells, cells cooled in the absence of HgCl₂ and cells treated with HgCl₂ only using the 3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-5-(3-carboxymethoxyphenyl)-2-(4-sulfophenyl)-2H-tetrazolium, inner salt (MTS) assay (Promega, Madison, WI, USA).

Small interfering RNA (siRNA) synthesis

RNA duplex of 19 nucleotides specific for human AQP3 sequence was synthesised by Thermo Scientific Dharmacon (Lafayette, CO, USA). ON-TARGET^{plus} siRNA (Dharmacon, Lafayette, CO, USA) smart pool is a mixture of four siRNAs targeting AQP3, which attains the maximum target gene silencing and reduces the overall number of off-target interactions. ON-TARGET^{plus} negative control, which has minimal targeting of known genes in the human genome, was used as a control siRNA.

Target sequence	GGAUCAAGCUGCCCAUCUA
(Sense orientation)	CUUCUUGGGUGCUGGAAUA
	UAUGAUAAGGCUUCUUU
	GAGCAGAUCUGAGUGGGCA

Transfection of human prostate cancer cells with siRNA

DU145 and PC-3 cells were diluted in an antibiotic-free complete medium to a plating density of 1.0×10^5 cells per ml and 500 µl of cells were seeded in each well of a 24-well plate. Cells were incubated at 37°C with 5% CO₂ overnight. Small interfering RNA transfection was carried out using the DharmaFECT 2 transfection reagent (Dharmacon) following the manufacturer's protocol. A stock solution of 2 µM of the AQP3 siRNA was prepared, aliquoted and stored at -20°C. Aquaporin 3 siRNA was diluted in a ratio of 1:1 in a serum-free RPMI medium. In parallel, 3 µl of the DharmaFECT 2 reagent was added to 197 µl of serum-free medium. The two mixtures were combined and incubated for 20 min at room temperature for complex formation. After the addition of a sufficient antibiotic-free complete medium (final concentration of AQP3 siRNA is 100 nM), 500 µl of the mixture was added to each well and cells incubated at 37°C with 5% CO₂. Control siRNA was prepared in a similar way to AQP3 siRNA. Mock-transfected cells are cells that were treated with DharmaFECT 2 reagent only. Cell survival was assessed at day 6 and compared with the untreated cells. Cells were harvested at day 3 for mRNA analysis and at day 6 post transfection for protein analysis.

Cell treatment after siRNA transfection

AQP3-specific gene silencing was confirmed by three independent western blot and q-PCR experiments. Untreated cells, cells treated with control siRNA and mock-transfected cells were used as controls. Transfected cells were harvested and treated with freezing at -10°C as described above. Cell survival was assessed using the MTS assay and compared with the control cells and cells treated at -10°C without transfection.

Real-time quantitative polymerase chain reaction (RT-qPCR)

Real-time quantitative polymerase chain reaction analysis was performed using the Stratagene Mx3005P qPCR system (Stratagene, La Jolla, CA, USA). Glyceraldehyde 3-phosphate dehydrogenase was used as a reference gene and all reactions were performed in duplicate in a 96-well plate. A volume of 25 µl of PCR reaction mixture contained 1 µl cDNA, 1 µl of each AQP primer, 10.5 µl nuclease-free water and 12.5 µl SYBR Green JumpStart Taq ReadyMix (Sigma-Aldrich). Primers were designed using the Primer3 software (<http://frodo.wi.mit.edu/>) and obtained from the Eurogentec group (Eurogentec Ltd, Southampton, Hampshire, UK). The efficiency of RT-qPCR was calculated using a standard curve. Thermal cycling conditions were as follows: 1 cycle (10 min at 95°C) followed by 40 cycles (30 s at 95°C, 1 min at 60°C and 30 s at 72°C) followed by 1 cycle (1 min 95°C, 30 s at 55°C and 30 s at 95°C). The 2^{-ΔΔCT} method was used to calculate the difference in C_T value between the treated sample and untreated control and is expressed as a fold change in gene expression relative to the untreated cells. Results were then normalised to an endogenous reference gene (GAPDH) whose expression is constant in all groups.

$$\Delta\Delta CT = (C_{T, \text{Target}} - C_{T, \text{Reference gene}})_{\text{treated}} - (C_{T, \text{Target}} - C_{T, \text{Reference gene}})_{\text{untreated}}$$

Western blot analysis

After treatment, cells were washed with phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) and lysed with RIPA (RadioImmuno Precipitation Assay) Buffer containing protease and phosphatase inhibitor cocktail and EDTA (ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid) (all from Perbio Science, Cramlington, Northumberland, UK). The cells were then centrifuged at 13 000 r.p.m. for 5 min and the supernatant collected and

stored at -20°C . Protein concentration was determined using the BCA protein assay kit (Perbio Science) as per the manufacturer's instructions. A total of $25\mu\text{g}$ of protein was added to $2.5\mu\text{l}$ of sample buffer and $1\mu\text{l}$ of reducing agent and incubated at 70°C for 10 min and was then loaded on a Bis Tris gel (all from Invitrogen, Paisley, UK). Proteins were transferred from within the gel onto a PVDF membrane and blocked with 50 ml of blocking buffer (PBS, 0.1% Tween 20 and 5% Bovine serumalbumin). The membrane was incubated with the primary antibody against AQP3 (1:1000) (Santa Cruz, Santa Cruz, CA, USA) and β -actin (1:5000) (Abcam, Cambridge, UK) overnight at 4°C . The membrane was then incubated with a secondary antibody (peroxidase-labelled anti-goat antibody) and detected using the enhanced chemiluminescent detection method (GE Healthcare, Buckinghamshire, UK).

Immunofluorescence staining

PC-3 and DU145 cells were cooled to -10°C according to freezing protocol and recovered for 24 h in a 37°C and 5% CO_2 incubator. Cells were washed in PBS, fixed with 10% formalin for 10 min and blocked with the blocking buffer at room temperature for 30 min. The cells were then incubated with the primary antibody against AQP3 (1:200 dilution) overnight at 4°C . After washing, the cells were incubated with the secondary Ab (Donkey anti-goat IgG antibody, Alexa Fluor 488 Conjugated, Invitrogen) at room temperature for 1 h. Negative control included cells incubated with the dilution buffer without the addition of a primary antibody to determine the levels of non-specific fluorescence. Immunofluorescence was visualised using Eclipse TE2000-S microscope (Nikon, Japan).

RESULTS

Cryotherapy results in increased expression of AQP3 in prostate cancer cells

Prostate cancer cells were cooled to 0, -5 and -10°C for 10 min. After overnight recovery, total RNA and protein were extracted and AQP1, AQP3 and AQP9 mRNA expression was assessed using q-PCR. The AQP3 expression in the untreated cells was compared with GAPDH mRNA expression. Untreated DU145 cells expressed significant levels of AQP3, which is six-fold more than the expression of GAPDH (Figure 1A). Untreated PC-3 cells expressed lower levels of AQP3 (Figure 1B). There was an overall increase in the expression of AQP3 in DU145 and PC-3 cells on exposure to -10°C freezing temperature. In DU145 cells, the AQP3 expression slightly reduced at 0 and -5°C ($P>0.05$) followed by a significant increase in expression at -10°C ($P<0.001$; Figure 2). PC-3 cells showed a similar trend to DU145 cells, with a significant increase in the AQP3 expression at -10°C compared with the untreated cells (data not shown). The AQP1 mRNA expression significantly increased in cells cooled to -5 and -10°C ($P<0.01$). The AQP9 mRNA levels did not change significantly after exposure to cryoinjury. The AQP3 protein and mRNA expression was evaluated 2, 8 and 24 h post freezing at -10°C using RT-qPCR and western blot analysis. The results show that in the immediate post-freeze period, there are 5- and 50-fold increases in AQP3 expression in DU145 and PC-3 cells, respectively, followed by a gradual return to the untreated level (Figure 3). This response may represent an important adaptive mechanism used by the cells to face the osmotic stress associated with cryoinjury.

Inhibition of AQPs by mercuric chloride increases the sensitivity of prostate cancer cells to freeze injury

Mercuric chloride is an inhibitor of the AQP, which has been used routinely to test AQP function in animal and plants cells. It has a high affinity to the reactive thiol moiety of cysteine residues within the AQPs, causing covalent changes leading to the inhibition of

Figure 1 AQP1, AQP3 and AQP9 mRNA expression in (A) DU145 and (B) PC-3 human prostate cancer cells. RNA was extracted from fresh cells, reverse-transcribed, and expression levels were calculated as a fold change relative to GAPDH expression.

Figure 2 The expression of AQP1, AQP3 and AQP9 in DU145 cells in response to freezing. Cells were cooled to 0, -5 and -10°C for 10 min and thawed to room temperature. RNA was extracted, reverse-transcribed, and mRNA expression was measured as a fold change relative to the untreated cells. The expressions of AQP1 and AQP3 mRNA were significantly increased at -10°C ($P<0.001$).

water transport function (Savage and Stroud, 2007). To ascertain the effect of HgCl_2 on prostate cancer cell survival, DU145 and PC-3 cells were treated with various concentrations of HgCl_2 for 15 min, and survival was assessed using the MTS assay. PC-3 cells were very sensitive to HgCl_2 treatment and more than 80% died at low concentration (0.075 mM). DU145 cells were more resistant to HgCl_2 and the IC_{50} was 0.15 mM (Figure 4). DU145 cells were treated with 0.078 mM of HgCl_2 for 15 min, and the cells were then cooled to -10°C for 10 min. Cell survival was assessed using the MTS assay and compared with the untreated cells, cells treated with HgCl_2 or freezing alone. Mercuric chloride or cryotherapy treatment alone resulted in a 40 and 80% reduction in cell survival, respectively. The combination treatment resulted in a significant reduction in cell survival compared with either treatment alone, with almost a complete loss of cell viability after treatment ($P<0.001$; Figure 5). To determine whether the combination treatment is synergistic, the combination index (CI) was calculated

Figure 3 AQP3 expression by RT-qPCR and western blot analysis in (A) DU145 and (B) PC-3 cells over time intervals post freezing. There was a significant increase in AQP3 expression 2 h after freezing followed by a time-dependent reduction to the control levels.

Figure 4 The effect of mercuric chloride (HgCl_2) on DU145 and PC-3 cell survival. Cells were grown in a 96-well plate and treated with various concentrations of HgCl_2 in a fresh culture medium for 15 min. Cell survival was assessed using the MTS assay. DU145 cells were more resistant to HgCl_2 than PC-3 cells.

using CalcuSyn software (Biosoft, Cambridge, UK) that uses the median effect principle. The CI provides a quantitative measure of the degree of interaction between two or more agents (Chou and Talalay, 1984). The CI for the combination treatment was 0.2, which denotes a marked synergy between the two treatments.

AQP3 inhibition by siRNA in prostate cancer cells

To further investigate the role of specific AQPs in protecting prostate cancer cells from cryoinjury, an AQP3 silencing experiment was carried out with 100 nM of AQP3 siRNA. Aquaporin 3 gene silencing was monitored by RT-qPCR at day 3 and western blot analysis at day 6 after inducing RNAi. A control siRNA was used in parallel to test for the potential non-specific effects of the short RNA duplex. To evaluate the toxic effect of transfection on overall viability, cell survival was assessed using the MTS assay at day 6 after the addition of the siRNA. The percentage of cell survival was above 80% in all groups indicating optimal transfection conditions with no toxic effect of the lipid complex on the treated cells ($P > 0.05$; data not shown). The results show that AQP3 mRNA levels were significantly decreased 3 days after the treatment with siRNA to $< 10\%$ of the control. There was no significant change in the AQP3 mRNA expression in cells treated with control siRNA and mock-transfected cells. Western blot analysis showed a reduction in AQP3 protein levels at day 6,

Figure 5 (A) DU145 and (B) PC-3 cells after exposure to 0.075 mM mercuric chloride (HgCl_2), freezing (Cryo (-10)) and combination of HgCl_2 and freezing (Combo). Survival was measured as a percentage of the untreated cells. There was a complete loss of DU145 cell survival at -10°C ($P < 0.001$).

whereas protein expression levels were unaffected in cells treated with control siRNA and in mock-transfected cells showing the specificity of the results (Figure 6).

Assessment of cell survival in AQP3 knockdown cells compared with normal cells

The AQP inhibitor (HgCl_2) resulted in a significant decrease in DU145 cell viability after exposure to -10°C . To further investigate the specificity of AQP3 inhibition in increasing cell sensitivity to cryotherapy, different cell groups were cooled to -10°C and cell

Figure 6 AQP3 mRNA and protein levels in (A) DU145 and (B) PC-3 cells were assessed by RT-qPCR and western blot analysis 3 and 6 days after AQP3 transfection, respectively. Multiple controls were used to verify the specificity of the treatment.

Figure 7 (A) DU145 and (B) PC-3 cell after exposure to cryoinjury. AQP3 knockdown cells showed a significant reduction in cell viability after exposure to cryoinjury compared with the other groups ($P < 0.001$). Cell survival is expressed as a percentage of the untreated cells.

viability was assessed using the MTS assay. Four groups were compared, namely fresh cells (cryo -10), cells transfected with AQP3 siRNA (AQP3 siRNA), cells transfected with control siRNA (control siRNA) and mock-transfected cells (mock transfection). Cell survival was assessed as a percentage relative to the untreated cells. The results show a statistically significant increase in cell death after exposure to cryoinjury in AQP3 knockdown cells compared with fresh cells ($P < 0.001$; Figure 7). Cells treated with control siRNA and mock-transfected cells showed a similar cell

Figure 8 Immunofluorescence expression and localisation of AQP3 post cryotherapy. It is clear that AQP3 is expressed on the plasma membrane of PC-3 cells. A similar finding was observed in DU145 cells. Original magnification $\times 600$.

viability to fresh cells after exposure to freezing ($P > 0.05$). We showed clearly that increased sensitivity to cryoinjury was specific to AQP3 knockdown in DU145 and PC-3 cells indicating that the AQP3 gene product may play a role in protecting prostate cancer cells from cryoinjury.

Cryotherapy results in relocalisation of AQP3 into the plasma membrane

It was shown that AQP3 protein is expressed in the cytoplasm of the human prostate cancer cells (Wang *et al*, 2007). Transporter proteins need to be expressed in the plasma membrane to function. In this study, we investigated the expression and localisation of AQP3 in prostate cancer cells in response to cryoinjury using immunofluorescence staining. Cryotherapy markedly redistributed AQP3 protein into the plasma membrane of prostate cancer cells. Figure 8 clearly shows membrane expression of AQP3 in PC-3 cells. DU145 cells showed a similar finding (data not shown). Control wells incubated with dilution buffer showed no immunoreactivity (data not shown).

DISCUSSION

Cryotherapy is an effective therapy for localised or locally advanced prostate cancer (Ismail *et al*, 2007). Clinical reports indicate that -40°C represents the ideal target temperature for a satisfactory prostate tissue ablation (Larson *et al*, 2000). As this is not achieved clinically, a complete ablation of cancer tissue some times fails and results in disease recurrence. Therefore, a second synergistic therapy is of great potential benefit. Some synergistic cell killing has been achieved with concomitant cryotherapy and chemotherapy, radiotherapy, hyperthermia and apoptosis inducing ligands (Robilotto *et al*, 2007).

In this study, we identified inhibition of AQPs as a further therapeutic target that may be synergistic with cryotherapy. During the initial phase of freezing, ice formation starts in the extracellular space creating a hyperosmolar environment and leading to water escape from the intracellular to the extracellular space along the osmotic gradient. Intracellular hyperosmolarity will result in a reduction in the critical temperature of intracellular ice formation. Inhibiting the AQPs, which are primarily responsible for controlling water transport across the plasma membrane, will lead to intracellular water retention and to a subsequent increase in the critical temperature at which intracellular ice will form.

In this study, we provide strong evidence that AQP protein can play a key role in modulating prostate cancer cell response to cryotherapy. Aquaporin 3 was expressed in both prostate cancer cell lines and it was used as a target in this study. Exposure to freezing temperature provided a strong stimulus for enhanced expression of AQP3 in an attempt to overcome the osmotic stress. Similar upregulation on exposure to mild hypothermia was reported in earlier studies (Fujita *et al*, 2003). Cryotherapy increases the expression of AQP3 through two mechanisms. First, by creating a hyperosmolar environment at the extracellular space that leads to increased expression of AQP3 (Bell *et al*, 2009). Second, we found a five-fold increase in MAPK14 expression in prostate cancer cells on exposure to -10°C freezing temperature (unpublished data). Bell *et al* showed that MAPK14 is involved directly in regulating AQP3 expression in response to hyperosmotic stress. Therefore, the upregulation of AQP3 can be considered as part of a protective physiological stress response to the osmotic changes at the initial phase of cryoinjury. Aquaporin 3 is a transporter protein that needs to be expressed in the cell membrane to function. Wang *et al* showed that AQP3 is expressed in the cytoplasm of prostate cancer cells. On the basis of our

finding from immunofluorescence staining, we showed clearly that cryoinjury results in the relocation of AQP3 from the cytoplasm to the plasma membrane, which may reflect the direct involvement of AQP3 in the intracellular osmotic changes associated with cryoinjury.

Earlier studies have reported on the relationship between AQP water channels and freeze tolerance. Forced overexpression of AQP3 in mouse oocytes increased survival after cryopreservation in a glycerol-based medium (Edashige *et al*, 2003). Gene expression analysis in baker's yeast showed a correlation between freeze resistance and the expression of AQP1 and AQP2 genes (Tanghe *et al*, 2002). Deletion of the respective genes has raised the sensitivity to cryoinjury. It was also shown that the high level of freeze tolerance in arthropods was significantly reduced when the expression of the AQP was inhibited by HgCl_2 even in the presence of glycerol as a cryoprotectant (Izumi *et al*, 2006; Philip *et al*, 2008).

On the basis of these observations, we examined the role of the AQP in freeze tolerance of human prostate cancer cells. DU145 cells and PC-3 cells were frozen in the presence or absence of mercuric chloride, a universal inhibitor of the AQPs (Niemietz and Tyerman, 2002). We showed that cells exposed to combined treatment were more seriously damaged by freezing at -10°C compared with cells exposed to cryotherapy alone. Inhibition of the AQP interferes with water transport across the plasma membrane down the osmotic gradient, which is essential for maintaining the osmotic equilibrium and freeze tolerance (Philip *et al*, 2008). Izumi *et al* (2006) suggested that freezing stress requires control of water and solutes across the plasma membrane and cell survival will depend on the portion of intracellular water replaced by cryoprotectant. In this study, our aim was to increase the efficacy of cryotherapy, therefore no cryoprotectant was used.

To further characterise the role of the AQP in freeze tolerance in human prostate cancer cells, RNAi technology was used to knock down AQP3 protein expression. We showed that although silencing of AQP3 protein did not affect cell proliferation (data not shown), it resulted in increased sensitivity of cancer cell to cryoinjury compared with untreated cells. These data provide compelling evidence that expression of the AQP on the plasma membrane is essential for protecting human prostate cancer cells from cryoinjury, suggesting a specific functional role of the AQP in cancer cell biology. Thus, AQP inhibition by pharmacological blockers might provide a new therapeutic approach for sensitising human prostate cancer cells to cryotherapy.

REFERENCES

- Aus G, Abbou CC, Bolla M, Heidenreich A, Schmid HP, van Poppel H, Wolff J, Zattoni F (2005) EAU guidelines on prostate cancer. *Eur Urol* 48: 546–551
- Bell CE, Larivière NM, Watson PH, Watson AJ (2009) Mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK) pathways mediate embryonic responses to culture medium osmolarity by regulating Aquaporin 3 and 9 expression and localization, as well as embryonic apoptosis. *Hum Reprod* [E-pub ahead of print]
- Cancer research UK (2007) Prostate cancer incidence and statistics. Cancer Research UK. Electronic citation
- Chou TC, Talalay P (1984) Quantitative analysis of dose-effect relationships: the combined effects of multiple drugs or enzyme inhibitors. *Adv Enzyme Regul* 22: 27–55
- Cohen JK, Miller Jr RJ, Ahmed S, Lottz MJ, Baust J (2008) Ten-year biochemical disease control for patients with prostate cancer treated with cryosurgery as primary therapy. *Urology* 71(3): 515–518
- Edashige K, Yamaji Y, Kleinhans FW, Kasai M (2003) Artificial expression of aquaporin-3 improves the survival of mouse oocytes after cryopreservation. *Biol Reprod* 68: 87–94
- Fujita Y, Yamamoto N, Sobue K, Inagaki M, Ito H, Arima H, Morishima T, Takeuchi A, Tsuda T, Katsuya H, Asai K (2003) Effect of mild hypothermia on the expression of aquaporin family in cultured rat astrocytes under hypoxic condition. *Neurosci Res* 47: 437–444
- Gage AA, Baust J (1998) Mechanisms of tissue injury in cryosurgery. *Cryobiology* 37: 171–186
- Ishibashi K, Sasaki S, Fushimi K, Uchida S, Kuwahara M, Saito H, Furukawa T, Nakajima K, Yamaguchi Y, Gojobori T (1994) Molecular cloning and expression of a member of the aquaporin family with permeability to glycerol and urea in addition to water expressed at the basolateral membrane of kidney collecting duct cells. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 91: 6269–6273
- Ismail M, Ahmed S, Kastner C, Davies J (2007) Salvage cryotherapy for recurrent prostate cancer after radiation failure: a prospective case series of the first 100 patients. *BJU Int* 100: 760–764
- Izumi Y, Sonoda S, Yoshida H, Danks HV, Tsumuki H (2006) Role of membrane transport of water and glycerol in the freeze tolerance of the rice stem borer, *Chilo suppressalis* Walker (Lepidoptera: Pyralidae). *J Insect Physiol* 52: 215–220
- Jemal A, Siegel R, Ward E, Murray T, Xu J, Thun MJ (2007) Cancer Statistics, 2007. *CA Cancer J Clin* 57: 43–66
- Kang SK, Chae YK, Woo J, Kim MS, Park JC, Lee J, Soria JC, Jang SJ, Sidransky D, Moon C (2008) Role of human aquaporin 5 in colorectal carcinogenesis. *Am J Pathol* 173: 518–525

- Kupelian P, Katcher J, Levin H, Zippe C, Suh J, Macklis R, Klein E (1997) External beam radiotherapy versus radical prostatectomy for clinical stage T1-2 prostate cancer: therapeutic implications of stratification by pretreatment PSA levels and biopsy Gleason scores. *Cancer J Sci Am* 3: 78–87
- Larson TR, Robertson DW, Corica A, Bostwick DG (2000) In vivo interstitial temperature mapping of the human prostate during cryosurgery with correlation to histopathologic outcomes. *Urology* 55: 547–552
- Lim AJ, Brandon AH, Fiedler J, Brickman AL, Boyer CI, Raub Jr WA, Soloway MS (1995) Quality of life: radical prostatectomy versus radiation therapy for prostate cancer. *J Urol* 154: 1420–1425
- Mobasheri A, Airley R, Hewitt SM, Marples D (2005) Heterogeneous expression of the aquaporin 1 (AQP1) water channel in tumors of the prostate, breast, ovary, colon and lung: a study using high density multiple human tumor tissue microarrays. *Int J Oncol* 26: 1149–1158
- Nielsen S, Smith BL, Christensen EI, Knepper MA, Agre P (1993) CHIP28 water channels are localized in constitutively water-permeable segments of the nephron. *J Cell Biol* 120: 371–383
- Niemietz CM, Tyerman SD (2002) New potent inhibitors of aquaporins: silver and gold compounds inhibit aquaporins of plant and human origin. *FEBS Lett* 531: 443–447
- Philip BN, Yi SX, Elnitsky MA, Lee Jr RE (2008) Aquaporins play a role in desiccation and freeze tolerance in larvae of the goldenrod gall fly, *Eurosta solidaginis*. *J Exp Biol* 211: 1114–1119
- Preston GM, Carroll TP, Guggino WB, Agre P (1992) Appearance of water channels in *Xenopus* oocytes expressing red cell CHIP28 protein. *Science* 256: 385–387
- Robilotto AT, Clarke D, Baust JM, Van Buskirk RG, Gage AA, Baust JG (2007) Development of a tissue engineered human prostate tumor equivalent for use in the evaluation of cryoablative techniques. *Technol Cancer Res Treat* 6: 81–89
- Savage DF, Stroud RM (2007) Structural basis of aquaporin inhibition by mercury. *J Mol Biol* 368: 607–617
- Tanghe A, Van Dijk P, Dumortier F, Teunissen A, Hohmann S, Thevelein JM (2002) Aquaporin expression correlates with freeze tolerance in baker's yeast, and overexpression improves freeze tolerance in industrial strains. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 68: 5981–5989
- Verkman AS, Mitra AK (2000) Structure and function of aquaporin water channels. *Am J Physiol Renal Physiol* 278: F13–F28
- Wang J, Tanji N, Kikugawa T, Shudou M, Song X, Yokoyama M (2007) Expression of aquaporin 3 in the human prostate. *Int J Urol* 14: 1088–1092
- Woo J, Lee J, Chae YK, Kim MS, Baek JH, Park JC, Park MJ, Smith IM, Trink B, Ratovitski E, Lee T, Park B, Jang SJ, Soria JC, Califano JA, Sidransky D, Moon C (2008) Overexpression of AQP5 a putative oncogene promotes cell growth and transformation. *Cancer Lett* 264: 54–62