www.bjcancer.com

Clinical Commentary

Survival from lung cancer in England and Wales up to 2001

YK Zee^I and T Eisen*,I

Department of Oncology, University of Cambridge, Box 193 Addenbrooke's Hospital, Hills Road, Cambridge CB2 0QQ, Cambridge, UK

British Journal of Cancer (2008) **99,** S43 – S46. doi:10.1038/sj.bjc.6604584 www.bjcancer.com Published online 23 September 2008 © 2008 Cancer Research UK

With over 38 000 new cases each year, lung cancer is the second most common form of cancer in the United Kingdom after breast cancer (Cancer Research UK, 2008a) and it is the most common cancer cause of death (Cancer Research UK, 2008b). Tobacco smoking is undoubtedly the major aetiological risk factor, the risk being around ten times higher in long-term smokers compared with non-smokers (Doll and Peto, 1981). Men are more likely to be affected, although the number of women with lung cancer has been increasing. This reflects changes in smoking habits over the last century (Quinn *et al*, 2001). Smoking cessation before middle age avoids more than 90% of the lung cancer risk attributable to tobacco (Peto *et al*, 2000).

Lung cancer can be broadly classified into two main types: non-small-cell lung cancer (NSCLC), which accounts for about 80% of cases, and small-cell lung cancer (SCLC), which accounts for the other 20%. Approximately 70% of patients with NSCLC present with advanced (Stage III/IV) disease (Ihde and Minna, 1991; Ihde, 1992). At diagnosis, about 60% of patients with SCLC have extensive disease, defined as disease not contained within a hemithorax, with metastases involving one or more sites such as the brain, liver, bone or bone marrow (Carney, 2002).

STANDARDS OF DIAGNOSIS BETWEEN 1985 AND 2000

No screening services are provided for early detection of cancer of the lung in England and Wales as there is currently no evidence that population screening is effective in reducing mortality (Manser et al, 2003). Most patients in the United Kingdom present to their primary care physician. Lung cancer should be suspected in any smoker with new or worsening respiratory symptoms including haemoptysis, or indication of systemic illness such as anorexia, malaise or weight loss (NICE, 2005). If a chest X-ray suggests lung cancer, then patients are offered urgent referral to a member of the lung cancer multidisciplinary team, usually a chest physician. The diagnosis may be confirmed from sputum cytology, bronchoscopy, percutaneous needle biopsy or open biopsy. Staging of lung cancers is by computed tomography (CT). Prompt referral and good teamwork are essential at every stage of management. Many advances in diagnosis and treatment have the potential to improve outcome in lung cancer. These demand a high degree of specialisation and multidisciplinary care. Such recommendations have appeared in guidelines published in the 1990s (SMAC, 1994; BTS, 1998; NHSE, 1998; SIGN, 1998). Positron emission tomography (PET) imaging became clinically available in a limited number of centres in the mid-1990s. It is a useful tool for refining the diagnosis and staging in patients with possible lung cancer. More recent guidelines recommend that patients who are staged as candidates for surgery or radical radiotherapy on CT should have an ¹⁸F-deoxyglucose-PET (FDG-PET) scan to look for involved intrathoracic lymph nodes and distant metastases (NICE, 2005). However, solitary extrapulmonary focal FDG accumulation may be due to a benign tumour or to inflammation. (Lardinois *et al*, 2005).

TREATMENT OF LUNG CANCER BETWEEN 1985 AND 2000

Management of a patient with lung cancer depends largely on tumour type, extent of disease, general performance status of the patient and any significant comorbidity.

Surgery is the treatment of choice for operable patients with resectable Stage I and II NSCLC (Reif et al, 2000). Surgery also has an important role in managing selected patients with resectable Stage IIIA disease, sometimes in the context of combined modality therapy (Mountain, 1988; Reif et al, 2000). However, fewer than 20% of NSCLC patients have disease that is resectable at presentation (Martini and Flehinger, 1987). In spite of the intention to consider all patients with Stage I and II disease for surgery, there are those who, although technically operable, either decline surgery or are considered inoperable because of poor respiratory reserve, cardiovascular disease or general frailty. These 'medically inoperable' patients may be offered radical radiotherapy (RCR, 1999). Continuous hyperfractionated accelerated radiotherapy (CHART) compared with conventional radiotherapy gives a significant improvement in survival for patients with NSCLC (Saunders et al, 1997), although in 1998, CHART was not available in most UK centres.

However, in the majority of patients with NSCLC, advanced disease within the chest or metastatic disease precludes potentially curative treatment. For these patients, the aim of treatment is primarily palliative. In the 1980s and for most of the 1990s, there was substantial disagreement, both nationally and internationally, about the role of chemotherapy in addition to palliative radiotherapy and active supportive care (Carroll *et al*, 1986; Aisner and Belani, 1993; SMAC, 1994).

A meta-analysis of chemotherapy in NSCLC demonstrated a survival benefit in favour of cisplatin-based chemotherapy that reached conventional levels of significance when used with radical





radiotherapy and with best supportive care (NSCLCCG, 1995). The most common treatment for NSCLC in the United Kingdom in the 1990s was cisplatin-based, usually mitomycin C, vinblastine and cisplatin (MVP) (Waters and O'Brien, 2002).

The mainstay of treatment in SCLC is combination chemotherapy. Commonly used combination chemotherapy regimes in the 1980s and 1990s include cyclophosphamide, doxorubicin and vincristine (CAV), cisplatin and etoposide (PE) and carboplatin and etoposide (CE). In limited SCLC, chemotherapy combined with thoracic radiotherapy yields 50-85% complete response rates, a median survival duration of 12-20 months and two year disease-free survival rates of 15-40% (Albain et al, 1990; Arriagada et al, 1992; Turrisi et al, 1999). Local treatments such as resection and radiotherapy have a limited effect in extensive SCLC (Souhami and Law, 1990) so the most widely accepted option for treating extensive disease is also with combination platinum-based chemotherapy. Despite diverse strategies for the treatment of patients with extensive SCLC, the results of phase III trials over the past 25 years have shown only a 2-month prolongation in median survival time between patients treated with different regimens (Chute et al, 1999). A more recent advance has been that prophylactic cranial irradiation significantly improves survival and disease-free survival for patients with SCLC in complete remission following chemotherapy (Auperin et al, 1999).

LUNG CANCER SURVIVAL TRENDS IN ENGLAND AND WALES

The key trends in lung cancer survival as reported by Coleman *et al* (2004) are that 5-year survival for lung cancer increased marginally (on average 0.1% every 5 years) for men and women diagnosed in England and Wales over 1986–1999 but the average increase every 5 years during the 1990s was not statistically significant. Five-year survival for lung cancer patients diagnosed during 1996–1999 was 6% in men and women, not significantly better than for patients diagnosed a decade or so earlier. However, 1-year survival rates have risen from 15 to 25% for men and 13 to 26% for women diagnosed between 1971–1975 (Coleman *et al*, 1999) and 2000–2001 (Coleman *et al*, 2004).

There is good evidence that active treatment with surgery, radiotherapy or chemotherapy may improve survival in lung cancer and should not be denied to patients who might benefit (Brown et al, 1996; Fergusson et al, 1996; Muers and Haward, 1996). Higher rates and improving survival (5-year relative survival up to 14%) reported by some European countries must raise the possibility that opportunities exist for improvement. The EUROCARE-4 study identified considerable geographic variation of 12.3% in the 5-year survival rate for lung cancer across Europe, with the highest rates found in the Nordic region and central Europe, intermediate rates in southern Europe, lower rates in the United Kingdom and Ireland and the lowest rates in eastern Europe (Berrino et al, 2007).

Survival of NSCLC is largely dependent upon successful surgery. However, patients presenting with symptoms suggestive of lung cancer often experience delay at every stage of the referral process. The mean total delay experienced by patients from presentation to surgery was 109 days, including 1 month before initial referral to a specialist, and 2 months before subsequent referral to a surgeon (Billing and Wells, 1996). The annual national summary of resections for lung cancer carried out by all UK cardiothoracic surgeons shows a stable but lower figure of 10% (SCSGBI, 1994) compared with other European countries and the United States. There is therefore concern that many patients with operable tumours may be denied the chance of curative surgery.

There is an association between higher proportions of patients with a histological diagnosis and improved survival rates. An optimal proportion of patients with a histological diagnosis is generally considered to be around 80%. However, not all cases are confirmed histologically. Up until 1990, the rate was 50–60% (Connolly *et al*, 1990; Watkin *et al*, 1990), but by 1992–1994 this had improved to 70% in the Northern and Yorkshire region (NYCRIS, 1999). The rate of histological diagnosis decreases with age to 55% in patients aged 75–84 years (Kesson *et al*, 1998).

Despite evidence that chemotherapy may improve survival in some groups of lung cancer patients, only a small proportion of patients in the United Kingdom with NSCLC have been receiving chemotherapy (Clegg et al, 2001). For example, only 12% of people diagnosed with lung cancer in Wales in 1996 were given chemotherapy (WTS, 2000). There remained a widespread belief that chemotherapy for lung cancer was toxic and ineffective and a survey of clinicians who treated lung cancer in the United Kingdom found little support for chemotherapy (Crook et al, 1997).

Geographical differences exist in lung cancer survival. A study of over 24500 cases of lung cancer between 1986 and 1994 found notable differences in 1- and 2-year survival between districts of residence in the Northern and Yorkshire region (NYCRIS, 1999). For example, 2-year survival in NSCLC varied by district between 7 and 18%. Five-year survival rates vary between different English health authorities, ranging from 2.2 to 8.9%, for patients diagnosed with lung cancer between 1993 and 1995 (DOH, 2002). There were wide variations in the rates of active treatment between districts and active treatment was strongly associated with improved survival (Cartman et al, 2002). A separate study of lung cancer patients diagnosed in southeast England between 1995 and 1999 found evidence for geographical inequality in the treatment given and patient survival (Jack et al, 2003). This study also found that patients whose first hospital attendance was at a radiotherapy centre survived longer and that the geographical inequalities may be explained by variations in access to oncology services.

This clinical background against which the study by Coleman *et al* was performed would suggest scope for improvement in the management of lung cancer.

SOCIOECONOMIC INEQUALITIES IN LUNG CANCER SURVIVAL IN ENGLAND AND WALES

Coleman *et al* (2004) found that survival among men was significantly lower for the poor than for the rich (deprivation gap -1.4%), a wider gap than for men diagnosed during 1986–1990, although the 5-yearly increase in the gap was not itself significant. The deprivation gap in survival for women diagnosed during 1996–1999 was small, and unchanged from a decade earlier.

The socioeconomic inequality in lung cancer survival among men may reflect a higher proportion of patients from the more deprived socioeconomic groups being more likely to present with comorbidity related to smoking, such as chronic obstructive pulmonary disease and ischaemic heart disease. In the case of NSCLC, patients from the most deprived groups may present with more advanced disease. Such factors may influence treatment, particularly surgery rates. Residents of a more deprived area may also be less likely to receive any active treatment, chemotherapy or radiotherapy (NYCRIS, 1999; Jack et al, 2003). Lower socioeconomic groups tend to use NHS services less in relation to need - this may reflect longer travel time, greater travel cost, lower car ownership, time constraints, differences in knowledge or beliefs about the need for medical attention (Dixon et al, 2003). However, these factors would also be expected to influence the deprivation gap in survival for women.

RECENT DEVELOPMENTS IN LUNG CANCER **TREATMENT**

There have been many significant developments in the treatment of lung cancer since the turn of the century. The proportion of patients with NSCLC receiving active treatment has increased. There is strong evidence to recommend adjuvant chemotherapy for patients after resection of early NSCLC (Visbal et al, 2005). A further survival benefit has been identified in the treatment of Stage III NSCLC with chemoradiotherapy compared with radiotherapy alone (Rowell and O'Rourke, 2004). First-line treatment of advanced NSCLC with third-generation regimens incorporating gemcitabine, paclitaxel, vinorelbine or docetaxel with a platinum-based drug, have replaced second-generation agents like mitomycin C and vinblastine. Addition of anti-angiogenic agents to chemotherapy has been shown to be beneficial for patients with non-squamous NSCLC, although this is unlikely to be considered cost-effective within the NHS (Sandler et al, 2006). There is now a role for second-line treatment with docetaxel monotherapy (NICE, 2005). Epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) inhibition with erlotinib may prolong survival in patients with NSCLC after first-line or second-line chemotherapy (Shepherd et al, 2005). At the time of writing erlotinib is not generally available for NHS patients pending an imminent final decision by NICE. The Scottish Medicines Consortium accepted the case for erlotinib in the second-line treatment of NSCLC in May 2006 (SMC, 2006).

REFERENCES

- Aisner J, Belani CP (1993) Lung cancer: recent changes and expectations of improvements. Semin Oncol 20: 383 - 393
- Albain KS, Crowley JJ, LeBlanc M, Livingstone RB (1990) Determinants of improved outcome in small-cell lung cancer: an analysis of the 2580-patient Southwest Oncology Group database. J Clin Oncol 8: 1563 - 1574
- Arriagada R, Kramar A, Le Chevalier T, De Cremoux H (1992) Competing events determining relapse-free survival in limited small-cell lung carcinoma. The French Cancer Centers' Lung Group. J Clin Oncol 10: 447-451
- Auperin A, Arriagada R, Pignon JP, Le Pechoux C, Gregor A, Stephens RJ, Kristjansen PE, Johnson BE, Ueoka H, Wagner H, Aisner J (1999) Prophylactic cranial irradiation for patients with small-cell lung cancer in complete remission. Prophylactic Cranial Irradiation Overview Collaborative Group. N Engl J Med 341: 476-484
- Berrino F, De Angelis R, Sant M, Rosso S, Bielska-Lasota M, Coebergh JW, Santaquilani M, EUROCARE Working group (2007) Survival for eight major cancers and all cancers combined for European adults diagnosed in 1995-1999: results of the EUROCARE-4 study. Lancet Oncol 8: 773 - 783
- Billing JS, Wells FC (1996) Delays in the diagnosis and surgical treatment of lung cancer. Thorax 51: 903 - 906
- Brown JS, Eraut D, Trask C, Davison AG (1996) Age and the treatment of lung cancer. Thorax 51: 564-568
- BTS (1998) BTS recommendations to respiratory physicians for organising the care of patients with lung cancer. The Lung Cancer Working Party of the British Thoracic Society Standards of Care Committee. Thorax 53(Suppl 1): S1-S8
- Cancer Research UK (2008a) http://info.cancerresearchuk.org/cancerstats/ incidence/commoncancers/ accessed June 2008
- Cancer Research UK (2008b) http://info.cancerresearchuk.org/cancerstats/ mortality/cancerdeaths/ accessed June 2008
- Carney DN (2002) Lung cancer—time to move on from chemotherapy. N Engl J Med 346: 126-128
- Carroll M, Morgan SA, Yarnold JR, Hill JM, Wright NM (1986) Prospective evaluation of a watch policy in patients with inoperable non-small cell lung cancer. Eur J Cancer Clin Oncol 22: 1353-1356
- Cartman ML, Hatfield AC, Muers MF, Peake MD, Haward RA, Forman D, Yorkshire Cancer Management Study Group, Northern and Yorkshire

CONCLUSION

Although cancer survival improved for most cancers in both sexes during the 1990s, the figures for lung cancer make for bleak reading. The need to standardise cancer care and treatment has been recognised by the Department of Health (DOH, 1995). This was followed by guidelines published in the 1990s aimed at improving outcomes in lung cancer (SMAC, 1994; BTS, 1998; NHSE, 1998; SIGN, 1998). However, it is unlikely that these initiatives will have significantly influenced the management of lung cancer between 1996 and 1999. There is usually a substantial time lag from publication of guidance to its implementation and any subsequent improvement in outcomes.

There have since been further initiatives like the NHS Cancer Plan (DOH, 2000). This was the first ever comprehensive strategy linking cancer prevention, diagnosis, treatment, care and research. The strategy was updated in 2004 in the NHS Cancer Plan and The New NHS. There have been many positive developments such as the emergence of the lung cancer specialist nurse service, the creation of lung cancer multidisciplinary teams, and the improvement in the evidence base for treatment, especially the thirdgeneration chemotherapy agents. Developments in technology, such as FDG-PET scanning in disease staging, and the greater availability of CHART for the delivery of radical radiotherapy in suitable patients should be highlighted. These elements have been incorporated into detailed national guidelines for the diagnosis and treatment of lung cancer (NICE, 2005).

The study by Coleman et al provides baseline data to evaluate the efficacy of the restructuring of cancer services in England and Wales.

- Cancer Registry and Information Service (NYCRIS), UK (2002) Lung cancer: district active treatment rates affect survival. J Epidemiol Community Health 56: 424-429
- Chute JP, Chen T, Feigal E, Simon R, Johnson BE (1999) Twenty years of phase III trials for patients with extensive-stage small-cell lung cancer: perceptible progress. J Clin Oncol 17: 1794-1801
- Clegg A, Scott DA, Hewitson P, Sidhu M, Waugh N (2001) Clinical and cost-effectiveness of paclitaxel, docetaxel, gemcitabine and vinorelbine in non-small cell lung cancer: a systematic review. Health Technol Assess 5: 1-195
- Coleman MP, Babb P, Damiecki P, Grosclaude PC, Honjo S, Jones J, Knerer G, Pitard A, Quinn MJ, Sloggett A, De Stavola BL (1999) Cancer Survival Trends in England and Wales 1971 - 1995: Deprivation and NHS Region (Studies on Medical and Population Subjects No. 61). The Stationery Office: London
- Coleman MP, Rachet B, Woods LM, Mitry E, Riga M, Cooper N, Quinn MJ, Brenner H, Estève J (2004) Trends and socio-economic inequalities in cancer survival in England and Wales up to 2001. Br J Cancer 90: 1367-1373
- Connolly CK, Jones WG, Thorogood J, Head C, Muers MF (1990) Investigation, treatment and prognosis of bronchial carcinoma in the Yorkshire Region of England 1976-1983. Br J Cancer 61: 579-583
- Crook A, Duffy A, Girling DJ, Souhami RL, Parmar MK (1997) Survey on the treatment of non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) in England and Wales. Eur Respir J 10: 1552 - 1558
- Dixon A, Le Grand J, Henderson J (2003) Is the NHS Equitable? A Review of the Evidence. LSE Health and Social Care Discussion Paper Number 11. London School of Economics: London
- DOH (1995) A Policy Framework for Commissioning Cancer Services. Department of Health: London
- DOH (2000) The NHS Cancer Plan: a Plan for Investment, a Plan for Reform. Department of Health: London
- DOH (2002) NHS Performance Indicators: February 2002. Department of Health: London
- Doll R, Peto R (1981) The causes of cancer: quantitative estimates of avoidable risks of cancer in the United States today. J Natl Cancer Inst 66:
- Fergusson RJ, Gregor A, Dodds R, Kerr G (1996) Management of lung cancer in South East Scotland. Thorax 51: 569-574



- Ihde DC (1992) Chemotherapy of lung cancer. N Engl J Med 327: 1434-1441
 Ihde DC, Minna JD (1991) Non-small cell lung cancer. Part I: Biology, diagnosis, and staging. Curr Probl Cancer 15: 61-104
- Jack RH, Gulliford MC, Fergusin J, Moller H (2003) Geographical inequalities in lung cancer management and survival in South East England: evidence of variation in access to oncology services? Br J Cancer 88: 1025 – 1031
- Kesson E, Bucknall CE, McAlpine LG, Milroy R, Hole D, Vernon DR, Macbeth F, Gillis CR (1998) Lung cancer – management and outcome in Glasgow, 1991 – 1992. Br J Cancer 78: 1391 – 1395
- Lardinois D, Weder W, Roudas M, von Schulthess GK, Tutic M, Moch H, Stahel RA, Steinert HC (2005) Etiology of solitary extrapulmonary positron emission tomography and computed tomography findings in patients with lung cancer. J Clin Oncol 23: 6846-6853
- Manser RL, Irving LB, Byrnes G, Abramson MJ, Stone CA, Campbell DA (2003) Screening for lung cancer. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 1: CD001991
- Martini N, Flehinger BJ (1987) The role of surgery in N2 lung cancer. Surg Clin North Am 67: 1037 1049
- Mountain CF (1988) Prognostic implications of the International Staging System for Lung Cancer. Semin Oncol 15: 236-245
- Muers MF, Haward RA (1996) Management of lung cancer. *Thorax* 51: 557-560
- NHSE (1998) Guidance on Commissioning Cancer Services: Improving Outcomes in Lung Cancer. NHSE: N.H.S. Executive, London
- NICE (2005) Lung cancer the diagnosis and treatment of lung cancer.

 National Institute for Clinical Excellence CG24
- NSCLCCG (1995) Chemotherapy in non-small cell lung cancer: a metaanalysis using updated data on individual patients from 52 randomised clinical trials. Non-small Cell Lung Cancer Collaborative Group. *BMJ* 311: 899 – 909
- NYCRIS (1999) Cancer Treatment Policies and their Effects on Survival. Key sites Study 2 Lung. Northern and Yorkshire Cancer Registry and Information Service
- Peto R, Darby S, Deo H, Silcocks P, Whitley E, Doll R (2000) Smoking, smoking cessation, and lung cancer in UK since 1950: combination of national statistics with two case-control studies. *BMJ* 321: 323-329
- Quinn MP, Babb P, Brock A, Kirby L, Jones J (2001) Cancer Trends in England and Wales 1950-1999. SMPS No 66. Publisher: TSO, London, SMPS
- RCR (1999) The Royal College of Radiologists Clinical Oncology Information Network. Guidelines on the non-surgical management of lung cancer. Clin Oncol (R Coll Radiol) 11: S1-S53
- Reif MS, Socinski MA, Rivera MP (2000) Evidence-based medicine in the treatment of non-small-cell lung cancer. Clin Chest Med 21: 107-120, ix

- Rowell NP, O'Rourke NP (2004) Concurrent chemoradiotherapy in nonsmall cell lung cancer. Cochrane Database Syst Rev 18: CD002140
- Sandler A, Gray R, Perry MC, Brahmer J, Schiller JH, Dowlati A, Lilenbaum R, Johnson DH (2006) Paclitaxel-carboplatin alone or with bevacizumab for non-small-cell lung cancer. N Engl J Med 355: 2542 2550
- Saunders M, Dische S, Barrett A, Harvey A, Gibson D, Parmar M (1997) Continuous hyperfractionated accelerated radiotherapy (CHART) vs conventional radiotherapy in non-small-cell lung cancer: a randomised multicentre trial. CHART Steering Committee. Lancet 350: 161–165
- SCSGBI (1994) UK Thoracic Surgical register. Society of Cardiothoracic Surgeons of Great Britain and Ireland
- Shepherd FA, Rodrigues Pereira J, Ciuleanu T, Tan EH, Hirsh V, Thongprasert S, Campos D, Maoleekoonpiroj S, Smylie M, Martins R, van Kooten M, Dediu M, Findlay B, Tu D, Johnston D, Bezjak A, Clark G, Santabarbara P, Seymour L, National Cancer Institute of Canada Clinical Trials Group (2005) Erlotinib in previously treated non-small cell lung cancer. N Engl J Med 353: 123-132
- SIGN (1998) Management of lung cancer. S.I.G. Network: Edinburgh: SIGN Publication. No. 23
- SMAC (1994) Management of lung cancer: current clinical practices. Standing Medical Advisory Committee
- SMC (2006) http://www.scottishmedicines.org.uk/smc/files/erlotinib(Tarceva) Resubmission(220-05).pdf
- Souhami RL, Law K (1990) Longevity in small cell lung cancer. A report to the Lung Cancer Subcommittee of the United Kingdom Coordinating Committee for Cancer Research. *Br J Cancer* **61:** 584–589
- Turrisi III AT, Kim K, Blum R, Sause WT, Livingston RB, Komaki R, Wagner H, Aisner S, Johnson DH (1999) Twice-daily compared with once-daily thoracic radiotherapy in limited small-cell lung cancer treated concurrently with cisplatin and etoposide. *N Engl J Med* **340**: 265-271
- Visbal AL, Leighl NB, Feld R, Shepherd FA (2005) Adjuvant chemotherapy for early-stage non-small cell lung cancer. *Chest* 128: 2933 2943
- Waters JS, O'Brien ME (2002) The case for the introduction of new chemotherapy agents in the treatment of advanced non small cell lung cancer in the wake of the findings of The National Institute of Clinical Excellence (NICE). *Br J Cancer* 87: 481-490
- Watkin SW, Hayhurst GK, Green JA (1990) Time trends in the outcome of lung cancer management: a study of 9090 cases diagnosed in the Mersey Region, 1974–1986. *Br J Cancer* 61: 590–596
- WTS (2000) Lung Cancer in Wales: a longitudinal retrospective audit of the hospital management of patients newly diagnosed with lung cancer in Wales in 1996. Welsh Thoracic Society, Cardiff: Clinical Effectiveness Support Unit (CESU).