www.bjcancer.com

## Letter to the Editor Reduced DNA repair in BRCA1 mutation carriers undetectable before onset of breast cancer?

## W Vogel<sup>\*,1</sup> and H Surowy<sup>1,2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Institute of Human Genetics, University of Ulm, Ulm, Germany; <sup>2</sup>Department of Gynecology, University of Ulm, Ulm, Germany

British Journal of Cancer (2007) 97, 1184–1186. doi:10.1038/sj.bjc.6603977 www.bjcancer.com Published online 11 September 2007 © 2007 Cancer Research UK

## Sir,

We read with great interest the article by Kotsopoulos et al (2007), which appeared recently in the British Journal of Cancer. The authors addressed the question if carriers of BRCA1 mutations can be detected by a reduced capacity to repair DNA double-strand breaks (DSBs) before the onset of the disease. The answer would be important because it could allow to develop such a technique into a predictive test, which could be offered in high-risk families and which might be applicable for a risk assessment for sporadic breast cancer too.

DNA DSBs are repaired by either of the two repair pathways, homologous repair (HR) or nonhomologous end joining (NHEJ). Both processes, when successfully completed, do not leave open ends and, hence, no DNA fragments are left. Mutations in BRCA1 (and BRCA2, which was not studied by Kotsopoulos et al, 2007) have been shown to impair HR while NHEJ appears to be largely unaffected (Venkitaraman, 2002). Nonhomologous end joining is available throughout the cell cycle, while the activity of HR appears in early S phase, when the template (the replicated DNA) becomes available.

Kotsopoulos et al (2007) used three approaches to interrogate DNA repair capacity and all three did not reveal a difference between BRCA1 mutation carriers and controls. However, we have some concerns about the conclusions and we would like to discuss them in the order they take effect in the cell:

(1) The number of H2AX DNA repair granules was studied in lymphocytes prepared directly from the blood sample, which may indeed reflect DNA repair capacity under certain circumstances and may be used to quantitate interindividual variation (Ismail et al, 2007). However, the granules detected by antibodies against H2AX are neither specific for DNA DSBs (Bekker-Jensen et al, 2006; Au and Henderson, 2007) nor for the HR repair pathway. The assembly of the granules does not depend on the presence of BRCA1 (Foray et al, 2003). In consequence, this test can be expected to be very sensitive when early steps of damage recognition are involved but not

Published online 11 September 2007

necessarily for haploinsufficiency of BRCA1. Furthermore, the test has been carried out on lymphocytes in G<sub>0</sub> in which the amount of BRCA1 is rather low in any case (Venkitaraman, 2001). The use of anti-BRCA1 antibodies might have been more informative, although one may expect to see differences only in cells, which have entered the S phase.

- (2)The alkaline Comet assay as used by the authors provides a measure predominantly for the frequency of DNA singlestrand breaks but also detects DSBs and other DNA damage. When used in the G<sub>0</sub> phase of the cell cycle to determine DNA repair capacity, the contribution of HR to this capacity is certainly only a very minor point. Although there is evidence that BRCA1 does not function exclusively in HR (Au and Henderson, 2007), one cannot expect to detect small differences in its activity (haploinsufficiency) by the comet assay in G<sub>0</sub> cells.
- (3) The third assay used by Kotsopoulos et al (2007) was the micronucleus test (MNT) with some variation compared to the most frequently used protocols for the assessment of DNA repair capacity. Among the assays used here, this is probably the only assay, which in principle would be appropriate to detect a difference in HR DNA repair as a consequence of a heterozygous mutation in BRCA1. It has been used with rather consistent results in breast cancer patients with and without family history (Baeyens et al, 2004, 2005) and in some studies, a reduced DNA repair capacity could be shown in BRCA1 mutation carriers (Rothfuss et al, 2000; Trenz et al, 2002).

The differences between the protocol used here and the usual procedure relate to culture time (4 instead of 3 days) and to the timing of the irradiation. Both may not be relevant because similar variations in other assays (Scott et al, 1998, 1999; Baeyens et al, 2005) did not preclude the detection of a difference between cases and controls. For the timing of irradiation, we can present some systematic data obtained with high-dose rate (2 Gy in 2 min) shifted through the culture time (Figure 1). Much more critical seems the number of binucleated lymphocytes (BNCs), in which the micronuclei were counted. This number was stated to be minimally 200, which is definitely not sufficient to detect a difference of 10% between the two groups, given the variability of micronucleus counts (Vral et al, 2002; Patino-Garcia et al, 2006). We investigated the influence of the number of BNCs counted on the calculated mean MN frequency by incremental counting of

<sup>\*</sup>Correspondence: Dr W Vogel, Institute of Human Genetics, University of Ulm, Albert-Einstein-Allee II, Ulm D-89081, Germany; E-mail: walther.vogel@uni-ulm.de



**Figure I** Micronucleus frequencies plotted against time of irradiation. Parallel lymphocyte cultures (72 h) were irradiated with 2 Gy (1 Gy min<sup>-1</sup>) at different time points after setting up the culture. Data points for each proband are connected.

slides from a single blood culture. The MNT was carried out exactly as described by Varga *et al* (2006). Counting was performed by an automated system (Varga *et al*, 2004) to avoid any variation possibly introduced by different observers and to eliminate imperfect reproducibility (coefficient of variation of the machine <5% for counts on the same slide). As obvious from Figure 2, the deviation from the final mean decreases with an increasing number of BNCs and becomes sufficiently small only when more than 1000 BNCs are counted. In earlier studies, a lower limit of 500 BNCs was used and some of the findings were borderline (Baeyens *et al*, 2004; Speit and Trenz, 2004; Patino-Garcia *et al*, 2006). In consequence, counting 200 BNCs, as by Kotsopoulos *et al*, is not sufficient to state a negative result.

By now, ample evidence has accumulated to demonstrate that lymphocytes from breast cancer patients have a slower or reduced repair of DNA DSBs compared to healthy controls. This difference can be detected by analysing chromosome aberrations or micronuclei with various protocols. It can be also seen in breast cancer patients with a BRCA1 mutation. The paper by Kotsopoulos

## REFERENCES

- Au WW, Henderson BR (2007) Identification of sequences that target BRCA1 to nuclear foci following alkylative DNA damage. Cell Signal 19: 1879-1892
- Baeyens A, Thierens H, Claes K, Poppe B, de Ridder L, Vral A (2004) Chromosomal radiosensitivity in BRCA1 and BRCA2 mutation carriers. *Int J Radiat Biol* 80: 745-756
- Baeyens A, Van Den BR, Makar A, Thierens H, de Ridder L, Vral A (2005) Chromosomal radiosensitivity in breast cancer patients: influence of age of onset of the disease. Oncol Rep 13: 347-353
- Bekker-Jensen S, Lukas C, Kitagawa R, Melander F, Kastan MB, Bartek J, Lukas J (2006) Spatial organization of the mammalian genome surveillance machinery in response to DNA strand breaks. J Cell Biol 173: 195-206
- Bonassi S, Znaor A, Ceppi M, Lando C, Chang WP, Holland N, Kirsch-Volders M, Zeiger E, Ban S, Barale R, Bigatti MP, Bolognesi C, Cebulska-Wasilewska A, Fabianova E, Fucic A, Hagmar L, Joksic G, Martelli A, Migliore L, Mirkova E, Scarfi MR, Zijno A, Norppa H, Fenech M (2007) An increased micronucleus frequency in peripheral blood lymphocytes predicts the risk of cancer in humans. *Carcinogenesis* 28: 625–631
- Foray N, Marot D, Gabriel A, Randrianarison V, Carr AM, Perricaudet M, Ashworth A, Jeggo P (2003) A subset of ATM- and ATR-dependent phosphorylation events requires the BRCA1 protein. EMBO J 22: 2860-2871



**Figure 2** Difference of MN frequency to the final mean MN frequency of that culture plotted against the number of binucleated cells (BNCs) on which the MN frequency was determined. It is obvious that the MN frequencies close in on the final mean at numbers of 1000 or more counted BNCs.

*et al* (2007) states correctly that BRCA1 mutation carriers cannot be detected by the tests they employed using their protocols. They addressed implicitly the important question whether a difference in DNA repair capacity can rather be attributed to the disease status (breast cancer) than to BRCA1 mutation. Investigating BRCA1 mutation carriers without breast cancer should reveal whether the reduced DNA repair capacity arises later on together with the disease or can be detected before its onset and, hence, may represent a direct consequence of the BRCA1 mutation. This second view would be consistent with data from a prospective study, in which increased baseline MN or chromosome aberration frequencies indicated an increased cancer risk (Rossner *et al*, 2005; Bonassi *et al*, 2007).

Two of the tests (H2AX foci and Comet assay) used by Kotsopoulos *et al* (2007) were of questionable relevance concerning the repair of DNA DSBs. The third one, the MNT, was not carried out in a way, which could support a negative result. In consequence, the important question implicated in the study cannot be resolved: whether the reduced DNA repair capacity in breast cancer patients with BRCA1 mutation is due to the cancer or to the mutation. The answer to this question has to await more specifically designed studies.

- Ismail IH, Wadhra TI, Hammarsten O (2007) An optimized method for detecting gamma-H2AX in blood cells reveals a significant interindividual variation in the gamma-H2AX response among humans. *Nucleic Acids Res* 35: e36
- Kotsopoulos J, Chen Z, Vallis KA, Poll A, Ainsworth P, Narod SA (2007) DNA repair capacity as a possible biomarker of breast cancer risk in female BRCA1 mutation carriers. Br J Cancer 96: 118-125
- Patino-Garcia B, Hoegel J, Varga D, Hoehne M, Michel I, Jainta S, Kreienberg R, Maier C, Vogel W (2006) Scoring variability of micronuclei in binucleated human lymphocytes in a case control study. *Mutagenesis* **21:** 191–197
- Rossner P, Boffetta P, Ceppi M, Bonassi S, Smerhovsky Z, Landa K, Juzova D, Sram RJ (2005) Chromosomal aberrations in lymphocytes of healthy subjects and risk of cancer. *Environ Health Perspect* 113: 517-520
- Rothfuss A, Schutz P, Bochum S, Volm T, Eberhardt E, Kreienberg R, Vogel W, Speit G (2000) Induced micronucleus frequencies in peripheral lymphocytes as a screening test for carriers of a BRCA1 mutation in breast cancer families. *Cancer Res* **60**: 390–394
- Scott D, Barber JB, Levine EL, Burrill W, Roberts SA (1998) Radiationinduced micronucleus induction in lymphocytes identifies a high frequency of radiosensitive cases among breast cancer patients: a test for predisposition? Br J Cancer 77: 614-620

- Scott D, Barber JB, Spreadborough AR, Burrill W, Roberts SA (1999) Increased chromosomal radiosensitivity in breast cancer patients: a comparison of two assays. Int J Radiat Biol 75: 1-10
- Speit G, Trenz K (2004) Chromosomal mutagen sensitivity associated with mutations in BRCA genes. *Cytogenet Genome Res* **104**: 325-332
- Trenz K, Rothfuss A, Schutz P, Speit G (2002) Mutagen sensitivity of peripheral blood from women carrying a BRCA1 or BRCA2 mutation. *Mutat Res* **500**: 89-96
- Varga D, Hoegel J, Maier C, Jainta S, Hoehne M, Patino-Garcia B, Michel I, Schwarz-Boeger U, Kiechle M, Kreienberg R, Vogel W (2006) On the difference of micronucleus frequencies in peripheral blood lymphocytes between breast cancer patients and controls. *Mutagenesis* 21: 313–320
- Varga D, Johannes T, Jainta S, Schuster S, Schwarz-Boeger U, Kiechle M, Patino GB, Vogel W (2004) An automated scoring procedure for the micronucleus test by image analysis. *Mutagenesis* 19: 391-397
- Venkitaraman AR (2001) Functions of BRCA1 and BRCA2 in the biological response to DNA damage. J Cell Sci 114: 3591-3598
- Venkitaraman AR (2002) Cancer susceptibility and the functions of BRCA1 and BRCA2. *Cell* 108: 171-182
- Vral A, Thierens H, Baeyens A, de Ridder L (2002) The micronucleus and G2-phase assays for human blood lymphocytes as biomarkers of individual sensitivity to ionizing radiation: limitations imposed by intraindividual variability. *Radiat Res* 157: 472-477