Editorial

Bisphosphonates: are they standard of care for the treatment of breast cancer?

KI Pritchard¹

¹Toronto-Sunnybrook Regional Cancer Centre, Clinical Trials and Epidemiology, 2075 Bayview Avenue, Toronto, Ontario, Canada M4N 3M5

British Journal of Cancer (2007) 96, 1781–1782. doi:10.1038/sj.bjc.6603836 www.bjcancer.com
Published online 12 June 2007
© 2007 Cancer Research UK

In this issue, the *British Journal of Cancer* publishes an interesting and well-conducted meta-analysis of published randomised trials of the use of clodronate in breast cancer. Outcomes in the meta-analysis include overall survival, metastasis free-survival and bone metastasis-free survival. The meta-analysis is conducted independently for patients with advanced breast cancer and in the adjuvant setting.

In spite of several well-known positive studies in this area, particularly a large trial by Powles *et al* (2002, 2006) in the adjuvant setting, the current meta-analysis does not show significant benefit for the use of clodronate for any of the end points mentioned above.

In the early breast cancer setting, this seems particularly related, as shown clearly in the forest plots in Figures 1–3 of this meta-analysis, to heterogeneity among the trials. Although the largest study (Powles *et al*, 2002, 2006) shows significant improvement in overall survival and bone metastasis-free survival, as does the smaller trial by Diel *et al* (1998) the Saarto Trial (Saarto *et al*, 2001, 2004) remains an outlier, showing a significant negative effect on both overall survival and non-skeletal metastasis-free survival, and a trend towards a negative effect on bone metastasis-free survival. The Saarto Trial is in fact very different in outcome from any of the other studies in either the adjuvant setting or in metastatic disease, all of which either trend towards a positive effect or show a significant positive effect for the use of clodronate. Although the authors use correct statistical techniques (random effects model) for the meta-analysis, the ‘opposite’ outcomes of the Saarto study are problematic in interpreting a meta-analysis of this group of trials. These difficulties are compounded by the fact that most of the trials included in the meta-analysis are small. There are only slightly more than 500 patients in all of the trials in advanced breast cancer, and slightly more than 1650 in the trials conducted in the adjuvant setting. This leaves the meta-analysis relatively underpowered to demonstrate a significant effect on the outcomes being studied.

Another methodologic problem of this, as of other meta-analyses based on published data, is that there is limited ability to examine effects over time. Powles *et al* (2002, 2006) have clearly outlined in their publications that 2 years of clodronate resulted in an early effect, which tended to wane over time after it was discontinued. They have interpreted this to suggest that if clodronate had been given longer, the effects might have been more sustained, and this may well be the case. The use of hazard

ratios (HR) based on 5 year overall survival, bone metastasis-free survival and non-skeletal metastasis-free survival does not provide the ability to examine trends over time, and may tend to minimize effects which may occur early because they directly relate to the ongoing utilization of the bisphosphonate. The authors accessed Kaplan–Meier curves or survival tables wherever possible, which allowed some additional information, particularly for overall survival. However, they were also reduced to using 5-year survival estimates for some trials. This not only minimized the ability of the authors to examine trends over time, but also reduced their access to accurate 5-year survival rates, which adds a further methodologic problem.

Within these methodologic limitations, however, it seems that there may be more evidence tending to support a benefit for overall survival and for bone metastasis-free survival than for non-skeletal metastasis-free survival. For non-skeletal metastasis-free survival, the HR of 0.89 (confidence intervals (CI) = 0.40–1.98) for adjuvant therapy is not as ‘encouraging’ as either that for bone metastasis-free survival which is 0.77 for advanced breast cancer and 0.68 (CI = 0.38–1.23) for adjuvant therapy, or for overall survival which is 0.73 (CI = 0.46–1.14) in advanced breast cancer and 0.75 (CI = 0.31–1.82) for adjuvant therapy.

Within the methodologic limitations described, the authors provide an excellent meta-analysis and summary of the published material available on this subject. This analysis and review is helpful in assessing the current state of the art of clodronate therapy in the adjuvant or metastatic setting. It is clear from other studies (Hortobagyi *et al*, 1996, 1998; Lipton *et al*, 1997) that bisphosphonates used in the metastatic setting prevent or delay skeletal-related events, reduce pain and improve quality of life. However, it is less clear that clodronate or any bisphosphonate reduces the development of subsequent bone metastases in bone metastasis-free patients with other metastatic disease, or in the adjuvant setting, nor that clodronate reduces bone metastases or metastases in general or improves overall survival. Thus, results from the already completed NSABP B-34 trial will be crucial in determining the standard of care in the adjuvant setting. While the data of Hortobagyi and Lipton is probably sufficient to suggest the use of bisphosphonates (Hortobagyi *et al*, 1996, 1998; Lipton *et al*, 1997) in patients who have already developed bone metastases, the current meta-analysis would suggest that there is yet insufficient data to use bisphosphonates either in the setting of metastatic disease which does not involve bone or in adjuvant therapy. While

the trends from these relatively small studies even in meta-analysis do not provide clear results, the results from the large B-34 study in which 3000 patients were randomized to clodronate vs placebo given for 3 years should prove definitive. This trial was activated in December 2000 and completed accrual in 2004, but sufficient events have not as yet occurred to provide adequate powering for release of results and are not expected to occur until mid-2008 (E Mamounas, personal communication, February 2007).

It is particularly important that we wait for definitive results from the large trials or from individual patient meta-analysis before adopting the routine use of bisphosphonates in the adjuvant or the metastatic setting without bone involvement. Currently, we are just beginning to understand the magnitude of a relatively newly reported complication of bisphosphonates, that of jaw osteonecrosis. While this has been reported much more commonly in relation to long-term administration of intravenous bisphosphonates

(Conte *et al*, 1996; Bamias *et al*, 2005; Conte and Guarneri, 2005; Bilezikian, 2006; Wendler and Shalowitz, 2006; Woo *et al*, 2006) occasional reports have occurred with oral drugs such as clodronate (Mavrokokki *et al*, 2007), alendronate (Fosamax) (Ruggiero *et al*, 2004; American Dental Association, 2006; Mavrokokki *et al*, 2007) and risedronate (Actonel) (Ruggiero *et al*, 2004; Mavrokokki *et al*, 2007). It will be important to have results from a large randomized trial such as B-34, which will tell us not only the efficacy of clodronate in the adjuvant setting but also the relative risk of side effects in comparison to a placebo control arm.

In the meantime, the use of bisphosphonates in the adjuvant setting remains appropriate only when given as suggested in guidelines (Brown and Josse, 2002; Hillner *et al*, 2003) for osteoporosis, or in the setting of clinical trials. Their use to reduce skeletal or non-skeletal-related metastases or to improve overall survival is not supported by currently available data.

REFERENCES

- American Dental Association (2006) Report of the council of scientific affairs. Expert panel recommendations: dental management of patients on oral bisphosphonate therapy. *J Am Dental Assoc* 137: 1144–1150
- Bamias A, Kastritis E, Bamia C, Moulopoulos LA, Melakopoulos I, Bozas G, Koutsoukou V, Gika D, Anagnostopoulos A, Papadimitriou C, Terpos E, Dimopoulos MA (2005) Osteonecrosis of the jaw in cancer after treatment with bisphosphonates: incidence and risk factors. *J Clin Oncol* 23: 8580–8587
- Bilezikian J (2006) Osteonecrosis of the jaw – do bisphosphonates pose a risk? *N Engl J Med* 355: 2278–2281
- Brown JP, Josse RG, for the Scientific Advisory Council of the Osteoporosis Society of Canada (2002) 2002 clinical practice guidelines for the diagnosis and management of osteoporosis in Canada. *CMAJ* 167: S1–S34
- Conte PF, Guarneri V (2005) In response to body letter to the editor regarding safety of intravenous and oral bisphosphonates and compliance with dosing regimens. *Oncologist* 10: 318–319
- Conte PF, Latreille J, Mauriac L, Calabresi F, Santos R, Campos D, Bonnetterre J, Francini G, Ford JM (1996) Delay in progression of bone metastases in breast cancer patients treated with intravenous pamidronate: results from a multinational randomized controlled trial. The Aredia Multinational Cooperative Group 372. *J Clin Oncol* 14: 2552–2559
- Diel IJ, Solomayer EF, Costa SD, Gollan C, Goerner R, Wallwiener D, Kaufmann M, Bastert G (1998) Reduction in new metastases in breast cancer with adjuvant clodronate treatment. *New Engl J Med* 339: 357–363
- Hillner BE, Ingle JN, Chlebowski RT, Gralow J, Yee G, Janjan N, Cauley JA, Blumenstien BA, Albain K, Lipton A, Brown S (2003) ASCO 2003 Update on the role of bisphosphonates and bone health issues in women with breast cancer. *J Clin Oncol* 21: 4042–4057
- Hortobagyi GN, Theriault RL, Porter L, Blayney D, Lipton A, Sinoff C, Wheeler H, Simeone JF, Seaman J, Knight RD (1996) Efficacy of pamidronate in reducing skeletal complications in patients with breast cancer and lytic bone metastases. Protocol 19 Aredia Breast Cancer Study Group 277. *New Engl J Med* 335: 1785–1791
- Hortobagyi GN, Theriault R, Lipton A, Porter L, Blayney D, Sinoff C, Wheeler H, Simeone JF, Seaman J, Knight RD, Heffernan M, Mellars K, Reitsma DJ (1998) Long-term prevention of skeletal complications of metastatic breast cancer with pamidronate. *J Clin Oncol* 16: 2038–2044
- Lipton A, Hershey PA, Theriault R, Leff R, Gluck S, Waratah JS (1997) Long-term reduction of skeletal complications in breast cancer patients with osteolytic bone metastases receiving hormone therapy by monthly 90 mg Pamidronate infusions. *Proc Am Soc Clin Oncol* 16: 531
- Mavrokokki T, Cheng A, Stein B, Goss A (2007) Nature and frequency of bisphosphonate-associated osteonecrosis of the jaws in Australia. *J Oral Maxillofac Surg* 65: 415–423
- Powles T, Paterson S, McCloskey E, Schein P, Scheffler B, Tidy A, Ashley S, Smith I, Ottestad L, Kanis J (2006) Reduction in bone relapse and improved survival with oral clodronate for adjuvant treatment of operable breast cancer (ISRCTN83688026). *Breast Cancer Res* 8: R13
- Powles T, Paterson S, Kanis JA, McCloskey E, Ashley S, Tidy A, Rosenqvist K, Smith I, Ottestad L, Legault S, Pajunen M, Nevantaus A, Mannisto E, Suovuori A, Atula S, Nevalainen J, Pylkkanen L (2002) Randomized, placebo-controlled trial of clodronate in patients with primary operable breast cancer. *J Clin Oncol* 20: 3219–3224
- Ruggiero S, Mebrotra B, Rosenberg T, Engroff S (2004) Osteonecrosis of the jaws associated with the use of bisphosphonates: a review of 63 cases. *J Oral Maxillofac Surg* 62: 527–534
- Saarto T, Vehmanen L, Virkkunen P, Blomqvist C (2004) Ten-year follow-up of a randomized controlled trial of adjuvant clodronate treatment in node-positive breast cancer patients. *Acta Oncol* 43: 650–656
- Saarto T, Blomqvist C, Virkkunen P, Elomaa I (2001) Adjuvant clodronate treatment does not reduce the frequency of skeletal metastases in node-positive breast cancer patients: 5-year results of a randomized controlled trial. *J Clin Oncol* 19: 10–17
- Wendler D, Shalowitz D (2006) Bisphosphonates and osteonecrosis of the jaw. *Ann Intern Med* 145: 791–792
- Woo SB, Hellstein JW, Kalmar JR (2006) Systemic review: bisphosphonates and osteonecrosis of the jaws. *Ann Intern Med* 144: 753–761