Short Communication

Tissue microarrays characterise the clinical significance of a VEGF-A protein expression signature in gastrointestinal stromal tumours

M Salto-Tellez^{*,1,2}, ME Nga^{1,5}, HC Han^{2,5}, AS-C Wong³, CK Lee¹, D Anuar², SS Ng², M Ho², A Wee¹, YH Chan⁴ and R Soong^{*,1,2}¹Department of Pathology, Yong Loo Lin Faculty of Medicine, National University of Singapore, 5 Lower Kent Ridge Road, Singapore 119074; ²Oncology Research Institute, National University of Singapore, Level 5 CRC Building, MD11, 10 Medical Drive, Singapore 117597; ³Department of Hematology Oncology, National University Hospital, 5 Lower Kent Ridge Road, Singapore 119074; ⁴Biostatistics Unit, Yong Loo Lin Faculty of Medicine, National University of Singapore, CRC Building, MD11, 10 Medical Drive, Singapore 117597

A tissue microarray analysis of 22 proteins in gastrointestinal stromal tumours (GIST), followed by an unsupervised, hierarchical monothetic cluster statistical analysis of the results, allowed us to detect a *vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) protein overexpression signature* discriminator of prognosis in GIST, and discover novel VEGF-A DNA variants that may have functional significance.

British Journal of Cancer (2007) 96, 776–782. doi:10.1038/sj.bjc.6603551 www.bjcancer.com

Published online 13 February 2007

© 2007 Cancer Research UK

Keywords: gastrointestinal stromal tumours; VEGF; tissue microarrays

The clinical behaviour of gastrointestinal stromal tumours (GIST) is notoriously difficult to predict. The prognostic and therapeutic significance of KIT mutations is somewhat contradictory (Ernst *et al*, 1998; Lasota *et al*, 1999; Moskaluk *et al*, 1999; Taniguchi *et al*, 1999; Lasota *et al*, 2000; Hirota *et al*, 2001; Wardelmann *et al*, 2002; Koay *et al*, 2005). Therefore, it appears that new molecular indicators of prognostication are needed. Tissue microarrays (TMA) is a high-throughput method for the analysis of large numbers of formalin-fixed, paraffin-embedded (FFPE) materials with minimum cost and effort (Kononen *et al*, 1998). Here, we applied the TMA technology to analyse protein expression in GIST. The results were analysed with an unsupervised, hierarchical monothetic cluster statistical method. Those biomarkers with strong clinical significance were tested for mutation status by both PCR-denaturing high performance liquid chromatography (DHPLC) and direct sequencing. By doing so, we identified a *VEGF-A protein overexpression signature* as a statistically significant predictor of malignancy, discovered VEGF-A ligand DNA variants in GIST, and provided other possible targets in future design of anti-VEGF-directed therapy against GIST.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

We used 50 archival paraffin blocks (Department of Pathology, National University Hospital, Singapore), including 15 cases of GIST with a benign outcome, 17 with a malignant outcome (13 primary neoplasms and four metastases), 10 with no available clinical follow-up, and eight gastrointestinal mesenchymal neoplasms

other than GIST, such as leiomyoma ($n=5$), leiomyosarcoma, neurofibroma and schwannoma (one of each). The mean clinical follow-up was of 39 months. The overall clinico-pathological characteristics are summarised in Table 3. No chemo or radiotherapy was given to these patients. All the gross and histopathological parameters classically associated with malignant potential were analysed. The findings were similar to those reported in other series (data not shown) and, in themselves, are considered insufficient for single-case prognostication in the clinical setting.

After case review for diagnostic confirmation, the TMA was constructed as reported elsewhere (Zhang *et al*, 2003a; Salto-Tellez *et al*, 2004). The 22 antibodies used are 34 BE12, AE 1/3, Bcl-2, CAM 5.2, CD10, CD117, CD34, c-erbB2, CK7, CK20, Desmin, Flk-1, Flt-1, Hep Par1, Ki-67, MNF 116, p53, PCNA, S100, SMA, VEGF-A and Vimentin. Table 4 indicates the antibodies and their technical specifications. In general, these antibodies can be divided into several groups: diagnostic markers, antibodies expressed in a specific differentiation pathway relevant to GIST, proliferative or apoptosis-related markers, angiogenic proteins, and others that may have been associated before with prognostic significance in GIST. The interpretation of the IHC staining results for TMA was confirmed by three independent observers (NME, LCK and MST). Results were interpreted based on previous published experience for each individual antibody.

The concordance between TMA and full sections, tested for five antibodies (Table 5) ranged from 92–100% in five of six antibodies, excluding S100 (71%), in concordance with previous published results (Zhang *et al*, 2003a).

The 28 FFPE cases with available clinical follow-up were the subject of genomic DNA extraction (GENTRA DNA Purification Kit – Gentra, Minneapolis, MN, USA), according to the manufacturer's instruction. Mutation analysis was performed by PCR-DHPLC analysis. Briefly, DNA was amplified in 25 μ l reactions containing 2 μ l DNA template, 1 μ l of each forward and

*Correspondence: M Salto-Tellez and Richie Soong;

E-mails: patmst@nus.edu.sg and nmirs@nus.edu.sg

⁵These authors contributed equally to this work.

Received 14 June 2006; revised 17 October 2006; accepted 22 November 2006; published online 13 February 2007

Table 1 Indication of the immunohistochemistry results based on the groups from the hierarchical cluster analysis (see Figure 1), and highlighting the VEGF protein expression signature

Case no.	VEGF-A	Flt-1	Flk-1	SMA	CD117	Vimentin	CD34	Desmin	S100
Group 1: VEGF-A expression									
B2									
M(M6)									
M4									
M3									
M(M5)									
B1									
M5									
NF									
M9									
M10									
M1									
B5									
B8									
U1									
B13									
M7									
M8									
M11									
M12									
M13									
U2									
M(M9)									
M(M2)									
SCH									
Group 2: VEGF-A lack of expression									
B3									
B4									
B7									
U3									
B6									
U4									
B10									
B11									
B12									
B15									
B14									
U5									
M6									
U6									
U7									
M2									
U8									
U9									
U10									
Group 3: Smooth muscle signal									
B9									
LM1									
LMS									
LM2									
LM3									
LM4									
LM5									

Green indicates antibody expression, whereas red indicates lack of expression. The tumours are divided into four clinically relevant groups – GISTs that are clinically benign, malignant and of unknown clinical outcome; and non-GISTs : LMS – leiomyosarcoma, LM – leiomyoma; NF – neurofibroma; SCH – schwannoma. Cases with brackets are metastasis from the original tumour, the latter indicated within brackets, for example, M(M2) denotes the liver metastasis from case M2. Those antibodies not included in the table (34 BE12, AE 1/3, CK7, CK20 and Hep Par1) were universally negative for all the samples of the study.

reverse primers (10 μ M each), 0.5 μ l of 10 mM dNTP, 0.2 μ l FastStart Taq (Roche, Mannheim, Germany), and 1 $\times$ PCR reaction buffer with MgCl₂. Primer sequences and cycling conditions are indicated in Tables 6 and 7. The PCR product (8 μ l) was denatured at 95°C

for 5 min followed by gradual re-annealing to room temperature for over a period of 1 h. DHPLC was performed using a fully automated WAVE 3500HT system (Transgenomic, Omaha, NE, USA). The cooled samples were automatically injected into a

Table 2 Protein expression and sequence status of VEGF and KIT in malignant and benign GIST samples

Case	VEGF IHC	KIT IHC	VEGF Exon 1	VEGF Exon 3	VEGF Exon 4	KIT Exon 11
benign						
1	+	+				
2	+	+				
3	+	+	IVS1-7:C>T			550A:deletion 27bp
4	+	+				
5	-	+			IVS4-28:C>T	559C:deletion 6bp
6	-	+			IVS4-28:C>T	572A: insertion 5bp
7	-	+			IVS4-28:C>T	
8	-	+				558A:deletion 9bp
9	-	+				
10	-	+				
11	-	+				
12	-	-	IVS1-7:C>T			
13	-	-			IVS4-28:C>T	
malignant						
1	+	+	IVS1-7:C>T			
2	+	+		91A:G>A(G>D)		557A:deletion 6bp
3	+	+			IVS4-28:C>T	550A:deletion 27bp
4	+	+				550A:deletion 27bp
5	+	+				557T:deletion 6bp
6	+	+				558G:deletion 3bp
7	+	+				
8	+	+				
9	+	+				
10	+	+				
11	+	-				
12	+	-	IVS1-7:C>T	48A:G>T(Q>H)	IVS4-28:C>T	550A:deletion 27bp
13	-	+			IVS4-28:C>T	550A:deletion 27bp
14	-	-	IVS1-7:C>T			551C:deletion 12bp
15	-	-				

+ = expression, - = no expression. Sequence variants are denoted as 'codon followed by nucleotide position (A = 1st, B = 2nd, C = 3rd): nucleotide change (protein change)'. Non-coding variants are denoted as 'IVS, exon, nucleotides from exon start: nucleotide change'.

Table 3 Characteristics of benign (B) and malignant (M) GISTs

No	Age	Site	Size (mm)	Cell type	Mitoses (/50 HPF)	SMA % +ve	CD34 % +ve	CD117 % +ve	Status (months)	Metastases/recurrence
B1	45	Duod	20	s	2	0	0	100	aned (76)	Nil
B2	39	Gastric	70	m	1.5	0	100	80	aned (124)	Nil
B3	45	Gastric	10	s	0	0	100	95	aned (24)	Nil
B4	46	Gastric	27	s	1	0	100	100	aned (20)	Nil
B5	53	Gastric	29	m	0	0	80	85	aned (24)	Nil
B6	69	Gastric	35	s	1	10	100	40	aned (87)	Nil
B7	71	Gastric	90	s	1	0	100	100	aned (3)	Nil
B8	77	Gastric	45	s	1	0	100	80	aned (68)	Nil
B9	42	Gastric	50	s	3.5	0	0	0	aned (13)	Nil
B10	50	Gastric	100	s	1	0	90	30	aned (10)	Nil
B11	62	Gastric	6	s	1	15	100	100	aned (1)	Nil
B12	87	Gastric	25	s	0	0	100	100	aned (6)	Nil
B13	87	Gastric	7	s	3	0	100	100	aned (12)	Nil
B14	47	Pelvic	60	s	4	0	100	100	aned (83)	Nil
B15	49	Jejunal	45	s	2	0	0	100	aned (60)	Nil
M1	67	Colon	90	s	15	0	100	0	dod (21)	LR
M2	37	Duodenal	60	m	4.5	0	70	50	awd (89)	Liver
M3	36	Gastric	180	s	62.5	0	100	100	dod (17)	Liver
M4	52	Gastric	190	s	7.5	0	100	100	dod (36)	No data
M5	59	Gastric	70	e	10	0	0	0	dod (72)	Liver, bones, abdominal nodes
M6	71	Gastric	170	e	24	0	100	100	awd (103)	Omentum, LR
M7	41	Gastric	100	e	26	0	100	75	dod (43)	Retropertitoneum
M8	48	Gastric	35	s	24.5	0	100	70	dod (27)	Peritoneum
M9	48	Gastric	150	s	31	0	100	100	dod (22)	Liver, spleen
M10	68	Gastric	110	s	113.5	0	100	85	dod (7)	Liver, LR
M11	73	Gastric	60	s	66.5	0	100	100	dod (8)	No data
M12	65	Jejuno-ileal	90	s	52	45	100	100	awd (15)	Peritoneum
M13	33	Rectal	60	s	0.5	2.5	100	70	duc	Liver, bone, para-aortic nodes, lungs

B = Benign cases; M = Malignant cases; s = spindle cell type; e = epithelioid cell type; m = mixed epithelioid and spindle cell type; aned = alive with no evidence of disease; awd = alive with disease; dod = died of disease; duc = died of unrelated causes; LR = local recurrence.

Table 4 Antibodies used

Antibody	Type	Source	Dilution
34 BE12	Monoclonal	Dako, Glostrup, Denmark	1:500
AE 1/3	Monoclonal	Dako, Glostrup, Denmark	1:1000
Bcl-2	Monoclonal	Dako, Glostrup, Denmark	1:200
CAM 5.2	Monoclonal	Becton-Dickinson, San Jose, CA, USA	1:20
CD10	Monoclonal	Novocastra, Newcastle, UK	1:200
CD117	Polyclonal	Dako, Denmark	1:1000
CD34	Monoclonal	Dako, Glostrup, Denmark	1:1000
c-erbB2	Monoclonal	Signet Laboratories Inc., Dedham, MA, USA	1:200
CK7	Monoclonal	Dako, Glostrup, Denmark	1:2000
CK20	Monoclonal	Neomarker, Fremont, CA, USA	1:200
Desmin	Monoclonal	Neomarker, Fremont, CA, USA	1:500
Flk-1	Monoclonal	Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Santa Cruz, CA, USA	1:500
Flt-1	Monoclonal	Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Santa Cruz, CA, USA	1:1000
Hep Par1	Monoclonal	Dako, Glostrup, Denmark	1:500
Ki-67	Monoclonal	Dako, Glostrup, Denmark	1:100
MNF 116	Monoclonal	Dako, Glostrup, Denmark	1:500
p53	Monoclonal	Dako, Glostrup, Denmark	1:500
PCNA	Monoclonal	Dako, Glostrup, Denmark	1:1000
S100	Polyclonal	Dako, Glostrup, Denmark	1:10000
SMA	Monoclonal	Dako, Glostrup, Denmark	1:1000
VEGF-A	Monoclonal	Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Santa Cruz, CA, USA	1:500
Vimentin	Monoclonal	Dako, Glostrup, Denmark	1:1000

Table 5 Comparison of results of TMA vs full section analysis

		SMA	Vim	CAM5.2	CD117	CD34	S100
Full sections	+	14	47	3	39	37	17
	–	37	4	48	12	14	34
TMA	+	14	47	1	35	34	4
	–	37	4	50	16	17	47
Disagree	4	0	2	4	3	15	
Concordance %	92	100	96	92	94	71	

Table 6 KIT PCR conditions

Exon	Forward primer	Reverse primer	Size (bp)	Tm (°C)	DHPLC temperature (°C)	DHPLC gradient
9	5'ATGCTCTGCTCTGTACTGCC3'	5'CAGAGCCTAAACATCCCCTTA3'	185	60	57	47.5–61.5%B in 4.5 min
11	5'CCAGAGTGCTCTAATGACTG3'	5'ACCCAAAAAGGTGACATGGA3'	184	60	56	47.5–61.5%B in 4.5 min
13	5'CATCAGTTTGCCAGTTGTGC3'	5'ACACGGCTTTACCTCCAATG3'	142	60	59	44.2–58.2%B in 4.5 min
17	5'TGTATTACAGAGACTTGGC3'	5'GGATTTACATTATGAAAGTCACAGG3'	172	55	56	46.7–60.7%B in 4.5 min

Table 7 VEGF-A PCR conditions

Exon	Forward primer	Reverse primer	Size (bp)	Temperature (°C)	Oven temperature (°C)	Buffer concentration (%B)
1	GGGGAGGAAGAGTAGCTCG	GCACCTAAGACGACAGAGGG	324	60	66.8	55.4
2	CTGTTGGTGGGAGGGAAGTG	AAGGAATTAGGCCATCCACC	224	65	63.0	47
3	GCTAGCCATCTTTTGTGTCG	TGTTCCCAAAGTGTACCCC	314	65	61.8	55.1
4	GGTTGTCCCATCTGGGTATG	TAACCTGGCACAGATCAGG	210	65	60.9	46.3
5	TCACCATCTTAACCTTCCC	ACAGAGGTAGCCAAGAGCCC	161	65	60.7	39
6	CCTGCCACCTTACCACTTC	GAGGCTCCAGGGCATTAGAC	188	65	60.8	41
7	CAGCTGCGGACATGTTAGG	TCGCTCGTCACTCTCTTTC	313	65	59.8	55.1

DNasep cartridge (Transgenomic) and eluted at a flow rate of 0.9 ml min⁻¹ through a linear gradient of acetonitrile containing 0.1 M triethylammonium acetate (TEAA). Buffer A (0.1 M TEAA solution) and buffer B (0.1 M TEAA with 25% acetonitrile solution) concentrations and oven temperatures for optimal heteroduplex separation under partially DNA denaturation was determined using the WAVE Navigator software followed by empirical adjustment. Amplicons from the HeLa cell line were included in each run as a wild-type reference.

Samples showing a dHPLC aberrant elution profile were re-amplified and sequenced in both directions. Direct sequencing was performed on the ABI PRISM Model 3100 DNA sequencer (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA), using the same primers as were used for amplification. Sequencing reactions were conducted with the ABI PRISM BigDye Terminator v3.1 Cycle Sequencing Kit (Applied Biosystems) according to the manufacturer's instructions.

The monothetic cluster analysis was carried out as reported elsewhere (Zhang *et al*, 2003b). Significance tests included the student's unpaired *t*-test (2-tailed) for numerical variables and the Fisher's exact probability test for categorical variables. Significance value for *P* was taken to be *P* < 0.05.

RESULTS

VEGF protein expression signature and its prognostic significance in GIST

Table 1 shows the whole protein expression results. Figure 1 shows the cluster diagram obtained upon monothetic hierarchical cluster analysis, including IHC of representative cases. From the cluster analysis, two main groups emerged, based on reactivity for the VEGF-A ligand antibody. Group 1 includes all the VEGF-A ligand expressing cases; out of the 20 GISTs with known clinical outcome, 15 were malignant (75%). In group 2 (VEGF-A negative), only 2/11 of the cases (18%) had a malignant outcome. The difference was statistically significant (*P* = 0.003). Within group 2, the two malignant cases are further subclassified into a cluster arm, which is positive for flt-1, a receptor for VEGF. Hence, all 17/17 malignant cases were positive for either VEGF-A ligand or the VEGF-A receptor, flt-1, as compared to 8/15 of the benign cases (*P* = 0.002). In all, 13/17 malignant cases were positive for *both* these markers as compared to 4/15 benign cases (*P* = 0.006). Indeed, concomitant expression of VEGF ligand and VEGF receptor

Figure 1 In red are the study cases with malignant behaviour, in blue are those cases with benign behaviour; cases without available follow-up and non-GISTs are in black. The TMA immunohistochemistry results are included. The asterisk indicates cases reflected in the photomicrographs. Other abbreviations are similar to those described in Table 1. VEGF1 is equivalent to VEGF-A in this figure.

represents a *VEGF-A* protein expression signature in GIST with obvious clinical significance. Lastly, proliferation and oncogenic-related markers PCNA, Ki-67/MIB, bcl-2 and p53 showed no statistically significant preference in reactivity for malignant GISTs ($P > 0.05$). The fact that all the smooth muscle lesions included in the analysis are clustering in a separate group (group 3) is a measure of the robustness of this analytical approach.

New VEGF-A variants are discovered as a result of mutation analysis

Those GIST samples with known clinical follow-up underwent genomic analysis. In view of the evidence of *KIT* mutations in GIST

and their possible prognostic value (as well as their relation to imatinib therapeutic response) (Lasota *et al*, 1999; Heinrich *et al*, 2003), exons 9, 11, 13, 17 of *KIT* (which are those related to prognosis in the literature) were analysed in the same methodological manner. The results are summarised in Table 2. Variants identified included non-coding IVS1-7:C→T changes in five (18%) samples (Figure 2), IVS4-28:C→T changes in seven (25%) samples and coding codon 48A:G→T (Q→H) and codon 91A:G→A (G→D) changes in one sample each (Table 2). A total of 12 (43%) cases had variants in *KIT*, all in exon 11 (Figure 3). *VEGF* IVS4-28:C→T variants were more frequent in samples with low (5/7, 71%) than high (2/7, 29%) VEGF-A expression. The *VEGF* codon 48 and 91 mutants were present in samples with high

Figure 2 (A) DHPLC analysis of VEGF-A ligand exon I: the mutant has an additional peak (indicated by the arrow) and shows a shift in elution time (indicated by the vertical hashed lines). (B) Sequencing chromatogram of VEGF-A ligand exon I: direct sequencing indicated that the mutation in the sample is an IVS1 -7C>T variant.

Figure 3 (A) DHPLC analysis of KIT exon II: the mutant has two additional peaks (indicated by the arrows) and shows a mild shift in elution time (indicated by the vertical hashed lines). (B) Sequencing chromatogram of KIT exon II: direct sequencing indicated that the mutation in the sample is a 27 bp deletion.

VEGF-A expression, KIT mutations and of a malignant phenotype. KIT mutations were more frequent in samples with high (10/22, 46%) than low (2/6, 21%) KIT expression. Nevertheless, none of

the associations between sequence variants and the expression of their respective proteins, or with the presence of each other, were significant, presumably due to the limited number of samples in this series. The only parameter significantly associated with malignancy in these selected 28 cases was, as expected, VEGF-A protein expression ($P=0.020$). Of interest, there was no association with exon 11 KIT mutations and survival in our series.

DISCUSSION

The uncertain prognosis of GIST, both before (Nilsson *et al*, 2005) and after (Kosmadakis *et al*, 2005) imatinib treatment, indicate the need for the search of other molecular prognostication biomarkers.

GIST are highly vascularised neoplasms and VEGF-A is a major antiangiogenic therapeutic target (Ferrara and Kerbel, 2005). Recently, anti-VEGF-A therapy has been successful in the treatment of GIST (Marx 2005), with drugs such as Sutent and Sorafenib. Our results indicate that a combined VEGF-A ligand-receptor protein expression signature is a determinant of clinical behaviour in GIST. This is obvious in our study because (a) there is a relation between protein overexpression of the VEGF-A ligand and Flt-1 proteins and benign/malignant behaviour; (b) novel variants in the VEGF-A ligand gene are characterised, some of which appear related to a malignant behaviour (such as VEGF-A exon 3); and (c) in general, these VEGF-ligand variants localise to areas of the VEGF protein with functional significance. The role of flt-1 in this context is unclear; it could be related to the induction of metalloproteinases (Hiratsuka *et al*, 2002), or to chemotactic signals (Wey *et al*, 2005).

The role of the detected VEGF-A ligand variants in protein overexpression and GIST tumorigenesis can only be a matter of speculation, based on the scant information available. The IVS4-28:C>T variant is also identified in phenotypically normal gastrointestinal tissue, thus may not be relevant. The two other variants, however, may have functional implications. The IVS1-7:C>T variant lies within a GC box that binds the transcriptional repressor protein methyl CpG binding protein-2 (Lapchak *et al*, 2004), and was found to be associated with higher levels of VEGF mRNA in colorectal cancer (Yamamori *et al*, 2004), increasing the risk of liver metastasis and worsening its prognosis. In addition, two missense mutations (unreported to date) were discovered in exon 3, coding codon 48A:G>T (Q>H) and codon 91A:G>A (G>D), both in malignant GIST and both showing VEGF-A ligand protein overexpression. In any case, the evidence points to the novel hypothesis that VEGF-A ligand mutations may play a role in the biology and prognosis of GIST.

There has been a previous suggestion that VEGF-A ligand protein expression may be related to prognosis (Takahashi *et al*, 2003). However, the strength of our unsupervised hierarchical cluster analysis, comparing the expression of an antibody in the context of another 21 biomarkers, delineates 'biological groups' and establishes more complete 'prognostic signatures', which in our study, shown the importance of including protein expression of both VEGF-A ligand and flt-1 receptor in the characterisation of malignant behaviour.

REFERENCES

Ernst SI, Hubbs AE, Przygodzki RM, Emory TS, Sobin LH, O'Leary TJ (1998) KIT mutation portends poor prognosis in gastrointestinal stromal/smooth muscle tumors. *Lab Invest* 78: 1633-1636
Ferrara N, Kerbel RS (2005) Angiogenesis as a therapeutic target. *Nature* 438: 967-974

Heinrich MC, Corless CL, Demetri GD, Blanke CD, von Mehren M, Joensuu H, McGreevey LS, Chen CJ, Van den Abbeele AD, Druker BJ, Kiese B, Eisenberg B, Roberts PJ, Singer S, Fletcher CD, Silberman S, Dimitrijevic S, Fletcher JA (2003) Kinase mutations and imatinib response in patients with metastatic gastrointestinal stromal tumor. *J Clin Oncol* 21: 4342-4349

- Hiratsuka S, Nakamura K, Iwai S, Murakami M, Itoh T, Kijima H, Shipley JM, Senior RM, Shibuya M (2002) MMP9 induction by vascular endothelial growth factor receptor-1 is involved in lung-specific metastasis. *Cancer Cell* 2: 289–300
- Hirota S, Nishida T, Isozaki K, Taniguchi M, Nakamura J, Okazaki T, Kitamura Y (2001) Gain-of-function mutation at the extracellular domain of KIT in gastrointestinal stromal tumours. *J Pathol* 193: 505–510
- Koay MH, Goh YW, Iacopetta B, Griew F, Segal A, Sterrett GF, Platten M, Spagnolo DV (2005) Gastrointestinal stromal tumours (GISTs): a clinicopathological and molecular study of 66 cases. *Pathology* 37: 22–31
- Kononen J, Bubendorf L, Kallioniemi A, Barlund M, Schraml P, Leighton S, Torhorst J, Mihatsch MJ, Sauter G, Kallioniemi OP (1998) Tissue microarrays for high-throughput molecular profiling of tumor specimens. *Nat Med* 4: 844–847
- Kosmadakis N, Visvardis EE, Katsaklis P, Tsimara M, Chatziantoniou A, Panopoulos I, Erato P, Capsambelis P (2005) The role of surgery in the management of gastrointestinal stromal tumors (GISTs) in the era of imatinib mesylate effectiveness. *Surg Oncol* 2: 75–84
- Lapchak PH, Melter M, Pal S, Flaxenburg JA, Geehan C, Frank MH, Mukhopadhyay D, Briscoe DM (2004) CD40-induced transcriptional activation of vascular endothelial growth factor involves a 68-bp region of the promoter containing a CpG island. *Am J Physiol Renal Physiol* 287: F512–F520
- Lasota J, Jasinski M, Sarlomo-Rikala M, Miettinen M (1999) Mutations in exon 11 of c-Kit occur preferentially in malignant versus benign gastrointestinal stromal tumors and do not occur in leiomyomas or leiomyosarcomas. *Am J Pathol* 154: 53–60
- Lasota J, Wozniak A, Sarlomo-Rikala M, Rys J, Kordek R, Nassar A, Sobin LH, Miettinen M (2000) Mutations in exons 9 and 13 of KIT gene are rare events in gastrointestinal stromal tumors. A study of 200 cases. *Am J Pathol* 157: 1091–1095
- Marx J (2005) Medicine. Cancer-suppressing enzyme adds a link to type 2 diabetes. *Science* 310: 1259
- Moskaluk CA, Tian Q, Marshall CR, Rumpel CA, Franquemont DW, Frierson Jr HF (1999) Mutations of c-kit JM domain are found in a minority of human gastrointestinal stromal tumors. *Oncogene* 18: 1897–1902
- Nilsson B, Bummig P, Meis-Kindblom JM, Oden A, Dortok A, Gustavsson B, Sablinska K, Kindblom LG (2005) Gastrointestinal stromal tumors: the incidence, prevalence, clinical course, and prognostication in the preimatinib mesylate era – a population-based study in western Sweden. *Cancer* 103: 821–829
- Salto-Tellez M, Lee SC, Chiu LL, Lee CK, Yong MC, Koay ES (2004) Microsatellite Instability in colorectal cancer-considerations for molecular diagnosis and high-throughput screening of archival tissues. *Clin Chem* 50: 1082–1086
- Takahashi R, Tanaka S, Kitadai Y, Sumii M, Yoshihara M, Haruma K, Chayama K (2003) Expression of vascular endothelial growth factor and angiogenesis in gastrointestinal stromal tumor of the stomach. *Oncology* 64: 266–274
- Taniguchi M, Nishida T, Hirota S, Isozaki K, Ito T, Nomura T, Matsuda H, Kitamura Y (1999) Effect of c-kit mutation on prognosis of gastrointestinal stromal tumors. *Cancer Res* 59: 4297–4300
- Wardelmann E, Neidt I, Bierhoff E, Speidel N, Manegold C, Fischer HP, Pfeifer U, Pietsch T (2002) c-kit mutations in gastrointestinal stromal tumors occur preferentially in the spindle rather than in the epithelioid cell variant. *Mod Pathol* 15: 125–136
- Wey JS, Fan F, Gray MJ, Bauer TW, McCarty MF, Somcio R, Liu W, Evans DB, Wu Y, Hicklin DJ, Ellis LM (2005) Vascular endothelial growth factor receptor-1 promotes migration and invasion in pancreatic carcinoma cell lines. *Cancer* 104: 427–438
- Yamamori M, Sakaeda T, Nakamura T, Okamura N, Tamura T, Aoyama N, Kamigaki T, Ohno M, Shirakawa T, Gotoh A, Kuroda Y, Matsuo M, Kasuga M, Okumura K (2004) Association of VEGF genotype with mRNA level in colorectal adenocarcinomas. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun* 325: 144–150
- Zhang D, Salto-Tellez M, Putti TC, Do E, Koay ES (2003a) Reliability of tissue microarrays in detecting protein expression and gene amplification in breast cancer. *Mod Pathol* 16: 79–85
- Zhang DH, Salto-Tellez M, Chiu LL, Shen L, Koay ES (2003b) Tissue microarray study for classification of breast tumors. *Life Sciences* 73: 3189–3199