Minireview

Role of insulin-like growth factor I receptor signalling in cancer

O Larsson^{*,1}, A Girnita¹ and L Girnita¹

¹Department of Oncology and Pathology, CCK R8:04, Karolinska Hospital, S-171 76 Stockholm, Sweden

The insulin-like growth factor (IGF-I) signalling is highly implicated in cancer. In this signalling the IGF-I receptor (IGF-IR) is unquestionable, the predominating single factor. IGF-IR is crucial for tumour transformation and survival of malignant cell, but is only partially involved in normal cell growth. This is in part due to the interactions with oncogenes. Recent findings suggest a close interplay with the p53/MDM2 pathway. Disturbances in components in the p53/MDM2/IGF-IR network may cause IGF-IR upregulation and growth advantage for the cancer cell. Targeting of IGF-IR is more and more seen as a promising option for future cancer therapy. Single chain antibodies and small molecules with selective effects on IGF-IR dependent malignant growth are of particular interest. Forthcoming clinical trials are welcome and will indeed be the only way to evaluate the impact of IGF-IR targeting in human cancer. *British Journal of Cancer* (2005) **92**, 2097–2101. doi:10.1038/sj.bjc.6602627 www.bjcancer.com

Published online 24 May 2005

© 2005 Cancer Research UK

Keywords: IGF-I; IGF-I receptor; p53; MDM2; cancer

Mounting of evidence provided during the 10 last years implicates a crucial role of insulin-like growth factor 1 (IGF-1) signalling in development and progression of cancer. The most important single component in this signalling, involving the ligands IGF-1 and IGF-2, several binding proteins, proteases as well as three receptors, is the IGF-1 receptor (IGF-1R). In this review we will summarise relevant studies on the role of IGF-1R in cancer with focus on: (1) the unique role of IGF-1R signalling in malignant cells; (2) the interactions between IGF-1R and tumour suppressor genes and proto-oncogenes; as well as (3) current attempts in targeting the IGF-1R as a potential option in cancer therapy.

UNIQUE ROLE OF IGF-1R IN CANCER

The IGF-1R is a phylogenetically conserved receptor TK and belongs to the insulin receptor family, involving also the insulin receptor (IR), hybrid receptors and the IGF-2R/mannose 6-phosphate receptor. The function of the hybrid receptor is still not well understood. The IGF-2R/6-mannose receptor is a monomeric receptor without TK activities. Both IGF-1R and IR are preformed dimeric TK receptors made up by two extracellular α -subunits and two β -subunits involving a small extracellular domain, an intramembraneous one as well as an intracellular domain (Adams *et al*, 2000). The latter includes the juxtamembraneous domain, the TK domain and the C-terminal domain. The IGF-1R and IR are highly homologous, especially in the TK domain in which they share 84% amino-acid identities. However, despite these similarities, the functions between IGF-1R and IR differ considerably. The IGF-1R is mainly involved in regulation of cell proliferation, antiapoptosis, differentiation and cell motility, whereas IR is mostly of impact for control of glucose uptake and metabolism. However, the isoform A (exon 11-splice variant) of IR

(IR-A), normally expressed in fetal tissues, promotes cell growth in response to IGF-2 stimulation and has been reported to be abundantly expressed in some IGF-1R deficient leiomyosarcomas (Sciacca *et al*, 2002).

The ligand–receptor interaction results in phosphorylation of tyrosine residues in the IGF-1R TK domain (spanning amino acid 973–1229) of the β -subunit. The crystal structure of the inactive and phosphorylated kinase domain of the IGF-1R has provided a molecular model of the IGF-1R catalytic activity (Favelyukis *et al*, 2001). In unstimulated state, the activation loop (a-loop), containing the critical tyrosine (Y) residues 1131, 1135 and 1136, behaves as a pseudosubstrate that blocks the active site. Y1135 (being the first tyrosine to be phosphorylated after stimulation with ligand) in the a-loop is bound in cis position in the active site, thus preventing the substrate access and occluding the ATP binding site as well. After ligand binding, the three tyrosines of the a-loop are transphosphorylated by the dimeric subunit partner. Phosphorylation of Y1135 and Y1131 destabilises the autoinhibitory conformation of the a-loop, whereas phosphorylation of Y1136 stabilises the catalytically optimised conformation of it (Favelyukis *et al*, 2001). These changes of the a-loop conformation allow the substrate and ATP access, further increasing the intrinsic TK activity towards phosphorylation of other tyrosines in the receptor and subsequently of exogenous substrate proteins. In turn, phosphorylation of the adaptor proteins insulin receptor substrate 1–4 (IRS-1–4) and Shc leads to activation of the phosphatidylinositol-3 kinase (PI3K), the mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK) and the 14-3-3 pathways (Baserga, 2000).

In contrast to IR, IGF-1R is ubiquitously expressed in tissues in which it plays a role in tissue growth, mostly via the growth hormone, which liberates IGF-1 to activate the IGF-1R. Although this impact in normal growth, strong evidence has been provided that IGF-1R is not an absolute requirement for normal growth, only partially (Baserga, 1999).

On the other hand, IGF-1R has been shown to be crucial for anchorage independent growth, a property being well established to be unique for malignant cells. Classic experiments performed in Baserga's laboratory have demonstrated that anchorage

*Correspondence: Dr O Larsson; E-mail: olle.larsson@onkpat.ki.se

Received 21 January 2005; revised 19 April 2005; accepted 20 April 2005; published online 24 May 2005

dependency can be acquired when the number of IGF-1Rs at the cell surface is increased to certain amount (Baserga, 1999). The critical role of IGF-1R in anchorage independent growth has been confirmed in several other laboratories. This property of IGF-1R also implicates the function of this receptor in tumour progression since the degree of anchorage independency reflects the level of malignancy. This means that metastasis has acquired more anchorage independency, and more IGF-1R dependency, compared to the primary tumour.

Several studies, both experimental and clinical, have demonstrated that the IGF-1R is overexpressed compared to normal tissues (Belfiore *et al*, 1999; Xie *et al*, 1999; All-Ericsson *et al*, 2002). Furthermore, epidemiological prospective studies have identified high plasma levels of IGF-1 as a potential risk factor for several malignancies (Hankinson *et al*, 1998). In addition, IGF-2, whose expression normally is strictly controlled by parental imprinting is upregulated and functions as an important stimulant of the IGF-1R in cancer (LeRoith and Roberts, 2003). Thus, upregulation of IGF-1R and its ligands are probably important events for the malignant cell growth.

Another aspect on a unique role of IGF-1R in cancer is based on several recent findings that loss of suppressor oncogenes as well as activation of proto-oncogenes is related to IGF-1R function and activity (Baserga, 1994; Girnita *et al*, 2000; Werner and Le Roith, 2000; Werner *et al*, 2000; Girnita *et al*, 2003; Zhao *et al*, 2004). This area of research deserves a separate attention and is discussed in the next section of this review.

Interactions between IGF-1R and oncogenes

The IGF-1R gene is constitutively expressed in most cells. The promoter of IGF-1R is CG-rich and lacks TATA and CCAAT elements (LeRoith *et al*, 1995b), but has elements found in housekeeping genes, containing regulatory elements characteristic for highly regulated genes (Werner *et al*, 1991). The IGF-1R promoter exhibits a high basal transcriptional activity, and is under physiological control of nutritional factors (Olchovsky *et al*, 1993), hormonal stimulation (Clarke *et al*, 1997) and the developmental stage (Bondy *et al*, 1992). Its expression is altered in certain diseases, including cancer (LeRoith *et al*, 1995a; Baserga *et al*, 1997).

The IGF-1R promoter is targeted by several oncogenes. Constitutive overexpression of the proto-oncogenes *c-myc* in Balb/c-3T3 cells increases expression of both IGF-1 and IGF-1R, at least partly through an increased transcriptional activity and in this way abrogates the requirement for IGF-1 in the growing media (Kim *et al*, 1996). The hepatitis B virus X protein is another oncogene known to stimulate IGF-1R promoter activity (Kim *et al*, 1996) that may therewith play an aetiological role in development of hepatocellular carcinoma. Some of the oncogenes increasing the IGF-1R promoter activity can also affect IGF-1R action by nontranscriptional mechanisms. For instance, transformation of human cells by the *src* oncogene of the Rous sarcoma virus results in constitutive phosphorylation of the receptor β -subunit, but addition of IGF-1 further increases the level of phosphorylation (Werner and Le Roith, 2000).

The IGF-1R gene contains several binding sites for members of *ERG* family of transcription factors. Wilms' tumour 1 gene (*WT1*), a member of this family, is a tumour suppressor gene and its product has been shown to suppress the activity of promoters containing *WT1* binding sites. Genes with *WT1* targeting promoters include *IGF-1R* and *IGF-2*. *WT1* has been shown to bind to the IGF-1R promoter and to suppress activity (Werner *et al*, 1995). Consistently, loss of *WT1* activity in Wilms' tumour and related malignancies may result in transcriptional derepression of the *IGF-1R* gene (Gerald *et al*, 1995). Pathologic fusion of the Ewing gene *EWS* to *WT1* (t(11;22)(p13;q12)(*EWS/WT1*)) has been shown to abrogate the tumour suppressor function of *WT1*

and to generate an oncogenic chimeric protein capable of binding and activating the *IGF-1R* promoter (Karnieli *et al*, 1996).

Likewise, the suppressor oncogene *p53* is capable of suppressing the activity of the IGF-1R promoter as well as lowering the endogenous levels of IGF-1R mRNA (Werner *et al*, 2000). In addition, the transcription of the *IGF-2* gene is similarly reduced by wild-type *p53* (Zhang *et al*, 1996). In contrast, tumour-derived, mutant versions of *p53* significantly stimulated promoter activity (Werner *et al*, 1996). These data therefore suggest that upregulation of IGF-1R due to loss-of-function of *p53* may facilitate selection of a malignant population of cells. However, the role of *p53* in regulation of IGF-1R seems to be more complex and probably also involves post-transcriptional mechanisms (Girnita *et al*, 2000; Lee *et al*, 2003). This can be exemplified by malignant melanoma cells, most often harbouring wild-type *p53* (Hussein, 2004), which exhibit overexpression of IGF-1R. Upon inhibition of wild-type *p53* in these cells, they surprisingly responded with a drastic IGF-1R downregulation and cell death (Girnita *et al*, 2000). Similar results have been obtained in other studies (Lee *et al*, 2003). These observations points to the action of other mechanisms in the *p53*-dependent control of IGF-1R expression. Such a mechanism could theoretical be mediated by the oncoprotein MDM2, which interacts with *p53* but has recently also been found to associate with certain cell surface receptors and regulate their functions (Shenoy *et al*, 2001). MDM2 is well known to strictly control *p53*. Overexpression of MDM2 results in decreased level and activity of *p53* (Kubbutat *et al*, 1997) and provides an alternative to a '*p53* mutation' in the sense that it inactivates *p53*. In this way, MDM2 enables the development of tumours that retain wild-type *p53*. Just, recently, it was shown that under conditions when *p53* was inhibited, MDM2 was redistributed and bound to the IGF-1R (Girnita *et al*, 2003). MDM2 was proven to ubiquitinate the IGF-1R and degraded it in a proteasome-dependent manner (Girnita *et al*, 2003), eventually leading to cell death. This action of MDM2 explains the earlier results that inhibition of wild-type *p53* unexpectedly leads to downregulation of the IGF-1R (Girnita *et al*, 2000). These data are in consistent with several other studies reporting apoptotic effects due to overexpressed MDM2 (Vousden and Prives, 2005). However, drastic redistributions of MDM2 from *p53* to the IGF-1R can probably only be achieved after experimental modulations, like inhibition of *p53* expression by antisense strategies, etc, and do not likely occur in this manner in a physiological context. On the other hand, an increased distribution of MDM2 to the cell nucleus to interact with *p53* may indirectly increase the expression of IGF-1R since lesser cytoplasmic MDM2 will be available to ubiquitinate and degrade the receptor. Unpublished studies in our laboratory have suggested that some amounts of MDM2, by inducing ubiquitination, are important for internalisation and activation of the IGF-1R. However, if the MDM2 levels are becoming too abundant, the IGF-1R is over-ubiquitinated and degraded. These findings are also interesting from an IGF-1R targeting point of view because pharmacological modulations leading to an excess of MDM2 could be a manner to cause a selective IGF-1 inhibition and apoptosis in cancer cells.

Reciprocally, the IGF-1 system has been shown to influence the activity of MDM2. IGF-1 was demonstrated to regulate MDM2 activity by inhibiting the association between *p19ARF* and MDM2 in a *p38* MAPK-dependent manner (Heron-Milhavet and LeRoith, 2002). Thus, when IGF1 was used to rescue the cells from UV-induced DNA damage, the *p53* protein was degraded through the MDM2-mediated pathway. Others studies indicate that expression of phosphorylated Akt increases MDM2-mediated ubiquitination of *p53* (Mayo and Donner, 2001). The serum-induced increase in *p53* ubiquitination was blocked by a PI3K inhibitor, suggesting that phosphorylated Akt enhances the ubiquitination-promoting function of MDM2, determining reduction of the *p53* protein.

In conclusion, there seems to exist a *p53*/MDM2/IGF-1R axis, in which signals are propagated in either direction. Changes leading

TARGETING IGF-1R IN CANCER

Strategies aimed to block the ligand-receptor interaction involve receptor neutralising antibodies (Kalebic *et al*, 1994). Among those most studied is the monoclonal antibody α -IR3, which competes with IGF-1 for binding to the receptor and blocks receptor activation (Van Wyk *et al*, 1985). However, α IR3 can sometimes act as an IGF1 mimetic and especially in cells overexpressing the IGF-1R (Kato *et al*, 1993). Antibody blockade of IGF-1R has been attempted in breast cancer model systems. However, the large size of the therapeutic molecule restricts its access to tumour cells, particularly in central regions of solid tumours (Russell *et al*, 1992). Smaller fragments are currently

A direct strategy to interfere with IGF-1R activity is to induce selective inhibition of its TK by developing selective small-molecular inhibitors. The major advantage of this approach is that small molecules have a considerable higher bioavailability compared to antibodies, dominant-negative receptors and antisense oligonucleotides. However, TK inhibitors face the problem

Table 1 Approaches to target IGF-1R

Site of inactivation	Way of inactivation		Reference
Synthesis/expression	Antisense oligodeoxynucleotides		Pietrzkowski <i>et al</i> (1993), Resnicoff <i>et al</i> (1994), Andrews <i>et al</i> (2001)
	Antisense techniques with plasmids		Resnicoff <i>et al</i> (1994)
	RNA interference		Gray <i>et al</i> , 2003
	Triple helix strategy		Rininsland F <i>et al</i> (1997)
Expression	Dominant-negative receptors		Dunn <i>et al</i> (1998), Reinmuth N <i>et al</i> (2002), Scotlandi <i>et al</i> (2002)
	Truncated soluble receptors		D'Ambrosio <i>et al</i> (1996)
Ligand–receptor interaction	Blocking antibodies	α IR3	Van Wyk (1985)
	Single chain antibodies	scFv-Fc	Sachdev <i>et al</i> (2003)
Tyrosine kinase activity/expression	Small molecules	Tyrphostin bioisosteres	Blum <i>et al</i> (2003)
		Pyrrolo[2,3-d] pyrimidine derivatives	Garcia-Echeverria <i>et al</i> (2004)
		Cyclolignan PPP	Girmita <i>et al</i> (2004)

that IGF-1R and IR are so similar. Actually many of the hitherto developed IGF-1R TK inhibitors have also caused substantial inhibition of the IR. Such cross reaction would probably cause diabetic reactions in patients and can therefore not be accepted. On the other hand, IR-A dependent tumours would not be affected by a fully selective IGF-1R inhibitor.

Most of the IGF-1R TK inhibitors produced so far have served as competitive ATP inhibitors. Since the region of the TK domain covering the ATP binding site is identical to that of the IR, such cross-inhibitions are not unexpected. However, there is a recent interesting exception. Garcia-Echeverria *et al* (2004) presented a new compound (a pyrrolo[2,3-d] pyrimidine) that although inhibiting the IGF-1R and IR TK equipotently in cell-free systems, exhibited several-fold selectivity for the IGF-1R in a cellular context and reduced the growth of IGF-1R positive fibrosarcomas *in vivo*.

Blum *et al* (2003) presented a new family of bioisostere inhibitors, based on the structure of AG 538, a tyrphostin inhibiting the IGF-1R TK at the substrate level and not at the ATP binding site (Blum *et al*, 2000). These AG 538 bioisosteres possessed similar but weaker biological properties to AG 538 but are more stable and blocked the formation of colonies of prostate and breast cancer cells in soft agar systems (Blum *et al*, 2003).

Recently, we demonstrated that the cyclolignan PPP inhibited phosphorylation of IGF-1R without interfering with insulin receptor activity (Girmita *et al*, 2004), as well as it reduced phosphorylated Akt, caused apoptosis and induced tumour

regression in xenografted mice. PPP did not compete with ATP but interfered with phosphorylation in the activation loop of the kinase domain, in which it specifically blocked phosphorylation of the tyrosine (Y) 1136 residue, while sparing the two others (Y1131 and Y1135). Since an IGF-1R construct, in which the tyrosine at position 1136 was replaced by a phenylalanine, also led to a strong inhibition of phosphorylated Akt in transfected cells, it was suggested that this mechanism may be responsible for the apoptotic effect of PPP (Vasilcanu *et al*, 2004). Unpublished studies have also demonstrated that the IGF-1Rs of PPP treated cells are undergoing rapid downregulation. This downregulation may be important for the strong apoptotic effect of this compound. Table 1 summarises different approaches to target the IGF-1R.

Although a huge number of experimental and preclinical investigations have provided encouraging results, clinical trials must be performed and completed to definitely evaluate the usefulness and risks of targeting IGF-1R as an option in cancer treatment of humans.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Own studies, cited in this review, were supported by grants from the Swedish Cancer Society, the Swedish Research Council, the Cancer Society in Stockholm, the Jubilee Fund of King Gustaf V, the Swedish Children Cancer Society and the Karolinska Institute.

REFERENCES

- Adams TE, Epa VC, Garrett TP, Ward CW (2000) Structure and function of the type 1 insulin-like growth factor receptor. *Cell Mol Life Sci* 57: 1050–1093
- All-Ericsson C, Girmita L, Seregard S, Bartolazzi A, Jager MJ, Larsson O (2002) Insulin-like growth factor-1 receptor in uveal melanoma: a predictor for metastatic disease and a potential therapeutic target. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci* 43: 1–8
- Andrews DW, Resnicoff M, Flanders AE, Kenyon L, Curtis M, Merli G, Baserga R, Iliakis G, Aiken RD (2001) Results of a pilot study involving the use of an antisense oligodeoxynucleotide directed against the insulin-like growth factor type I receptor in malignant astrocytomas. *J Clin Oncol* 19: 2189–2200
- Baserga R (1994) Oncogenes and the strategy of growth factors. *Cell* 79: 927–930
- Baserga R (1999) The IGF-I receptor in cancer research. *Exp Cell Res* 253: 1–6
- Baserga R (2000) The contradictions of the insulin-like growth factor 1 receptor. *Oncogene* 19: 5574–5581
- Baserga R, Hongo A, Rubini M, Prisco M, Valentinis B (1997) The IGF-1 receptor in cell growth, transformation and apoptosis. *Biochim Biophys Acta* 1332: F105–F126
- Baserga R, Peruzzi F, Reiss K (2003) The IGF-1 receptor in cancer biology. *Int J Cancer* 107: 873–877
- Belfiore A, Pandini G, Vella V, Squatrito S, Vigneri R (1999) Insulin/IGF-I hybrid receptors play a major role in IGF-I signaling in thyroid cancer. *Biochimie* 81: 403–407
- Blum G, Gazit A, Levitzki A (2000) Substrate competitive inhibitors of IGF-1 receptor kinase. *Biochemistry* 39: 15705–15712
- Blum G, Gazit A, Levitzki A (2003) Development of new insulin-like growth factor-1 receptor kinase inhibitors using catechol mimics. *J Biol Chem* 278: 40442–40454

- Bondy C, Werner H, Roberts Jr CT, LeRoith D (1992) Cellular pattern of type-I insulin-like growth factor receptor gene expression during maturation of the rat brain: comparison with insulin-like growth factors I and II. *Neuroscience* **46**: 909–923
- Brodt P, Samani A, Navab R (2000) Inhibition of the type I insulin-like growth factor receptor expression and signaling: novel strategies for antimetastatic therapy. *Biochem Pharmacol* **60**: 1101–1107
- Clarke RB, Howell A, Anderson E (1997) Type I insulin-like growth factor receptor gene expression in normal human breast tissue treated with oestrogen and progesterone. *Br J Cancer* **75**: 251–257
- D'Ambrosio C, Ferber A, Resnicoff M, Baserga R (1996) A soluble insulin-like growth factor I receptor that induces apoptosis of tumor cells *in vivo* and inhibits tumorigenesis. *Cancer Res* **56**: 4013–4020
- Dunn SE, Ehrlich M, Sharp NJ, Reiss K, Solomon G, Hawkins R, Baserga R, Barrett JC (1998) A dominant negative mutant of the insulin-like growth factor-I receptor inhibits the adhesion, invasion, and metastasis of breast cancer. *Cancer Res* **58**: 3353–3361
- Favelyukis S, Till JH, Hubbard SR, Miller WT (2001) Structure and autoregulation of the insulin-like growth factor 1 receptor kinase. *Nat Struct Biol* **8**: 1058–1063
- Garcia-Echeverria C, Pearson MA, Marti A, Meyer T, Mestan J, Zimmermann J, Gao J, Brueggen J, Capraro HG, Cozens R, Evans DB, Fabbro D, Furet P, Porta DG, Liebetanz J, Martiny-Baron G, Ruetz S, Hofmann F (2004) *In vivo* antitumor activity of NVP-AEW541-A novel, potent, and selective inhibitor of the IGF-IR kinase. *Cancer Cell* **5**: 231–239
- Gerald WL, Rosai J, Ladanyi M (1995) Characterization of the genomic breakpoint and chimeric transcripts in the EWS-WT1 gene fusion of desmoplastic small round cell tumor. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* **92**: 1028–1032
- Girnita A, Girnita L, Prete Fd F, Bartolazzi A, Larsson O, Axelsson M (2004) Cyclolignans as inhibitors of the insulin-like growth factor-1 receptor and malignant cell growth. *Cancer Res* **64**: 236–242
- Girnita L, Girnita A, Brodin B, Xie Y, Nilsson G, Dricu A, Lundeberg J, Wejde J, Bartolazzi A, Wiman KG, Larsson O (2000) Increased expression of insulin-like growth factor I receptor in malignant cells expressing aberrant p53: functional impact. *Cancer Res* **60**: 5278–5283
- Girnita L, Girnita A, Larsson O (2003) Mdm2-dependent ubiquitination and degradation of the insulin-like growth factor 1 receptor. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* **100**: 8247–8252
- Hankinson SE, Willett WC, Colditz GA, Hunter DJ, Michaud DS, Deroo B, Rosner B, Speizer FE, Pollak M (1998) Circulating concentrations of insulin-like growth factor-I and risk of breast cancer. *Lancet* **351**: 1393–1396
- Heron-Milhavet L, LeRoith D (2002) Insulin-like growth factor I induces MDM2-dependent degradation of p53 via the p38 MAPK pathway in response to DNA damage. *J Biol Chem* **277**: 15600–15606
- Hussein MR (2004) The TP53 tumor suppressor gene and melanoma tumorigenesis: Is there a relationship? *Tumor Biol* **25**: 200–207
- Kalebic T, Tsokos M, Helman LJ (1994) *In vivo* treatment with antibody against IGF-1 receptor suppresses growth of human rhabdomyosarcoma and down-regulates p34cdc2. *Cancer Res* **54**: 5531–5534
- Karnieli E, Werner H, Rauscher III FJ, Benjamin LE, LeRoith D (1996) The IGF-I receptor gene promoter is a molecular target for the Ewing's sarcoma-Wilms' tumor 1 fusion protein. *J Biol Chem* **271**: 19304–19309
- Kato H, Faria TN, Stannard B, Roberts Jr CT, LeRoith D (1993) Role of tyrosine kinase activity in signal transduction by the insulin-like growth factor-I (IGF-I) receptor. Characterization of kinase-deficient IGF-I receptors and the action of an IGF-I-mimetic antibody (alpha IR-3). *J Biol Chem* **268**: 2655–2661
- Kim SO, Park JG, Lee YI (1996) Increased expression of the insulin-like growth factor I (IGF-I) receptor gene in hepatocellular carcinoma cell lines: implications of IGF-I receptor gene activation by hepatitis B virus X gene product. *Cancer Res* **56**: 3831–3836
- Kubbutat MH, Jones SN, Vousden KH (1997) Regulation of p53 stability by Mdm2. *Nature* **387**: 299–303
- Lee YI, Han YJ, Lee SY, Park SK, Park YJ, Moon HB, Shin JH, Lee JH (2003) Activation of insulin-like growth factor II signaling by mutant type p53: physiological implications for potentiation of IGF-II signaling by p53 mutant 249. *Mol Cell Endocrinol* **203**: 51–63
- LeRoith D, Baserga R, Helman L, Roberts Jr CT (1995a) Insulin-like growth factors and cancer. *Ann Intern Med* **122**: 54–59
- LeRoith D, Roberts Jr CT (2003) The insulin-like growth factor systems and cancer. *Cancer Lett* **195**: 127–137
- LeRoith D, Werner H, Beitner-Johnson D, Roberts Jr CT (1995b) Molecular and cellular aspects of the insulin-like growth factor I receptor. *Endocr Rev* **16**: 143–163
- Mayo LD, Donner DB (2001) A phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase/Akt pathway promotes translocation of Mdm2 from the cytoplasm to the nucleus. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* **98**: 11598–11603
- Olchovsky D, Song J, Gelato MC, Sherwood J, Spatola E, Bruno JF, Berelowitz M (1993) Pituitary and hypothalamic insulin-like growth factor-I (IGF-I) and IGF-I receptor expression in food-deprived rats. *Mol Cell Endocrinol* **93**: 193–198
- Resnicoff M, Sell C, Rubini M, Coppola D, Ambrose D, Baserga R, Rubin R (1994) Rat glioblastoma cells expressing an antisense RNA to the insulin-like growth factor-1 (IGF-1) receptor are nontumorigenic and induce regression of wild-type tumors. *Cancer Res* **54**: 2218–2222
- Resnicoff M, Tjuvajev J, Rotman HL, Abraham D, Curtis M, Aiken R, Baserga R (1996) Regression of C6 rat brain tumors by cells expressing an antisense insulin-like growth factor I receptor RNA. *J Exp Ther Oncol* **1**: 385–389
- Russell SJ, Llewellyn MB, Hawkins RE (1992) Principles of antibody therapy. *BMJ* **305**: 1424–1429
- Sachdev D, Li SL, Hartell JS, Fujita-Yamaguchi Y, Miller JS, Yee D (2003) A chimeric humanized single-chain antibody against the type I insulin-like growth factor (IGF) receptor renders breast cancer cells refractory to the mitogenic effects of IGF-I. *Cancer Res* **63**: 627–635
- Sciacca L, Mineo R, Pandini G, Murabito A, Vigneri R, Belfiore A (2002) In IGF-I receptor-deficient leiomyosarcoma cells autocrine IGF-II induces cell invasion and protection from apoptosis via the insulin receptor isoform A. *Oncogene* **21**: 8240–8250
- Shenoy SK, McDonald PH, Kohout TA, Lefkowitz RJ (2001) Regulation of receptor fate by ubiquitination of activated beta 2-adrenergic receptor and beta-arrestin. *Science* **294**: 1307–1313
- Van Wyk JJ, Graves DC, Casella SJ, Jacobs S (1985) Evidence from monoclonal antibody studies that insulin stimulates deoxyribonucleic acid synthesis through the type I somatomedin receptor. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* **61**: 639–643
- Vasilcanu D, Girnita A, Girnita L, Vasilcanu R, Axelsson M, Larsson O (2004) The cyclolignan PPP induces activation loop-specific inhibition of tyrosine phosphorylation of the insulin-like growth factor-1 receptor. Link to the phosphatidylinositol-3 kinase/Akt apoptotic pathway. *Oncogene* **23**: 7854–7862
- Vousden KH, Prives C (2005) P53 and prognosis; new insights and further complexity. *Cell* **120**: 7–10
- Werner H, Karnieli E, Rauscher FJ, LeRoith D (1996) Wild-type and mutant p53 differentially regulate transcription of the insulin-like growth factor I receptor gene. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* **93**: 8318–8323
- Werner H, LeRoith D (2000) New concepts in regulation and function of the insulin-like growth factors: implications for understanding normal growth and neoplasia. *Cell Mol Life Sci* **57**: 932–942
- Werner H, Shalita-Chesner M, Abramovitch S, Idelman G, Shaharabani-Gargir L, Glaser T (2000) Regulation of the insulin-like growth factor-I receptor gene by oncogenes and antioncogenes: implications in human cancer. *Mol Genet Metab* **71**: 315–320
- Werner H, Shen-Orr Z, Rauscher III FJ, Morris JF, Roberts Jr CT, LeRoith D (1995) Inhibition of cellular proliferation by the Wilms' tumor suppressor WT1 is associated with suppression of insulin-like growth factor I receptor gene expression. *Mol Cell Biol* **15**: 3516–3522
- Werner H, Stannard B, Bach MA, Roberts Jr CT, LeRoith D (1991) Regulation of insulin-like growth factor I receptor gene expression in normal and pathological states. *Adv Exp Med Biol* **293**: 263–272
- Xie Y, Skytting B, Nilsson G, Brodin B, Larsson O (1999) Expression of insulin-like growth factor-1 receptor in synovial sarcoma: association with an aggressive phenotype. *Cancer Res* **59**: 3588–3591
- Zhang L, Kashanchi F, Zhan Q, Zhan S, Brady JN, Fornace AJ, Seth P, Helman LJ (1996) Regulation of insulin-like growth factor II P3 promoter by p53: a potential mechanism for tumorigenesis. *Cancer Res* **56**: 1367–1373
- Zhao H, Dupont J, Yakar S, Karas M, LeRoith D (2004) PTEN inhibits cell proliferation and induces apoptosis by downregulating cell surface IGF-IR expression in prostate cancer cells. *Oncogene* **23**: 786–794