

www.bjcancer.com

Short Communication

A phase II trial of gefitinib with 5-fluorouracil, leucovorin, and irinotecan in patients with colorectal cancer

ML Veronese¹, W Sun¹, B Giantonio¹, J Berlin¹, J Shults¹, L Davis¹, DG Haller¹ and PJ O'Dwyer*, I

¹Abramson Cancer Center at the University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, PA 19104, USA

Inhibition of epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) signalling contributes to the therapy of colorectal cancer. Gefitinib, an oral EGFR tyrosine kinase inhibitor, shows supra-additive growth inhibition with irinotecan and fluoropyrimidines in xenograft models. We designed a study to determine the tolerability and efficacy of gefitinib in combination with irinotecan, infusional 5-fluorouracil (5-FU) and leucovorin (LV), on a 2-week schedule. Among 13 patients with advanced colorectal cancer, 10 required dose reductions of irinotecan and 5-FU because of dehydration, diarrhoea, and neutropenia, seven of whom required hospitalisation, three with neutropenic fever. One patient achieved partial response and seven had disease stabilisation. The combination of this standard chemotherapy regimen with gefitinib is associated with excessive toxicity, suggesting an interaction at a pharmacokinetic or pharmacodynamic level.

British Journal of Cancer (2005) 92, 1846-1849. doi:10.1038/sj.bjc.6602569 www.bjcancer.com Published online 3 May 2005 © 2005 Cancer Research UK

Keywords: colon cancer; gefitinib; EGFR; irinotecan

The addition of irinotecan to 5-fluorouracil (5-FU) results in response rates (RR) of 30-40%, and improved survival to about 14-16 months in metastatic colorectal cancer (Douillard et al, 2000; Saltz et al, 2000). Weekly and every 3-week schedules of irinotecan demonstrate comparable toxicity and efficacy (Rougier et al, 1997; Fusch et al, 2003), but the weekly combination of irinotecan/5-FU shows excessive toxicity (Rothenberg et al, 2001). The FOLFIRI regimen (Douillard et al, 2000) is less toxic, and infusional 5-FU or capecitabine combinations are favoured (Han et al, 2003; Tewes et al, 2003; Bajetta et al, 2004; Jordan et al, 2004).

Expression of the epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) has been associated with outcome in colon cancer (Mayer et al, 1993; Saloman et al, 1995). Gefitinib is an oral EGFR tyrosine kinase inhibitor with an excellent safety profile. The combination of gefitinib and irinotecan has shown supra-additive growth inhibition in colorectal cancer cell lines and in xenograft models (Koizumi et al, 2004). In a human head and neck cancer cell line treated with gefitinib before or during 5'-deoxy-5-fluorouridine (5'-dFUR) treatment, there was a strong synergistic cytotoxic activity, associated with altered target enzyme expression (Magne et al, 2003). Clinical studies by Saltz and by Cunningham demonstrated the therapeutic role of an antibody directed to EGFR (Cunningham et al, 2004; Saltz et al, 2004).

We conducted a phase II study to determine the tolerability and response with gefitinib together with irinotecan, infusional 5-FU, and LV. The coadministration of these agents was associated with

Pretreatment evaluation and follow-up

Pretreatment evaluation consisted of a history and physical examination, full blood count, electrolytes, creatinine, liver function tests and carcinoembryonic antigen (CEA), urinalysis, electrocardiogram, and baseline imaging. Clinical, haematologic, and biochemical evaluations were performed every 2 weeks. Toxicity was graded according to the National Cancer Institute Common Toxicity Criteria (NCI-CTC).

This study was a nonrandomised safety and efficacy trial of 5-FU, LV, and irinotecan in combination with gefitinib in patients with

Received 16 September 2004; revised 10 March 2005; accepted 11 March 2005; published online 3 May 2005

unexpectedly severe gastrointestinal toxicity and myelosuppression, and the RR in this small cohort was modest at best.

PATIENTS AND METHODS

Eligibility

Eligible patients were over 18 years with advanced or recurrent colorectal adenocarcinoma. No prior chemotherapy other than adjuvant 5-FU was allowed. Patients were required to have measurable disease. Eligibility criteria also included performance status 0-2 (ECOG); adequate bone marrow (neutrophils $\geq 1500 \,\mathrm{mm}^{-3}$, platelets $\geq 100\,000 \,\mathrm{mm}^{-3}$), renal (creatinine ≤ 1.5 times the upper limit of normal (ULN)), and hepatic (bilirubin < 1.5 times ULN, and AST/ALT \leq 2 times ULN (<5 × ULN if liver metastasis) function. The study was approved by the University of Pennsylvania institutional review board. All patients signed written informed consent.

Study design and treatment administration

^{*}Correspondence: Dr PJ O'Dwyer, Abramson Cancer Center, University of Pennsylvania, 51 N 39th St, MAB-103, Philadelphia, PA 19104, USA; E-mail: peter.odwyer@uphs.upenn.edu

advanced colorectal cancer. Patients received LV 400 mg m⁻² as a 2 h intravenous (i.v.) infusion, followed immediately by bolus 5-FU 400 mg m^{-2} and a 22 h i.v. infusion of 5-FU 600 mg m⁻², on days 1 and 2, and irinotecan 180 mg m⁻² as a 90 min i.v. infusion during LV on day 1 only (5-FU400-600/I180). Treatment was repeated every 2 weeks and one cycle consisted of 28 days. Gefitinib 250 mg orally was administered continuously, beginning on day 1 (G250). Antiemetic prophylaxis with a 5-hydroxytryptamine-3-receptor antagonist and dexamethasone was used. Dose modifications were made for myelosuppression (5-FU and irinotecan), diarrhoea (5-FU, irinotecan, and gefitinib), mucositis (5-FU only), and skin rash (gefitinib only). The LV dose was not modified.

Response evaluation

Measurable lesions were reassessed according to the Response Evaluation Criteria in Solid Tumors (RECIST) (Therasse et al, 2000) after cycles 2 and 4, and then every three cycles until progression.

Statistical analysis

The purpose of this study was to assess the safety and efficacy of gefitinib, irinotecan, LV, and 5-FU in combination. We wished to be able to discern a toxicity rate of 29% with the new regimen. With an accrual of 50 patients, we would have 80% power to distinguish that level of toxicity from a 12% rate observed in prior studies (Douillard et al, 2000). We targeted an RR of 60%, and planned to accrue 50 patients to give a 95% confidence interval of 46-72%. All analyses were conducted using Stata 8.0, with twosided tests of hypotheses and a P < 0.05 criterion for statistical significance.

RESULTS

Patient characteristics

The demographic characteristics of the 13 patients are shown (Table 1). All were evaluable for toxicity and 12 for response. One patient was removed from study because of excessive first cycle toxicity.

Table I Patient characteristics

No. of patients Entered Assessable	13 12
Sex Male Female	6 7
Age (years) Range Median	49-72 58
ECOG performance status I I 2	0 2 I
Site of tumour Colon Rectum Liver involvement	12 10

Treatment administration

A total of 10 patients required dose reductions of irinotecan and 5-FU (Table 2). The first seven patients received I180/5-FU400-600/G250. Four experienced grade 3 neutropenia and/or dehydration during the first cycle of therapy and required 20% dose reduction of irinotecan and 5-FU (I150/5-FU320-600/G250). Of these seven, four required an additional 20% dose reduction (I120/ 5-FU200-500/G250) because of dehydration or neutropenia. Because of this unacceptable level of toxicity, subsequent patients were treated at a reduced dose of irinotecan and 5-FU (I150/5-FU320-600/G250). Of four patients treated at these doses, three developed grade 4 neutropenia after the first cycle and two grade 3 diarrhoea, requiring an additional 20% dose reduction (I120/5-FU200-500). For safety reasons, these doses were used in the subsequent patients. This dose level was well tolerated by eight patients in total (two new, six reduced) (Table 2). No dose changes were made for gefitinib.

Toxicity

The most frequent grade 3/4 adverse events during this study were gastrointestinal (mostly diarrhoea, 54%) and haematologic (neutropenia, 62%) (Table 3). Seven patients (54%) developed grade 3 or 4 dehydration from diarrhoea, and all required hospitalisation. Two were removed from study because of toxicity.

Eight patients (62%) developed grade 3 or 4 neutropenia, of whom three experienced neutropenic fever. One expired from sepsis after receiving one cycle of therapy. Of these eight patients, four had concomitant grade 3/4 diarrhoea.

Acneiform skin rash occurred in eight patients, and was mild and reversible. Grade 1/2 nausea and vomiting occurred in seven patients (54%). Mucositis and fatigue were generally mild.

Response

The primary efficacy end point was RR. One patient achieved partial response (PR) for seven cycles (8%, 95% CI 0-38%). Seven of the 12 (58%, 95% CI 28-85%) evaluable patients had disease stabilisation that persisted for more than six cycles in six. Based on the excessive toxicity and low level of activity, after review of all results, the trial was closed.

DISCUSSION

The combination of gefitinib with irinotecan, 5-FU, and LV demonstrated excessive gastrointestinal and haematologic toxicity in over half of the patients, despite the use of an infusional 5-FU schedule. The tolerable doses of irinotecan and 5-FU with gefitinib were one-third less than those commonly used. With these doses, activity appeared no better than expected, which prompted early closure of the study.

The excessive toxicity suggests an interaction between gefitinib and the chemotherapy. An interaction between gefitinib and 5-FU seems unlikely. Full doses of 5-FU/LV with gefitinib were tolerated on various schedules, without apparent pharmacokinetic interactions (Hammond et al, 2001). Gefitinib 250 mg can be administered with full doses of oxaliplatin/5-FU with tolerable toxicity, although a higher incidence of diarrhoea may suggest a possible pharmacodynamic interaction (Fisher et al, 2004).

The profile of side effects in our study suggests an interaction with irinotecan rather than with 5-FU. Irinotecan is metabolised in the liver by several enzyme systems (Kawato et al, 1991; Rivory and Robert, 1995; Haaz et al, 1997; Xie et al, 2002). Cleavage by carboxylesterase yields the active metabolite SN-38, which is inactivated by glucuronide conjugation by uridine diphosphate glucuronosyltransferase 1A1 (UGT1A1) (Haaz et al, 1997), variable

Table 2 Toxicity related to the combination of irinotecan/5-FU/gefitinib by dose

	N		Maximum toxicity/grade							
Dose	New ^a Total ^b	Total ^b	Nonhaematologic				Haematologic			
			GΙ	G 2	G 3	G 4	GΙ	G 2	G 3	G 4
II 80/5-FU400 — 600	7	7	7	7	4	I	2	4	3	2
1150/5-FU320 — 500 1120/5-FU200 — 500	4 2	7 8	4 	4 2	3 0	0	0	0	0	2

Gefitinib dose was 250 mg daily and it was not reduced. 5-FU = 5-fluorouracil. ^aNumber of patients who received the given irinotecan and 5-FU doses on cycle 1. ^bNumber of patients who received the given irinotecan and 5-FU doses on cycle 1 plus as reductions in subsequent cycles.

Table 3 Toxicity related to the combination of irinotecan/FU/LV/gefitinib by cycle

Toxicity	Cycle I (<i>N</i> = I3)	Cycle 2 ($N=10$)	Cycle 3 (N = 7)	Cycle 4 (N = 7)	Cycle 5 (N = 6)	Cycle 6 (N = 4)
Diarrhoea						
Grade I or 2	5	3	2	2	3	2
Grade 3 or 4	2	2	0	2	0	I
Nausea/vomiting						
Grade I or 2	7	5	4	2	2	3
Grade 3 or 4	0	0	0	0	0	0
Dehydration						
Grade I or 2	1	0	0	0	0	0
Grade 3 or 4	3	3	0	I	0	0
Mucositis						
Grade I or 2	2	0	0	0	0	0
Grade 3 or 4	0	0	0	0	0	0
Fatigue						
Grade I or 2	6	5	I	I	2	2
Grade 3 or 4	0	0	0	0	0	0
Skin rash						
Grade I or 2	6	3	3	I	I	I
Grade 3 or 4	0	0	0	0	0	0
Neutropenia						
Grade I or 2	2		I	I .	ļ.	0
Grade 3 or 4	5	I	0	0	2	0
Neutropenic fever	2	I	0	0	0	0
Anaemia						
Grade I or 2	5	0	1	0	0	
Grade 3 or 4	[0	0	0	0	

5-FU = 5-fluorouracil; LV = leucovorin.

activity of which is a determinant of toxicity (Innocenti *et al*, 2004). Another pathway involves oxidation of the terminal piperidine ring by the P450 enzyme, CYP3A4 (Rivory *et al*, 1996; Dodds *et al*, 1998), of which gefitinib is a weak inhibitor. Additionally, mild elevations of bilirubin have been shown to predict neutropenia with weekly irinotecan, implicating hepatic excretion (Meyerhardt *et al*, 2004).

Iacono *et al* reported a study of gefitinib and irinotecan in children. Concomitant administration of gefitinib reduced irinotecan clearance and increased the AUC of SN-38 (Iacono *et al*, 2004). In another phase I clinical trial (Chau *et al*, 2004) of gefitinib with irinotecan, dose reduction was required. We propose

that the interaction of irinotecan and gefitinib in our trial is likely to have been pharmacokinetic, resulting in greater than anticipated exposure to irinotecan and its active metabolite. Interestingly, Messersmith *et al* (2004) have recently reported excessive toxicity requiring early closure of the study in a phase I trial of a combination of erlotinib with reduced doses of irinotecan and infusional 5-FU. A pharmacokinetic interaction between irinotecan, 5-FU, and erlotinib could not explain the toxicity. Additionally, blockage of the EGFR may not account for this level of toxicity since combination of Erbitux with irinotecan has not resulted in such severe toxicity (Cunningham *et al*, 2004). An interaction between gefitinib and the multidrug resistance ABC

Clinical Studies

transporter could have contributed to toxicity. Gefitinib inhibits ABCG2-dependent active drug extrusion, which mediates irinotecan efflux and protects cells from irinotecan toxicity (Wierdl et al, 2003; Ozvegy-Laczka et al, 2004).

While both of these possibilities need consideration in using these drugs in combination, the implied increase in sources of intrapatient variability was perceived as a safety hazard for further development on a solely empirical basis.

REFERENCES

- Bajetta E, Di Bartolomeo M, Mariani L, Cassata A, Artale S, Frustaci S, Pinotti G, Bonetti A, Carreca I, Biasco G, Bonaglia L, Marini G, Iannelli A, Cortinovis D, Ferrario E, Beretta E, Lambiase A, Buzzoni R (2004) Randomized multicenter phase II trial of two different schedule of irinotecan combined with capecitabine as first-line treatment in metastatic colorectal carcinoma. Cancer 100: 279 - 287
- Chau I, Massey A, iggins L, Botwood N, Cunningham D (2004) Phase I study of gefitinib in combination with irinotecan in patients with fluoropyrimidine refractory advanced colorectal cancer. Proc Am Soc Clin Oncol 23: 3572a
- Cunningham D, Humblet Y, Siena S, Khayat D, Bleiberg H, Santoro A, Bets D, Mueser M, Harstrick A, Verslype C, Chau I, Van Cutsem E (2004) Cetuximab monotherapy and cetuximab plus irinotecan in irinotecanrefractory metastatic colorectal cancer. N Engl J Med 351: 337 - 345
- Dodds HM, Haaz MC, Riou JF, Robert J, Rivory LP (1998) Identification of a new metabolite of CPT-11 (irinotecan): pharmacological properties and activation to SN-38. J Pharmacol Exp Ther 286: 578 - 583
- Douillard JY, Cunningham D, Roth AD, Navarro M, James RD, Karasek P, Jandik P, Iveson T, Carmichael J, Alakl M, Gruia G, Awad L, Rougier P (2000) Irinotecan combined with fluorouracil compared with fluorouracil alone as first-line treatment for metastatic colorectal cancer; a multicenter randomized trial. Lancet 355: 1041 - 1047
- Fisher GA, Kuo T, Cho CD, Halsey J, Jambalos CN, Schwartz EJ, Robert RV, Advani RH, Wakalee HA (2004) A phase II study of gefitinib in combination with FOLFOX-4 (IFOX) in patients with metastatic colorectal cancer. Proc Am Soc Clin Oncol 22: 3514a
- Fusch CS, Moore MR, Harker G, Villa L, Rinaldi D, Hecht JR (2003) Phase III comparison of two irinotecan dosing regimens in second-line therapy of metastatic colorectal cancer. J Clin Oncol 21: 807-814
- Hammond LA, Figueroa J, Schwartzberg L, Ochoa L, Hidalgo M, Olivo N, Schwartz G, Smith L, Ochs J, Rowinsky EK (2001) Feasibility and pharmacokinetic trial of ZD1839 (Iressa™), an epidermal growth factor receptor tyrosine kinase inhibitor, in combination with 5-fluorouracil and leucovorin in patients with advanced colorectal cancer. Proc Am Soc Clin Oncol 20: 544a
- Haaz MC, Rivory L, Jantet S, Ratanasavanh D, Robert J (1997) Glucuronidation of SN-38, the active metabolite of irinotecan, by human hepatic microsomes. Pharmacol Toxicol 80: 91-96
- Han JY, Lee DH, Kim HY, Kim EA, Lee JJ, Ju SY, Shin EH, Lee JS (2003) A phase II study of weekly irinotecan and capecitabine in patients with previously treated non-small cell lung cancer. Clin Cancer Res 9:
- Iacono LC, Furman WL, Crews KR, Panetta JC, Freeman BB, Daw NC, Stewart CF (2004) Effect of gefitinib on the systemic disposition of intravenous irinotecan in pediatric patients with refractory solid tumors. Proc Am Soc Clin Oncol 23: 2011a
- Innocenti F, Undevia SD, Iyer L, Chen PX, Das S, Kocherginsky M, Karrison T, Janisch L, Ramirez J, Rudin CM, Vokes EE, Ratain MJ (2004) Genetic variants in the UDP-glucuronosyltransferase 1A1 gene predict the risk of severe neutropenia of irinotecan. J Clin Oncol 22: 1382 - 1388
- Jordan K, Kellner O, Kegel T, Schmoll HJ, Grothey A (2004) Phase II trial of capecitabine/irinotecan and capecitabine/oxaliplatin in advanced gastrointestinal cancers. Clin Colorectal Cancer 4: 46-50
- Kawato Y, Aonuma M, Hirota Y, Kuga H, Sato K (1991) Intracellular roles of SN-38, a metabolite of the camptothecin derivative CPT-11, in the antitumor effect of CPT-11. Cancer Res 51: 4187-4191
- Koizumi F, Kanzawa F, Ueda Y, Koh Y, Tsukiyama S, Taguchi F, Tamura T, Saijo N, Nishio K (2004) Synergistic interaction between the EGFR tyrosine kinase inhibitor gefitinib ('Iressa') and the DNA topoisomerase I inhibitor CPT-11 (irinotecan) in human colorectal cancer cells. Int J Cancer 108: 464-472
- Magne N, Fischel JL, Dubreuil A, Formento P, Ciccolini J, Formento JL, Tiffon C, Renee N, Marchetti S, Etienne MC, Milano G (2003) ZD1839 (Iressa) modifies the activity of key enzymes linked to fluoropyrimidine

- activity: rational basis for a new combination therapy with capecitabine. Clin Cancer Res 9: 4735-4742
- Mayer A, Takimoto M, Fritz E, Schellander G, Kofler K, Ludwig H (1993) The prognostic significance of proliferating cell nuclear antigen, epidermal growth factor receptor, and mdr gene expression in colorectal cancer. Cancer 71: 2454-2460
- Messersmith WA, Laheru DA, Senzer NN, Donehower RC, Grouleff P, Rogers T, Kelley SK, Ramies DA, Lum BL, Hidalgo M (2004) Phase I trial of irinotecan, infusional 5-fluorouracil, and leucovorin (FOLFIRI) with erlotinib (OSI-774): early termination due to increased toxicity. Clin Cancer Res 10: 6522 - 6527
- Meyerhardt JA, Kwok A, Ratain MJ, McGovren JP, Fuchs CS (2004) Relationship of baseline serum bilirubin to efficacy and toxicity of singleagent irinotecan in patients with metastatic colorectal cancer. J Clin Oncol 22: 1439 - 1446
- Ozvegy-Laczka C, Hegedus T, Varady G, Ujhelly O, Schuetz JD, Varadi A, Keri G, Orfi L, Nemet K, Sarkadi B (2004) High-affinity interaction of tyrosine kinase inhibitors with the ABCG2 multidrug transporter. Mol Pharmacol 65: 1485-1495
- Rivory LP, Robert J (1995) Identification and kinetics of a beta-glucuronide metabolite of SN-38 in human plasma after administration of the camptothecin derivative irinotecan. Cancer Chemother Pharmacol 36:
- Rivory LP, Riou JF, Haaz MC, Sable S, Vuilhorgne M, Commercon A, Pond SM, Robert J (1996) Identification and properties of a major plasma metabolite of irinotecan (CPT-11) isolated from the plasma of patients. Cancer Res 56: 3689 - 3694
- Rothenberg ML, Meropol NJ, Poplin EA, Van Cutsem E, Wadler S (2001) Mortality associated with irinotecan plus bolus fluorouracil/leucovorin: summary findings of an independent panel. J Clin Oncol 19: 3801 - 3807
- Rougier P, Bugat R, Douillard JY, Culine S, Suc E, Brunet P, Becouarn Y, Ychou M, Marty M, Extra JM, Bonneterre J, Adenis A, Seitz JF, Ganem G, Namer M, Conroy T, Negrier S, Merrouche Y, Burki F, Mousseau M, Herait P, Mahjoubi M (1997) Phase II study of irinotecan in the treatment of advanced colorectal cancer in chemotherapy-naive patients and patients pretreated with fluorouracil-based chemotherapy. J Clin Oncol 15: 251 - 260
- Saloman DS, Brandt R, Fortunato C, Normanno N (1995) Epidermal growth factor-related peptides and their receptors in human malignancies. Crit Rev Oncol/Hematol 19: 183-232
- Saltz LB, Cox JV, Blanke C, Rosen LS, Fehrenbacher L, Moore MJ, Maroun JA, Ackland SP, Locker PK, Pirotta N, Elfring GL, Miller LL (2000) Irinotecan plus fluorouracil and leucovorin for metastatic colorectal cancer. N Engl J Med 343: 905-914
- Saltz LB, Meropol NJ, Loehrer PJ, Needle MN, Kopit J, Mayer RJ (2004) Phase II trial of cetuximab in patients with refractory colorectal cancer that expresses the epidermal growth factor receptor. J Clin Oncol 22: 1201 - 1208
- Tewes M, Schleucher N, Achterrath W, Wilke HJ, Frings S, Seeber S, Harstrick A, Rustum YM, Vanhoefer U (2003) Capecitabine and irinotecan as first-line chemotherapy in patients with metastatic colorectal cancer: results of an extended phase I study. Ann Oncol 14: 1442 - 1448
- Therasse P, Arbuck SG, Eisenhauer EA, Wanders J, Kaplan RS, Rubinstein L, Verweij J, Van Glabbeke M, van Oosterom AT, Christian MC, Gwyther SG (2000) New guidelines to evaluate the response to treatment in solid tumors. J Natl Cancer Inst 92: 205-216
- Xie R, Mathijssen RHJ, Sparreboom A, Verweij J, Karlsson MO (2002) Clinical pharmacokinetics of irinotecan and its metabolites: a population analysis. J Clin Oncol 20: 3293 - 3301
- Wierdl M, Wall A, Morton CL, Sampath J, Danks MK, Schuetz JD, Potter PM (2003) Carboxylesterase-mediated sensitization of human tumor cells to CPT-11 cannot override ABCG2-mediated drug resistance. Mol Pharmacol 64: 279 - 288