Reply: Screening for colorectal cancer with immunological FOBT

Hiroshi Saito*, and M Nakajima²

Research Center for Cancer Prevention and Screening, National Cancer Center, 5-1-1 Tsukiji, Chuou-ku, Tokyo, 104-0045, Japan; ²Hirosaki University School of Medicine, 5 Zaifu-cho, Hirosaki 036, Japan

British Journal of Cancer (2004) **90,** 1873–1874. doi:10.1038/sj.bjc.6601800 www.bjcancer.com Published online 13 April 2004 © 2004 Cancer Research UK

Sir,

Dr Otto claimed potential improvement in the sensitivity of immunochemical faecal occult blood testing (IFOBT) by combining faecal albumin detection with IFOBT (Otto and Dobrossy, 2004). As was indicated in their letter, the American Cancer Society special advisory group stated that IFOBT is the only exception to the conclusion that there is insufficient evidence to recommend any emerging technologies as a routine screening test for colorectal cancer (Levin et al, 2003). Although this statement needs to be further explained and thus will be discussed later, the point is that IFOBT has been certified as an efficacious tool in terms of evidence. Accordingly, the test used by Dr Otto might be of importance if it could demonstrate even better sensitivity and specificity than those seen with IFOBT, such as the immunochemical haemagglutination test (Saito et al, 1984; Saito and Yoshida, 1996).

Since parallel testing generally enhances sensitivity of single testing, it is possible that the addition of faecal albumin detection to IFOBT might improve sensitivity as compared to the detection of faecal haemoglobin alone. The data described in Dr Otto's letter, however, failed to demonstrate the sensitivity of the test in the population, nor did it compare its sensitivity with that of other IFOBT. Although performance characteristics of many available IFOBTs appear generally to be high, difference in sensitivity and specificity among these immunochemical FOBTs has been reported (Saito and Yoshida, 1996). The method employed by Dr Otto and a colleague is a combination test involving immunochemical detection of faecal haemoglobin and albumin, and the sensitivity of their faecal haemoglobin test is unclear. Therefore, the test needs to be compared with IFOBT in the same population for their conclusion to be justified.

Degradation of haemoglobin during transit through the gastro-intestinal tract is a well-known phenomenon. Haemoglobin from lesions proximal to the colon is generally believed to lose its antigenicity, a fact that confers an advantage to IFOBT, because a positive test is specific for bleeding from lesions in the colon (Young et al, 2002). During transit within the colon, loss of antigenicity also occurs depending on the duration of exposure of haemoglobin to microbial flora. Thus, in theory, colorectal cancer in proximal colonic sites such as the caecum might be more likely to be missed by FOBT. However, it was reported that the sensitivity of IFOBT does not differ between proximal and distal cancers (St John et al, 1993). This could be because the effect of bacterial degradation of immunoreactive haemoglobin during transit

through the colon might be offset by the high sensitivity of the IFOBT and the fact that proximal lesions bleed more heavily (Macrae and St John, 1982; St John *et al*, 1992). As a result, there has been no firm evidence that sensitivity of FOBT is reduced due to degradation of haemoglobin during transit in the colon.

False-negative testing after degradation of haemoglobin is important in terms of storage of specimens; that is, haemoglobin loses its antigenicity with duration of storage depending on the temperature (Saito $et\ al$, 1992). Thus, seeking a marker other than haemoglobin in faeces is a reasonable approach. From this point of view, we measured plasma proteins (immunoglobulin, albumin, transferrin, α_1 -acid glycoprotein and complements) in faeces, but could not find any that remained significantly more stable than haemoglobin.

Although the IFOBT is treated as an emerging technology in the field of population screening (Levin et al, 2003), this is not the case for the immunochemical haemagglutination test, which we developed (Saito et al, 1984; Saito, 1996). This has already been used as a population screening test and a reduction in risk of dying from colorectal cancer after screening with this test has been consistently suggested by several studies, although these were observational (Saito et al, 1995, 2000; Saito, 1996; Zappa et al, 1997). In our most recent study, reduction in risk of developing advanced colorectal cancer has additionally been suggested (Nakajima et al, 2003). Furthermore, it was demonstrated that sensitivity was higher for this test than for the Haemoccult test (St John et al, 1993; Allison et al, 1996; Saito and Yoshida, 1996). Taking into consideration the fact that this test does not require dietary restriction, it undoubtedly has the advantage over the Haemoccult, for which effectiveness has been established. Incorporating IFOBT, including the immunochemical haemagglutination test, the Japanese national screening programme has been run using two-day IFOBT since 1992 with more than 5 million screenees annually.

It is now clearly recognised in many countries, in which the burden of colorectal cancer is serious, that screening should be conducted aimed at reducing the mortality of this disease. Although sensitivity of the IFOBT is not total, it should be the preferred option in terms of evidence, among the modalities available as population screening tools at the present time.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This work was supported in part by the Grants-in-Aid for Cancer Research (No. 15-3) from the Ministry of Health, Welfare and Labour, Japan.



1974

REFERENCES

- Allison JE, Tekawa IS, Ransom LJ, Adrain AL (1996) A comparison of fecal occult-blood tests for colorectal-cancer screening. N Engl J Med 334: 155-159
- Levin B, Brooks D, Smith RA, Stone A (2003) Emerging technologies in screening for colorectal cancer. CA Cancer J Clin 53: 44-55
- Macrae FA, St John DJB (1982) Relationship between patterns of bleeding and Hemoccult sensitivity in patients with colorectal cancers or adenomas. Gastroenterology 82: 891–898
- Nakajima M, Saito H, Soma Y, Sobue T, Tanaka M, Munakata A (2003) Prevention of advanced colorectal cancer by screening using the immunochemical faecal occult blood test: a case-control study. Br J Cancer 89: 23-28
- Otto SZ, Dobrossy L (2004) Screening for colorectal cancer with immunological FOBT. Br J Cancer
- Saito H (1996) Screening for colorectal cancer by immunochemical fecal occult blood testing. *Jpn J Cancer Res* 87: 1011-1024
- Saito H, Soma Y, Koeda J, Wada T, Kawaguchi H, Sobue T, Aisawa T, Yoshida Y (1995) Reduction in risk of mortality from colorectal cancer by fecal occult blood screening with immunochemical hemagglutination test. A case-control study. *Int J Cancer* 61: 465-469
- Saito H, Soma Y, Nakajima M, Koeda J, Kawazaki R, Chiba R, Aizawa T, Munakata A (2000) A case-control study evaluating occult blood screening for colorectal cancer with Hemoccult test and an immunochemical hemagglutination test. Oncol Rep 7: 815-819
- Saito H, Tsuchida S, Kakizaki R, Fukushi M, Sano M, Aisawa T, Munakata A, Yoshida Y (1984) Immunochemical fecal occult blood test for mass

- screening of colorectal cancer by reversed passive hemagglutination (RPHA) (rapid communication). *Jpn J Gastroenterol* 81: 2831 (in Japanese)
- Saito H, Tshuchida S, Yoshida Y (1992) Essentials of immunochemical occult blood testing. Factors influencing the specificity and sensitivity of the test. In *Fecal Occult Blood Tests: Current Issues and New Tests*, Young GP, Saito H (ed) pp 60-69. San Jose, CA: SmithKline Diagnostics
- Saito H, Yoshida Y (1996) Mass screening Japanese perspective. In: Prevention and Early Detection of Colorectal Cancer: Principles and Practice, Young GP, Levin B, Rozen P (eds) pp 301-311. London: WB Saunders
- St John DJB, Young GP, Alexeyeff MA, Deacon MC, Cuthbertson AM, Macrae FA, Penfold JCB (1993) Evaluation of new occult blood test for detection of colorectal neoplasm. *Gastroenterology* 104: 1661–1668
- St John DJB, Young GP, McHutchinson JG, Deacon MC, Alexeyeff MA (1992) Comparison of the specificity and sensitivity of Hemoccult and HemoQuant in screening for colorectal neoplasm. *Ann Intern Med* 117: 376-382
- Young GP, St John DJB, Winawer SJ, Rozen P (2002) Choice of fecal occult blood tests for colorectal cancer screening: recommendations based on performance characteristics in population studies. *Amer J Gastroenterol* **97**: 2499 2507
- Zappa M, Castiglione G, Grazzini G, Falini P, Giorgi D, Paci E, Ciatto S (1997) Effect of fecal occult blood testing on colorectal mortality: results of a population-based case-control study in the district of Florence, Italy. *Int J Cancer* 73: 208-210