In short, we value old items for their sentimentality, nostalgia or connection with the famous. But not as much as we once did: the Antique Collectors' Club's Annual Furniture Index, based on a mixture of auction and retail prices of 1,400 typical items, has been on the slide since reaching a peak in 2002.

In the same way that conspicuous consumerism was encouraged at the turn of the twentieth century to redress the imbalance between overproduction and demand, policies must now encourage conspicuous non-consumption and reuse as the new signifiers of self-worth.

To address the long-term consequences of unbridled materialism, we need to make having fewer things and using recycled goods more socially desirable. Currently, only a few retailers sell items such as purses and bags that have been ingeniously 'upcycled' from lowvalue, discarded goods such as cement sacks and tyres. Instead of being niche products, such items should be status symbols. Frugal innovation must become ubiquitous, not just the preserve of poor nations or of times past.

The more recycled material used in an object, the more this quality should be advertised (and rewarded with tax breaks and other market levers). In the same way that food products must declare their constituents and additives, manufactured goods should indicate the extent of their recycled content. Packaging often states the proportion of recycled material used but rarely does the same disclosure appear for the product contained within.

This might start to shift attitudes away from the appeal of the 'brand new' to appreciating the value of the 'brand renewed' — something that will be essential in a sustainable, circular, economy.

Bruce Hood is professor of

developmental psychology in society at the University of Bristol, UK, and founder of Speakezee.org. e-mail: bruce.hood@bristol.ac.uk

- Stake, J. E. Phil. Trans. R. Soc. Lond. B 359, 1763–1774 (2004).
- Veblen, T. The Theory of the Leisure Class (Macmillan, 1899).
 Hay, D. F. & Ross, H. S. Child Dev. 53,
- Hay, D. F. & Ross, H. S. Child Dev. 53, 105–113 (1982).
- Gosling, S. Snoop: What Your Stuff Says About You (Basic, 2009).
- 5. Maddux, W. W. et al. Psychol. Sci. 21, 1910–1917 (2010).
- Nishi, A., Shirado, H., Rand, D. G. & Christakis, N. A. *Nature* **526**, 426–429 (2015).
 Belk, R. W. J. Consum. Res. **15**, 139–168
- Deiri, R. W. J. Consulli. Res. 13, 139–108 (1988).
 Ging F. Norton M. L. & Ariely D. Psychol S.
- Gino, F., Norton, M. I. & Ariely, D. Psychol. Sci. 21, 712–720 (2010).
- 9. Hood, B. & Bloom, P. Cognition **106**, 455–462 (2008).
- 10. Newman, G. E. & Bloom, P. Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA **111**, 3705–3708 (2014).



The Suzhou New District was one of the first industrial parks in China's circular-economy programme.

Lessons from China

The country consumes the most resources in the world and produces the most waste — but it also has the most advanced solutions, say **John A. Mathews** and **Hao Tan**.

hina's consumption of the world's resources is reaching crisis levels. To produce 46% of global aluminium, 50% of steel and 60% of the world's cement¹ in 2011, it consumed more raw materials than the 34 countries of the Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD) combined: 25.2 billion tonnes.

The nation's resource use is inefficient. China requires 2.5 kilograms of materials to generate US\$1 of gross domestic product (GDP) compared with 0.54 kilograms in OECD countries (in 2005 dollars, adjusted for purchasing power parity). And it is wasteful. In 2014, China generated 3.2 billion tonnes of industrial solid waste, only 2 billion tonnes of which was recovered by recycling, composting, incineration or reuse. By comparison, firms and households in the 28 countries of the European Union generated 2.5 billion tonnes of waste in 2012, of which 1 billion was recycled or used for energy. In 2025, China is expected to produce almost one-quarter of the world's municipal solid waste².

Unchecked, such levels of consumption and waste will strain the nation and the planet. In December 2015, a landslide at a waste dump in Shenzhen killed 73 people. China has also seen an increasing number of protests by local residents over waste-incineration projects in recent years. The

geopolitical costs could soar as China becomes more dependent on imported resources from unstable parts of the world. Fuels and minerals accounted for 30% of the total cost of China's imports in 2012, compared with just over 5% in 1990.

The country is taking action. For the past decade, China has led the world in promoting the recirculation of waste materials through setting targets and adopting policies, financial measures and legislation. The ultimate goal is a 'circular economy' — closing industrial loops to turn outputs from one manufacturer into inputs for another. This approach reduces the consumption of virgin materials and the generation of waste.

Progress has been modest and the obstacles to transforming the economy are formidable. Western countries have struggled for decades to get companies to collaborate along a supply chain. China has the advantage that more than half of its manufacturing activities are conducted in industrial parks and export processing zones. Targeting these parks is beginning to slash the intensity of China's resource use.

For example, the Suzhou New District is a 52-square-kilometre region for technological and industrial development near Shanghai, where around 4,000 manufacturing firms operate. There, manufacturers of printed circuit boards use copper that is recovered from waste from elsewhere in the park, rather than using virgin copper produced by mining firms³.

No other country has such ambitions. Germany and Japan have comprehensive plans for recycling (through Germany's Closed Substance Cycle and Waste Management Act of 1996 and Japan's 2000 Fundamental Law for Establishing a Sound Material-cycle Society). The European Commission announced a Circular Economy Package in December 2015 but has yet to implement it.

The United States has hundreds of corporate recycling initiatives (including those of the machinery company Caterpillar and Interface, a carpet manufacturer). The United States also has a handful of regional programmes such as the Zero Waste scheme in San Francisco, California. Other initiatives involving closing loops to attain 'industrial symbiosis³⁴ — in which waste products of one firm become the raw materials of another are in place in Yokohama, Japan; in Ulsan, South Korea; and in Kwinana, Australia⁵. All these are limited in their impacts and scale.

AMBITIOUS PLANS

Chinese interest in the circular economy was piqued in the 1990s⁶ by Germany and Japan's recycling laws. In 2005, China's State Council issued a policy paper (see go.nature. com/cnozhg; in Chinese) recognizing the economic and environmental risks of the nation's heavy resource exploitation, and acknowledging the circular economy as the principal means of dealing with them. The country's planning agency, the National Development and Reform Commission (NDRC) and bodies such as the Ministry of Environmental Protection have since developed circular-economy principles and promoted exemplars of industrial symbiosis, such as at the Rizhao Economic and Technology Development Zone⁷.

Taxation, fiscal, pricing and industrial policies were introduced. A fund was allocated to support the conversion of industrial parks into eco-industrial agglomerations. Tax breaks were provided to enterprises in

"China has led the world in promoting the recirculation of waste materials."

the reuse sector. To finance the initiatives through concessionary loans or direct capital financing, the NDRC joined with financial regulators

including China's central bank and its banking and securities regulatory commissions.

A whole chapter in the country's 11th Five-Year Plan (for 2006–10) was devoted to the circular economy. And a 2008 circulareconomy 'promotion law' demanded that local and provincial governments consider such issues in their investment and development strategies. Targets were enacted for the coal, steel, electronics, chemical and petrochemical industries. The circular economy was upgraded to a national development strategy in the 12th Five-Year Plan (2011–15).

Objectives included reusing 72% of industrial solid waste by 2015 and raising resource productivity (economic output per unit resources used) by 15%. The plan laid out a three-pronged '10–100–1,000' strategy: 10 major programmes focusing on recycling industrial wastes, conversion of industrial parks, remanufacturing, urban mining, and the development of waste-collection and recycling systems; 100 demonstration cities such as Suzhou and Guangzhou; and 1,000 demonstration enterprises or industrial parks nationwide. In 2012, the NDRC and the finance ministry called for 50% of national industrial parks and 30% of provincial ones to complete circular-economy transformation initiatives by 2015, with an aim of achieving close to zero discharge of pollutants.

In 2013, the State Council released a national strategy for achieving a circular economy — the first such strategy in the world. Further targets for 2015 included increasing energy productivity (GDP per unit energy) by 18.5% relative to 2010, raising water productivity by 43%, and for the output of the recycling industry to reach 1.8 trillion yuan (US\$276 billion) compared with 1 trillion yuan in 2010. Others include reusing at least 75% of coal gangue (worthless rock present in deposits) from coal mining or 70% of pulverized fuel ash, a product of coal combustion, from electricity generation.

Some of these targets have been extended in China's 13th Five-Year Plan, which was published this month.

REPORT CARD

How has China done? Last year, its National Bureau of Statistics analysed⁸ progress since 2005 on four measures: resource intensity (resources used per unit GDP), waste intensity (waste per unit GDP), waste recycling rate and pollutant treatment rate.

By 2013, resource intensity and waste intensity had improved by 34.7% and 46.5%, respectively, a clear sign that resource consumption (of metal, water,

CONSUMPTION PROBLEM

China's economy consumes more and more materials each year (a). But as the country becomes more efficient, it uses fewer resources for each dollar of gross domestic product generated (a measure known as resource intensity; b).



energy and biomass) is decoupling from economic growth in relative terms. The treatment rate of pollution, including sewage, the decontamination of urban residential waste and the reduction of major pollutants, also increased, by 74.6%. The recycling and reuse of waste improved more slowly, by 8.2%. A circular-economy development index created by the statistics bureau aggregating all these indicators grew from 100 in 2005 to 137.6 in 2013.

OECD statistics reveal that China's resource intensity fell: from 4.3 kilograms of materials per unit GDP in 1990 to 2.5 kilograms in 2011. However, China's overall resource consumption rose fivefold during these two decades, from 5.4 billion tonnes to 25.2 billion tonnes, as a result of its economic boom (see 'Consumption problem').

PARK LIFE

The Suzhou New District (SND) is an exemplar of circular-economy initiatives. In 2005, it was selected as one of the first 13 industrial parks to participate in China's national circular-economy pilot programme. In 2008, it was one of three national eco-industrial park demonstration sites in the country, along with the nearby China–Singapore Suzhou Industrial Park and the Tianjin Economic-Technological Development Area.

The SND is larger than Western examples of industrial symbiosis such as Kalundborg in Denmark, which was the first case of closed-loop recycling since the 1980s. Kalundborg involves a dozen or so firms sharing energy, water, steam and wasterecycling processes. By 2014, the SND hosted more than 16,000 enterprises and almost 4,000 manufacturing firms, many in IT, electronics, biotech and medicaldevice manufacturing. The total output of the industrial sector of the SND (including manufacturing, mining and utilities) was 288 billion yuan in 2015.

Initiatives set out to plug gaps in chains of industries within industrial parks. For example, the SND administration identified the recycling and recirculation of metal resources such as gold and copper as a gap in the park's printed-circuitboard supply chain. A venture was formed with Dowa Metal in Japan to establish an advanced metal-recycling business in the SND. Waste etching solution that is generated in copper laminating and circuitboard manufacturing in the SND is treated and returned by others based in the park. Electronic-waste companies such as Dowa reclaim the copper and water from the sludge created by circuit-board processing.

In other examples, a producer of kaolin (a type of clay) turns residues from mining into inputs for the production of sulfuric acid and construction materials; a paper manufacturer takes waste ammonia from a chemical company to use for desulfurization in its process; and industrial water recycling is undertaken on site.

According to data from the SND, between 2005 and 2010, the energy intensity of the district dropped by 20% (down to 0.57 tonnes of coal equivalent per 10,000 yuan of GDP, compared with the national 2010 level of 1.24 tonnes of coal equivalent per 10,000 yuan of GDP). During the same period, the park's oxidizable organic pollutants in water dropped by 47%, and emissions of sulfur dioxide by 38% (ref. 9). The utilization rate of industrial solid wastes and the recycling rate of industrial water reached 96% and 91% in 2010, much higher than the national averages (69% and 86%)¹⁰.

The main obstacle is getting firms linked by supply chains to cooperate in turning outputs into

inputs — as in the copper-extraction example. Some observers might see China's topdown approach to such issues as problematic, but

"Some industries lend themselves to circular initiatives more than others."

it is clear that the tradition of managing industrial parks through local institutions and governments is able to cut through the problem by offering rewards to firms that collaborate. Thus the issue is reframed from one involving individual firms to one that involves their collective decisions.

The economic benefits are clear. Recycled, regenerated and locally sourced raw materials are usually cheaper, increasing profits. State involvement in the economy turns out to be an advantage, and underpins how progress depends on countries' abilities to implement as well as develop industrial policies.

Some industries lend themselves to circular initiatives more than others. For example, recirculation of metal scrap is straightforward, but extracting metal from industrial sludge is more chemically demanding. China's move away from primary industries to secondary ones, such as solar-panel manufacturing, will reap benefits from the circular economy. And increasing reliance on home-regenerated materials rather than imports will increase the country's resource security.

NEXT STEPS

China must still do much more. It needs a national goal and road map to achieve a level of resource intensity that is similar to that of OECD countries (currently around 0.5 kilograms per dollar of GDP). And it must champion regional and provincial achievements, giving rewards to eco-industrial parks that perform best. Data should be reported regularly. SND data are five years old, for example. Seeing the fiscal benefits, companies should have incentives to release accurate data.

Primary industries such as iron, steel and aluminium need strong targets for recirculation as part of the thirteenth and subsequent five-year plans. Increasingly, secondary industries such as wind energy, battery production and biotech should be assessed on the basis of their recirculation potential and performance over their whole life cycles.

Better circular-economy metrics need to be developed. The circular-economy index of China's statistics bureau needs clarification on what it means and what it measures. The OECD should similarly draw up reporting guidelines for all countries to follow. Researchers need to collaborate with China to improve metrics and conduct case studies of industrial symbiosis.

Mainstream economics perpetuates linear thinking with concepts such as GDP and the use of GDP growth as a sole performance measure for national economies. Performance measures such as circulation of resources need to be introduced into economists' models, to create an interest in the real flow of resources that underpins abstractions such as income and wealth.

In our view, the only solution to the world's resource-security problem is to move away from the linear economy and embrace the circular economy. China's strategies are a significant step forwards in bridging the global gap between economic and ecological sustainability.

John A. Mathews is professor of strategy at the Macquarie Graduate School of Management at Macquarie University, Sydney, Australia. Hao Tan is senior lecturer in international business at the Newcastle Business School, University of Newcastle, Callaghan, Australia. e-mail: john.mathews@mgsm.edu.au; haotan1@gmail.com

- 1. Mathews, J. A. & Tan, H. China's Renewable Energy Revolution (Palgrave, 2015).
- Hoornweg, D., Bhada-Tata, P. & Kennedy, C. Nature 502, 615–617 (2013).
- Wen, Z. G. & Meng, X. Y. J. Clean. Prod. 90, 211–219 (2015).
- 4. Chertow, M. Ann. Rev. Energy Environ. 25, 313–337 (2000).
- 5. Mathews, J. & Tan, H. J. Indust. Ecol. **15**, 435–457 (2011).
- Žhu, D. Sci. Technol. Rev. 9, 39–43 (1998; in Chinese).
- Yu, F., Han, F. & Cui, Z. J. Clean. Prod. 87, 339–347 (2015).
- National Bureau of Statistics of the People's Republic of China. *The National Circular Economy Development Index Achieved* 137.6 in 2013 (NBS, 2015); available at http://go.nature.com/ vft5fz (in Chinese).
- 9. Xu, Y. *Ènviron. Prot. Circ. Econ.* 9, 10–13 (2015; in Chinese).
- 10.State Council of the People's Republic of China. Circular Economy Development Strategy and Immediate Plan of Action (State Council, 2013); available at http://go.nature.com/hmcxiz (in Chinese).