SHORT REPORT

www.nature.com/ejhg

Deletion and duplication screening in the DMD gene using MLPA

Tanja Lalic¹, Rolf HAM Vossen², Jordy Coffa³, Jan P Schouten³, Marija Guc-Scekic¹, Danijela Radivojevic¹, Marina Djurisic¹, Martÿn H Breuning², Stefan J White² and Johan T den Dunnen^{*,2}

¹Department of Medical Genetics, Mother and Child Health Institute of Serbia, Radoja Dakic, Belgrade, Serbia and Montenegro; ²Center for Human and Clinical Genetics, Leiden University Medical Center, Leiden, The Netherlands; ³MRC-Holland, Amsterdam, The Netherlands

We have designed a multiplex ligation-dependent probe amplification (MLPA) assay to simultaneously screen all 79 DMD gene exons for deletions and duplications in Duchenne and Becker muscular dystrophy (DMD/BMD) patients. We validated the assay by screening 123 unrelated patients from Serbia and Montenegro already screened using multiplex PCR. MLPA screening confirmed the presence of all previously detected deletions. In addition, we detected seven new deletions, nine duplications, one point mutation, and we were able to precisely determine the extent of all rearrangements. To facilitate MLPA-based screening in laboratories lacking specific equipment, we designed the assay such that it can also be performed using agarose gel analysis and ethidium bromide staining. The MLPA assay as described provides a simple and cheap method for deletion and duplication screening in DMD/BMD patients. The assay outperforms the Beggs and Chamberlain multiplex-PCR test, and should be considered as the method of choice for an initial DNA analysis of DMD/BMD patients.

European Journal of Human Genetics (2005) **13**, 1231–1234. doi:10.1038/sj.ejhg.5201465; published online 20 July 2005

Keywords: mutation screening; DMD; MLPA; deletions/duplications

Introduction

The Duchenne muscular dystrophy (*DMD*) gene is the largest known gene, spanning ~2.4 Mb of genomic sequence on Xp21.¹ Mutations in the gene cause DMD, the most commonly inherited neuromuscular disorder, and Becker muscular dystrophy (BMD), the milder allelic form of the disease. The mutation spectrum within the gene is unusual in that deletions of one or more exons are found in ~65% of cases.^{2–4} Deletions are known to cluster in two hotspot regions,^{4,5} and as DMD is X-linked, these can be easily detected in males using simple PCR reactions.

Two multiplex PCR kits of nine exons each were developed that together detect \sim 95% of all deletions.^{6,7}

Although a significant proportion (5–8%) of duplications was reported in DMD and BMD early on,^{3,8} most laboratories do not systematically screen for these rearrangements. This is because duplication analysis and the determination of carrier status require a quantitative method of analysis, which is more laborious and technically demanding. Until recently, the most commonly applied methods have been Southern blotting,^{2,3} including pulsed-field gel electrophoresis, and quantitative multiplex PCR.^{9,10}

We have previously reported the use of multiplex amplifiable probe hybridization (MAPH) for screening all 79 exons of the *DMD* gene for deletions and duplications in two reactions.¹¹ Recently a similar technique was developed, multiplex ligation-dependent probe amplification



^{*}Correspondence: Dr JT den Dunnen, Center for Human and Clinical Genetics, Leiden University Medical Center, Wassenaarseweg 72, 2333 Al Leiden, The Netherlands. Tel: +31 71 527 6105; Fax: +31 71 527 6075; E-mail: ddunnen@lumc.nl

Received 21 January 2005; revised 24 May 2005; accepted 2 June 2005; published online 20 July 2005

(MLPA).¹² The ease of use made MLPA a popular technique that has been widely applied. The disadvantage of MLPA compared to MAPH is the more laborious and costly design of a good probe set. To overcome this limitation we designed a *DMD* gene MLPA set, the use of which has recently been reported.^{13,14} In this study, we describe its performance in screening for deletion and duplication mutations in a series of DMD and BMD patients from Serbia and Montenegro.

Methods

Patient samples

A group of 133 patients (98 DMD and 35 BMD) referred to the Laboratory of Medical Genetics, Mother and Child Health Institute of Serbia, Belgrade, for molecular analysis of the *DMD* gene were screened. Genomic DNA was extracted from leukocytes by a salting out procedure.¹⁵

Multiplex PCR deletion screening

For multiplex PCR screening, 18 exons (3, 4, 6, 8, 13, 19, 30, 42, 43, 44, 45, 47, 48, 50, 51, 52, 53 and 60) and the muscle promoter of the *DMD* gene were tested,¹⁶ although not all samples were tested for exons 30 and 53. Additional primers were used to further delineate the 5' or 3' exonic extent of some deletions, that is, the primers for exons 12 and 46,⁶ 16 and 41,¹⁷ and 49.⁷ The PCR products were separated on a 2% agarose gel.

Multiplex ligation-dependent probe amplification

The MLPA reactions for probe sets P034 and P035 (MRC-Holland, Amsterdam, The Netherlands) were performed essentially as described,¹² except that the PCR reaction was in a final volume of $25 \,\mu$ l, and 33-35 cycles were performed. Initial analysis was performed by eye, with a deletion in a male patient defined as no visible peak at the expected position. For the remaining samples, the height of each exon-specific peak was divided by the two nearest control peaks. The median ratio across all samples for each peak was calculated and used as a reference for one copy (corresponding to the single X-chromosome in males). Although a deletion would be expected to lead to the total absence of a peak and hence a ratio of zero, any normalized ratio below 0.5 was considered a possible deletion. This takes into account the possibility of a change in sequence around the ligation site of the MLPA half-probes, which may disturb the ligation reaction sufficiently to reduce the PCR yield of the probe. Unless the result matched precisely with what was previously found, all apparent single exon deletions were confirmed by independent MAPH analysis, as previously described,¹¹ or by PCR amplification and sequencing.

A duplication would be expected to give a normalized ratio of 2. We set the threshold at 1.5, although to minimize false-negative results we retested any probe with a normalized ratio above 1.4. Any cases involving a single exon were retested in a duplicate MLPA reaction.

The MLPA reactions using probe sets P071–P078 (MRC-Holland, Amsterdam, The Netherlands) were performed as described, ¹² except that the PCR amplification was for 35 cycles, and 15 μ l unlabeled PCR product was separated on a 3% agarose gel. Analysis was performed visually.

Results Multiplex F

Multiplex PCR

A total of 133 DNA samples from both DMD and BMD patients were analyzed. Of these, 123 were unrelated cases, and the results described are based on these only. The samples had been screened for deletions by multiplex PCR, resulting in the detection of 71 deletions.

Multiplex ligation-dependent probe amplification

MLPA analysis of the same samples revealed 88 rearrangements: 78 deletions, nine duplications and one point mutation. The average ratio of the duplicated exons was 1.88 (range, 1.44–2.46), and the average ratio of triplicated exons was 2.73 (range, 2.52–3.12). All new mutations detected are listed in Table 1.

Only one deletion, of exon 15, could not be confirmed by another technique. Sequence analysis revealed a nonsense mutation (NM_004006.1; c.1729 G>T, p.Glu577X). The altered nucleotide was located precisely at the ligation site of the two half-probes, disturbing the ligation sufficiently to appear as a deletion.

An unusual rearrangement was found in two brothers, apparently composed of 2 non-contiguous duplications and a partial triplication. The duplications cover exons 52–55 and 63–67, while the triplication covers exons 68–79 (Figure 1). The full extent of the rearrangement after the last exon was not determined.

Analysis using the P034 and P035 probe sets as described above requires the availability of an electrophoresis system able to detect labeled probes (a sequencing system). Such equipment will not be available in every laboratory and therefore we analyzed the MLPA products using simpler methodology, that is, agarose gel electrophoresis and ethidium bromide staining. To facilitate this analysis the probe sets were divided into eight sets (P071-P078), with fragment sizes differing by at least 20 bp ensuring easy discrimination after electrophoresis (Figure 2a). A series of samples with known deletions were screened with several of the probe sets. In all cases the boundaries perfectly matched those determined previously (Figure 2b). To test the limits of the system we analyzed a sample from a male patient with a duplication of exons 58-63. Comparing band intensities between the patient and the control sample clearly showed that the exon 59 band gave a relatively stronger signal in the patient sample (Figure 2c).

232

Sample	Detected with mPCR	Detected with MLPA
B11	Del 6-8	Del 5–9
B14	Del 50	Del 49–50
B18	No del	Dup 53
B21	Del 45–52 ^a	Del 45–53
B23	Del 45–52 ^a	Del 45–53
B26	No del	Del 65–67
B29	No del	Del 9–12
B34	Del 3–16	Del 3–17
B39	No del	Dup 3-44
B40	No del	Del 15 ^b
B43	Del 3-6	Del 3–7
B44	Del 47–53	Del 46-55
B50	Del 3–19	Del 3–21
B54	Del 51–53	Del 51–55
B57	Del 12–43	Del 10–43
B58	Del 3–19 ^c	Del 3–43
B61	No del ^c	Del 22–37
B66	No del	Del 20–23
B71	No del ^c	Del 20–41
B76	Del 3–6	Del 3–7
B79	Del 45–53	Del 45–59
B82	Del 6–19 ^c	Del 5–41
B83	Del 8–19 ^c	Del 8–34
B85	No del	Dup 50–59
B94	Del 6	Del 6–7
B97	No del	Dup 2
B101	No del	Dup 8–44
B102	No del	Dup 56–63
B103	No del	Dup 49–60
B104	Del 13–42	Del 10–42
B106	No del	Del 12
B111	No del	Dup 3–11
B113	No del	Del 22
B114	Del 50–53	Del 49–54
B116	Del 45–53	Del 45–55
B118	Del 30–41	Del 22–41

 Table 1
 The new mutations found in this study, with the

corresponding results from the multiplex PCR and MLPA

point mutation at the ligation site of the two half-probes. ^cNot initially tested for exon 30 in the multiplex PCR.

^bAn apparent deletion of exon 15 by MLPA analysis was actually a

^aNot initially tested for exon 53 in the multiplex PCR.

Dup 52-55, 63-67;trip 68-79

Discussion

B120

No del

screening

We describe the use of MLPA for the detection of exonic rearrangements in the DMD gene. Compared to analyzing the deletion hotspot regions by multiplex PCR, the advantages of MLPA-based screening of all exons are obvious. First. 13% more mutations were detected: 7% duplications and 6% deletions. In addition, the full extent of 20 deletions was not determined prior to MLPA analysis. This knowledge is critical when predicting the progression of the disease; in \sim 90% of cases a reading-frame-disrupting mutation will lead to the lethal Duchenne phenotype, whereas mutations that maintain the open reading frame in majority of cases lead to the milder Becker-like phenotype.¹⁸ This information will also be of



Figure 1 A complex rearrangement detected in the *DMD* gene. A duplication of exons 52-55 and 63-67, and a triplication of exons 68-79.



Figure 2 Images using the probe sets developed for agarose gel analysis. (a) The eight probe sets (P071-P078), together covering every exon of the DMD gene. Each set includes at least one control probe. (b) Results obtained from samples with a deletion of one or more exon. Lanes 1 and 2 show the products derived from patients with previously identified mutations, and lane 3 is from a control sample. The missing bands in lanes 1 and 2 correspond with the deleted exons. (c) An example of a duplication visible by agarose gel electrophoresis. Lane 1 is from a patient with a previously identified duplication, lane 2 is from a female control. The band indicated by an arrow is significantly more intense in lane 1 than lane 2, corresponding with the duplication of exon 59. The control band is also stronger in the patient compared with the control, this is due to the sex mismatch of the two samples.

importance for future gene therapy strategies, for example, those targeted at the skipping of specific exons of the gene.19,20

1234

For laboratories without access to sequencing systems capable of detecting fluorescent labels, we designed probe sets allowing agarose gel electrophoresis. Since the resolution of agarose gels is lower than that of polyacrylamide gels or capillary electrophoresis, fewer probes can be combined per reaction, meaning that eight lanes are needed to cover all 79 DMD exons. Since DMD is an X-linked disease, deletion analysis in males is simple and the complete extent of the deletion is immediately apparent. Our results indicate that it seems possible to detect duplications on the agarose gel as well, in particular, when a series of exons is duplicated.

The DMD MLPA test provides a simple and cheap DNAbased test for deletion/duplication screening that can be performed in any DNA laboratory. As its performance clearly outperforms the Beggs and Chamberlain multiplex-PCR test^{6,7} it should be considered as the method of choice for a first DNA analysis of DMD/BMD patients. After a negative MLPA-test, the gene can be scanned for point mutations using RNA^{21,22} or DNA-based tests.^{23–25}

Acknowledgements

We thank the patients and their families for their cooperation and Dave van Heusden for expert technical assistance. Jan Schouten is director and shareholder of MRC-Holland, the producer of the MLPA kits described in this article.

References

- 1 Den Dunnen JT, Grootscholten PM, Dauwerse JD *et al*: Reconstruction of the 2.4 Mb human DMD-gene by homologous YAC recombination. *Hum Mol Genet* 1992; 1: 19–28.
- 2 Koenig M, Hoffman EP, Bertelson CJ, Monaco AP, Feener CA, Kunkel LM: Complete cloning of the Duchenne muscular dystrophy (DMD) cDNA and preliminary genomic organization of the DMD gene in normal and affected individuals. *Cell* 1987; **50**: 509–517.
- 3 Den Dunnen JT, Grootscholten PM, Bakker E *et al*: Topography of the DMD gene: FIGE and cDNA analysis of 194 cases reveals 115 deletions and 13 duplications. *Am J Hum Genet* 1989; **45**: 835–847.
- 4 Oudet C, Hanauer A, Clemens P, Caskey CT, Mandel JL: Two hot spots of recombination in the DMD-gene correlate with the deletion prone regions. *Hum Mol Genet* 1992; 1: 599–603.
- 5 Forrest SM, Cross GS, Speer A, Gardner-Medwin D, Burn J, Davies KE: Preferential deletion of exons in Duchenne and Becker muscular dystrophies. *Nature* 1987; **329**: 638–640.
- 6 Chamberlain JS, Gibbs RA, Ranier JE, Nga Nguyen PN, Caskey CT: Deletion screening of the Duchenne muscular dystrophy locus via multiplex DNA amplification. *Nucleic Acids Res* 1988; 23: 11141–11156.
- 7 Beggs AH, Koenig M, Boyce FM, Kunkel LM: Detection of 98-percent DMD/BMD gene deletions by polymerase chain reaction. *Hum Genet* 1990; **86**: 45–48.

- 8 Hu X, Burghes AHM, Ray PN, Thompson MW, Murphy EG, Worton RG: Partial gene duplications in Duchenne and Becker muscular dystrophies. *J Med Genet* 1988; **25**: 369–376.
- 9 Yau SC, Bobrow M, Mathew CG, Abbs SJ: Accurate diagnosis of carriers of deletions and duplications in Duchenne/Becker muscular dystrophy by fluorescent dosage analysis. *J Med Genet* 1996; **33**: 550–558.
- 10 Ioannou P, Christopoulos G, Panayides K, Kleanthous M, Middleton L: Detection of Duchenne and Becker muscular dystrophy carriers by quantitative multiplex polymerase chain reaction analysis. *Neurol* 1992; **42**: 1783–1790.
- 11 White S, Kalf M, Liu Q *et al*: Comprehensive detection of genomic duplications and deletions in the DMD gene, by use of multiplex amplifiable probe hybridization. *Am J Hum Genet* 2002; **71**: 365–374.
- 12 Schouten JP, McElgunn CJ, Waaijer R, Zwijnenburg D, Diepvens F, Pals G: Relative quantification of 40 nucleic acid sequences by multiplex ligation-dependent probe amplification. *Nucleic Acids Res* 2002; **30**: e57.
- 13 Janssen B, Hartmann C, Scholz V, Jauch A, Zschocke J: MLPA analysis for the detection of deletions, duplications and complex rearrangements in the dystrophin gene: potential and pitfalls. *Neurogenetics* 2005; **6**: 29–35.
- 14 Schwartz M, Duno M: Improved molecular diagnosis of dystrophin gene mutations using the multiplex ligation-dependent probe amplification method. *Genet Test* 2004; **8**: 361–367.
- 15 Miller SA, Dykes DD, PoleskY HF: A simple salting out procedure for extracting DNA from human nucleated cells. *Nucleic Acids Res* 1988; 16: 1215.
- 16 Abbs SJ, Yau SC, Mathew CG, Bobrow M: A convenient multiplex PCR system for the detection of dystrophin gene deletions: a comparative analysis with cDNA hybridisation shows mistypings by both methods. *J Med Genet* 1991; **28**: 304–311.
- 17 Kunkel LM, Snyder JR, Beggs AH, Boyce FM, Feener CA: Searching for dystrophin gene deletions in patients with atypical presentations; in Lindsten J, Petterson U (eds): *Etiology of Human Diseases at the DNA Level*. New York: Raven Press, 1991, pp 51–60.
- 18 Monaco AP, Bertelson CJ, Liechti-Gallati S, Moser H, Kunkel LM: An explanation for the phenotypic differences between patients bearing partial deletions of the DMD locus. *Genomics* 1988; 2: 90–95.
- 19 Lu QL, Mann CJ, Lou F *et al*: Functional amounts of dystrophin produced by skipping the mutated exon in the mdx dystrophic mouse. *Nat Med* 2003; **9**: 1009–1014.
- 20 Aartsma-Rus A, Janson AA, Kaman WE *et al*: Antisense-induced multiexon skipping for Duchenne muscular dystrophy makes more sense. *Am J Hum Genet* 2004; **74**: 83–92.
- 21 Tuffery-Giraud S, Saquet C, Chambert S *et al*: The role of muscle biopsy in analysis of the dystrophin gene in Duchenne muscular dystrophy: experience of a national referral centre. *Neuromuscul Disord* 2004; **14**: 650–658.
- 22 Roest PAM, Roberts RG, Van Der Tuijn AC, Heikoop JC, Van Ommen GJB, Den Dunnen JT: Protein truncation test (PTT) to rapidly screen the DMD-gene for translation-terminating mutations. *Neuromusc Disord* 1993; **3**: 391–394.
- 23 Mendell JR, Buzin CH, Feng J *et al*: Diagnosis of Duchenne dystrophy by enhanced detection of small mutations. *Neurol* 2001; **57**: 645–650.
- 24 Flanigan KM, von Niederhausern A, Dunn DM, Alder J, Mendell JR, Weiss RB: Rapid direct sequence analysis of the dystrophin gene. *Am J Hum Genet* 2003; **72**: 931–939.
- 25 Hofstra RM, Mulder IM, Vossen R *et al*: DGGE-based whole-gene mutation scanning of the dystrophin gene in Duchenne and Becker muscular dystrophy patients. *Hum Mutat* 2004; 23: 57–66.