

Potential effects of a tight orbit include volcanism caused by strong gravitational tides and fierce stellar winds, as imagined here for the exoplanet Gliese 876d.

SCIENCE FICTION

## Curtains for space opera?

Since July, astronomers have killed off one trope of science fiction and given fresh life to another. **Leigh Phillips** gets *Mars Trilogy* author Kim Stanley Robinson's reaction.

B arnard's star is a star indeed. A member of the second closest star system to the Sun at 6 light years (1.84 parsecs) away, it pops up all over twentieth-century science fiction, from classic comics to Asimov.

Dutch-American astronomer Peter van de Kamp made the first modern claim to have spotted an exoplanet there in 1963, having studied the star since 1938. He thought that he had discovered wobbles in the position of Barnard that indicated a Jupiter-class planet in orbit around it. In 1969, van de Kamp revised his findings, positing two planets — one slightly bigger than Jupiter and one slightly smaller. But it wasn't long before other astronomers challenged the claims, suggesting that van de Kamp's 'discovery' was merely an artefact of upgrade work at his observatory.

Since van de Kamp's time, the Barnard 'system' has been a staple of sci-fi, from short stories and novels, to films and television series. In Douglas Adams' *The Hitchhiker's Guide to the Galaxy* series and Arthur C. Clarke's *The Garden of Rama* (Bantam, 1991), it is a way station for interstellar travellers. Michael Moorcock uses an imagined planet orbiting the star as the site of a refugee camp for humans fleeing social breakdown on Earth. For Isaac Asimov,

a Barnard-system planet is home to invertebrate marine animals. In a series of comic-book strips in

⇒ NATURE.COM See Nature's science fiction special at: go.nature.com/mqc2jd the 1970s, Will Eisner sited humankind's first contact with an extraterrestrial civilization on a planet in the system. And in the short-lived *Battlestar Galactica* spin-off series *Galactica* 1980, the dastardly Cylons are believed to be hiding there.

Recently, the status of this sci-fi staple itself wobbled. In August, a survey by a team of eight astronomers, led by Jieun Choi of the University of California, Berkeley, and covering 25 years' worth of measurements, concluded that Barnard's star does not have any planets — Earth-size or otherwise.

Two months later, astronomers had better news for the sci-fi cognoscenti. On 17 October, Xavier Dumusque at the University of Geneva in Switzerland and his team reported in Nature that Alpha Centauri B, a member of our closest star system, just 4.3 light years away, has an Earth-sized planet orbiting — albeit with a tight, sun-hugging 'year' of just 3.236 days, far from the presumed habitable zone (X. Dumusque et al. Nature 491, 207-211; 2012).

This was sure to resonate with readers of Stansilaw Lem, Robert Silverberg, Philip K. Dick and, again, Asimov and Clarke, who all made use of the Alpha Centauri system in their fiction. It also appeared in the television series *Buck* Rogers in the 25th Century, Doctor Who and Star Trek. Indeed, Zefram Cochrane, the Star Trek character who 'invented' the warp drive, lived there.

So what do these two scientific developments mean for science fiction? Kim Stanley Robinson, author of the bestselling Mars Trilogy, takes a radical view. He suggests that we get over the idea of interstellar travel altogether: a probe would take 28,000 years to get to Alpha Centauri. "We can't go fast enough to get to any of these places," he says.

Barnard's star was once "the place for nearby space", Robinson says, as his novel Icehenge (Ace, 1984) — in which characters build a starship headed for it — attests. Now that researchers have identified some 840 exoplanets, and NASA's three-year-old Kepler space telescope has spotted 2,320 candidate planets, "there may never again be a single default destination", Robinson continues.

In his recent book 2312, which imagines humanity three centuries from now, spread across terraformed planets, asteroids and moons in our

own Solar Sys-

A probe would take 28,000 years to get to Alpha Centauri. We have to get more realistic.

tem, Robinson writes frankly about the galactic hinterland we inhabit. "The stars exist beyond human time, beyond human reach," says the narrator. "We live in the little pearl of warmth surrounding our star; outside it lies a vastness beyond comprehension. The solar system is our one and only home."

Of the idea that we are destined to go to the stars and inhabit, if not the whole Universe, maybe the whole galaxy, Robinson cautions "it's a fantasy, of power, transcendence and a kind of species immortality. We have to get more realistic."

Leigh Phillips is an International Development Research Centre fellow at Nature. e-mail: leigh.phillips@gmail.com

## COMPUTER SCIENCE Virtually there

John Gilbey applauds a call for the digital to join the physical, biological and social in science.

n On Computing, Paul Rosenbloom examines the case for computing to enter the pantheon of great scientific domains alongside the physical, biological and social sciences. The centenary year of computing pioneer Alan Turing's birth seems a fitting moment to put the idea to the test.

The study of computing, dated from Turing's work, is only about 80 years old. It is variously claimed by engineering, physics, mathematics, linguistics and psychology - or seen merely as a supporting technology whose academic roots are irrelevant. Despite this, computing has arguably made more, and deeper, inroads into the daily life of humanity during the past 50 years than any other academic discipline, underlying a series of life-changing products. Imagine life today without mobile-phone networks, the Internet or medical imaging.

Drawing on his background in artificial intelligence, robotics and cognitive architecture, Rosenbloom leads us through the past, present and potential futures of computing as an academic discipline and demonstrates its linchpin position in a multidisciplinary environment.

He uses a novel 'relational' approach, unveiling the structures and connectedness across the various subfields of computing by looking at types of implementation and interaction within and between the existing major domains of science. To help clarify these relationships, Rosenbloom uses metascience expression language, a notation that facilitates the representation of the multidisciplinary fields and topics within science. Metascience expression offers both a technical context for Rosenbloom's anecdotal material and a framework within which to debate the core tenets of the argument. Non-specialists who persevere with these sections of the book will benefit

from a much more structured understanding of the make-up of the computing sciences.

Rosenbloom fields many examples of computing innovation - including immersive display technologies, neurally controlled prosthetics, and quasi-autonomous military systems such as advanced unmanned aerial vehicles, or

© 2012 Macmillan Publishers Limited. All rights reserved

drones. These demonstrate that traditional demarcations between real and virtual environments will blur over the coming years as interfaces between human and machine are integrated to the point of invisibility. One example is the rapidly expanding field of augmented reality systems, early versions of which are



On Computing -The Fourth Great **Scientific Domain** PAUL S. ROSENBLOOM MIT Press: 2012. 312 pp. \$35, £24.95)

already embedded in smartphones and tablets.

Rosenbloom's reasoned analysis should help academia and the wider technical community to ensure that this transition is managed so as to deliver benefits to humanity in general. Otherwise, that enormous and life-changing power will be unfairly subjugated by a small minority of interests - technical, economic or political.

The text is permeated with a sense of delight in the opportunities offered by advances in the computing sciences. Rosenbloom offers elegant examples of the innovative ways in which computing developments and mature research areas can have hugely productive synergy — such as in surgical robotics and sophisticated prosthetic systems.

> On Computing is an unusual, and welcome, mix of  $\frac{1}{4}$ conventional academic text and personal ∄ odyssey. Any work citing Jane Austen and Richard Feynman in the same chapter easily passes my test for an interesting interdisciplinary read. Much more, this book offers an innovative set of tools that could kick-start debate and research on the future structure of the sciences.

John Gilbey teaches in the Department of Computer Science at Aberystwyth University, UK. e-mail: gilbey@bcs.org.uk