



COPENHAGEN LIVE
Nature's reporters blog
from the United Nations
climate conference.
go.nature.com/OEsfAa

PUNCHSTOCK

multiple teams are tackling the big-picture question of tropical forest biomass. "We need a couple of independent estimates just to see how well they match," he says. "Anybody can make a map. If they differ, at least it identifies the areas that need further analysis."

The next step, says Alexander Lotsch, a geographer at the World Bank in Washington DC, is to produce better estimates for carbon emissions from deforestation. He adds that Saatchi's research is still a "work in progress".

Satellites can reliably track deforestation and, increasingly, small-scale logging. In Copenhagen, Greg Asner of the Carnegie Institution of Science in Stanford, California, and Google.org unveiled an online tool that allows tropical countries, beginning in South America, to map deforestation using an automated system to analyse satellite imagery. Asner has also developed a system for assessing biomass at finer resolution, which will be necessary if forests are going to be linked to international carbon markets.

The new pan-tropical biomass maps from Saatchi and Woods Hole won't accomplish that goal, but they can provide scientists and policy-makers with a better understanding of carbon trends. For example, using a similar technique to Saatchi, Asner has found that deforestation in Brazil is moving into higher biomass areas in the interior of the forest. That suggests that emissions will probably rise over time on a per-hectare basis, offsetting some of the reductions in deforestation that Brazil aims to achieve in the coming decade (see *Nature* doi:10.1038/news.2009.752; 2009).

Jeff Tollefson

See also www.nature.com/roadtocopenhagen

research at Imperial College London, says that he wants to delay the REF by a year to incorporate the findings of planned pilot trials. He recommends that just 15–20% of the audit be devoted to impact assessment, whereas the University and College Union, a trade union for academics, would like the impact component to be removed.

David Price, vice-provost for research at University College London, says that any assessment of impact should include benefit to the academic community, and not just the economy and society as currently proposed, to ensure that fields such as mathematics and social sciences are not disadvantaged.

HEFCE will publish a summary of the responses to the consultation, and its plans for the REF, in spring 2010.

Natasha Gilbert

Hope for Japan's key projects

When Japan's government changed hands in September for the first time in five decades, many Japanese people hoped that the newly powerful Democratic Party of Japan would revitalize their country. But the new government has since sent scientists on an emotional rollercoaster. In recent weeks, two cabinet-level bodies, both chaired by Prime Minister Yukio Hatoyama, have recommended drastically different financial futures for major scientific projects.

One set of proposals, from the Government Revitalization Unit (GRU) that was set up in September to trim bureaucratic fat, recommends deep cuts for many key projects. These include a proposed next-generation supercomputer, the SPring-8 synchrotron in Harima, and Earth-science research. Scientists protested against those cuts (see *Nature* 462, 557; 2009). But last week came news of a separate recommendation from the Council for Science and Technology Policy (CSTP), Japan's highest science-policy-making body, proposing continued support for those projects and many others.

For instance, the SPring-8 synchrotron and the Global Center of Excellence programmes — meant to strengthen doctoral research programmes — had each been headed for cuts of one-third or more, but the CSTP says they should be "prioritized and given the necessary resources". The next-generation supercomputer, which could have faced outright termination, should also be supported, it says.

In Japan, where government decisions are usually made in bureaucratic back rooms and handed out as a harmonious consensus, the apparent contradiction is baffling researchers. "The decision-making process is unclear," says Tadashi Watanabe, project leader for the supercomputer. "It is very unsettling."

Final budget decisions will be made later this month, but the prime minister has called the CSTP proposals "valuable opinions", and said that he would "work to ensure they were reflected in the final budget". Many think Hatoyama could be leaning towards accepting the CSTP's recommendations, perhaps because of the outcry over the proposed cuts. "It's too early to tell, but you can safely say that the top leadership did recognize the problem," says Atsushi Sunami, a science-policy expert at the National Graduate



Scientists are hoping that Japan's prime minister Yukio Hatoyama will avert proposed funding cuts.

Institute for Policy Studies in Tokyo.

The GRU was earlier criticized by scientists for recommending cuts without obtaining sufficient external input; projects were usually explained to the decision-making committee in a one-hour session by a bureaucrat. According to the Japanese media last week, the GRU plans to reopen debate on the supercomputer project in a public forum that will involve many scientists.

For researchers, the near demise of beloved projects has been a wake-up call to the need to justify them to the public as well as to bureaucrats and external evaluators. Last week, leaders of the supercomputer project posted on their website a list of frequently asked questions on the project's significance, including: "What is great about the supercomputer?"

Watanabe says the team doesn't yet have a long-term strategy for engaging the public, but he wants to emphasize that the supercomputer would have a major role in Japan's main research fields, including nanoscience, life science and environmental science. "We have to get that point across," he says.

Sunami agrees that scientists in Japan can't take public support for granted. "Even if the budget for the supercomputer and SPring-8 are saved at a smaller scale," he says, "they have to engage with the public more."

David Cyranoski

S. KAMBAYASHI/AP